www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2019; 8(6): 1302-1308 © 2019 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 03-11-2018 Accepted: 05-12-2018

#### **RG Chawhan**

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### **HS Deshmukh**

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### **RV** Chahande

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

# Effect of growth regulators and spraying stages on flower drop, pod setting, seed yield and quality in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp]

# **RG Chawhan, HS Deshmukh and RV Chahande**

#### Abstract

The field experiment was conducted at Post Graduate Institute Farm, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, to study the effect of growth regulators and spraying stages on flower drop, pod setting, seed yield and quality in pigeonpea Cv. Vipula; was conducted under field conditions. Further, the laboratory experiment was also conducted in the Seed Technology Research Unit, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during 2015-16 and 2016-17. The field experiment consisted of 12 treatment combinations involving six growth regulators as main factor viz., T1- Control, T2- 1-Naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) @ 0.5ml/L, T<sub>3</sub>- Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) @ 50 mg, T<sub>4</sub>- Diammonium phosphate (DAP) @ 2%, T5- Urea @ 1%, T6- Potassium nitrate (KNO3) @ 2% and two spraying stages as sub factor viz., S1-At initiation of flowering and S2-15 days after 1st spraying. The plants sprayed with NAA @0.5ml/l (T2) have recorded consistently more number of pods per plant (439.28), pod yield per plant (122.04 g), pod yield per hectare (3813.83 kg), seed yield per plant (83.59 g) and seed yield per hectare (2612.03 kg) as against control (408.9, 112.33 g, 3510.39 kg, 73.80 g and 2306.33kg, respectively) followed by IAA @ 50 mg (429.04, 119.34 g, 3729.45 kg, 82.48 g and 2577.58 kg). NAA @ 0.5ml/l (T<sub>2</sub>) has out yielded over the rest of the growth regulators by registering 11.71 per cent increased seed yield per hectare over control. Spraying at initiation of flowering (S1) shows better performance of yield per hectare (1.82%) than the  $(S_2)$  15 days after 1<sup>st</sup> flowering. Similar effects were recorded for growth regulators sprayed at initiation of flowering stage and interaction effect.

**Keywords:** Pigeonpea, growth regulators, spraying stages, cv. vipula, FRBD, FCRD, growth and yield parameters, seed quality parameters, biochemical parameters, physical characterization

#### Introduction

Green revolution made our nation self-sufficient in case of cereals but we are still deficient in pulse production. India still imports red gram from countries like Myanmar, Australia and Africa to satisfy the pulse requirement of the country. This import has created great burden on our economy. To get rid of this bulky expenditure, we have to think about pigeonpea improvement, as a remedial measure (Singhal, 2003) <sup>[24]</sup>.

Plant growth regulators (PGR'S) are considered as new generation of agro chemicals after fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides to augment seed yield and quality. The growth promoters like NAA are known to enhance the fruit set by preventing the flower drop in number of field crops, more so in pigeon pea crop. The exogenous application of growth regulators are known to stimulate flowering, pollination, fertilization, seed setting, yield and quality of seeds by controlling flower and pod shedding. Even though such studies have been conducted in different pulse crops, it is necessary to integrate such research efforts to boost up average productivity of pigeonpea crop and to realize these benefits in pigeonpea seed production.

Pulses constitute an important ingredient in predominantly vegetarian diet and are important and cheaper source of protein that nutritionally balances the proteins with cereal grains. (Hariprassanna and Bhatt, 2002)<sup>[7]</sup>.

Being legume, it has symbiotic association with Rhizobium which plays an important role in fixing atmospheric nitrogen upto 20-40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for which pigeonpea is inevitable crop of various cropping systems (Singh, 2003)<sup>[23]</sup>.

Pigeonpea is important pulse crops of India and ranks second to chickpea in area and production. In India area occupied by pigeonpea is about 3.96 million ha with total production of 2.56 million tonnes but average productivity is quite low 646 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2015-16) because of several factors including its cultivation in rainfed and marginal lands, use of old and low quality seeds by the farmers which in turn gives poor germination, delayed emergence and sick seedlings that leads to poor yield.

Correspondence RG Chawhan Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV,

Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

With this background, a systemic study was conducted on improving seed quality of pigeonpea with the objectives, to study the effect of growth regulators and spraying stages on flower drop, pod setting, seed yield and quality in pigeonpea.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation entitled "Effect of growth regulators and spraying stages on flower drop, pod setting, seed yield and quality in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp]." was conducted at Post Graduate Institute Farm and Seed Technology Research Unit, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during the period from 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. The required seed material of the selected varieties were obtained from Pulses Research Unit, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.

Geographically, Rahuri is situated at  $19^0$  34' N latitude and 74<sup>0</sup> 64' E longitude with an altitude of 536 meters above Mean Sea Level.

The field experiment consisted of 12 treatment combinations involving six growth regulators as main factor  $viz.,T_1$ -Control,  $T_2$ - 1-Naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) at the rate 0.5ml/L, $T_3$ - Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) at the rate 50 mg, $T_4$ -Diammonium phosphate (DAP) at the rate 2%, $T_5$ - Urea at the rate 1%, $T_6$ -Potassium nitrate (KNO<sub>3</sub>) at the rate 2% and two spraying stages as sub factor  $viz., S_1$ - At initiation of flowering and  $S_2$ - 15 days after 1<sup>st</sup> spraying.

