www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# **The Pharma Innovation**



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 2054-2058 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 04-08-2021 Accepted: 27-09-2021

#### G Sidhdharth

PG Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

#### K Nageswari

Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

#### R Balakumbahan

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticultural, Research Station, Thadiyankudisai, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

#### MP Kavitha

Assistant Professor, Department of Natural Resource Management, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

#### M Uma Maheswari

Teaching Assistant, Department of Natural Resource Management, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

#### Corresponding Author: K Nageswari

Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, TNAU, Tamil Nadu, India

### Investigation of planting geometry and harvesting heights in annual moringa var. PKM 1 (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) for leaf yield under irrigated conditions of Tamil Nadu

## G Sidhdharth, K Nageswari, R Balakumbahan, MP Kavitha and M Uma Maheswari

#### Abstract

The present investigation on planting geometry and harvesting heights of annual moringa var. PKM 1 for leaf yield was carried out during 2021 at Western block, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam. Experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. Treatment combinations comprised of four planting geometries in main plot *viz.*, 1.50 m x 0.25 m (S<sub>1</sub>), 1.50 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 m x 0.25 m (S<sub>3</sub>), 1.50 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>4</sub>) and three harvesting heights *viz.*, 30 cm (T<sub>1</sub>), 45 cm (T<sub>2</sub>) and 60 cm (T<sub>3</sub>) in subplot. Plant height, fresh and dry leaf yield were significantly higher in the treatment 1.50m x 0.25m x 0.25m while number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, stem girth, light interception, total chlorophyll, ascorbic acid and vitamin A were higher in the treatment 1.50m. Harvesting heights had no impact on growth parameters in first harvest but made a significant impact in second harvest. Stem girth recorded no variations with the harvesting heights in both harvests. Harvesting height of 30 cm recorded maximum yield (3.96 tonnes/ha) in first harvest but at subsequent harvest 45 cm made significantly more yield (4.77 tonnes/ha). No significant variations was recorded on total chlorophyll, ascorbic acid and vitamin A content with harvesting heights in both harvests.

Keywords: Annual moringa, planting geometry, harvesting heights, leaf yield

#### Introduction

Moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam.) is native to South Asia and cultivated throughout the tropical areas. In India Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka are the states involved in the production of moringa both for pods and leaves. Moringa is fast growing, drought tolerant, can be grown in many dry regions with minimum irrigation and fertilization, and continues to produce edible leaves during dry seasons (Pandey et al., 2010). Moringa leaves contain four times more vitamin A than carrots, three times more potassium than banana, seven times more vitamin C than oranges, three times more vitamin E than almonds, four times more calcium than milk and three times more iron than spinach. Moringa leaves also reduces the risk of cancer since it has the anti-oxidative properties (Gandji et al., 2018) [7]. Phytohormones extracted from moringa leaves have growth enhancing effect on various plants, including black gram, peanut, soybean, sugarcane and coffee. Moringa extracts is an important botanical drug against many different pathogenic bacteria due to their low toxicity and low cost of production. Moringa seeds are used in water treatment, food preservation and antibacterial treatments. Moringa leaves as well as seed cake is highly nutritious and can be used as feed for poultry, rabbits, goats, horses, pigs, sheep and cattle. Moringa feed supplementation has anthelmintic activity improving animal health, nutrition, weight gain, milk production, digestibility and also reduce parasite loads. Moringa leaves are found to be a potential source of natural antioxidants due to their marked antioxidant activity (El Sohaimy et al., 2015)<sup>[4]</sup>. Now a days, considering the nutritive value of moringa leaves, they are dried and then processed into various forms such as powder, capsules, tablets etc. Value added products such as moringa biscuits and moringa soup are prepared from moringa leaves. Moringa leaves and its products has a lot of demand throughout the world and there is a good scope for moringa leaves. So there is a need to increase the production of moringa leaves. Various planting geometries has to be followed for increasing the productivity of leaves. Harvesting heights also determines the productivity of leaves and harvesting heights need to be standardized.

