www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 2428-2431 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 02-07-2021

Accepted: 09-09-2021

#### DP Pacharne

Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### HM Patil

Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### **RD** Lokhande

Senior Research Assistant, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### SS Chitodkar

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

#### NM Magar

Assistant Professor, Department of Agril Botany, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: RD Lokhande Senior Research Assistant, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

# Growth and fibre yield of newly released genotypes of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.)

# DP Pacharne, HM Patil, RD Lokhande, SS Chitodkar and NM Magar

#### Abstract

The field experiment was conducted during kharif 2019 at Farm of Jute and Allied Fibre crops at Rahuri, Maharashtra on medium black soil to evaluate the yield potential and economics of newly released genotypes of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.). The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design during *kharif* season in four replications. The factor A consist of three varieties viz., V1-AHS 286, V<sub>2</sub>- AMV-5 and V<sub>3</sub>-HS-4288 and factor B consist of four fertilizer levels viz., F<sub>0</sub>-Control, F<sub>1</sub>-40:20:20, F2- 60:30:30 and F3-80:40:40; N:P2O5 :K2O;kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. This semi-arid tract with an annual rainfall received 693.8 mm and 43 rainy days during period experiment. The altitude varies from 495 to 569 m above mean sea-level. The results stated that, the variety AHS-286 recorded significantly higher growth and yield attributes viz., Plant height, number of functional leaves, fibre yield per plant than the variety AMV-5 and HS-4288. The variety AHS-286 recorded significantly higher green biomass and fibre yield (59.68 t/ ha, 23.79 q/ ha) than the variety HS-4288 (56.42 t/ha, 20.73 q/ha) and AMV-5 (51.15 t/ha, 20.01q/ha). Similarly, the variety AHS-286 recorded maximum gross (Rs.93962/ha), net (Rs.55921/ha) monetary returns and B:C ratio (2.45) than rest of all varieties. The application of fertilizer levels (N: P2O5:K2O) of 80:40:40 to the roselle crop recorded significantly higher green stalk and fibre yield (64.53 t/ha and 27.07 q/ ha) than fertilizer levels of control and 40:20:20 kg/ha but it was at par with fertilizer levels (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) of 60:30: 30 kg/ ha (63.29 t/ha and 26.03 q/ha). So, Its beneficial effects recorded to increase economic indices viz., net returns (Rs.66185/ha) and per rupee returns (2.64) of application of fertilizer levels (N:P2O5:K2O) of 60:30: 30 kg/ ha than rest of all treatments. It is concluded that, performance of newly released genotype of AHS-286 with fertilizer level of 60:30:30 (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) kg/ha is beneficial for higher fibre yield and economic returns of roselle (*H. sabdariffa*) in kharif season.

Keywords: Growth attributes, varieties, fertilizer levels fibre yield and economics

#### Introduction

In twenty-first century, jute and allied fibres, once known as The Golden Fiber of India is becoming increasingly valuable not only as a natural alternative to petrochemical derived synthetic fibers, but also as a component of automobile interiors, fiber composites and other diversified products. In comparison to major crops like rice and wheat, jute is more energy efficient, producing more biomass and fixing higher carbon dioxide. Surely, demand for jute will increase in near future. Globally, India is the largest producer of both raw jute (jute and Mesta) and jute products with a shares of 53 per cent and 62 per cent respectively, in global production. Jute fiber contributes nearly 7000 core (0.4 per cent) to India's value of output from agriculture. India is the largest producer of jute and allied fibre (40% of world production) and jute and allied fibre goods (60% of global production) in the world with an average productivity of both Bangladesh and India (Pandey et al. 2020)<sup>[9]</sup>. China has also a dominating place in its cultivation. On small scale, Thailand, Myanmar, Pakistan, Nepal and Bhutan are also cultivating these crop. In India area under jute and mesta was 0.742 million ha and production 10.03 million Bales with productivity 2435 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2017-18 (Anonymous, 2018)<sup>[1]</sup>. Two botanical types of roselle, namely *H. sabdariffa* var. altissima and H. sabdariffa var. sabdariffa. The first grown for its phloem fibre and the second for its fleshy, shiny-red calyxes which are usually extracted in hot or cold water and consumed as a beverage. Area under cultivation of roselle in Maharashtra especially very low, but this crop is newly emerging and grown for seed production in Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka.

Roselle is highly responsive to chemical fertilizer and organic manures. The full expression of genetic potential of a crop could be attained with proper management practices including appropriate fertilizer management (Alam *et al.*, 2002)<sup>[2]</sup>.

