www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation

ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.03
TPI 2019; SP-8(5): 01-05

www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 01-03-2019
Accepted: 06-04-2019

Yogesh Sharma
AIMT, Greater Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

LB Singh
AIMT, Greater Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Mitramani Singh
AIMT, Greater Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence
Yogesh Sharma
AIMT, Greater Noida,
Uttar Pradesh, India

The integration of predictive analytics and machine learning for demand forecasting in e-commerce: A theoretical exploration

Yogesh Sharma, LB Singh and Mitramani Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2019.v8.i5Sa.25260

Abstract
Effective supply chain management hinges on accurate demand forecasting. Yet, traditional methods often struggle with the noise and distortions inherent in communication patterns between supply chain participants. This paper explores the potential of machine learning (ML) to overcome these limitations in the context of e-commerce. We compare the performance of various ML-based forecasting techniques with established methods using data from a chocolate manufacturer, a toner cartridge manufacturer, and the Statistics Canada manufacturing survey. While the overall average accuracy of ML techniques doesn't outperform traditional approaches, a specifically trained support vector machine (SVM) incorporating multiple demand series emerges as the most effective forecasting tool. These findings suggest that, while further research is warranted, strategically leveraging ML holds promise for enhancing e-commerce demand forecasting by learning from complex, noisy data patterns.

Keywords: Integration, machine, demand, e-commerce, theoretical

Introduction
In the intricate ballet of today's e-commerce landscape, accurate demand forecasting plays a pivotal role. Yet, traditional methods often find themselves tripping over the complexities of this digital domain. The waltz of fluctuating online trends, dynamic customer behaviors, and unpredictable market shifts throws their rhythm off, leading to stockouts that disrupt the flow and lost revenue that echoes on the balance sheet.
This gap between ideal forecasting and harsh reality begs for a new choreography. Enter the stage, machine learning and predictive analytics, poised to pirouette around the limitations of the past. These modern tools, powered by algorithms that learn from data's intricate steps, hold the promise of predicting demand with an agility and precision unseen before.
But before we leap into this digital foxtrot, we must acknowledge the challenges that lie in wait. E-commerce supply chains, unlike their brick-and-mortar counterparts, often lack the synchronized movements of information sharing and integrated planning. Complexities like "bullwhip effect" distortions and power imbalances within the chain can throw the whole dance out of whack.
Therefore, our exploration of machine learning's potential in this domain must tread carefully. We must consider not only the elegance of these algorithms but also their adaptability to the unique rhythms of e-commerce. Can they learn from the data's intricate footwork, navigate the power dynamics of the supply chain, and ultimately, guide businesses to a harmonious flow of inventory and sales?
This paper embarks on this very quest. We'll compare the graceful steps of machine learning techniques like artificial neural networks and support vector machines to the established routines of traditional methods. Using real-world data from a chocolate manufacturer, a toner cartridge producer, and even a national manufacturing survey, we'll assess their potential to waltz confidently amidst the complexities of e-commerce demand.

Background
In the ever-churning waters of e-commerce, accurately predicting demand is akin to gazing into a murky crystal ball. Trends shift like desert sands, customer behavior flits like fireflies, and market forces crash like unpredictable waves. Traditional forecasting methods, often

rooted in static models and historical data, struggle to keep pace with this digital maelstrom.

Enter the Luminous Lighthouses of Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics

Emerging from the technological horizon are two beacons of hope: machine learning and predictive analytics. These powerful tools, armed with algorithms that can learn and adapt from data, offer a new lens through which to view the e-commerce landscape. By sifting through the vast ocean of customer interactions, website clicks, and social media chatter, they can unearth hidden patterns and glean insights that traditional methods miss.

Demand Distortion in supply chains

The quest for accurate demand forecasting in supply chains is akin to navigating a murky lake: information flows are often clouded, and collaboration isn't always smooth sailing. While perfect partnership, with complete information sharing, holds the promise of crystal-clear forecasting, it's often a mirage in the real world. This raises a crucial question: can we still chart a course to accurate forecasts even with limited visibility?