Therefore, the present study was carried out to optimize the planting geometry along with the suitable harvesting heights in annual moringa var. PKM 1 for leaf yield.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted in Field No.13, Western block farm in Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, Theni, Tamil Nadu (Latitude 10<sup>0</sup>1283' N and longitude 77<sup>0</sup>5998' E) during the year 2021. Annual moringa variety PKM 1 seeds were sown during the month of February 2021 following all the standardized cultivation practices. Treatments imposed consists of four different planting geometries viz., 1.50 m x 0.25 m (S<sub>1</sub>), 1.50 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m (S<sub>3</sub>) and 1.50 m x 0.50 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>4</sub>) and three harvesting heights 30 cm  $(T_1)$ , 45 cm  $(T_2)$  and 60 cm  $(T_3)$ . Experiment was laid out in Split plot design and replicated thrice. Main plot is randomly assigned with the various planting geometry while the subplot is assigned with different harvesting heights. First harvesting of leaves was taken in 90 days after sowing and the subsequent harvest was taken after 45 days according to the treatment combinations.

The morphological observations such as the plant height, number of primary branches, number of compound leaves and stem girth were recorded. After the first harvest, number of lateral branches arised from the cut end was observed along with plant height, number of compound leaves and stem girth. Fresh leaf yield was recorded in all the treatment combinations and the harvested leaves were dried using solar drier. The dry leaf yield was recorded in all the treatment combinations. Quality parameters such as total chlorophyll content, vitamin A content, ascorbic acid content were analyzed during both the harvests. Light Interception (%) was taken using lux meter for all the treatments before each harvesting.

#### **Results and Discussions**

#### Plant height (cm)

The closer spacing (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded significantly higher plant height (151.59 cm) in first harvest whereas the minimum plant height of 143.14 cm was obtained under wider spacing (S<sub>2</sub>) of 1.50 m x 0.50 m. This might be associated with the etiolation character of the plants since closer spacing competes for light. Harvesting heights and their interaction with plant spacing made no impact in first harvest. During second harvest, maximum plant height (191.49 cm) was observed in S<sub>3</sub> (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m). The similar result was also reported by Bagri *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[2]</sup>. Among harvesting heights, maximum plant height (191.20) was observed in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (60 cm). Interaction between planting geometry and harvesting heights significantly influenced the plant height in the second harvest.

#### Number of primary branches

The number of primary branches arising from the nodes of main stem were significantly higher (4.09) in the wider spacing  $S_2$  (1.50 m x 0.50 m). Closest spacing  $S_3$  (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m) has the least number of primary branches (2.63). The results were in similarity with the findings of Ramkumar and Anuja (2017) <sup>[12, 13]</sup> and reported that the number of primary branches were more in wider spacing of 120 cm x 120 cm over 45 cm x 45 cm, 60 cm x 60 cm, 75 cm x 75 cm and 90 cm x 90 cm in moringa. Harvesting heights made no impact and also no significant interaction between harvesting heights and spacing for the number of primary branches.

|                       | Grov                 | wth parameter   |        | Quality parameters |                       |                                   |                               |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment             | Plant height<br>(cm) | Number of<br>primary<br>branchesNumber of<br>Compound lea |        | Stem girth<br>(cm) | Light<br>Interception | Total<br>chlorophyll<br>(mg/100g) | Ascorbic<br>acid<br>(mg/100g) | Vitamin<br>A<br>(mg/100g) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spacing               |                      |   |        |                    |                       |                                   |                               |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>S</b> 1            | 150.02               | 2.97  | 25.92  | 6.55               | 6.41                  | 46.18                             | 80.40                         | 12.37                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_2$                 | 143.14               | 4.09  | 24.41  | 7.39               | 10.00                 | 50.29                             | 88.97                         | 14.16                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | 151.59               | 2.63  | 29.19  | 5.96               | 4.41                  | 40.87                             | 59.58                         | 7.65                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_4$                 | 145.05               | 3.81  | 27.48  | 6.85               | 5.18                  | 44.83                             | 75.47                         | 10.23                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 2.12                 | 0.32  | 1.06   | 0.29               | 1.13                  | 2.10                              | 6.29                          | 1.35                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | 5.20                 | 0.79  | 2.61   | 0.70               | 2.77                  | 5.14                              | 15.41                         | 3.31                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | •                    |   |        | -                  |                       |                                   |                               |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1                    | 147.95               | 3.48  | 27.26  | 6.59               | 6.36                  | 45.42                             | 79.59                         | 11.51                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 146.96               | 3.40  | 26.69  | 6.85               | 6.64                  | 45.57                             | 74.34                         | 10.22                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 147.45               | 3.25  | 26.30  | 6.63               | 6.49                  | 45.26                             | 74.38                         | 11.58                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 1.68                 | 0.13  | 0.96   | 0.21               | 0.21                  | 1.37                              | 6.87                          | 0.85                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | NS                   | NS  | NS     | NS                 | NS                    | NS                                | NS                            | NS                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main plot             | Sub plot             | (harvesting he  | ights) |                    | •                     | •                                 | •                             |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| S1 – 1.50 m X 0.25 m  |                      | T1-30 cm  |        |                    |                       |                                   |                               |                           |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Planting geometry and harvesting heights on growth and quality parameters of moringa during first harvest of leaves

