www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 386-390 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 28-08-2021 Accepted: 30-09-2021

Randeep Kumar

Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, US Nagar-263145, Uttarakhand, India

Ravendra Kumar

Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

Om Prakash

Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author: Randeep Kumar Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, US Nagar-263145, Uttarakhand, India

Evaluation of *in-vitro* herbicidal efficacy of essential oil and chloroform extract of *Limnophila indica*

Randeep Kumar, Ravendra Kumar and Om Prakash

Abstract

In present study the *in-vitro* herbicidal activity of essential oil and chloroform extract of *Limnophila indica* (L.) Druce were evaluated and the seeds of *Raphanus sativus* on the basis of three distinct parameters that is inhibition of germination percentage, inhibition of coleoptiles growth and inhibition of radical growth. The yield of different samples obtained were 0.98%, 8.16%, 1.1% and 3.3% for essential oil, methanol, chloroform and hexane extracts respectively. Assessment of herbicidal activity in terms of inhibition of percent germination, inhibition of coleoptile length and inhibition of radice length as tested on the seeds of *Raphanus sativus* revealed the significant properties in the essential oil and the plant extracts of the tested plant as analyzed against the standard herbicide pendimethalin. All the inhibition data were tested to be significantly different as analyzed via two factor analysis with replication (p<0.01 and p<0.05).

Keywords: Limnophila indica, essential oil, plant extract, herbicidal activity, pendimethalin

Introduction

The word *Limnophila* derived of a latin word meaning pond loving and popularly known by the name Ambulia (Asian marshweed) ^[1]. The genus *Limnophila* comprises the short heighted herbaceous plants ^[3] which are basically semi-aquatic plants inhabiting marshy areas and are widespread in tropical and subtropical regions of the world including Asian countries, West Africa, Southern Iraq, Korea, Southern Japan and Northern Australia. The species *Limnophila indica* L. (Druce) has been widely exploited in the field of traditional medicine and found to possess medicinal values such as antiseptic, anti-dysentry, anti-dyspesia, anti-filariasis, carminative, anti-shigella, antacid, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective and cytotoxic agent ^[2].

Essential oils in various proportions are used in various traditional medicines and also comprises of an important component of pharma industries. Essential oils also responsible for a diverse range of biological activities like antimicrobial for pest and disease management, defense regulation and setting up of alarm clock, antioxidant, juvenile and anti-juvenile hormonal activity, sex attractants, antifeedant, insecticidal, repellant, growth and development, alleloopathy and even in perfumery and food industry ^[4-8].

The present investigation in regarding the isolation and extraction of essential oil and chloroform extract from *Limnophila indica* and evaluate their herbicidal efficacy against the seeds of *Raphanus sativus*.

Materials and Methods

Isolation of essential oil from aerial plant part and various plant extracts of L. indica

Hydro-distillation method in a Clevenger type apparatus used for isolation of essential oils from aerial plant part of *L. indica*. The aerial plant part was cut into small size pieces hydrodistilled for 8 hours. Then, the essential oil was mixed with hexane and finally desiccated with the help of anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Plant extracts were obtained with soxlet type apparatus and the obtained extract were fractioned in chloroform. The samples were stored at 4^{0} C until analysis. The % yield (v/w) obtained were 0.98% and 3.3% of essential oil and chloroform extract respectively.

Evaluation of herbicidal activity

The effect of herbicidal action was assessed using various parameters such as inhibition of seed germination, inhibition of coleoptile growth and inhibition of radical growth.

Bioassay

Graded doses of both the essential oil (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm) and the plant extracts (250, 500, 750 and 1000 ppm) were used to assess the bioassay of herbicidal action against *Raphanus sativus*. The seeds against which the herbicidal action is to be assessed was firstly surface sterilized using 0.25% hypochloride solution for 15 min.

The experiment was conducted in petri plates using moisturizing paper at the bottom to maintain sufficient moisture for the germination and growth of the seeds. Ten seeds were placed in each petri plates for the assessment and the solution containing the essential oil and the plant extract were poured in the petri plates. After each consecutive time intervals of 24 hours the number of seeds germinated were counted at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after the application of the treatment. The experiment was stopped after 96 hours when all the seeds were germinated in the control and length of the coleoptile and the radicle was measured. The activity was assessed in comparison to control and the standard pendimethalin. The formulae used for determination of inhibition of seed germination, inhibition of coleoptile growth and inhibition of radical growth were as follows:

Inhibition of seed germination

% Inhibition = $100 \times (1 - Gt/Gc)$

Where, Gt - no. of seeds germination in treatment, Gc - No. of seeds germination in control.

