www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2019; 8(6): 1009-1012 © 2019 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 09-04-2019 Accepted: 13-05-2019

L Radha

P. G Scholar, Department Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. PV Ramesh Babu

Assistant Professor, Department Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. M Srinivasa Reddy

Associate Professor and Head, Department Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. P Kavitha

Associate Professor and Head, Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Correspondence L Radha P. G Scholar, Department Agronomy, Agricultural College, Mahanandi, Andhra Pradesh, India

Growth, yield and economics of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) As influenced by varieties and levels of nutrients

L Radha, Dr. PV Ramesh Babu, Dr. M Srinivasa Reddy and Dr. P Kavitha

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2018 at Agricultural College Farm, Mahanandi to assess "Response of Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) Varieties to Different Levels of Nutrients". The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept (FRBD) having twelve treatments and three replications. Among different treatments higher growth parameters, yield attributes such as number of productive tillers per hill and test weight, yield except harvest index and economics were obtained by finger millet variety VR-847 *i.e.*, V₂ over other varieties and regarding fertilizer levels F₃ *i.e.*, application of 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹. However, it was statistically comparable with the treatment F₂ *i.e.*, V₃ and total number of grains per finger in the variety PPR-1012 *i.e.*, V₄ gives better results along with the treatment F₃ *i.e.*, application of 120-60-40 kg ha⁻¹. Whereas, harvest index and benefit: cost ratio was higher with the application of 60-30-20 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ *i.e.*, F₁ treatment.

Keywords: Finger millet, varieties, nutrient managements, growth, yield, economics.

Introduction

Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) is important small millet grown in India and has the pride of place with highest productivity among millets. It is also known as Ragi, African millet and Bird's foot millet. In India, it is cultivated in Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Orissa, Jharkhand, Uttaranchal, Maharashtra and Gujarat with cultivated area around 1.01 million ha, production of 1385.11 tonnes and productivity is 1363 kg ha⁻¹. In Andhra Pradesh, the total cultivated area is 0.032 million ha, production is 350 tonnes and productivity is 1094 kg ha⁻¹ (www.Indiastat.com 2016-2017).

Majority of the finger millet varieties developed in the recent years are with wide adaption, easy cultivation, free from major pests and diseases and drought tolerance which made this crop an indispensable component of dry farming system. Often in the lands where finger millet crop is raised, no other crop worth mentioning can give reasonable harvest. To improve the productive potential, nutrient management is an important practice. Application of nutrients not only influences the economic return of the investment through optimized yield and quality but also cause minimum level of environmental hazards. This calls for adoption of nutrient management practices which aims at efficient and judicious use of the major sources of plant nutrients to get maximum economic yield without any deleterious effect on physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil. In this context, the to find out the "Response of Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) Varieties to Different Levels of Nutrients".

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College Farm, Agricultural College, Mahanandi of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University during *kharif* season from August to November, 2018 to evaluate the response of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) varieties to different levels of nutrients. The experiment comprised four finger millet varieties *viz.*, V₁: VR-762, V₂: VR-847, V₃: Vakula and V₄: PPR-1012 and three fertility levels *viz.*, F₁: 60-30-20 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹, F₂: 90-45-30 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and F₃: 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept (FRBD) having twelve treatments and three replications. The experimental site was sandy loam and it was slightly alkaline in reaction with a pH of 8.08, EC of 0.21 ds m⁻¹, low in organic carbon (0.35%) and low available nitrogen (137.98 kg N ha⁻¹), low in available phosphorous (39.9 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and high in available potassium (615.14 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). The fertilizers such as urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash were supply of NPK and the entire quantity of phosphorous as basal and potassium and nitrogen were applied in three equal splits and other agronomical operations were carried out as per recommendation. The growth, yield attributes and yield were recorded at the time of harvest of crop.

Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present experiment as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

Growth parameters

The growth parameters (Table 1) such as Plant height, number of tillers per hill and drymatter production was higher with finger millet variety of VR-847 V₂ which was statistically superior to VR-762, Vakula and PPR-1012 *i.e.*, V₁, V₃ and V₄.

The increase in growth parameters might be due to its genetical potential and drought tolerant capability of these varieties as they maintained higher physiological activity in terms of higher growth parameters. These results are in collaboration with the findings of Aparna *et al.* (2017)^[1] and Triveni *et al.* (2018)^[7].

Among different nutrient levels, application of 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ *i.e.*, F₃ more plant height, number of tillers per hill and drymatter production which was comparable with F2 and F1 i.e., 90-45-30 N, P2O5 and K2O kg ha-1 and 60-30-20 N, P2O5 and K2O kg ha-1 at all the stages of crop growth. Finger millet variety VR-847 with the application of 120-60-40 kg N, P₂O₅, K₂O ha⁻¹ significantly enhanced the plant height, number of tillers per hill and drymatter production which might be due to increase in the availability of nutrients that enhanced the uptake of nutrients resulted in cell development, triggering young tissues and involved in plant mersitematic growth than other levels of nutrients, which is responsible for higher plant height, profused tillering, hence higher drymatter accumulated. There results were in conformity with the findings of Thimmaiah et al. (2016)^[6], Prakasha et al. (2018)^[4] and Triveni et al. $(2018)^{[7]}$.