S1 – 1.50 m X 0.25 m S2 – 1.50 m X 0.50 m

 $S3 - 1.50 \text{ m X } 0.25 \text{ m X } 0.25 \text{ m} \qquad \text{T3-} 60 \text{ cm}$ 

S4 – 1.50 m X 0.50 m X 0.50 m

#### Number of secondary branches

The number of secondary branches were significantly higher (11.01) in  $S_2$  (1.50 m x 0.50 m) while the lowest number of secondary branches (6.46) were recorded in  $S_3$  (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m). Closer spacing may attribute to the lower number of secondary branches. Harvesting height of 45 cm shown significantly higher number of secondary branches

T2-45 cm

(10.35) followed by harvesting at 60 cm. There exists significant interaction between the spacing and the harvesting heights.

Number of compound leaves: The spacing  $1.50 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ m}$  (S<sub>3</sub>) shown significantly higher number of compound leaves (29.19) in the first harvest stage due to

increased plant height which is followed by 1.50 m x 0.50 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>4</sub>) while least number (24.41) was seen in the spacing of 1.5 m x 0.5 m (S<sub>2</sub>). Harvesting heights and its interaction with spacing had no influence during first harvest.  $S_2$  (1.50 m x 0.50 m) exhibited higher number of compound leaves (71.60) in second harvest since it has the highest number of secondary branches whereas less number of compound leaves (56.49) was observed in S<sub>3</sub> (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m). Harvesting height of 45 cm (T<sub>2</sub>) recorded highest number of compound leaves (70.86) followed by  $T_1$ (60 cm) followed by  $T_3$  (30 cm). Interaction of planting geometry with harvesting heights significantly influenced the number of compound leaves. (Table 1)

Stem girth (cm): Stem girth is significantly higher in the wider spacing 1.5 m x 0.50 m since the plants grow without any competition and results in better growth and development of stem during first (7.39 cm) and second harvest stage (11.51 cm) while closer spacing has the lower stem girth. Denser population reduced the stem diameters as reported by Goss 2012. Harvesting heights had no significant influence on stem girth. Interaction of planting geometry and cutting heights made no impact in stem girth.

#### Light interception (%)

Light interception (%) was maximum (10.00%) in the wider spacing of 1.5 m x 0.50 m while minimum (4.41%) in the closer spacing of 1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m in first harvest. Similar trend was also observed during second harvest for light interception (10.95%). Harvesting heights made no impact on the light interception in first harvest but harvesting height of 30 cm made highest light interception (8.32%) on second harvest since minimum number of laterals are produced. Interaction had made significant influence on light interception. (Table 2)

#### Total chlorophyll (mg/100g)

During first and second harvests, total chlorophyll was estimated and found that the total chlorophyll content was significantly higher (50.29) with the wider spacing  $(S_2)$  and lowest in closer spacing (S<sub>3</sub>) whereas harvesting heights did not affect the total chlorophyll content. Ramkumar and Anuja (2017) <sup>[12, 13]</sup> observed that the chlorophyll content of moringa leaves decreased in the closer spacing and increased in the wider spacing. Interaction made significant impact on the total chlorophyll in second harvest but no impact during first harvest.

| <b>Table 2.</b> I faiting geometry and harvesting neights on growth and quality parameters of morning during second harvest of leave |
|--|
|--|

