www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2019; 8(7): 334-337 © 2019 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 28-05-2019 Accepted: 30-06-2019

Sunitha Thomasa

M.V.Sc Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Safeer M Saifudeenb

Senior Research Fellow, University Goat and Sheep Farm, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

RT Venkatachalapathyc

Professor and Head, University Goat and Sheep Farm, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Suraj PT

Assistant Professor, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Correspondence Sunitha Thomasa

M.V.Sc Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Udder morphology and its association with milk production in malabari, attappaddy black and crossbred (Sannen x Alpine x Malabari) goats of Kerala

Sunitha Thomasa, Safeer M Saifudeenb, RT Venkatachalapathyc and Suraj PT

Abstract

A study was conducted in Malabari, Attappaddy Black and crossbred goats to find out the association between the udder morphology and milk production. The udder morphological traits included were Teat diameter (TD), Teat Length (TL), Udder Row Width (URW), Udder Column Width (UCW), Udder Depth (UD), Udder Circumference (UC), and Teat Height from Ground (THG). The mean daily milk yield were 252.80 \pm 39.58 ml, 339.41 \pm 40.66 ml, 373.22 \pm 44.24 ml in Attappady, Malabari and crossbred goats, respectively. Milk yield was positively correlated with URW in Malabari goats while, Attappaddy goats with higher TD and TL produced more milk. In crossbred goats, milk yield had positive correlation with URW, UCW and UD.

Keywords: Udder morphology, milk yield, Malabari, Attappaddy black, crossbred goats

1. Introduction

India has a goat population of 135.17 million goats and ranks second in the world. There are 34 (NBAGR report 2018) well established goat breeds apart from the large number of nondescript goats in many parts of the country. According to the livestock census 2012, the goat population in Kerala is 12.46 lakhs, next to cattle with 13.29 lakhs. Malabari and Attappaddy Black are the two native goat breeds of Kerala. Malabari goat has its origin at Tellicherry (Thalassery) in the Malabar coast of Kannur district in North Kerala, also known as Tellicherry goat. It is mainly reared for meat and milk, famous for low fat meat and high prolificacy. They are well adapted to the hot and humid conditions of the state. Attappaddy Black goat is a meat type breed, known for its hardiness and disease resistance. It is reared by tribes in Attappady hills of palakkad district. The productive and reproductive performances of these breeds are shown at their maximum in their home tract. The goat milk has high value in Kerala compared to cow milk because of its medicinal properties. Farmers are showing interest to select the animals with reasonably good milk production potential along with high prolificacy. In addition to the non-genetic factors like parity, age, breed etc. Morphological traits, such as udder circumference, udder shape, teat length, and teat width, are moderately heritable and are significantly correlated with milk production potential (Carta et al., 2009) [2]. A relationship between morphological udder measures and milk production has also been noted in goats, although diverse criteria exist. Hence, the present study was carried out to find out the relationship between udder morphological traits and milk production in three genetic groups of goats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental animals and data

Data were collected from 154 does belonging to Malabari (52), Attappady (45) and crossbred goats (57) maintained at University Goat and Sheep Farm, Mannuthy. Animals at early to midlactation (2-5 weeks post-partum) were included in the study. They were reared under semi intensive system of management with 4 hours of grazing and supplementary concentrate feeding in the morning. Cultivated fodder and adlibitum water were made available during the period. All goats were vaccinated against PPR and ET as per the schedule.

Milking was done manually once in a day and kids were allowed to suckle after milking. Milk yield was recorded on daily basis from each doe for 21 days and mean milk yield was calculated.

A total of eight traits related with udder morphology were recorded on the goats during the study. They were Teat Length (TL); length from point of attachment with udder to teat tip; Teat Diameter (TD); Measured at the upper and lower end of the teat using a measuring tape; Udder length (UL): length from rear to the front attachment of udder along with its sole, where the udder blends smoothly with the body; Udder row width (URW):distance between the widest part of the udder measured from the rear end; Udder column width (UCW): distance between the widest part of the udder measured from the lateral side; Udder depth(UD):distance from the base to the lowest point of the udder at the place of attachment of the teats; Udder circumference (UC): measured at maximum diameter of udder using measuring tape; Teat height from ground (THG): minimum distance between teat end and ground measured with the help of rigid measuring scale. The measurements were taken on 15th day post-partum and one hour prior to milking. Details on parity, litter size and kidding period were collected from the records maintained by the field units

2.2 Statistical analysis

The means and standard error of body weight and udder traits were estimated using statistical procedures suggested by Snedecor and Cochran (1994)^[7].

