www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.03 TPI 2020; 9(7): 514-517 © 2020 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 18-05-2020 Accepted: 22-06-2020

Rashmi Misra Research Assistant, RKVY Nutri-Smart Project, College of Community Science, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

#### Dr. Diptimayee Jena

Associate Professor, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Community Science, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Dr. Snehalata Nanda

Professor, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Community Science, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India Social taboos and superstitions in food consumption during pre and post natal period of tribal women in Rayagada district

## Rashmi Misra, Diptimayee Jena and Snehalata Nanda

#### Abstract

Pregnancy and lactation require supplementary nutrition for a mother. But the situation becomes more complicated when a pregnant or a lactating woman follows or forced to undergo certain dietary restrictions traditionally. In order to identify food restrictions and social taboos among the tribal community during pregnancy and early part of lactation, the study was to find out the association between different parameters(Age, Education and BMI) with Food taboos among the subjects residing in the rural area of 4 villages (Kultiguda, Pedaguda, M. Petesu and Sana chandili) of Rayagada district. Cluster sampling method was used to select the villages and Purposive sampling method was used to select the respondents (pregnant and lactating women). Out of 80 samples, 20 pregnant and 60 lactating women were undertaken from the above mentioned 4 villages of Rayagada district. Information about the socio-demographic variables of the respondents, food taboos and superstitions during pregnancy and lactating period was obtained by personal interview through the structured questionnaire from the respondents and the old ladies of the family. Same food items were found restricted in both pregnancy and lactation i.e. Colocasia, prawn and dry fish were avoided by same percentage (81.25%) women, brinjal was avoided by 76.25% women, leafy vegetables were avoided by 67.5% women, mutton was avoided by 56.25% women, ripe papaya and ripe jackfruit were avoided by 55% women and egg was avoided by 43.75% women.

Keywords: food taboos, pre and post-natal period, tribal women, BMI, nutritional status

#### Introduction

Maternal Nutrition is very important during pregnancy and lactation. Lactation is a stage where the health and nutritional status of the infant depends on the nutritional status of the mother. Successful pregnancy and lactation requires proper adjustments in maternal body composition, metabolism and various physiological functions. A balanced diet that meets maternal needs is required for the well being of both the mother and the new born baby. Adequate nutrition during pregnancy and lactation not only helps in maintaining the health of the mother but also helps in maintaining the health of the baby and helps in desirable growth and development of the fetus during pregnancy and the new born during lactation. The word "food taboo" can be defined as restriction or prohibition of eating a food for a particular reason. It may differ from culture to culture, society to society, state to state, family to family and individual to individual.

Rayagada is a tribal dominant district having 57.52% population of tribes only. According to NFHS-4(2015-16) in Rayagada, total 52.5% pregnant women between the age of 15 to 49 years were anemic, 43.5% children (<5 years of age) were stunted, 23.1% children (<5 years of age) were wasted, 6% children (, 5 years of age) were severely wasted and 42.4% children (< 5 years) were underweight. From this data it was found that the maternal and children nutritional status was vulnerable. The child's nutritional status depends on so many factors such as maternal nutritional status, maternal literacy status, economical status and other environmental factors as food taboos during pregnancy and lactation has negative impact on the maternal nutritional status. So this study was undertaken in tribal community in Rayagada district to find out their food taboos and food consumption pattern which affects their nutritional status to a greater extent.

#### Methodology

A cross sectional study was undertaken in 4 villages (Kultiguda, Pedaguda, M. Petesu and Sana chandili) of Rayagada district. Out of 4 villages, 3 villages (Kultiguda, Pedaguda and

**Dr. Diptimayee Jena** Associate Professor, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Community Science, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

**Corresponding Author:** 

M. Petesu come under Gadiseskhal panchayat, Kolnara block and 1 village (Sana chandili) comes under Chandili panchayat, Rayagada block of Rayagada district. A pre tested questionnaire was used to collect the desired information regarding food taboos and superstitions during pregnancy and lactating period was obtained by personal interviewing with the subject and the old ladies to triangulate and to find out the causes of food restrictions by their elders of the family.