|                       | Growth               |                                  | <b>Ouality parameters</b>    |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment             | Plant height<br>(cm) | Number of<br>lateral<br>branches | Number of<br>Compound leaves | Stem<br>girth<br>(cm) | Light<br>Interception<br>(%) | Total<br>Chlorophyll<br>(mg/100g) | Ascorbic<br>acid<br>(mg/100g) | Vitamin A<br>(mg/100g) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spacing               |                      |                                  |                              |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>S</b> 1            | 183.92               | 8.52                             | 64.54                        | 10.63                 | 7.50                         | 45.40                             | 92.49                         | 14.68                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_2$                 | 171.23               | 11.01                            | 71.60                        | 11.51                 | 10.95                        | 50.07                             | 111.74                        | 19.03                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | 191.49               | 6.46                             | 56.49                        | 9.83                  | 6.35                         | 42.09                             | 77.97                         | 10.42                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_4$                 | 181.44               | 10.39                            | 68.51                        | 11.20                 | 6.70                         | 44.99                             | 86.43                         | 13.11                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 3.81                 | 0.98                             | 4.12                         | 0.35                  | 0.32                         | 1.86                              | 7.71                          | 1.90                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | 9.32                 | 2.42                             | 10.09                        | 0.87                  | 0.79                         | 4.55                              | 18.87                         | 4.66                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvesting heights    |                      |                                  |                              |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1                    | 171.56               | 7.34                             | 57.20                        | 10.67                 | 8.32                         | 45.67                             | 90.74                         | 13.71                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 183.32               | 10.35                            | 70.86                        | 10.96                 | 7.50                         | 46.23                             | 91.90                         | 13.04                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3                    | 191.20               | 9.60                             | 67.79                        | 10.75                 | 7.80                         | 45.01                             | 93.84                         | 16.18                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 2.64                 | 0.39                             | 1.29                         | 0.29                  | 0.10                         | 1.32                              | 5.13                          | 1.41                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | 5.61                 | 0.83                             | 2.75                         | NS                    | 0.22                         | NS                                | NS                            | NS                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |                      |                                  | Interaction (S x T)          |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 5.29                 | 0.78                             | 2.59                         | 0.58                  | 0.21                         | 2.64                              | 10.27                         | 2.83                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | 11.22                | 1.66                             | 5.49                         | NS                    | 0.44                         | NS                                | 21.79                         | 6.00                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interaction (T x S)   |                      |                                  |                              |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEd                   | 8.46                 | 1.88                             | 7.59                         | 0.84                  | 0.59                         | 4.16                              | 16.85                         | 4.34                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.05)           | 19.62                | 4.50                             | 18.30                        | NS                    | 1.44                         | NS                                | 39.19                         | 10.04                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main plot             | Sub                  | plot (harvestin                  | g heights)                   |                       |                              |                                   |                               |                        |  |  |  |  |  |

S1 - 1.50 m X 0.25 mS2 - 1.50 m X 0.50 mS3 - 1.50 m X 0.25 m X 0.25 m T1- 30 cm T2-45 cm T3- 60 cm

S4 - 1.50 m X 0.50 m X 0.50 m

#### Ascorbic Acid (mg/100g)

The ascorbic acid content was significantly highest (88.97 mg/100g) in the spacing  $S_2$  (1.5 m x 0.50 m) while  $S_3$  (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m) showed the minimum (59.58 mg/100g) ascorbic acid content in the first harvest. Wider spacing exhibited significantly higher (111.74 mg/100g) ascorbic acid content in second harvest. Ponnuswami and Alli Rani (2019) <sup>[11]</sup> also revealed that wider spacing increased the ascorbic acid content in moringa leaves. Harvesting heights had no significant difference for ascorbic acid content in the first and second harvest. Interaction of planting geometry with harvesting heights has no significance in the first harvest

while the interaction made a significant impact in the second harvest for ascorbic acid content.

#### Vitamin A (mg/100g)

Significantly higher Vitamin A content of 14.16 mg/100g and 19.03 mg/100g was recorded in the wider spacing of 1.5 m x 0.50 m (S<sub>2</sub>) respectively during first and second harvests. This was in accordance with the findings of Ponnuswami and Alli Rani (2019)<sup>[11]</sup>. Harvesting heights showed no significance in both the harvests for vitamin A content. Planting geometry and its interaction with harvesting height made no impact for vitamin A content on first harvest while it was significantly

influenced the vitamin A content during second harvest.

#### Fresh leaf yield (tonnes/hectare)

First harvest revealed that the fresh leaf yield was significantly highest (4.71 t/ha) in closer spacing S<sub>3</sub> (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m) while it was lower (2.97 t/ha) in wider spacing S<sub>2</sub> (1.5 m x 0.50 m) as closer spacing accommodates more plant population and resulted in increased yield per hectare. Higher plant density leads to the more biomass production (Basra *et al.*, 2015)<sup>[3]</sup>. Similar trend was noticed for yield during second harvest also. Adegun and Ayodele (2015) <sup>[1]</sup> stated that the yield was greater in the closest spacing of 30 cm x 40 cm than wider spacing of 40 cm x 60 cm, 60 cm x 80 cm and 100 cm x 100 cm. The same was