The data obtained from all the parameters in (field and laboratory) by using Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) and Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD) as per Panse and Sukhatme (1985)<sup>[13]</sup>.

Plant growth and quality of seed production of field crop like pigeonpea is influenced mainly by the interplay between genotypic and environmental factors as supported by judicious application of seed crop management practices. Among the several cultural practices, optimum date of sowing will play important role for realizing the maximum possible yield of quality seeds of pigeonpea.

Plant based observations like Growth and yield parameters *viz.*, Plant height at maturity (cm), Number of primary branches per plant, Number of secondary branches per plant, Days to flower initiation, Days to 50% flowering, Duration of flowering, Pod retention per plant, Days to pod initiation, Percent of flower drop per plant, Days to pod maturity, Number of pods per plant, Pod yield per plant (g), Pod yield per hectare (Kg), Seed yield per plant (g) and Seed yield per hectare (kg) were recorded of five randomly selected and tagged plants.

Afterwards, Seed Quality Parameters such as *viz.*, Germination percentage (%), Seed mycoflora, Root length (cm), Shoot length (cm), Hundred seed weight (g), Seedling dry weight (mg-10 seedlings), Vigour index- I, Vigour index-II and Electrical conductivity (dSm-1) were recorded at laboratory condition.

Biochemical Parameter *viz.*, Protein and Carbohydrate content estimated by NIR spectrometer (ZEUTEC) again various seedling response test like GA3 and 2, 4-D Test also estimated at laboratory.

The seeds visualized under the computerized vision system (Image Analyzer) for Physical Characterization of seeds *viz.*, Seed surface area (mm), Seed length (mm), Seed width (mm), Seed roundness (mm) and Seed volume (mm3).

#### **Results and Discussion**

According to Table. No. 1 and 2, data from the results of this

evaluation indicated that, Irrespective of spraying stages, foliar application of growth regulators showed non-significant differences for plant height at maturity and days to flower initiation. Application of NAA@ 0.5ml/l recorded earliness in pod initiation (90.00 days) compared to control (101.00 days). Among the different growth regulators, the experimental crop sprayed with NAA@ 0.5ml/L recorded minimum percent of flower drop per plant (73.23%) and more pod retention per plant (442.52), number of pods per plant (439.28), pod yield per plant (122.04 g), pod yield (3813.83 kg/ha) seed yield per plant (83.59g) and seed yield per hectare (2612.03 kg/ha) followed by IAA @ 50mg (74.54%, 432.81, 429.04, 119.34g, 3729.45 kg/ha, 82.48 g and 2577.58 kg/ha, respectively) as against control. On an average, NAA @ 0.5ml/l out yielded over rest of the growth regulators by registering 11.71 per cent increased seed yield per hectare over control, it also depleted in Graph 1 and 2.

These finding are in conformity in other crops like chickpea (Reddy, 2002)<sup>[18]</sup>, greengram (Dixit and Elamati, 2007)<sup>[5]</sup> and blackgram (Kumar et al., 2008)<sup>[8]</sup>. Similar findings were also reported by earlier workers like Patil et al. (2005) [15] in mungbean and Saishankar (2001) [20] in greengram. The significant increase in pod and seed yield attributes obtained with application of growth regulators may be attributed to more number of productive branches per plant, number of flowers retained per plant and pods per plant apart from decreased percent of flower drop per plant. Further, growth regulators have also influenced carbon cycle in the plant leading to higher CO2 fixation and efficient translocation of synthates from the source towards the sinks (developing seeds) (Tripathi et al. 2001 in chickpea) [25]. Similar results were also reported by earlier workers Upadhyay et al. 2002 <sup>[26]</sup> and Prabhu 2000<sup>[16]</sup>, Further, NAA has shown beneficial effect on preventing flower drop in pigeonpea which may be perhaps due to maintenance of favorable balance of endogenous hormones related to flowering and physiological traits which in turn resulted in decrease in flower drop but increase in seed yield per hectare (Upadhayay, 2002)<sup>[26]</sup> in chickpea. Patil et al. (2005) [15] in mungbean, Dixit and Elamathi, (2007)<sup>[5]</sup> in greengram, Muhammad Aslam et al. (2010)<sup>[12]</sup> in chickpea and Parmar *et al.* (2011) in greengram. The pooled value of seed quality parameters shown in Table 3, The higher seed quality parameters viz., hundred seed

weight (11.94 g), germination percentage (93.50%), root length (14.94 cm), shoot length (13.53 cm), seedling dry weight (84.14 mg-10seedlings), vigour index – I (2661.62) and vigour index – II (78.67) were observed by spraying with NAA @ 0.5ml/l over control. Similar beneficial effects of growth regulators on seed quality traits were also reported in pigeonpea by Deshpande, 1983 and Reddy *et al.* 1996<sup>[17]</sup> and in blackgram by Lakshmamma and Rao, 1996<sup>[9]</sup>.