Use of chemical fertilizers has positive effect on growth and yield of roselle, but imbalanced use of chemical fertilizers not only lowers productivity but also adversely affects soil health by decreasing soil organic carbon, microbial flora and hardening of soil. The newly released genotypes with improved agro-techniques play crucial role to increase the production and productivity of fibre crops (Singh *et al.* 2015)<sup>[10]</sup>. For this present study was undertaken for evaluation of newly released genotypes and fertilizer levels on growth, fibre yield and economics of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.).

## **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted during kharif 2019 on Farm of Jute and Allied Fibre crops, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri; Maharashtra (situated at lies between 19° 48' N and 19° 57' N latitude and 74° 32' E and 74° 19' E longitude). The altitude varies from 495 to 569 meter above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site is clay loam in texture (Clay-53.18%, Silt-18.04% and Sand- 28.78%) with having pH 8.2 and EC 0.28 dS/m and organic carbon 0.46% in top of 15 cm soil. The soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were 279.88, 15.56, 313.78 kg/ ha. The field capacity, bulk density and permanent wilting point of the surface (0-15 cm) soil were 31.20% on volume basis, 1.17 Mg<sup>-3</sup> and 16.42%, respectively. The average annual rainfall at Rahuri is 520 mm. The rainfall received from south-west monsoon from May to November was 693.8 mm and 43 rainy days during 2019, which is beneficial for crop growth and seed development. The average mean annual maximum and minimum temperature ranges from 33° to 43 °C and 6° to 18 °C, respectively. The average relative humidity during morning and evening hours are 59 and 35 per cent, respectively. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design during kharif season in four replications. The factor A consist of three varieties viz., V1-AHS 286, V<sub>2</sub>-AMV-5 and V<sub>3</sub>-HS-4288 and factor B consist of four fertilizer levels viz., F<sub>0</sub>-Control, F<sub>1</sub>-40:20:20, F<sub>2</sub>-60:30:30 and F<sub>3</sub>-80:40:40; N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> :K<sub>2</sub>O;kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Fertilizer doses of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied as per treatment wise fully of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O at the time of sowing and N was given in split application of 30% at sowing, 35% at 30 days after sowing and 35% at 65 days after sowing, respectively.

In experimental plot, 5 plants were selected randomly from the second row of each plot for measurement of growth and yield attributes. The crop was harvested at 50% flowering as per treatment wise and take weight of green biomass bundles and dipped in rating tank as per treatment-wise for 21 days and after washed in freely moveable water, dried and recorded yield of fibre from net plot and converted into t/ha. The gross returns were calculated by multiplying the prevalent market price of fibre with their respective yields, and net returns were calculated by subtracting cost of cultivation from the gross returns. Benefit: cost ratio was calculated by dividing the net returns with cost of cultivation under the respective treatment. Statistical analysis was done as per randomized block design (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) <sup>[5]</sup> and treatment means were compared at 5% level of significance.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The crop sown of different varieties and application of fertilizer levels were recorded significant effect in growth, fibre yield and economics of roselle in Table 1 and 2.

# Performance of varieties on growth and yield of roselle

The growth, yield attributes and yield of roselle crop as influenced by different treatments are presented in Table 1. The variety AHS-286 recorded significantly higher growth and yield attributes *viz.*, Plant height (241.36 cm), number of functional leaves (61.66), fibre yield (8.51 g) per plant than the variety AMV-5 and HS-4288. Similarly, higher growth and yield attributes resulted into significantly higher green biomass yield of roselle crop. The variety AHS-286 recorded significantly higher green biomass and fibre yield (59.68 t/ ha, 23.79 q/ha) than the variety HS-4288 (56.42 t/ha, 20.73 q/ha) and AMV-5 (51.15 t/ha, 20.01q/ha). It is recorded higher green biomass and fibre yield of 16.67 and 18.89% than AMV-5. These findings are in harmony with results of Ali *et al.*, 2017 and Islam 2019 <sup>[8, 7]</sup>.

#### Performance of varieties on economics

The different varieties of roselle crop recorded significant differences in green biomass and fibre yield. It is responsible for converted to the higher economic indices. The variety AHS-286 recorded maximum gross (Rs.93962/ha), net (Rs.55921/ha) monetary returns and B:C ratio (2.45) than the variety HS-4288 and AMV-5. The lowest economic indices like gross (Rs.79056/ha), net (41057) monetary returns and B:C ratio (2.05) were recorded by the variety AMV-5. These results are in agreement with the results of Islam, (2019) <sup>[7]</sup> and Tripathi *et al.*, 2012 <sup>[11]</sup>.