Inhibition of coleoptile growth

% Inhibition = $100 \times (1 - Ct/Cc)$

Where, Ct – Coleoptile growth in treatment, Cc – Coleoptile growth in control.

Inhibition of radicle growth

% Inhibition = $100 \times (1 - \text{Rt/Rc})$

Where, Rt - Radicle growth in treatment, Rc - Radicle growth in control.

Statistical analysis

All the experimental procedure was conducted in three replications and the data were expressed in terms of

mean±standard deviation. Data illustrated in the tables and the graphs were subjected to ANOVA at 1% level of significance (p<0.01) and 5% level of significance (p<0.05) for herbicidal activity with two factor analysis with replication via. SPSS 12.0 software. Data analyzed were found to be significantly different at the respective level of significance. Regression line method was used to calculate IC₅₀.

Results and Discussion

Inhibition of seed germination

The inhibition of seed germination was assessed as the measure of herbicidal activity. The number of seeds germinated was counted and accordingly the percent inhibition of seeds germinated was calculated on per day basis till the 100% germination is achieved at various concentrations range of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 ppm in case of essential oil while 250, 500, 750, 1000 ppm in case of plant extracts.

On day 1 the percent inhibition was recorded as 40.00%, 71.11%, 76.66% and 100.00% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of LICE the percent inhibition was measured as 22.22%, 70.00%, 86.66% and 93.00% respectively (Table 1).

On day 2 the percent inhibition was recorded as 35.18%, 57.87%, 69.44% and 88.88% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of LICE the percent inhibition was measure as 31.01%, 57.40%, 85.18% and 92.59% respectively (Table 1).

On day 3 the percent inhibition was recorded as 26.66%, 53.33%, 70.00% and 76.66% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of LICE the percent inhibition was measure as 23.33%, 56.66%, 73.33% and 80.00% respectively (Table 1).

On day 4 the percent inhibition was recorded as 13.33%, 33.33%, 63.33% and 70.00% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of LICE the percent inhibition was measured as 10.00%, 33.33%, 60.00% and 70.00% respectively (Table 1).

IC₅₀ was calculated at the time when 100% germination was achieved in the control and is used to compare the relative herbicidal activities of all the samples as lower is the herbicidal activity higher will be its IC₅₀ values. The order in which the activity was observed was LIEO (104.96±9.65 ppm) > LICE (462.69±63.68 ppm) (Table 4).

S.N.	Samples	% Inhibition of germination (Day 1)					
	Essen	tial oil	50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm	
	LIEO	R 1	33.33	66.66	83.33	100.00	
		R_2	20.00	80.00	80.00	100.00	
1.		R 3	66.66	66.66	66.66	100.00	
		Avg.	40.00	71.11	76.66	100.00	
			±24.03	±7.69	± 8.81	± 0.00	
	Plant	extract	250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm	
	LICE	\mathbf{R}_1	16.66	66.66	100.00	100.00	
		R ₂	0.00	60.00	60.00	80.00	
2.		R 3	50.00	83.33	100.00	100.00	
		Avg.	22.22	70.00	86.66	93.33	
			±15.45	± 12.01	±23.09	±11.54	
3.		R1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
		R_2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	Pendimethalin	R 3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
		A	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
		AVg.	±0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00	