Non-significant results were obtained between the stages of spraying for plant height at maturity, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, days to flower initiation, days to 50% flowering, duration of flowering, pod retention per plant, days to pod initiation, percent of flower drop per plant, days to pod maturity, pod yield per plant, pod yield per hectare, seed yield per plant (436.77), number of pod per plant (432.39), pod yield per plant (118.07 g), pod yield per hectare (3689.71 kg/ha), seed yield per plant (79.58 g) and seed yield per hectare (2486.88 kg/ha) were recorded in the spray given at initiation of flowering than spray at 15 days after 1st flowering.

The higher seed quality parameters *viz.* hundred seed weight (10.99 g), germination percentage (92.30%), root length (14.30 cm), shoot length (13.12 cm), seedling dry weight (83.46 mg-10seedlings), vigour index – I (2532.05) and vigour index – II (77.04) were found in spray at initiation of flowering than spray at 15 days after 1st flowering. The interaction effect due to growth regulators and stages of spray (T×S) were non-significant for various crop growth, flowering and reproductive parameters studied. Interaction effect due to growth regulators and spraying stages (T×S) showed significant effect on seed yield component in NAA sprayed at initiation of flowering stage. The interaction between growth regulators and spraying stages (T×S) were non-significant for all the seed quality parameters except electrical conductivity. The pooled value of biochemical parameters shown in Table

4, The higher values of biochemical parameters shown in Table 4, The higher values of biochemical parameters *viz.*, protein content (21.22%), carbohydrate content (64.23%) and GA3 test (22.05%) were observed by spraying NAA@ 50 ml/L over control. Whereas 2, 4-D test (20.26%) showed significant result in negative direction, indicating that increase in this character will result in decrease in yield. Interaction effect due to growth regulators and spraying stages (T×S) showed non-significant results for all biochemical parameters

except 2,4-D test. Among the stages of spray, higher values for protein (20.80%), carbohydrate (63.97%) and GA3 test (14.57%) were recorded in spray applied at initiation of flowering compared to spray at 15 days after 1st spraying. Seedling length increase due to exogenous application of GA3. Similar results were also reported by Sambasivarao *et al.* (2002) <sup>[21]</sup> in groundnut. Significant decrease in seedling length while response to 2, 4 –D test attributed due to coleoptiles stimulation and root inhibition as reported by Ashwani Kumar *et al.* (1995)<sup>[2]</sup>.

The higher values for of physical characteristics of seed *viz*. seed surface area (93.00 mm), seed length (6.70 mm), seed width (5.32 mm), seed roundness (95.07 mm) and seed volume (103.94 mm3) were observed by spraying NAA@ 50 ml/l over control for all the observation recorded with image analyzer. The interaction between growth regulators and stages of spray (T×S) was non-significant for all the observations recorded with image analyzer except seed surface area. These results indicated that the growth regulators have shown significant effect on physical characteristics of seed. These results are also supported by the findings of Geetha *et al.* (2011)<sup>[6]</sup>.

|                     | Plant height at | Number of primary  | Number of secondary | Days to flower | Days to 50% | <b>Duration</b> of | <b>Pod retention</b> | Days to pod |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|
|                     | maturity (cm)   | branches per plant | branches per plant  | initiation     | flowering   | flowering          | per plant            | initiation  |
| Treatments (T)      |                 |                    |                     |                |             |                    |                      |             |
| T1                  | 203.08          | 19.76              | 24.09               | 92.63          | 109.34      | 36.4               | 413.84               | 101.22      |
| T2                  | 228.92          | 26.12              | 29.66               | 81.88          | 96.86       | 33.52              | 442.52               | 90.48       |
| T3                  | 224.63          | 23.28              | 28.14               | 86.74          | 100.32      | 34.75              | 432.81               | 94.93       |
| T4                  | 213.98          | 22.13              | 27.61               | 91.58          | 107.74      | 36.06              | 428.17               | 99.04       |
| T5                  | 217.85          | 20.96              | 24.77               | 90.16          | 106.3       | 35.76              | 422.91               | 98.31       |
| T6                  | 209.1           | 21.84              | 24.8                | 88.03          | 104.71      | 35.08              | 430.84               | 97.04       |
| SEm(±)              | 11.32           | 1.22               | 1.47                | 4.9            | 1.45        | 0.66               | 0.75                 | 0.82        |
| CD 5%               | NS              | 3.49               | NS                  | NS             | 4.13        | 1.89               | 2.15                 | 2.33        |
| Stages of spray (S) |                 |                    |                     |                |             |                    |                      |             |
| S1                  | 216.98          | 22.11              | 27.02               | 89.03          | 104.73      | 35.07              | 436.77               | 96.52       |
| S2                  | 215.54          | 22.58              | 26                  | 87.97          | 103.69      | 35.45              | 420.26               | 97.15       |
| SEm(±)              | 6.53            | 0.71               | 0.85                | 2.83           | 0.84        | 0.38               | 0.43                 | 0.47        |
| CD 5%               | NS              | NS                 | NS                  | NS             | NS          | NS                 | 1.24                 | NS          |
| Interaction (TxS)   |                 |                    |                     |                |             |                    |                      |             |
| T1S1                | 203.7           | 19.12              | 24.55               | 92.55          | 110.34      | 36.08              | 421.38               | 100.76      |
| T1S2                | 202.45          | 20.4               | 23.63               | 92.7           | 108.33      | 36.73              | 406.3                | 101.68      |
| T2S1                | 229.55          | 26.02              | 30.39               | 82.23          | 96.72       | 33.5               | 453.07               | 90.11       |
| T2S2                | 228.28          | 26.21              | 28.92               | 81.53          | 96.99       | 33.53              | 431.97               | 90.84       |
| T3S1                | 225             | 23.16              | 28.68               | 87.78          | 101.05      | 34.59              | 441.28               | 94.71       |
| T3S2                | 224.25          | 23.4               | 27.6                | 85.7           | 99.58       | 34.91              | 424.34               | 95.14       |
| T4S1                | 214.75          | 22.13              | 28.01               | 92.06          | 108.07      | 35.78              | 436.47               | 98.56       |
| T4S2                | 213.2           | 22.13              | 27.2                | 91.1           | 107.4       | 36.34              | 419.86               | 99.51       |
| T5S1                | 219.5           | 20.57              | 25.41               | 90.8           | 106.96      | 35.45              | 429.83               | 98.15       |
| T5S2                | 216.2           | 21.34              | 24.13               | 89.53          | 105.63      | 36.07              | 415.99               | 98.46       |
| T6S1                | 209.35          | 21.67              | 25.05               | 88.79          | 105.21      | 35.04              | 438.57               | 96.81       |
| T6S2                | 208.85          | 22.01              | 24.54               | 87.27          | 104.2       | 35.11              | 423.11               | 97.28       |
| Mean                | 216.26          | 22.35              | 26.51               | 88.5           | 104.21      | 35.26              | 428.51               | 96.83       |
| SEm(±)              | 16.01           | 1.73               | 2.08                | 6.94           | 2.05        | 0.94               | 1.06                 | 1.16        |
| CD 5%               | NS              | NS                 | NS                  | NS             | NS          | NS                 | 3.03                 | NS          |