## Sampling procedure

Cluster sampling technique was used to select the villages and Purposive sampling method was used to select the respondents (pregnant and lactating women) with specific objective to collect information about the food taboos and superstitions during pregnancy and lactating period. A total of 80, 20 pregnant and 60 lactating women were undertaken from the above mentioned 4 villages of Rayagada district as sample size. The collected data were coded, transferred into the excel sheets and analyzed to get the results. Desired tables were generated manually. The various methods were used to analyze the data are as follows.

## Statistical analysis

## Percentage

- a. Simple percentage was computed to assess the contribution of the desired observations.
- b. Percentage (%) = Number of desired observations/ total number of observations \*100

#### Average Mean

c. The mean of a sample or a population is computed by adding all of the observations and dividing the number of

## **Results and discussion**

observations. This was used to find out the average consumption of each nutrient by the respondents per day and to find out the average nutritional status (Height, Weight and BMI) of the respondents.

d.  $X = \Sigma x/n$ 

 $\Sigma x$  is the sum of all the sample observations and n is the number of sample observations.

## **Chi-squared test**

It is also referred to as  $\chi^2$  test, is any statistical hypothesis test in which the sampling distribution of the test statistic is chisquare distribution when null hypothesis is true. Chi-square test is often constructed from a sum of squared errors or through the sample variance. A Chi-squared test can be used to reject the hypothesis that the data are independent. This test was used to find out the association between different parameters (Age, Literacy and BMI) with food taboos and literacy and type of family with BMI. Inference was drawn on the basis of acceptance or rejection of null hypothesis.

## $\chi^2 = \Sigma (\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2 / \mathbf{E}$

O= The frequencies observed E= The frequencies expected  $\Sigma$ = The "sum of"

## Degree of freedom was calculated as

## F=(C-I)\*(R-I)

Where C= Number of columns R=Number of rows

| Type of foods       | Name of foods  | Trimester(1 <sup>st</sup> /2 <sup>nd</sup> /3 <sup>rd</sup> ) | Superstitions                                       | Frequency | Rank |
|---------------------|----------------|---|---|-----------|------|
| Energy dense foods  | Groundnut      | 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3rd                       | Head protruding                                     | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
|                     | Maize          | 2nd, 3rd  | Abdominal pain                                      | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
| Body building foods | Dry fish       | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Skin problem and allergy and Stomach injury of baby | 14(70.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Fish           | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Head Protruding                                     | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
|                     | Meat           | Meat 2nd, 3rd Fetal abnormali                                 |   | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
|                     | Prawn          | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Skin problem and allergy of baby                    | 14(70.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Egg            | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Miscarriage   | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
| Protective Foods    | Ripe papaya    | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Abortion  | 14(70.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Ripe Mango     | 2nd, 3rd  | Indigestion   | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
|                     | Ripe Jackfruit | 2nd, 3rd  | Abdominal pain                                      | 9(45.0)   | 3    |
|                     | Brinjal        | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Skin allergy of baby and Indigestion                | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
|                     | Colocasia      | 1st, 2nd, 3rd   | Skin allergy of baby                                | 14(70.0)  | 1    |