S.N.	Samples % Inhibition of germination (Day 2)							
	Essen	ntial oil	50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm		
		R1	22.22	55.55	66.66	77.77		
1.		R2	33.33	55.55	66.66	88.88		
	LIEO	R3	50.00	62.50	75.00	100.00		
			35.18	57.87	69.44	88.88		
		Avg.	±13.98	±4.00	± 4.81	±11.11		
	Plant	extract	250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm		
		R1	22.22	66.66	88.88	88.88		
		R2	33.33	55.55	66.66	88.88		
2.	LICE	R ₃	37.50	50.00	100.00	100.00		
		A	31.01	57.40	85.18	92.59		
		Avg.	±7.89	± 8.48	±16.9	±6.41		
		R1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		R2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
3.	Pendimethalin	R3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		A	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		Avg.	±0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00		
S.N.	Samples		% In	hibition of germination	n (Day 3)			
	Essen	tial oil	50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm		
		R1	30.00	50.00	70.00	70.00		
		R ₂	30.00	60.00	70.00	80.00		
1.	LIEO	R ₃	20.00	50.00	70.00	80.00		
			26.66	53.33	70.00	76.66		
		Avg.	±5.77	±5.77	±0.00	±5.77		
	Plant	extract	250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm		
		R1	30.00	70.00	80.00	80.00		
		R ₂	10.00	50.00	60.00	80.00		
2.	LICE	R3	30.00	50.00	80.00	80.00		
		A	23.33	56.66	73.33	80.00		
		Avg.	±11.54	±11.54	±11.54	± 0.00		
		R1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		R ₂	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
3.	Pendimethalin	R3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		4	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		Avg.	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	± 0.00		
S.N.	Samples		% Inhibition of germination (Day 4)					
	Essen	ntial oil	50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm		
	LIEO	R ₁	10.00	40.00	60.00	60.00		
		R2	10.00	30.00	70.00	80.00		
1.		R3	20.00	30.00	60.00	70.00		
		Aug	13.33	33.33	63.33	70.00		
		Avg.	±5.77	±5.77	±5.77	± 10.00		
	Plant	extract	250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm		
2.		R 1	20.00	40.00	60.00	80.00		
	LICE	R2	10.00	30.00	60.00	70.00		
		R 3	0.00	30.00	60.00	60.00		
		Ava	10.00	33.33	60.00	70.00		
		Avg.	± 10.00	<u>±5</u> .77	± 0.00	± 10.00		
		R ₁	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		R2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
3.	Pendimethalin	R3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
		Avg.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		
			±0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00	± 0.00		

Note: LIEO-Limnophila indica essential oil, LICE-Limnophila indica chloroform extract

Inhibition of coleoptile growth

The inhibition of coleoptile growth was assessed as the measure of herbicidal activity. The percent coleoptile growth inhibition of seeds germinated was calculated at the time when 100% germination is achieved at various concentrations range of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 ppm in case of essential oil while 250, 500, 750, 1000 ppm in case of plant extract.

The percent inhibition of coleoptile growth was recorded as 23.75%, 67.19%, 91.85% and 95.42% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of

LICE the percent inhibition was measure as 27.79%, 68.33%, 91.76% and 95.67% respectively (Table 2).

IC₅₀ was calculated at the time when 100% germination was achieved in the control and is used to compare the relative herbicidal activities in terms of inhibition of coleoptile growth of all the samples as lower is the herbicidal activity higher will be its IC₅₀ values. The order in which the activity was observed was LIEO (84.17±4.48 ppm) > LICE (390.98±80.01 ppm) (Table 4).

S.N.	Samples		% Inhibition of coleoptile growth			
	Essential oil		50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm
		R1	17.05	71.57	90.82	92.06
		R_2	22.28	68.60	92.94	98.31
1.	LIEO	R 3	31.93	61.40	91.80	95.91
		A	23.75	67.19	91.85	95.42
		Avg.	±7.54	±5.23	± 1.05	±3.15
	Plant extract		250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm
	LICE	R ₁	36.92	75.75	93.10	97.95
		R_2	20.14	62.78	90.01	96.43
2.		R 3	26.32	66.46	92.15	92.63
		Avg.	27.79	68.33	91.76	95.67
			± 8.48	±6.68	±1.58	±2.74
3.	Pendimethalin	R_1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
		R_2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
		\mathbf{R}_3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
		Avg.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
			±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00

Table 2: % Inhibition of coleoptile growth of essential oil and plant extract of aerial plant part of L. indica.

Note: LIEO-Limnophila indica essential oil, LICE-Limnophila indica chloroform extract

Inhibition of radicle growth

The inhibition of radicle growth was assessed as the measure of herbicidal activity. The percent radicle growth inhibition of seeds germinated was calculated at the time when 100% germination is achieved at various concentrations range of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 ppm in case of essential oil while 250, 500, 750, 1000 ppm in case of plant extract.

The percent inhibition of radical growth was recorded as 12.70%, 52.45%, 90.42% and 94.69% from lowest to highest concentrations respectively in case of LIEO while in case of LICE the percent inhibition was measure as 13.54%, 58.64%, 87.86% and 95.42% respectively (Table 3).