# Performance of fertilizer levels on growth and yield

The application of fertilizer levels (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) of 80:40:40 to the roselle crop recorded significantly higher green stalk and fibre yield (64.53 t/ha and 27.07 q/ha) than fertilizer levels of control and 40:20:20 kg/ha but it was at par with fertilizer levels (N:P2O5:K2O) of 60:30: 30 kg/ha (63.29 t/ha and 26.03 q/ha) during the period of experiment. So the optimum level of fertilizer in roselle of 60:30:30; N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O/ha is more beneficial to increase the fibre yield and it was 27.47% higher than 40:20:20 kg/ha and 51.86% higher than control treatment. The lowest green biomass (39.73 t/ha) and fibre yield (12.53 q/ha) of roselle crop was recorded by control treatments. The growth and yield attributes viz., Plant height (242.78 cm), number of functional leaves (61.10), basal diameter (1.51 cm) and fibre yield (9.29 gm) per plant were recorded significantly higher with application of fertilizer levels (N:P2O5:K2O) of 80:40:40 to the roselle crop than rest of all treatments but it was at par with fertilizer level of 60:30:30; N:P2O5:K2O/ha. Similar results are registered by Egharevba and Law-ogboma (2007) <sup>[3]</sup> and Guha *et al.*, (2008) <sup>[6]</sup>.

### Performance of fertilizer levels on economics

The application of different fertilizer levels to the roselle crop are recorded significant differences in economic indices and indicated in table 2. The application of fertilizer levels  $(N:P_2O_5:K_2O/ha)$  of 80:40:40 to the roselle crop recorded significantly higher gross and net monetary returns (Rs.106914/ha and Rs.66185/ha) than rest of all treatments but it was par with fertilizer level of 60:30:30;  $N:P_2O_5:K_2O/ha$ in net returns. Similarly, the per rupees returns (2.64) of roselle crop were recorded maximum in fertilizer level of 60:30:30;  $N:P_2O_5:K_2O/ha$ . So it is saving of 25% fertilizer dose in roselle fibre production. The lowest per rupees returns (1.40) was recorded by control treatment. Similar line of work was recorded by Guha *et al.*, (2008) <sup>[6]</sup>, Getso *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[4]</sup> and Singh *et al.*, (2015) <sup>[10]</sup>.

**Interaction effects:** The interaction effect between varieties and fertilizer levels were found to be significant in number of leaves, fibre yield per plant (g/plant), green stalk weight (t/ha) and fibre yield (q/ha) and presented in Table 1a and 1b. The combined interaction effect of variety AHS-286 and fertilizer level of 80:40:40; N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O/ha was recorded significantly higher number of leaves (63.47), fibre yield per plant (10.68 g), green stalk and fibre yield (68.29 t/ha and 29.85 q/ha) than rest of interaction combinations, but it was at par with combined effect on fertilizer level of  $60:30:30;N:P_2O_5:K_2O/ha$  and the variety AHS-286. The lowest yield of green stalk (31.60 t/ha) and fibre yield (9.65 q/ha) was recorded by combined interaction effect control treatment with variety AMV-5.

On the basis of experiment, it could be concluded that, the performance of newly released genotype of AHS-286 with fertilizer level of 60:30:30 (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) kg/ha is beneficial for higher fibre yield and economic returns of roselle (*H. sabdariffa*) in kharif season.

Table 1: Growth and	yield attributes of tos	s jute as influenced by	different treatments
---------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------

Treatment Pl	ant height (cm)	Number of functional leaves/pla	nt <mark>Basal diameter (cm</mark>	ı) Fibre yield/plant	Green wt. (t/ha)	Fibre yield (q/ha)	
A. Varieties							
V <sub>1</sub> : AHS 286	241.36	61.66	1.43	8.51	59.68	23.79	
V <sub>2</sub> : AMV 5	228.83	52.67	1.36	7.74	51.15	20.01	
V <sub>3</sub> : HS 4288	233.01	54.21	1.41	8.49	56.42	20.73	
S. E. m ±	2.36	0.53	0.02	0.10	0.81	0.31	
CD (P=0.05)	6.92	1.54	NS	0.30	2.37	0.90	
B. Fertilizer levels (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O kg/ha)							
F <sub>0</sub> : Control	224.31	51.20	1.25	6.96	39.73	12.53	
F1: 40:20:20	229.60	52.34	1.35	7.63	55.44	20.42	
F <sub>2</sub> : 60:30:30	240.90	60.08	1.49	9.11	63.29	26.03	
F3: 80:40:40	242.78	61.10	1.51	9.29	64.53	27.07	
S. E. m ±	2.72	0.61	0.02	0.12	0.93	0.35	
CD (P=0.05)	7.99	1.78	0.07	0.35	2.74	1.04	
C. Interaction (M x F)							
S.Em ±	4.72	1.05	0.04	0.21	1.62	0.61	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	3.08	NS	0.61	4.75	1.80	

Table 1a: Interaction effect between varieties and fertilizer levels on functional leaves and fibre yield per plant of roselle