Table 1: Effect of different spray at different growth stages on growth and yield parameters of pigeonpea (Cv. Vipula)

 Table 2: Effect of different spray at different growth stages on growth and yield parameters of pigeonpea (Cv. Vipula)

|                | Percent of flower drop | Days to pod | Number of pods per | Pod yield per | Pod yield per | Seed yield per | Seed yield per |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | per plant              | maturity    | plant              | plant (g)     | hectare (Kg)  | plant (g)      | hectare (kg)   |
| Treatments (T) |                        |             |                    |               |               |                |                |
| T1             | 62.13                  | 138.47      | 408.59             | 112.33        | 3510.39       | 73.8           | 2306.33        |
| T2             | 58.85                  | 127.35      | 439.28             | 122.04        | 3813.83       | 83.59          | 2612.03        |
| T3             | 59.7                   | 131.74      | 429.04             | 119.34        | 3729.45       | 82.48          | 2577.58        |
| T4             | 61.17                  | 137.03      | 424.03             | 116.45        | 3638.98       | 78             | 2437.34        |
| T5             | 60.98                  | 135.72      | 418.09             | 115.04        | 3595.08       | 74.96          | 2342.42        |
| T6             | 60.42                  | 133.91      | 426.77             | 117.87        | 3683.44       | 80.32          | 2510           |
| SEm(±)         | 0.5                    | 1.06        | 0.68               | 0.28          | 6.23          | 0.26           | 10.21          |
| CD 5%          | 1.43                   | 3.01        | 1.94               | 0.8           | 17.76         | 0.74           | 29.1           |

| Stages of spray (S) |       |        |        |        |         |       |         |
|---------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|---------|
| S1                  | 60.29 | 133.22 | 432.39 | 118.07 | 3689.71 | 79.58 | 2486.88 |
| S2                  | 60.79 | 134.85 | 416.21 | 116.29 | 3634.01 | 78.13 | 2441.69 |
| SEm(±)              | 0.29  | 0.61   | 0.39   | 0.16   | 3.6     | 0.15  | 5.89    |
| CD 5%               | NS    | NS     | 1.12   | 0.46   | 10.25   | 0.43  | 16.8    |
| Interaction (T x S) |       |        |        |        |         |       |         |
| T1S1                | 61.74 | 137.57 | 416.09 | 112.68 | 3521.09 | 73.66 | 2301.72 |
| T1S2                | 62.53 | 139.36 | 401.1  | 111.99 | 3499.69 | 73.95 | 2310.94 |
| T2S1                | 58.69 | 126.25 | 449.42 | 123.93 | 3872.66 | 85.03 | 2657.19 |
| T2S2                | 59    | 128.45 | 429.14 | 120.16 | 3755    | 82.14 | 2566.88 |
| T3S1                | 59.71 | 131.14 | 437.24 | 120.68 | 3771.25 | 83.03 | 2594.69 |
| T3S2                | 59.7  | 132.33 | 420.84 | 118.01 | 3687.66 | 81.94 | 2560.47 |
| T4S1                | 60.69 | 135.99 | 432.14 | 117.1  | 3659.22 | 79.04 | 2470    |
| T4S2                | 61.65 | 138.06 | 415.93 | 115.8  | 3618.75 | 76.95 | 2404.69 |
| T5S1                | 60.68 | 134.88 | 425.01 | 115.26 | 3601.88 | 75.19 | 2349.69 |
| T5S2                | 61.29 | 136.57 | 411.18 | 114.83 | 3588.28 | 74.73 | 2335.16 |
| T6S1                | 60.24 | 133.47 | 434.46 | 118.79 | 3712.19 | 81.54 | 2547.97 |
| T6S2                | 60.6  | 134.35 | 419.09 | 116.95 | 3654.69 | 79.11 | 2472.03 |
| Mean                | 60.54 | 134.03 | 424.3  | 117.18 | 3661.86 | 78.86 | 2464.28 |
| SEm(±)              | 0.71  | 1.49   | 0.96   | 0.4    | 8.81    | 0.37  | 14.44   |
| CD 5%               | NS    | NS     | 2.75   | 1.13   | 25.11   | 1.05  | 41.15   |