Data was analyzed using One-way ANOVA (SPSS version 24) to estimate the effect of parity, litter size and kidding period on milk production and udder morphology. Least-square maximum likelihood programme (Harvey, 1990) [3] was used to correct the effects of parity, litter size and period of kidding factors on production traits of Malabari goats. The following mixed model was used:

 $Yijk = \mu + pi + lj + kk$

Where, Yijk is the trait measured on ijkth animal

 μ is the overall mean pi is the fixed effect associated with ith parity lj is the fixed effect associated with jth litter size kk is the fixed effect associated with kth period of kidding

The Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculates using SPSS software version 24 to analyse the association between milk yield and udder biometrical parameters.

3. Results and Discussion

Mean \pm SE for udder and teat traits, body weight as well as milk yield of Malabari, Attappady Black and crossbreds are given in table 1.

Table 1: Least square means of udder morphological traits and milk yield in Malabari, Attappaddy and crossbred goats

Traits	Abbreviations	Malabari	Attappaddy Black	Crossbred
Milk Yield (ml)	MY	339.41 ± 40.66	252.83 ± 39.58	373.22 ± 44.24
Body Weight (kg)	BW	30.12 ± 1.28	32.24 ± 1.13	32.55 ± 1.34
Left Teat Diameter- Upper (cm)	LTDU	9.68 ± 0.28	7.81 ± 0.43	8.02 ± 0.37
Right Teat Diameter - Upper (cm)	RTDU	9.72 ± 0.22	7.35 ± 0.28	7.82 ± 0.37
Left Teat Diameter - Lower (cm)	LTDL	4.24 ± 0.17	4.01 ± 0.20	3.42 ± 0.12
Right Teat Diameter - Lower (cm)	RTDL	4.14 ± 0.15	3.67 ± 0.17	3.26 ± 0.09
Left Teat Length (cm)	TLL	6.38 ± 0.36	6.50 ± 0.37	6.64 ± 0.26
Right Teat Length (cm)	TLR	6.46 ± 0.34	6.33 ± 0.40	6.57 ± 0.26
Udder Row Width (cm)	URW	7.00 ± 0.50	9.58 ± 0.34	9.0 ± 0.84
Udder Column Width (cm)	UCW	7.75 ± 0.31	9.64 ± 0.33	8.79 ± 0.43
Udder Depth (cm)	UD	15.92 ± 1.32	15.14 ± 0.77	15.00 ± 0.43
Udder Circumference (cm)	UC	24.67 ± 0.67	28.59 ± 1.02	27.86 ± 1.50
Teat- Ground Distance (cm)	THG	22.50 ± 0.89	31.59 ± 1.13	26.50 ± 0.96

The mean values for the different udder morphological traits like LTDU, LTDL, RTDU, RTDL, TLL, TLR, URW, UCW, UD, UC and THG were 9.68 ± 0.28 , 4.24 ± 0.17 , 9.72 ± 0.22 , 4.14 ± 0.15 ,

 6.38 ± 0.36 , 6.46 ± 0.34 , 7.00 ± 0.50 , 7.75 ± 0.31 , 15.92 ± 1.32 , 24.67 ± 0.67 , 22.50 ± 0.89 for Malabari goats, 7.81 ± 0.43 , 4.01 ± 0.20 , 7.35 ± 0.28 , 3.67 ± 0.17 , 6.50 ± 0.37 , 6.33 ± 0.40 , 9.58 ± 0.34 , 9.64 ± 0.33 , 15.14 ± 0.77 , 28.59 ± 1.08 , 31.59 ± 1.13 for Attappaddy Black goats and 8.02 ± 0.37 , 3.42 ± 0.12 , 7.82 ± 0.37 , 3.26 ± 0.09 , 6.64 ± 0.26 , 6.57 ± 0.26 , 8.79 ± 0.71 , 8.79 ± 0.43 , 15.00 ± 0.44 , 27.86 ± 1.50 , 26.50 ± 0.96 for crossbred goats, respectively.