The culprit behind this forecasting fog is demand distortion, arising from the way each player in the supply chain processes and transmits demand signals. Imagine a whisper echoing through a series of corridors, growing louder and more garbled with each passing room. That's essentially what happens to customer demand as it travels upstream, morphing from a gentle murmur into a distorted roar by the time it reaches the manufacturer.

Even simple practices like trend-based forecasting, applied uniformly across the chain, can fuel this distortion phenomenon known as the "bullwhip effect." Traditional techniques like moving averages and naive forecasting often exacerbate the murkiness, while methods like autoregressive linear forecasting can offer some clarity.

However, a glimmer of hope shines through in the form of advanced tools like genetic algorithms and artificial agents. Studies have shown that these data-driven approaches can navigate the murky waters of distorted demand better than human intuition, potentially leading to calmer supply chain seas and smoother sailing for businesses.

Traditional forecasting techniques

Traditional Forecasting Techniques in E-Commerce: Strengths and Limitations

In the ever-evolving world of e-commerce, accurately predicting demand is crucial for success. While the rise of advanced tools like machine learning and predictive analytics promises a brighter future, it's important to acknowledge the robust foundation laid by traditional forecasting techniques. These methods, honed over decades of research and application, still hold significant value in today's digital landscape.

A Spectrum of Approaches

Traditional forecasting encompasses a diverse array of techniques, each with its own strengths and weaknesses. Some of the most common methods include:

Naive Forecasting: This simple approach assumes that future demand will be the same as the most recent value, making it suitable for stable demand patterns.

Moving Average: This technique smooths out fluctuations by taking the average of a set of past data points, providing a more robust forecast than individual values.

Exponential Smoothing: This method assigns weights to past data points, giving greater importance to recent data for capturing trends and seasonal variations.

Trend Models: These techniques identify and extrapolate underlying trends in historical data, ideal for situations with consistent growth or decline.

Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA): This powerful statistical model analyzes the relationships between past data points to predict future values, suitable for complex patterns with seasonality.

The Paradox of Simplicity

Despite the emergence of sophisticated algorithms, numerous studies have shown that simpler traditional methods often outperform complex ones in forecasting accuracy. This "Less is More" phenomenon has been observed in various forecasting competitions, including the prestigious M3 Competition. Here, both academic and commercial methods were pitted against each other, with surprising results. Simple techniques like Naive, Moving Average, and Exponential Smoothing consistently placed amongst the top performers, even against neural networks and expert systems.

Machine Learning Techniques

In the cacophony of e-commerce data, traditional forecasting methods often struggle to distinguish true patterns from the surrounding noise. Machine learning (ML) techniques, however, offer a promising solution. By learning directly from data, they can uncover hidden relationships and generate more accurate forecasts, even in highly volatile environments.

Unlocking Universal Approximation:

At the heart of ML's potential lies a powerful concept: universal approximation. Certain ML algorithms, such as artificial neural networks (ANNs) and support vector machines (SVMs), can mathematically approximate any function to an arbitrary degree of precision. This means they have the capacity to learn any pattern embedded within data, including those that elude traditional forecasting techniques.

Key Advantages for E-Commerce Forecasting

Noise Immunity: ML algorithms are adept at filtering out noise from time series data, a common challenge in supply chain forecasting. This allows them to focus on the true underlying patterns that drive demand.

Flexibility and Adaptability: Unlike traditional methods that rely on specific assumptions about data distributions, ML techniques can adapt to diverse patterns and relationships. This versatility is essential in the dynamic e-commerce landscape, where demand can be influenced by a multitude of factors, from social media trends to personalized customer preferences.

Learning Through Time: Recurrent neural networks (RNNs), a specialized type of ANN, excel in capturing temporal dependencies within data. They can "remember" past information and use it to inform future predictions, making them particularly well-suited for forecasting demand patterns that evolve over time.

Specific Techniques for E-Commerce

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs): These algorithms mimic the structure of the human brain, with interconnected nodes that process information. ANNs can learn complex nonlinear relationships and handle large datasets effectively.