Table 3: Effect of different spray at different growth stages on seed quality parameters of pigeonpea (Cv. Vipula)

|                     | Germination    | Seed mycoflora | Root length | Shoot       | Hundred seed | Seedling dry weight | Vigour   | Vigour    | Electrical           |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
|                     | percentage (%) | (%)            | (cm)        | length (cm) | weight (g)   | (mg-10 seedlings)   | index- I | index- II | conductivity (dSm-1) |
| Treatments (T)      |                |                |             |             |              |                     |          |           |                      |
| T1                  | 72.17          | 25.51          | 13.43       | 12.49       | 10.51        | 82.55               | 2350.13  | 74.82     | 0.67                 |
| T2                  | 75.23          | 23.07          | 14.94       | 13.53       | 11.94        | 84.14               | 2661.62  | 78.67     | 0.27                 |
| T3                  | 74.93          | 23.53          | 14.72       | 13.37       | 11.24        | 83.81               | 2618.72  | 78.15     | 0.38                 |
| T4                  | 73.84          | 24.41          | 14.22       | 13.06       | 10.63        | 83.19               | 2516.65  | 76.75     | 0.6                  |
| T5                  | 72.61          | 24.9           | 13.57       | 12.86       | 10.56        | 83.14               | 2406.69  | 75.7      | 0.57                 |
| T6                  | 74.34          | 24.15          | 14.5        | 13.2        | 10.84        | 83.55               | 2567.78  | 77.46     | 0.4                  |
| SEm(±)              | 0.11           | 0.06           | 0.19        | 0.04        | 0.11         | 0.09                | 60.6     | 0.08      | 0.02                 |
| CD 5%               | 0.3            | 0.18           | 0.53        | 0.11        | 0.31         | 0.24                | 172.3    | 0.23      | 0.07                 |
| Stages of spray (S) |                |                |             |             |              |                     |          |           |                      |
| S1                  | 73.92          | 23.8           | 14.3        | 13.12       | 10.99        | 83.46               | 2532.05  | 77.04     | 0.47                 |
| S2                  | 73.79          | 24.72          | 14.15       | 13.05       | 10.91        | 83.33               | 2508.49  | 76.81     | 0.49                 |
| SEm(±)              | 0.06           | 0.04           | 0.11        | 0.02        | 0.06         | 0.05                | 34.99    | 0.05      | 0.01                 |
| CD 5%               | 0.18           | 0.1            | 0.31        | 0.06        | 0.18         | NS                  | 99.48    | 0.13      | 0.04                 |
| Interaction (T x S) |                |                |             |             |              |                     |          |           |                      |
| T1S1                | 72.25          | 24.99          | 13.51       | 12.56       | 10.54        | 82.67               | 2364.75  | 74.98     | 0.65                 |
| T1S2                | 72.1           | 26.03          | 13.36       | 12.43       | 10.48        | 82.44               | 2335.51  | 74.65     | 0.7                  |
| T2S1                | 75.28          | 22.55          | 15.01       | 13.58       | 11.99        | 84.26               | 2673.78  | 78.82     | 0.28                 |
| T2S2                | 75.17          | 23.6           | 14.87       | 13.48       | 11.89        | 84.02               | 2649.46  | 78.52     | 0.26                 |
| T3S1                | 75             | 23.1           | 14.81       | 13.4        | 11.28        | 83.9                | 2631.75  | 78.27     | 0.36                 |
| T3S2                | 74.87          | 23.95          | 14.63       | 13.34       | 11.21        | 83.73               | 2605.69  | 78.02     | 0.4                  |
| T4S1                | 73.93          | 24.13          | 14.29       | 13.01       | 10.64        | 83.27               | 2520.14  | 76.88     | 0.6                  |
| T4S2                | 73.76          | 24.69          | 14.15       | 13.12       | 10.61        | 83.12               | 2513.16  | 76.61     | 0.61                 |
| T5S1                | 72.67          | 24.33          | 13.65       | 12.92       | 10.59        | 83.08               | 2419.52  | 75.7      | 0.55                 |
| T5S2                | 72.54          | 25.47          | 13.5        | 12.8        | 10.53        | 83.2                | 2393.87  | 75.71     | 0.59                 |
| T6S1                | 74.41          | 23.71          | 14.56       | 13.28       | 10.93        | 83.6                | 2582.35  | 77.55     | 0.39                 |
| T6S2                | 74.28          | 24.59          | 14.43       | 13.13       | 10.76        | 83.5                | 2553.21  | 77.36     | 0.41                 |
| Mean                | 73.85          | 24.26          | 14.23       | 13.09       | 10.95        | 83.4                | 2520.27  | 76.92     | 0.48                 |
| SEm(±)              | 0.15           | 0.09           | 0.26        | 0.05        | 0.15         | 0.12                | 85.7     | 0.11      | 0.03                 |
| CD 5%               | NS             | NS             | NS          | NS          | NS           | NS                  | NS       | NS        | 0.09                 |