Table 1: Food taboos during Pregnancy N=20

Figures in the parenthesis indicate percentage value

Table 2: Food taboos during Lactation N=60

| Type of foods       | Name of foods  | Restriction period (0-6 month/ 6-12 month) | Superstitions Freque                 |           | Rank |
|---------------------|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| Energy Dense Foods  | Maize          | 0-6  | Cough to baby                        | 40(66.66) | 4    |
|                     | Colocasia      | 0-12                                       | Itching and skin allergy to baby     | 51(85.0)  | 1    |
| Body building foods | Dry fish       | 0-12                                       | Skin problem and allergy to baby.    | 51(85.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Fish           | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Head Protruding                      | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
|                     | Meat           | 2nd, 3rd                                   | Fetal abnormality                    | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
|                     | Prawn          | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Skin problem and allergy of baby     | 14(70.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Egg            | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Miscarriage                          | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
| Protective Foods    | Ripe papaya    | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Abortion                             | 14(70.0)  | 1    |
|                     | Ripe Mango     | 2nd, 3rd                                   | Indigestion                          | 7(35.0)   | 4    |
|                     | Ripe Jackfruit | 2nd, 3rd                                   | Abdominal pain                       | 9(45.0)   | 3    |
|                     | Brinjal        | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Skin allergy of baby and Indigestion | 10(50.0)  | 2    |
|                     | Colocasia      | 1st, 2nd, 3rd                              | Skin allergy of baby                 | 14(70.0)  | 1    |

Figures in the parenthesis indicate percentage value

 Table 3: Association between Age, Education and BMI with Food taboos among Pregnant women (N=20)

| Variables | Categories | N(Number of respondents)                   | Yes            | No       | X2 (p value) |  |
|-----------|------------|--|----------------|----------|--------------|--|
| Age       | 20-25 yrs  | 9(45.0)                                    | 6(66.66)       | 3(33.33) | 0.004*       |  |
|           | 26-30 yrs  | 7(35.0)                                    | 5(71.42)       | 2(28.57) |              |  |
|           | 31-35 yrs  | 4(20.0)                                    | 3(75.0)        | 1(25.0)  |              |  |
|           | Total      | 20(100.0)                                  | 14(70.0)       | 6(30.0)  |              |  |
|           |            | $\chi 2=5.99$ df=2 *= Significant at $\mu$ | 0<0.05         |          |              |  |
| Education | Literate   | 13(65.0)                                   | 8(61.53)       | 5(38.46) | 6.95087E-05* |  |
|           | Illiterate | 7(35.0)                                    | 6(85.71)       | 1(14.28) |              |  |
|           | Total      | 20(100.0)                                  | 14(70.0)       | 6(30.0)  |              |  |
| BMI       | <18.5      | 6(30.0)                                    | 5(83.33)       | 1(16.66) | 0.0007*      |  |
|           | >18.5      | 14(70.0)                                   | 9(64.28)       | 5(35.71) |              |  |
|           | Total      | 20(100.0)                                  | 14(70.0)       | 6(30.0)  |              |  |
|           |            | $(\chi 2=3.84, df=1) = Significant at$     | <i>p</i> <0.05 |          |              |  |

Figures in the parenthesis indicate percentage value

Information about the association between different parameters (Age, Literacy and BMI) with food taboos among pregnant women was presented in Table-3. It was found that p value was (<0.05). So there was significant association between the parameters with food taboos. The respondents

who were younger in age, literate were having less food taboos as compared to those who were older in age and illiterate. Those who were having more food taboos had BMI (<18.5) and those who were having less taboos had BMI (>18.5).

Table 4: Association between Age, Education and BMI with Food taboos among Lactating women (N=60)

| Variables | Categories | N(Number of respondents)              | Yes              | No       | X2 (p value) |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|
| Age       | 20-25 yrs  | 20(33.0)                              | 13(65.0)         | 7(35.0)  |              |
|           | 26-30 yrs  | 25(42.0)                              | 24(96.0)         | 1(4.0)   | 2.03586E-11* |
|           | 31-35 yrs  | 15(25.0)                              | 14(93.33)        | 1(6.66)  | 2.05560E-11* |
|           | Total      | 60(100.0)                             | 51(85.0)         | 9(15.0)  |              |
|           |            | $\chi$ 2=5.99 df=2 *= Significant at  | <i>p</i> <0.05   |          |              |
| Education | Literate   | 24(40.0)                              | 18(75.0)         | 6(25.0)  | 1.71391E-09* |
|           | Illiterate | 36(60.0)                              | 33(91.66)        | 3(8.33)  |              |
|           | Total      | 60(100.0)                             | 51(85.0)         | 9(15.0)  |              |
| BMI       | <18.5      | 17(28.0)                              | 16(94.11)        | 1(5.88)  |              |
|           | >18.5      | 43(72.0)                              | 35(84.39)        | 8(18.60) | 0.0007*      |
|           | Total      | 60(100.0)                             | 51(85.0)         | 9(15.0)  |              |
|           |            | $(\chi 2=3.84, df=1) = Significant a$ | t <i>p</i> <0.05 |          |              |