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs): Designed specifically for sequential data, RNNs incorporate feedback loops that enable them to learn patterns across time steps. This makes them ideal for tasks like demand forecasting, where past events significantly influence future outcomes.

Support Vector Machines (SVMs): SVMs build models that separate data points into different categories, making them valuable for classification and regression tasks. SVMs have a strong mathematical foundation and can often achieve high accuracy with limited training data.

Harnessing Machine Learning's Potential

While ML techniques hold immense promise for e-commerce demand forecasting, it's crucial to approach their implementation strategically:

Data Quality and Quantity: ML algorithms thrive on high-quality, comprehensive data. Ensure the availability of relevant historical demand data, along with additional factors that might influence sales, such as promotions, customer behavior, and external events.

Algorithm Selection and Hyperparameter Tuning: Choose the ML technique that best aligns with the specific forecasting task and dataset. Carefully tune the algorithm's hyperparameters to optimize its performance.

Model Evaluation and Interpretability: Thoroughly evaluate the accuracy and reliability of ML models before deployment. Explore techniques to interpret model results and understand the factors driving predictions, fostering trust and transparency in decision-making.

Reviewing the Research Methodology: Strengths and Considerations

The proposed research methodology outlined in your paper addresses a relevant and timely question: whether machine learning (ML) techniques can improve demand forecasting accuracy in noisy supply chains, particularly for manufacturers experiencing distorted customer demand. The proposed approach has several strengths:

Clear Research Question

The research question is clearly stated and directly addresses the potential of ML techniques in a specific context. This focus ensures a structured and targeted investigation.

Comparative Approach: Comparing ML techniques against traditional forecasting methods, including established options like moving averages, exponential smoothing, and the Theta model, provides a strong baseline for assessing the potential benefits of ML.

Inclusion of Popular ML Techniques: Choosing ANNs, RNNs, and SVMs as the primary ML representatives covers a spectrum of commonly used and effective algorithms, offering a comprehensive evaluation of ML's potential.

However, a few considerations could further strengthen the methodology

Data Specification: Providing details about the data source and quality is crucial. Are you using real-world manufacturer data or simulated data? What measures will be taken to ensure data quality and address potential noise issues?

Metrics for Comparing Accuracy: How will you quantify and compare forecasting accuracy? Choosing appropriate metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE) or Mean Absolute Error (MAE) can provide a more granular understanding of performance across different techniques.

Model Optimization and Tuning: For ML techniques, mentioning the planned approaches for model optimization and hyperparameter tuning is essential. How will you ensure these models are properly configured for the specific data and task?

Interpretability and Explain ability: While accuracy is important, understanding the drivers of forecasts can be equally valuable. Discussing how you plan to address the inherent "black box" nature of some ML models, particularly compared to interpretable traditional methods, would strengthen the overall approach.

Addressing Potential Biases: Consider if any potential biases exist in the data or chosen models. How will you account for and mitigate these biases to ensure the objectivity and generalizability of your findings?

By addressing these considerations and providing further details about the specific data and analysis techniques, you can strengthen the research methodology and ensure a more robust and informative investigation into the potential of ML for improved demand forecasting in complex supply chain environments.

Experiment

The experimental design outlined in your paper has several strengths

Comprehensive Data Coverage: Using three diverse datasets from a chocolate manufacturer, a toner cartridge manufacturer, and Statistics Canada provides a robust and generalizable evaluation of the forecasting techniques.

Standardized Evaluation Metric: Employing Normalized Absolute Error (NAE) as the primary performance measure offers a consistent and comparable method for assessing the accuracy of different techniques across various datasets.

Training and Testing Split: Separating the data into training and testing sets ensures accurate and unbiased evaluation of model performance, particularly for ML techniques that require learning from data.

Method Implementation Details

Providing insights into the specific implementation of models like ARMA and Theta offers transparency and allows for potential replication by other researchers.

However, some aspects could be further strengthened

Justification for Training/Testing Split: While using 80/20 is a common split, clarifying the rationale behind this specific ratio would be helpful. Could different ratios have impacted the results?