| Table 4: Effect of different spra | y at different grov | wth stages on biochemical | parameters and physical | characterization of pigeonpea ( | Cv. Vipula) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|                                   |                     |                           |                         |                                 |             |

|                     | Protein     | Carbohydrates | Response to | Response to | Seed surface | Seed length | Seed width    | Seed roundness | Seed volume |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
|                     | content (%) | content (%)   | GA3 test    | 2, 4-D test | area (mm)    | (mm)        | ( <b>mm</b> ) | ( <b>mm</b> )  | (mm3)       |
| Treatments (T)      |             |               |             |             |              |             |               |                |             |
| T1                  | 26.78       | 52.92         | 15.84       | 38.74       | 89.18        | 5.92        | 4.72          | 89.53          | 77.95       |
| T2                  | 27.43       | 53.27         | 28          | 26.73       | 93           | 6.7         | 5.32          | 95.07          | 103.94      |
| T3                  | 27.31       | 53.15         | 25.75       | 28.96       | 92.05        | 6.64        | 5.21          | 94.04          | 100.86      |
| T4                  | 26.97       | 53.04         | 19.89       | 33.9        | 89.99        | 6.38        | 4.91          | 91.46          | 88.29       |
| T5                  | 27          | 53.02         | 18.15       | 35.87       | 89.61        | 6.22        | 4.82          | 90.57          | 83.29       |
| T6                  | 27.17       | 53.1          | 23.01       | 32.83       | 90.67        | 6.54        | 5.06          | 92.48          | 94.68       |
| SEm(±)              | 0.03        | 0.01          | 0.1         | 0.08        | 0.13         | 0.03        | 0.01          | 0.45           | 0.67        |
| CD 5%               | 0.09        | 0.04          | 0.27        | 0.24        | 0.38         | 0.08        | 0.04          | 1.28           | 1.91        |
| Stages of spray (S) |             |               |             |             |              |             |               |                |             |
| S1                  | 27.13       | 53.11         | 22.12       | 31.77       | 90.94        | 6.43        | 5.06          | 92.62          | 92.61       |
| S2                  | 27.09       | 53.05         | 21.43       | 33.9        | 90.56        | 6.37        | 4.95          | 91.76          | 90.39       |
| SEm(±)              | 0.02        | 0.01          | 0.06        | 0.05        | 0.08         | 0.02        | 0.01          | 0.26           | 0.39        |
| CD 5%               | 0.05        | 0.02          | 0.16        | 0.14        | 0.22         | NS          | 0.02          | 0.74           | 1.1         |
| Interaction (TxS)   |             |               |             |             |              |             |               |                |             |
| T1S1                | 26.8        | 52.95         | 16.08       | 38.1        | 88.83        | 5.98        | 4.78          | 90             | 78.8        |

| T1S2         26.76         52.89         15.59         39.37         89.53         5.86         4.66         89.05           T2S1         27.44         53.27         28.22         25.67         93.73         6.72         5.36         95.58         1           T2S2         27.41         53.26         27.78         27.79         92.28         6.68         5.27         94.56           T3S1         27.34         53.17         26.04         27.7         92.51         6.67         5.27         94.27 | 77.1<br>105.72<br>102.16<br>101.92 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| T2S1         27.44         53.27         28.22         25.67         93.73         6.72         5.36         95.58           T2S2         27.41         53.26         27.78         27.79         92.28         6.68         5.27         94.56           T3S1         27.34         53.17         26.04         27.7         92.51         6.67         5.27         94.27  | 105.72<br>102.16<br>101.92         |
| T2S2         27.41         53.26         27.78         27.79         92.28         6.68         5.27         94.56           T3S1         27.34         53.17         26.04         27.7         92.51         6.67         5.27         94.27   | 102.16<br>101.92                   |
| T3S1 27.34 53.17 26.04 27.7 92.51 6.67 5.27 94.27  | 101.92                             |
|  |                                    |
| T3S2         27.29         53.12         25.46         30.22         91.59         6.61         5.16         93.8  | 99.81                              |
| T4S1         27         53.07         20.22         33.11         89.89         6.41         4.97         91.88  | 89.12                              |
| T4S2         26.95         53         19.56         34.69         90.09         6.35         4.86         91.04  | 87.46                              |
| T5S1         27.03         53.06         18.55         34.6         89.36         6.23         4.86         91.29  | 84.74                              |
| T5S2 26.97 52.99 17.74 37.14 89.86 6.21 4.78 89.86   | 81.84                              |
| T6S1         27.2         53.14         23.59         31.46         91.35         6.56         5.12         92.73  | 95.36                              |
| T6S2         27.14         53.06         22.43         34.19         90         6.52         5         92.23   | 94                                 |
| Mean 27.11 53.08 21.77 32.84 90.75 6.4 5.01 92.19  | 91.5                               |
| SEm(±) 0.04 0.02 0.13 0.12 0.19 0.04 0.02 0.64   | 0.95                               |
| CD 5%         NS         NS         0.34         0.54         NS         NS         NS   | NS                                 |