Figures in the parenthesis indicate percentage value

Table-4 revealed the information about the association between different parameters (Age, Literacy and BMI) with food taboos among lactating women. It was found that p value was (<0.05). So there was significant association between the parameters with food taboos. The respondents who were younger in age, literate were having less food taboos as compared to those who were older in age and illiterate. Those who were having more food taboos had BMI (<18.5) and those who were having less taboos had BMI (>18.5).

#### Food consumption frequency by respondents

Cereals and millets were consumed by 100% respondents (both pregnant and lactating women) on daily basis. Pulses and legumes were consumed by 70% and 68.33% by pregnant and lactating women respectively on daily basis. 30% and 31.66% respondents (pregnant and lactating women respectively) were consuming pulses 3 to 5 times per week. 5%, 35% and 40% pregnant women were found consuming green leafy vegetables 3 to 5 times/week, fortnightly and occasionally respectively. 100% lactating women were consuming green leafy vegetables occasionally. Roots and tubers and other vegetables were consumed by 100% respondents (both pregnant and lactating women) on daily basis. Fruits, Mutton, milk and milk products and nuts consumed oilseeds and were occasionally by 100% respondents (both pregnant and lactating women). Egg and chicken was consumed by 33.33% lactating women

fortnightly. 100% pregnant women and rest 66.66% lactating women were consumed egg and chicken occasionally. Sugar and jaggery were consumed by 50% pregnant women and 33.33% lactating women 3 to 5times/week and the rest 50% pregnant and 66.66% lactating women were consumed sugar and jaggery occasionally. Most of the respondents (88.75%) were non vegetarian and only 11.25% were vegetarian. 62.5% were taking 3 meals per day and 30 respondents (37.5%) were taking 4 meals per day. 30 respondents (37.5%) found skipping meals and 50 respondents (62.5%) told were found not skipping meals.

## Conclusion

Same food items were found restricted in both pregnancy and lactation, i.e., Colocasia, prawn and dry fish were avoided by 81.25% women, brinjal was avoided by 76.25% women, leafy vegetables were avoided by 67.5% women, mutton was avoided by 56.25% women, ripe papaya and ripe jackfruit were avoided by 55% women and egg was avoided by 43.75% women. The illiterate women were found having more food taboos as compared to literate women in the community.

#### References

1. Banu KK, Prathipa A, Anandarajan B, Sheriff AMI, Muthukumar S, Selvakumar J *et al.* Food taboos during antenatal and postpartum period among the women of rural and urban areas of Tamilnadu, International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research. 2016; 7(8):393-396.

- Catherin N, Rock B, Roger V, Ankita C, Ashes G, Darwin P *et al.* Beliefs and practices regarding nutrition during Pregnancy and lactation in a rural area in Karnataka, India: a qualitative study, International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health. 2015; 2(2):116-120.
- 3. Mesela HA. Traditional Maternal Health Beliefs and Practices in Southern Tigray: The case of Raya Alamata District, Anat physiol, an open access Journal. 2018; 8(2):298.
- Oluleke MO, Ogunwale AO, Arulogun OS, Adelekan AL. Dietary intake knowledge and reasons for food restriction during pregnancy among pregnant women attending primary health care centres in Ile-Ife, Nigeria, International Journal of Population Studies. 2016; 2(1):103-116.
- 5. Patil R, Mittal A, Vedapriya DR, Khan MI, Raghavia M. Taboos and misconceptions about food during pregnancy among rural population of Pondicherry, Calicut Medical Journal. 2018; 8(2):e4.
- Zepro NB. Food Taboos and Misconceptions among Pregnant Women of Shashemene District, Ethiopia, Science Journal of Public Health. 2012-2015; 3(3):410-416.