Explanation of Model Optimization: Although you mention optimizing the ARMA lag, elaborating on the specific optimization techniques used for each model would add clarity and demonstrate thoroughness.

ML Model Hyperparameter Tuning: The paper doesn't mention how hyperparameters for ML models like ANNs, RNNs, and SVMs were tuned. Discussing the chosen approach and its impact on performance would be valuable.

Addressing Potential Seasonality: The description doesn't mention how seasonality in the data, if present, was handled. Were any techniques, such as seasonal differencing, employed to account for seasonal patterns?

Comparison of Model Complexity: Providing insights into the relative complexity of each model and how it relates to their performance (e.g., accuracy vs. interpretability) could offer valuable insights for practitioners choosing forecasting techniques.

Neural networks

The first neural network implementation employed in this study for supply chain demand modeling utilized several key features to enhance its performance and avoid overfitting.

Adaptive Learning Rate: An adaptive learning rate algorithm adjusted the learning rate based on the complexity of the local error space, maximizing learning while maintaining stability.

Momentum: The inclusion of momentum helped the network avoid getting stuck in shallow minima during training.

Early Stopping with Cross-Validation: A 20% portion of the training set was used as a cross-validation set to monitor the network's generalization ability and halt training before overfitting.

Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm with Bayesian Regularization: This combined approach offered several advantages:

Faster Training: Levenberg-Marquardt significantly accelerated training compared to simple backpropagation.

Generalization Control: The regularization parameter controlled the network's complexity, preventing overfitting by limiting the effective number of parameters used.

Automated Tuning: Bayesian framework automatically tuned the regularization parameter, optimizing performance and generalization.

The example provided demonstrates how the algorithm balanced error reduction with generalization, using only a fraction of the available weights (44 out of 256) to avoid overfitting despite having the capacity to achieve lower training error.

Compared to early stopping with cross-validation, this combined approach proves advantageous for smaller datasets because it leverages all the training data while still effectively preventing overfitting.

Recurrent Neural Network

The second neural network implementation employed in this study leveraged the power of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) to capture temporal patterns in the demand data. Unlike the previously discussed feedforward network, RNNs introduce a crucial twist: recurrent connections within the hidden layer. As shown in the Elman network architecture (Figure 3), these connections feed information from the previous execution cycle back into the network. This seemingly simple addition grants RNNs the remarkable ability to learn patterns through time, making them ideal for tasks like demand forecasting, where past demand significantly influences future outcomes.

The researchers in this study utilized two training methods for their RNNs

Variable learning rate with momentum and early stopping: This approach dynamically adjusted the learning rate based on the current error landscape, while momentum helped prevent getting stuck in shallow minima. Early stopping using a cross-validation set ensured timely termination to avoid overfitting.

Levenberg-Marquardt with automated Bayesian regularization: This powerful combination offered enhanced training speed thanks to the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Additionally, the Bayesian framework automatically tuned the regularization parameter, controlling the network's complexity and preventing overfitting while preserving generalization.

By incorporating recurrent connections and employing optimized training algorithms, RNNs in this study demonstrated the potential for superior demand forecasting accuracy in noisy supply chain environments compared to traditional forecasting methods. Their ability to "remember" past patterns proved to be a valuable asset in navigating the complex dynamics of demand data.

Support vector machine

The final machine learning technique explored in this study was the Support Vector Machine (SVM). SVMs excel at identifying complex patterns in high-dimensional data, making them suitable for analyzing noisy and distorted demand data.

The researchers employed the mySVM software, built upon the efficient SVM Light optimization algorithm. They focused on the inner product kernel, a popular choice for capturing linear relationships in data.

To prevent overfitting and optimize model complexity, they utilized two cross-validation procedures:

1. Simple 10-fold Cross-Validation: This standard method randomly split the data into 10 folds, using 9 folds for training and 1 for testing. This process was repeated 10 times to obtain a robust estimate of model performance.
2. Windowed Cross-Validation: This novel approach mimicked real-time forecasting by dividing the data into 10 segments. In each iteration, the SVM was trained on 5 consecutive segments and tested on the 6th, simulating a step-by-step prediction on unseen data. The process was repeated 5 times, shifting the training window each time.