The LTDU was highest in Malabari with 9.68 cm followed by crossbreds with 8.02 cm and Attappaddy Black with 7.81 cm. Malabari goats had highest LTD of 4.24 cm followed by Attappaddy Black with 4.01 cm and crossbreds with 3.42 cm. TLL was highest in crossbreds with 6.64 cm followed by Malabari 6.38 cm and Attappaddy Black with 6.50 cm. Attappaddy Black goats had the highest URW (9.58 cm) followed by crossbreds (8.79 cm) and Malabari goats (7 cm). UCW also followed the same order with Attappaddy goats having the highest (9.64 cm) and Malabari goats with the lowest value (7.75 cm).

UD was comparable in all the three groups and ranged from 15 cm in crossbreds to 15.92 cm in Malabari goats. UC was highest in Attappaddy (28.59) followed by crossbreds (27.86) and Malabari (24.67) goats. The TGH was highest in Attappaddy Black with 31.59 cm followed by crossbred (25.90 cm) and Malabari (23.50 cm). Decrease in THG in Malabari goats might be due to increased milk production attributed to increased litter size when compared to Attappaddy goats. Peris *et al.*, (1999) [5] also had observed that the teat-to-floor distance and the udder volume were affected by prolificacy (*P*<0.05). Goats that had kidded twins had a significantly more voluminous udder and a lower distance between the teat and the floor.

Crossbred goats were heavier with 32.55 kg followed by Attappaddy Black (32.24 kg) and Malabari (30.12 kg). The mean daily milk yield recorded were 252.80 \pm 39.58 ml, 339.41 \pm 40.66 ml,

 373.22 ± 44.24 ml in Attappady, Malabari and crossbred goats, respectively.

The correlation coefficient between udder morphology, milk yield and body weight in Malabari, Attappaddy Black and Crossbred goats are given in Tables 2-4.

Table 2: Correlation co-efficient between udder morphology, body weight and milk yield in Malabari goats

	MY/d	LTDU	LTDL	RTDU	RTDL	TLL	TLR	B.wt	URW	UCW	UD	UC	TGH
MY/d	1.000	-0.092	-0.330	-0.064	-0.060	-0.002	0.002	-0.023	.909*	0.077	-0.563	0.593	0.589
LTDU		1.000	.470*	.745**	0.316	.545*	.529*	.484*	-0.485	0.673	-0.027	0.518	-0.274
LTDL			1.000	0.387	.679**	0.278	0.277	0.458	-0.709	0.430	0.639	0.112	-0.736
RTDU				1.000	0.092	0.387	0.442	.525*	-0.313	0.568	0.298	0.644	-0.571
RTDL					1.000	0.276	0.352	0.092	-0.552	-0.166	0.759	-0.303	-0.739
TLL						1.000	.911**	0.312	-0.534	0.216	0.466	0.069	-0.572
TLR							1.000	0.370	-0.541	-0.175	0.660	-0.369	-0.612
B.wt								1.000	-0.239	0.691	0.272	0.551	-0.357
URW									1.000	-0.269	-0.581	0.250	0.716
UCW										1.000	-0.173	0.808	-0.091
UD											1.000	-0.347	935**
UC												1.000	0.113
TGH													1.000

Milk yield had a negative correlation with TGH in crossbred goats. Perris (1994) also reported similar results in Murciano-Granadina dairy goats. Milk yield was positively correlated with URW in Malabari goats while, Attappaddy goats having higher TD and TL produced more milk. Fernandez *et al.* (1995) also observed that TD tent to increase with milk yield. In crossbred goats, milk yield tent to increase with URW, UCW, UD and decreased with TGH. Siddik *et al.*, (2005) [6] also showed a positive significant (*P*< 0.01) correlation between udder traits *viz*. Udder depth, udder circumference, teat circumference and daily milk yield in Murciano-Granadina goats. However, Upadhyay *et al.* reported positive

and significant (*P*< 0.01) correlation of milk yield with all udder morphological traits except for teat height from ground (THG) in local goats of Rohilkhand region.

TD (upper) is positively correlated (P<0.05) with TL and body weight in Malabari goats. Udder depth had a significant negative correlation (P<0.01) with teat height from ground. It was observed that TD increased with TL and body weight in Attappady Black goats. There was significant increase in TD (lower) with decreasing TGH. UC was negatively correlated with TGH but found to increase with increase in body weight. UD is observed to increase with UC in Attappaddy goats.