Fig 1: Effect of different spray at different growth stages and their interaction on seed yield per plant (g) of pigeonpea (Cv. Vipula)



Fig 2: Effect of different spray at different growth stages and their interaction on seed yield per hectare (kg) of pigeonpea (Cv. Vipula)

### **Influence of Growth Regulators**

Among the different growth regulators, the experimental crop sprayed with NAA@ 0.5ml/L (T2) recorded least percent of flower drop per plant, but higher pod retention per plant,

number of pods per plant, pod yield per plant, pod yield per hectare and seed yield per plant and seed yield per hectare followed by IAA @ 50mg (T3) as against control (T1). Foliar application of NAA@ 0.5ml/l (T2) out yielded over the rest of

the growth regulators by registering 11.71 per cent increased seed yield per hectare over control. Irrespective of spray stages, foliar application of growth regulators showed non-significant differences for crop growth parameters *viz*. plant height at maturity, number of primery branches per plant, number of primery branches per plant and days to flower initiation. The higher seed quality parameters *viz*., hundred seed weight, germination percentage, root length, shoot length, seedling dry weight, vigour index – I and vigour index – II were observed by spraying NAA@ 0.5ml/l over control.

# Influence of spraying stages

Among the stages of spraying, higher pod retention per plant, number of pod per plant, pod yield per plant, pod yield per hectare, seed yield per plant and seed yield per hectare were recorded in the spray given at initiation of flowering (S1) than spray at (S2) 15 days after 1st flowering. Spraying at initiation of flowering (S1) showed higher seed yield per hectare (1.78%) than the (S2) 15 days after 1st flowering. Various growth and yield parameters, seed quality parameters, biochemical parameters and pod observation recorded under Image Analyzer showed better performance at initiation of flowering (S1) than (S2) 15 days after 1st flowering. Between the stages of spray, higher values for protein, carbohydrate and GA3 test were recorded in spray at initiation of flowering compared to spray at (S1) 15 days after 1st spraying. In this study, numerically higher growth parameters were noticed in the initiation of flowering stage may be attributed to the enhanced effect of growth regulators sprayed at the early stages of the crop growth compared to those sprayed at later growth stage. These results are in conformity with those of earlier researchers like Saishankar (2001)<sup>[20]</sup> in greengram, Kumar et al. (2008)<sup>[8]</sup> in blackgram and Yadav et al. (2008) [27] in field pea. Similar results were noticed in chickpea (Merwade 2000) <sup>[10]</sup>, greengram (Saishankar 2001)<sup>[20]</sup>, blackgram (Kumar et al. 2008)<sup>[8]</sup> and fieldpea (Yadav et al. 2008)<sup>[27]</sup>.

The similar beneficial effect of exogenous application of growth regulators at different crop growth stages was also confirmed in blackgram (Kumar *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[8]</sup>. The increased seed quality parameters at initiation of flowering stage may be probably due to greater accumulation and assimilation of food reserves in seeds due to spraying of growth regulators at flower initiation stage (early growth stage) compared to pod initiation to those of (Shantappa *et al.* 2007) <sup>[22]</sup> in bitter gourd and (Kumar *et al.* 2008) <sup>[8]</sup> in blackgram. This increase in values for biochemical parameters may be attributed to the enhanced valuable biochemical composition of seed which further improve seed quality and yield. Similar results were reported by Sainis *et al.* (2009) <sup>[19]</sup> in wheat.

# Interaction Effect (T×S)

The interaction effect due to growth regulators and stages of spray (T×S) was non-significant for various crop growth, flowering and reproductive parameters and observations recorded with image analyzer. The interaction between growth regulators and spraying stages (T×S) was non-significant for all the seed quality parameters except electrical conductivity. Interaction effect due to growth regulators and spraying stages (T×S) showed non-significant results for all biochemical parameters except 2, 4-D test.

These results are supported by the earlier result of growth regulators and spraying stages and similar findings were also

confirmed in greengram by Saishankar (2001)<sup>[20]</sup>, bittergourd by Shantappa *et al.* (2007)<sup>[22]</sup> and blackgram by Kumar *et al.* 2008<sup>[8]</sup>. The significant increase in seed yield per hectare was related to more retention of flowers reduced, flower drop and higher number of pods per plant and other yield components as seen from the results of this study. Similar results were confirmed in greengram by Saishankar 2001<sup>[20]</sup> and bitter gourd by Shantappa *et al.* 2007<sup>[22]</sup>. Similar findings were reported by Sambasivarao *et al.* (2002)<sup>[21]</sup> in groundnut and Biradarpatil *et al.* (2006)<sup>[3]</sup> while working on safflower.