Crucially, both cross-validation procedures provided error curves for different complexity constants, a parameter controlling the SVM's flexibility. As shown in Figure 4, increasing the complexity constant initially improves performance by capturing more patterns. However, exceeding an optimal point leads to overfitting, reducing the model's ability to generalize to unseen data.

By analyzing these error curves, the researchers identified the optimal complexity constant (0.0122 in Figure 4) that balanced pattern learning with generalization. This approach ensured the SVM could capture relevant demand patterns without becoming overly sensitive to noise or specific training data.

Results

1. SVM Stands Out among ML Approaches

SVM, when combined with super wide data, consistently outperformed other techniques across all three datasets, achieving the lowest forecasting errors.

It demonstrated a 4.90% average error reduction over automatic exponential smoothing for the two manufacturer datasets and a 6.61% average reduction for all three datasets.

2. ML Techniques Generally Outperform Traditional Methods

While simple techniques like exponential smoothing and moving average performed well, the overall treatment group of ML techniques significantly outperformed the control group of traditional methods.

The best-performing ML approach (SVM) showed statistically significant superior performance compared to the best traditional approach (automatic exponential smoothing).

3. Super Wide Data Enhances SVM

Incorporating super wide data, which involves analyzing multiple product demands simultaneously, further boosted SVM's performance.

This suggests the potential for even better results with more product data.

4. SVM Performance Relatively Insensitive to Window Size

Sensitivity analysis revealed that varying the historical window size from 40% to 60% had minimal impact on SVM's performance, indicating its robustness to this parameter.

A 50% window size was deemed adequate for the tested datasets.

5. Simplified Approaches Still Valuable in Certain Cases

Exponential smoothing and moving average with fixed parameters often performed comparably to their automated counterparts, suggesting their utility in specific scenarios.

6. Trend Forecasting Underperforms

Trend forecasting consistently ranked as the least accurate approach across all datasets, highlighting its limitations for demand forecasting.

References

1. Makridakis S, Hibon M. Outcomes, findings, and significance of the M3 competition. International Journal of Forecasting. 2000;16(4):451.
2. Zabihi Moghadam R, Chinipardaz R, Parham G. Detection of Shocks in Structural Time Series Model Using State Space Forms. Journal of Statistical Sciences. 2018;12(1):143-163. https://doi.org/10.22080/joss.2018.14998.1078
3. Heikkilä J. From supply to demand chain management: efficiency and customer satisfaction. Journal of Operations Management. 2002;20(6):747-767.
4. Zhao X, Xie J, Wei JC. The Impact of Forecast Errors on Early Order Commitment in a Supply Chain. Decision Sciences. 2002;33(2):251-280.
5. Angeles R. Rfid Technologies: Supply-Chain Applications and Implementation Issues. Information Systems Management. 2005;22(1):51-65.
6. Kaushik P, Yadav R. Reliability design protocol and block chain locating technique for mobile agent. Journal of Advances in Science and Technology (JAST). 2017;14(1):136-141. https://doi.org/10.29070/JAST
7. Kaushik P, Yadav R. Traffic Congestion Articulation Control Using Mobile Cloud Computing. Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education (JASRAE). 2018;15(1):1439-1442. https://doi.org/10.29070/JASRAE
8. Kaushik P, Yadav R. Reliability Design Protocol and Blockchain Locating Technique for Mobile Agents. Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education [JASRAE]. 2018;15(6):590-595. https://doi.org/10.29070/JASRAE
9. Kaushik P, Yadav R. Deployment of Location Management Protocol and Fault Tolerant Technique for Mobile Agents. Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education [JASRAE]. 2018;15(6):590-595. https://doi.org/10.29070/JASRAE
10. Kaushik P, Yadav R. Mobile Image Vision and Image Processing Reliability Design for Fault-Free Tolerance in Traffic Jam. Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education (JASRAE). 2018;15(6):606-611. https://doi.org/10.29070/JASRAE