revealed by Maheswari et al., 2019 [9]. In the present study significantly high leaf yield was recorded at 30 cm harvesting height above the ground level during first harvest (3.96 t/ha). Cutting height at 30 cm had recorded more yield than 45 cm and 60 cm as reported by El-Morsy (2009). During second harvest, the harvesting height of 45 cm recorded significantly higher leaf yield (4.77 t/ha) followed by 60 cm (4.63 t/ha) and least in 30 cm (4.53 t/ha). More number of secondary branches are obtained when harvested at 45 cm above ground level, which was associated in maximum fresh leaf yield. Interaction between planting geometry and spacing is significant to each other in both first and second harvests for fresh leaf yield. (Table 3).

Table 3: Planting geometry and harvesting heights on fresh leaf yield and dry leaf yield of moringa PKM 1

|   | Fresh Leaf yield (tonnes/hectare) |       |                       |            |      |                |       |                       |            |      |                | Dry Leaf Yield (tonnes/hectare) |                       |            |      |                |                |                       |            |      |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|------|
| Treatments                              | Treatments First Harves           |       |                       |            |      | Second Harvest |       |                       |            |      | First Harvest  |                                 |                       |            |      |                | Second Harvest |                       |            |      |
|   | S <sub>1</sub>                    | $S_2$ | <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | <b>S</b> 4 | Mean | S <sub>1</sub> | $S_2$ | <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | <b>S</b> 4 | Mean | S <sub>1</sub> | $S_2$                           | <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | <b>S</b> 4 | Mean | S <sub>1</sub> | $S_2$          | <b>S</b> <sub>3</sub> | <b>S</b> 4 | Mean |
| $T_1$                                   | 3.64                              | 3.04  | 5.36                  | 3.80       | 3.96 | 4.38           | 3.05  | 6.00                  | 4.69       | 4.53 | 0.66           | 0.55                            | 0.98                  | 0.70       | 0.72 | 0.80           | 0.57           | 1.09                  | 0.85       | 0.83 |
| T <sub>2</sub>                          | 3.53                              | 2.98  | 4.47                  | 3.76       | 3.69 | 4.47           | 3.15  | 6.71                  | 4.74       | 4.77 | 0.65           | 0.54                            | 0.83                  | 0.69       | 0.68 | 0.82           | 0.58           | 1.22                  | 0.86       | 0.87 |
| T3                                      | 3.52                              | 2.87  | 4.29                  | 3.71       | 3.60 | 4.42           | 3.07  | 6.31                  | 4.71       | 4.63 | 0.64           | 0.53                            | 0.77                  | 0.68       | 0.65 | 0.81           | 0.57           | 1.14                  | 0.86       | 0.85 |
| Mean                                    | 3.56                              | 2.97  | 4.71                  | 3.76       | 3.75 | 7.03           | 3.09  | 6.34                  | 4.71       | 4.64 | 0.65           | 0.54                            | 0.86                  | 0.69       | 0.69 | 0.81           | 0.58           | 1.15                  | 0.86       | 0.85 |
|   | S                                 | Т     | S x T                 | T x S      |      | S              | Т     | S x T                 | T x S      |      | S              | Т                               | S x T                 | T x S      |      | S              | Т              | S x T                 | T x S      |      |
| SEd                                     | 0.08                              | 0.11  | 0.22                  | 0.26       |      | 0.16           | 0.06  | 0.13                  | 0.31       |      | 0.01           | 0.02                            | 0.04                  | 0.05       |      | 0.03           | 0.01           | 0.02                  | 0.05       |      |
| CD (P = 0.05)                           | 0.19                              | 0.23  | 0.47                  | 0.57       |      | 0.39           | 0.14  | 0.29                  | 1.73       |      | 0.04           | 0.04                            | 0.09                  | 0.11       |      | 0.07           | 0.03           | 0.05                  | 0.13       |      |
| Main plot Sub plot (harvesting heights) |                                   |       |                       |            |      |                |       |                       |            |      |                |                                 |                       |            |      |                |                |                       |            |      |
| S1 – 1.50 m X 0.25 m T1- 30 cm          |                                   |       |                       |            |      |                |       |                       |            |      |                |                                 |                       |            |      |                |                |                       |            |      |