Varieties	Numb	per of leaves/plant		Fibre yield per plant (g plant <sup>-1</sup>		(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
Fertilizer levels (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	V1:AHS-286	V <sub>2</sub> :AMV-5	V3:HS-4288	V1:AHS-286	V <sub>2</sub> :AMV-5	V <sub>3</sub> :HS-4288
F <sub>0</sub> : Control	60.27	45.47	47.87	8.97	8.01	7.95
F1: 40:20:20	60.00	47.71	49.32	9.35	8.15	8.72
F <sub>2</sub> : 60:30:30	62.90	58.43	58.92	10.18	9.00	9.63
F3: 80:40:40	63.47	59.09	60.73	10.68	9.37	9.97
Source S.Em. +		1.05			0.21	
CD at 5%	3.08		0.61			

Table 1b: Interaction effect between varieties and fertilizer levels on green stalk and fibre yield (q/ha) of roselle at harvest

Varieties	Green stalk weight (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Fibre yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
Fertilizer levels (N:P2O5:K2O kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	V1:AHS-286	V2:AMV-5	V3:HS-4288	V1:AHS-286	V2:AMV-5	V3:HS-4288
F <sub>0</sub> : Control	44.50	31.60	43.11	14.06	9.65	13.86
F1: 40:20:20	61.08	51.53	53.70	22.97	18.42	19.86
F <sub>2</sub> : 60:30:30	64.84	60.86	64.44	28.27	25.32	24.49
F3: 80:40:40	68.29	60.60	64.42	29.85	26.66	24.69
Source S.Em. +		1.62			0.61	
CD at 5%		4.74			1.79	

Table 2: Economics of Roselle as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	Gross monetary returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net monetary returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio				
	A) Varieties							
V <sub>1</sub> -AHS-286	93962	38041	55921	2.45				
V <sub>2</sub> -AMV-5	79056	37999	41057	2.05				
V <sub>3</sub> -HS-4288	81867	38048	43819	2.13				
S.Em+	1208		1208					
CD at 5%	3543		3543					
	B) Fertilizer levels (N:P205:K20,Kg/ha)							
F1 - Control	49475	35329	14146	1.40				
F <sub>2</sub> -40:20:20	80652	37129	43523	2.17				
F <sub>3</sub> -60:30:30	102806	38929	63877	2.64				
F4-80:40:40	106914	40729	66185	2.62				

S.Em+	1395		1395				
CD at 5%	4091		4091				
C) Interaction effects (AXB)							
S.Em+	3416		3416				
CD at 5%	N.S.		N.S.				

#### References

- 1. Anonymous. In website www.indiaagristat.com. Area, production and productivity of jute and mesta crop in India during year 2017-2018 2018.
- 2. Alam MM, Maqsudalalam AKM, Khandkar S, Gani MN, Ahmed SA, Haque A. Fertilizer management of late jute seed production in different agro-ecological zones of Bangladesh. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 2002;5(4):410-412.
- 3. Egharevba RKA, Law-Ogbomo KE. Comparative effects of two nitrogen sources on the growth and yield of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) in the rainforest region: A case study of Benin-city, Edo state, Nigeria. Journal of Agronomy 2007;6(1):142-146.
- 4. Getso MM, Sallau MS, Abechi SE, Uba S. The influence of mineral fertilizers in nutrients supplementation and qualitative calyx production of Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2018;11(2):198-204.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. Edn. 2. John Wiley & Sons, New York 1984.
- Guha B, Das K, Zaman ASN, Barman B. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) and on soil properties. Madras Agriculture Journal 2008;95(7-12):359-363.
- Islam MM. Varietal Advances of Jute, Kenaf and Mesta Crops in Bangladesh: A Review. International Journal of Bioorganic Chemistry 2019;4(1):24-41.
- Md. Saheb Ali, Md. Hoque M, Md. Gani N, Md. Islam M. Variation in inorganic fertilizer is an important regulator of yield potential in BJRI Mesta-3. American Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science 2017;4(6):78-84.
- 9. Pandey SK, Murthi RT, Alam NM, Mitra Sabyasachi, Satya Pratik, Kar CS *et al.* Jute varieties in India-An Overview. All India Network Project on Jute and Allied Fibres. Tecnical Bulletin 01/2020. ICAR-CRIJAF, Kolkatta (W.B.), India 2020.
- Singh MV, Singh B, Prakash V, Kumar N. Effect of varieties and nutrient levels on jute in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Annuals of Plant and Soil Research 2015;17(1):64-66.
- 11. Tripathi MK, Chaudhary B, Bhandari HR, Harish ER. Effect of varieties, irrigation and nitrogen management on fibre yield of sunhemp. Journal of Crop and Weed 2012;8(1):84-85.