Table 1: Growth parameters as influenced by Finger millet varieties and different levels of nutrients.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers hill ⁻¹	Drymatter production (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Varieties						
V ₁ : VR-762	103.71	5.25	14673.7			
V ₂ : VR-847	104.78	5.47	15572.4			
V ₃ : Vakula	84.01	4.93	12694.1			
V ₄ : PPR-1012	97.18	4.64	13366.0			
SEm ±	0.79	0.07	99.79			
CD (P=0.05)	2.32	0.21	292.65			
Fertilizer Levels						
F1: 60-30-20 N, P2O5 and K2O kg ha-1	93.03	4.91	12906.8			
F ₂ : 90-45-30 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	97.84	5.08	14128.1			
F ₃ : 120-60-40 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	101.40	5.24	15194.7			
SEm ±	0.68	0.06	86.42			
CD (P=0.05)	2.01	0.18	253.44			
V X F						
SEm ±	1.37	0.12	172.84			
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	506.89			

Yield attributes

The yield attributing characters (Table 2) such as number of productive tillers per hill and test weight was statistically higher in the finger millet variety VR-847 *i.e.*, V₂. Whereas, higher ear head length and total number of grains per finger were observed in variety PPR-1012 i.e., V₄. However, number of fingers per ear head was higher in variety Vakula i.e., V₃. With the application of 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ *i.e.*, F₃ treatment obtained higher yield attributing characters

when compared with treatments F_2 and F_1 *i.e.*, 90-45-30 N, P_2O_5 and K_2O kg ha⁻¹ and 60-30-20 N, P_2O_5 and K_2O kg ha⁻¹, respectively. With increase in the fertilizer levels there is an increase in yield attributing characters. It might be due to timely availability of nutrients to the plant which helps to improve physiological function of plants. Similar results were also reported by Nigade *et al.* (2013) ^[3], Wafula *et al.* (2016) ^[10], Triveni *et al.* (2017) ^[8] and Triveni *et al.* (2018) ^[7].

Table 2: Yield attributes as influenced by Finger millet varieties and different levels of nutrients.

Treatment	Number of productive tillers hill ⁻¹	Ear head length (cm)	Number of fingers per ear head	Total number of grains per finger	Test weight (g)
Varieties					
V1: VR-762	4.02	6.29	6.00	127.21	3.16
V ₂ : VR-847	4.18	6.28	6.10	128.26	3.32
V ₃ : Vakula	3.45	6.73	8.87	99.94	2.84
V ₄ : PPR-1012	3.66	8.56	6.81	178.70	2.63
SEm ±	0.22	0.07	0.05	2.65	0.04
CD (P=0.05)	0.14	0.21	0.15	7.79	0.12

Fertilizer Levels						
F ₁ : 60-30-20 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	3.63	6.71	6.40	118.12	2.85	
F ₂ : 90-45-30 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	3.83	6.92	6.85	128.41	3.00	
F ₃ : 120-60-40 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	4.05	7.29	7.61	154.06	3.12	
SEm ±	0.19	0.06	0.04	2.30	0.03	
CD (P=0.05)	0.12	0.18	0.13	6.75	0.10	
V X F						
SEm ±	0.08	0.12	0.08	4.60	0.07	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.26	13.50	NS	

Yield

The higher grain and straw yield (Table 3) were recorded in the finger millet variety VR-847 *i.e.*, V₂ and statistically it is on par with the variety VR-762 *i.e.*, V₁ when comparable with other varieties. The higher grain and straw yield was recorded at the treatment F₃ *i.e.*, application of 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ over rest of fertilizer levels. Regarding the interaction effect higher yields were observed in the variety VR-847 *i.e.*, V₂ with the application of 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ *i.e.*, F₃ treatment during crop growth period, it might be due to the fact that the crop has not experienced nutrient stress at any growth stage of crop because of higher nutrition, improved vegetative growth and yield attributing characters such as number of productive tillers, no. of fingers per ear head, total no. of grains per finger and test weight of crop resulted higher grain and straw yield. Similar results were also reported by Thimmaiah *et al.* (2016) ^[6], Aparna *et al.* (2017) ^[1], Vajantha *et al.* (2017) ^[9] and Prakasha *et al.* (2018) ^[4]

The higher harvest index was recorded with the finger millet variety of Vakula (V₃) followed by the other varieties PPR-1012 (V₄), VR-762 (V₁) and VR-847 (V₂). However, all these treatments were comparable with each other. The maximum harvest index was obtained at the treatment F_1 *i.e.*, application of 60-30-20 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ which is statistically at par with the treatments F_2 and F_3 *i.e.*, 90-45-30 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹, respectively. These results were in conformity with the findings of Aparna *et al.* (2017) ^[1] Chandrakala *et al.* (2017) ^[2] and Triveni *et al.* (2017) ^[8]

Table 3: Yield and economics as influenced by Finger millet varieties and different levels of nutrients.