S1 – 1.50 m X 0.25 m S2 - 1.50 m X 0.50 mT2-45 cm

S3 - 1.50 m X 0.25 m X 0.25 mT3- 60 cm

S4 - 1.50 m X 0.50 m X 0.50 m

#### Dry Leaf Yield (tonnes/hectare)

Closer spacing  $(S_3)$  showed significantly maximum dry leaf yield (0.86 t/ha) significantly and lowest (0.54 t/ha) in wider spacing  $(S_2)$  at first harvest. During second harvest  $S_3$  (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m) exhibited significantly higher dry leaf yield (1.15 t/ha) and lower (0.58 t/ha) in  $S_2$  (1.5 m x 0.50 m). Less number of plants accommodated in  $S_2$  (1.5 m x 0.50 m) resulted in minimum dry leaf yield (Basra et al., 2015)<sup>[3]</sup>. Harvesting height at 30 cm showed significantly higher dry leaf yield (0.72 t/ha) during first harvest. In second harvest, harvesting height of 45 cm recorded maximum (0.87 t/ha) dry leaf yield. There exist significant effect of interaction among the various planting geometries in the main plot and harvesting heights in subplot at both the harvests.

#### Conclusion

Planting geometries influenced the plant growth, yield characters and quality parameters of moringa leaves. Harvesting heights influenced the yield parameters in both the harvests but has no influence on the total chlorophyll, ascorbic acid and vitamin A content of moringa leaves. Growth parameters are influenced by the harvesting heights in second harvest whereas no variations was observed in first harvest. From the overall results it can be concluded that the spacing  $S_3$  (1.50 m x 0.25 m x 0.25 m) coupled with harvesting height of 45 cm (T<sub>2</sub>) provided increased yield of moringa leaves.

#### Reference

Adegun MK, Ayodele OJ. Growth and yield of Moringa oleifera as influenced by spacing and organic manures in South-Western Nigeria. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research 2015;6(6):30-37.

- Bagri S, Singh J, Bhatnagar P, Khatik G, Meena SKJKK. Effect of Date of Transplanting and Crop Geometry on Growth and Physiological Attributes of Cape gooseberry (Physalis peruviana L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2018;7(10):3203-3206.
- 3. Basra SMA, Nouman W, Usman M. Biomass production and nutritional composition of Moringa oleifera under different cutting frequencies and planting spacings. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 2015;17(5).
- 4. El Sohaimy SA, Hamad GM, Mohamed SE, Amar MH, Al-Hindi RR. Biochemical and functional properties of Moringa oleifera leaves and their potential as a functional food. Global Advanced Research Journal of Agricultural Science 2015;4(4):188-199.
- 5. El-Morsy MHM. Influence of cutting height and plant spacing on Sesbania (Sesbania aegyptiaca [Poir]) productivity under hyper-arid conditions in El-kharga Oasis, El-Wadi El-Gaded, Egypt. International Journal of Plant Production 2012;3(2):77-84.
- 6. Goss M. A study of the initial establishment of multipurpose moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam) at various plant densities, their effect on biomass accumulation and leaf yield when grown as vegetable. African Journal of Plant Science 2012;6(3):125-129.
- 7. Gandji K, Chadare FJ, Idohou R, Salako VK, Assogbadjo AE, Kakai GRL. Status and Utilisation of Moringa oleifera Lam. African Crop Science Journal 2018;26(1):137-156.
- Mabapa MP, Ayisi KK, Mariga IK. Effect of planting density and harvest interval on the leaf yield and quality moringa (Moringa oleifera) under diverse of

agroecological conditions of Northern South Africa. International Journal of Agronomy 2017.

- Maheswari MU, Krishnasamy SM, Kumar M, Sakthivel N. Impact of high density planting system and growth retardants on root growth and yield attributes in machine sown cotton. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2019;8(3):123-130.
- 10. Pandey A, Pradheep K, Gupta R, Nayar ER, Bhandari DC. 'Drumstick tree' (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.): a multipurpose potential species in India. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 2011;58(3):453-460.
- 11. Ponnuswami V, Rani E. Organic leaf production of Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) cv. PKM-1 for higher leaf yield and quality parameters under Ultra High-Density planting system. Advances in Plants and Agriculture Research 2019;9(1):206-214.
- 12. Ramkumar K, Anuja S. Effect of different planting density on growth parameters of moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.). Asian Journal of Horticulture 2017;12(2):198-201.
- 13. Ramkumar K, Anuja S. Effect of different planting density on leaf yield and quality of moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.). Asian Journal of Horticulture 2017;12(2):241-243.