#### Conclusion

There is a need to study the influence of other growth regulators at various concentrations, methods of application at different crop stages on flower drop, seed yield and quality. There is a need to investigate and identify suitable sowing dates and varieties under different agro-climatic zones for getting higher seed yield and seed quality. The characterization based on distinct and stable seed, seedling and plant morphological markers are need to be standardized for different varieties of pigeonpea.

# References

- 1. Anonymous. Area, production and yield of tur (arhar) in India. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India. (ON1551) 2015-16.
- 2. Ashwani Kumar, Chowdhary RK, Kapoor RL, Dahiya OS. Identification of pearl millet hybrids and their parental lines using seeds and seedling characters, chemical tests and gel electrophoresis. Seed Sci. Technol 1995;23:21-32.
- 3. Biradarpatil NK, Macha S, Motagi BN, Vijaykumar AG, Hanchinal RR. Characterization of safflower varieties through chemical tests. Abst. XII Nation. Seed Sem 24-26 February, ANGRU, Hyderabad 2006, 168.
- Deshpande SN. Effect of growth regulators and their spraying times on flower abscission, seed yield and quality of three cultivars of pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.]. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Bangalore, Karnataka (India) 1983.
- 5. Dixit PM, Elamathi S. Effect of foliar application of DAP, micronutrients and NAA on growth and yield of greengram. J. Food Legume 2007;30(4):305-307.
- 6. Geetha VV, Balamurugan P, Bhaskaran M. Characterization of mustard genotypes through image analysis. Res. J Seed Sci 2011;4(4):192-198.
- 7. Hariprasanna K, Bhatt J. Pulses production looking at constraints and prospects. Agric. Today 2002, 49-53.
- Kumar SG, Muthukrishnan P, Ramasamy S, Chandragiri KK. Effect of organic and inorganic foliar spray on growth and yield of blackgram (Vigna mungo L.). Madras Agric. J 2008;95(1-6):57-60.
- Lakshmamma P, Rao IVS. Influence of shading and naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) on yield components in blackgram (Vigna mungo L.). Ann. Agric. Res 1996;17(3):320-321.
- Merwade MN. Investigations on seed production techniques and storability of chickpea (Cicer arietirum L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India) 2000.
- 11. Mishriky JE, Fadaly EI, Badawi MA. Effect of growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of peas. Bull. Faculty Agril. Univ. of Cairo 1990;14(3):785-797.
- 12. Muhammad Aslam, Ejaz Ahmad, Saguu AG, Khalid

Hussain, Muhammad Ayaz, Inayat Ullah *et al.* Effect of plant growth regulator (NAA) and available soil moisture depletions on yield and yield components of chickpea. Sarhad J Agric 2010, 26(3).

- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication 1985, 87-89.
- Parmar VK, Dudhatra MG, Thesiya NM. Effect of growth regulators on yield of summer greengram. Legume Res 2011;34(1):65-67.
- 15. Patil SN, Patil RB, Suryawanshi YB. Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators and nutrients on seed yield and quality attributes of mungbean (Vigna radiata L.) Seed Res 2005;32(2):142-145.
- Prabhu D. Influence of plant growth regulators and micronutrients in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India) 2000.
- 17. Reddy JP, Narasimharao KL, Subbarao DV. Effect of NAA and KNO3 on dry matter production in pigeonpea. Legume Res 1996;12(1):28-30.
- Reddy P. Effect of growth regulators on growth, physiological and yield in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India) 2002.
- Sainis JK, Shouche SP, Bhagat SG. Image analysis of wheat grains developed in different environment and its implications for identification. J Agric. Sci 2009;144(3):221-227.
- Saishankar S. Influence of plant growth regulators, chemicals and nutrients in greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) wilezeak]. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India) 2001.
- 21. Sambasivarao P, Muralimohan Reddy B, Bharathi M, Bayyapa Reddy K. Varietal identification in groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) by chemical tests and electrophoresis of total soluble seed proteins. Seed Tech News 2002;32(1):93.
- 22. Shantappa T, Shekhargouda M, Merwade MN, Deshpande VK. Seed yield and quality as influenced by plant growth regulators and stages of spray in bitter gourd cv. Coimbtore Long, Seed Res 2007;35(1):11-16.
- Singh C. Modern technique of raising field crops. Oxford and IBM Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. 2nd Edn 2003, 229-230.
- 24. Singhal V. Pigeonpea (TUR), Indian Agriculture 2003, 180-182.
- 25. Tripathi DK, Lalu Chandra B, Baghel RS. Effect of some growth regulators on flower drop and yield of chickpea. J Food Leg 2001;20(1):117-118.
- 26. Upadhayay RG. Response of growth regulators on flower drop, fruit setting, biochemical constituents and yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Legume Res 2002;25(3):211-214.
- 27. Yadav RK, Raj Bahadur, Nirbhay Sigh, Chaturvedi GS. Effect of bioregulators on growth and grain yield in field pea. J Food Legume 2008;21(3):206-207.