Table 3: Correlation co-efficient between udder morphology, body weight and milk yield in Attappaddy black goats

	MY/d	LTDU	LTDL	RTDU	RTDL	TIT	TLR	D4	URW	UCW	TID	UC	TCII
	IVI Y / a				KIDL	TLL		B.wt	UKW	UCW	UD	UC	TGH
MY/d	1	.684**	.691**	.543*	.467	.632**	.669**	.037	424	.200	294	334	.083
LTDU		1	.659**	.877**	.767**	.715**	.550*	.245	264	.243	170	081	396
LTDL			1	.607**	.485*	.507*	.474*	.208	434	.000	011	159	164
RTDU				1	.715**	.673**	.458	.127	405	050	321	314	264
RTDL					1	.740**	.476*	.498*	062	.163	128	.145	621*
TLL						1	.823**	.462	.012	.535	253	.175	509
TLR							1	.446	162	.641*	292	113	064
B.wt								1	.229	.254	.481	.672*	718*
URW									1	.095	.450	.626*	264
UCW										1	.073	.507	394
UD											1	.683*	215
UC												1	693*
TGH													1

Crossbred goats with higher body weight had increased URW, UD and UC. URW was found to have a positive

(*P*<0.05) association with UD and UC. UD was positively correlated with UC as in case of Attappaddy goats.

Table 4: Correlation co-efficient between udder morphology, body weight and milk yield in crossbred goats

	MY/day	LTDU	LTDL	RTDU	RTDL	TLL	TLR	B.wt	URW	UCW	UD	UC	TGH
MY/day	1.000	0.128	-0.143	0.153	0.139	0.261	0.313	0.126	.832*	.889**	.929**	0.707	-0.204
LTDU		1.000	0.143	.696**	0.337	0.079	0.185	0.011	-0.497	777*	-0.473	-0.103	-0.050
LTDL			1.000	0.276	.570*	-0.231	-0.196	0.162	0.190	-0.138	-0.023	-0.133	0.635
RTDU				1.000	0.327	0.187	0.320	-0.104	-0.326	-0.310	0.009	-0.002	-0.332
RTDL					1.000	-0.172	-0.316	0.068	0.317	0.093	0.000	-0.026	0.601
TLL						1.000	.842**	0.039	0.056	0.058	0.470	0.304	-0.520
TLR							1.000	0.143	0.124	0.175	0.538	0.539	-0.675
B.wt								1.000	.826*	0.722	.772*	.891**	-0.194
URW									1.000	0.596	.850*	.803*	0.167
UCW										1.000	0.691	0.521	-0.258
UD											1.000	.835*	-0.313
UC												1.000	-0.281
TGH													1.000

4. Conclusions

Milk yield was positively correlated udder morphological traits in Malabari, Attappaddy and Crossbred goats. Milk yield was correlated with URW in Malabari goats while, Attappaddy goats with higher TD and TL produced more milk. In crossbred goats, milk yield had positive correlation with URW, UCW and UD.

5. References

- 1. Ayadi M, Such X, Ezzehizi N, Zouari M, Najar T, Ben M *et al.* Relationship between mammary morphology traits and milk yield of Sicilo-Sarde dairy sheep in Tunisia. Small Rumin. Res. 2011; 96:41-45.
- 2. Carta A, Casu S, Salaris S. Invited review: Current state of genetic improvement in dairy sheep. J Dairy Sci. 2009; 92:5814-5833.
- Harvey WR. Guide for LSMLMW, PC-1 Version, mixed model least squares and maximum likelihood computer programme, January 1990. Mimeograph Ohio State Univ. USA, 1990.
- Kominakis AP, Papavasiliou D, Rogdakis E. Relationships among udder characteristics, milk yield and, non-yield traits in Frizarta dairy sheep. Small Rumin. Res. 2009; 84:82-88.
- 5. Peris S, Caja G, Such X. Relationship between udder and milking traits in Murciano-Granadina goats. Small Rumin. Res. 1999; 33:171-179.
- 6. Keskin S, Kor A, Karaca S, Mertagioglu H. A study of relationships between milk yield and some udder traits by using of path analysis in Akkeci goats. J Anim. Vet. Adv. 2005; 4:547-550.
- 7. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co., New Delhi, India, 1994.
- 8. Upadhyay D, Patel BHM, Kerketta S, Kaswan S, Sahu S, Bharat B *et al.* Study On Udder Morphology And Its Relationship With Production Parameters In Local Goats of Rohilkhand Region of India. Indian J Anim. Res. 2014; 48:615-619.