Treatment	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)	Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
Varieties						
V1: VR-762	2825.9	5630.7	33.66	42388	24291	2.35
V ₂ : VR-847	2863.5	5808.5	33.34	42952	24855	2.38
V ₃ : Vakula	2699.2	5310.5	34.06	40487	22390	2.24
V ₄ : PPR-1012	2567.5	5106.4	33.72	38512	20415	2.13
SEm ±	12.98	79.11	0.30	194.72	194.72	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	38.07	232.02	NS	571.05	571.05	0.03
FERTILIZER LEVELS						
F1: 60-30-20 N, P2O5 and K2O kg ha-1	2616.8	4459.1	37.04	39251	22838	2.39
F ₂ : 90-45-30 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	2730.3	5531.4	33.07	40954	22852	2.26
F ₃ : 120-60-40 N, P ₂ O ₅ and K ₂ O kg ha ⁻¹	2870.0	6401.6	30.99	43050	23273	2.18
SEm ±	11.24	68.51	0.26	168.63	168.63	0.009
CD (P=0.05)	32.97	200.94	0.77	494.55	NS	0.02
VXF						
SEm ±	22.48	137.03	0.52	337.27	337.27	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	65.94	NS	NS	989.10	989.10	0.05

Economics

The higher gross returns, net returns and benefit: cost ratio was obtained in the finger millet variety V₂ *i.e.*, VR-847 while lower gross returns, net returns and benefit: cost ratio was obtained in the variety V4 i.e., PPR-1012 variety. Regarding the fertilizer levels, higher gross returns and net returns were obtained with application of F₃ *i.e.*, 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹, The higher gross returns was due to the fact that crop has not experienced nutrient stress at any growth stages and application of higher doses of fertilizers improved vegetative growth and increased the yield attributes and yield which resulted in higher gross returns and net returns. Whereas higher benefit: cost ratio was observed with the application of F1 i.e., application of 60-30-20 N, P2O5 and K_2O kg ha⁻¹ which was followed by F_2 and F_3 *i.e.*, application of 90-45-30 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and 120-60-40 N, P₂O₅ and K_2O kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The higher benefit: cost ratio might be due to less cost of cultivation and optimum grain and straw yield. These results were in conformity with the

findings of Sundaresh *et al.* (2017) and Triveni *et al.* (2018)

Conclusion

Overall, it can be concluded that the finger millet variety VR-847 performed better under the fertilizer level F_3 *i.e.*, application of 120-60-40 N, P_2O_5 and K_2O kg ha⁻¹. However, higher benefit: cost ratio was obtained with the application of 60-30-20 N, P_2O_5 and K_2O kg ha⁻¹.

References

- 1. Aparna K, Bhargavi H. Identification of drought tolerant and high yielding genotypes in ragi under rainfed conditions. Agriculture Update. 2017; 12(8):2142-2145.
- Chandrakala M, Srinivasamurthy CA, Sanjeev Kumar, Naveen DV. Effect of Application of Graded Level of Phosphorous to Finger Millet- Maize Cropping System in Soils of Different P Fertility. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;

6(11):265-280.

- Nigade RD, More SM. Performance of finger millet varieties to different levels of fertilizer on yield and soil properties in sub-Montana zone of Maharashtra. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 9(1):256-259.
- Prakasha G, Kalyana Murthy KN, Prathima AS, Rohani Meti N. Effect of Spacing and Nutrient levels on Growth Attributes and Yield of Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn) Cultivated under Guni Planting Method in Red Sandy Loamy Soil of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(5):1337-1343.
- Sundaresh R, Basavaraja PK. Influence of Different Levels of Phosphorous and Potassium on Growth, Yield Attributes and Economics of Finger Millet in Low Phosphorous and Potassium Soils of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(11):3559-3566.
- Thimmaiah M, Dinesh Kumar M, Nandish MS, Veeranna HK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of rainfed finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) G.). Green Farming an International Agro Journal. 2016; 7(4):875-879.
- Triveni U, Sandhya Rani Y, Patro TSSK, Anuradha N, Divya M. Fertilizer responsiveness of short duration improved finger millet genotypes to different levels of NPK fertilizers. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018; 52(1):97-100.
- Triveni U, Sandhya Rani Y, Patro TSSK, Anuradha N, Divya M. Assessment of Production Potential of Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) Gaertn.) under Rice-Fallow Conditions of North Coastal Zone of Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(7):918-923.
- 9. Vajantha B, Subba Rao M, Madhavilatha L, Hemalatha TM. Comparative study of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil fertility status, nutrient uptake and yield in finger millet. Current Biotica. 2017; 10(4):290-295.
- Wekha N, Wafula Korir K, Nicholas Ojulong F, Henry, Moses Siambi, Loseph P. Gweyi-Onyango. Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) grain yield and yield components as influenced by phosphorous application and variety in Western Kenya. Tropical Plant Research. 2016; 3(3):673-680.