 IC_{50} was calculated at the time when 100% germination was achieved in the control and is used to compare the relative herbicidal activities in terms of inhibition of coleoptile growth of all the samples as lower is the herbicidal activity higher will be its IC_{50} values. The order in which the activity was observed was LIEO (74.98±24.44 ppm) > LICE (362.58±66.28 ppm) (Table 4). The current investigation totally supports the previous reports that the phytotoxic ability in the botanicals presumably may be due to the presence of phytochemical components in the extracts and the essential oil ^[14]. Lu *et al.* ^[8] also stated that the herbicidal or phytotoxicity appeared may be due to the high phytochemical content in the botanicals that is phenols, flavonoids, terpenoids, alkaloids etc.

Significant herbicidal activity obtained may be attributed to the presence of epi-cyclocolorenone and 4,5-Dimethyl-1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 8a, 8boctahydrobiphenylene in the essential oil and the chloroform extract respectively and which is already published in our previous communication ^[10, 11]. Experimental investigation by Tiwari *et al.* ^[12] and Park *et al.* ^[9] also suggests that the activity like herbicidal effect of the plant extracts and the essential oil might be possibly occurred due to the various active components present in the essential oil and the extracts or even may be due to the interaction of the major and the minor components present in the botanicals.

Table 3: % Inhibition of	of radicle growth of essential	oil and plant extract of aerial p	blant part of L. indica.
--------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------

S.N.	S.N. Samples		% Inhibition of radicle length growth				
	Essen	tial oil	50ppm	100ppm	150ppm	200ppm	
		R1	10.27	60.91	90.35	92.52	
		R2	6.59	43.88	91.73	96.11	
1.	LIEO	R 3	21.25	52.56	89.18	95.44	
		Avg.	12.70	52.45	90.42	94.69	
			±7.62	±8.51	±1.27	±1.90	
	Plant	extracts	250 ppm	500 ppm	750 ppm	1000 ppm	
	LICE	\mathbf{R}_1	25.59	64.05	87.05	96.95	
		R2	10.39	54.86	88.72	95.40	
2.		R ₃	4.63	57.03	87.80	93.90	
		Avg.	13.54	58.64	87.86	95.42	
			±10.82	±4.80	±0.83	±1.52	
	Pendimethalin	\mathbf{R}_1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
3.		R_2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
		R 3	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
		Avg.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
			+0.00	+0.00	+0.00	+0.00	

Note: LIEO-Limnophila indica essential oil, LICE-Limnophila indica chloroform extract

 Table 4: IC₅₀ of essential oil and plant extract of aerial plant part of

 L. indica.

S.N.	Samples	IC50 values in triplicate			Marrie IC and and
		1 st	2 nd	3 rd	Mean IC ₅₀ values
1.	LIEO-1	107.14	93.75	112.50	104.46±9.65ppm
2.	LICE-1	390.62	511.36	486.11	462.69±63.68ppm
3.	LIEO-2	88.49	84.46	79.54	84.17±4.48 ppm
4.	LICE-2	302.25	457.65	413.03	390.98±80.01 ppm
5.	LIEO-3	80.55	48.22	96.16	74.98±24.44ppm
6.	LICE-3	434.63	348.92	304.20	362.58±66.28ppm

Conclusion

The essential oil and plant extract of the plant L. indica when assessed for herbicidal activity in terms of inhibition of germination exhibited the significant potential to suppress germination at all tested doses of the essential oil and plant extracts having broad range percent inhibition for all the samples tested. The results were also validated by IC₅₀ values, having substantially higher IC₅₀ values of the oil and plant extracts. Higher the IC₅₀ value lower will be the herbicidal activity. The order in which the samples exhibited herbicidal potential is LIEO (104.96±9.65 ppm) > LICE (462.69±63.68 ppm). Also, when assessed for herbicidal activity in terms of inhibition of coleoptile growth exhibited the significant potential to suppress germination at all tested doses of the essential oil and plant extract having broad range percent inhibition for all the samples tested. The results were also validated by IC₅₀ values, having substantially higher IC₅₀ values of the oil and plant extracts. Higher the IC₅₀ value lower will be the herbicidal activity. The order in which the samples exhibited herbicidal potential is LIEO (84.17±4.48 ppm) > LICE (390.98±80.01 ppm). And, when assessed for herbicidal activity in terms of inhibition of radicle growth exhibited the significant potential to suppress germination at all tested doses of the essential oil and plant extracts having broad range percent inhibition for all the samples tested. The results were also validated by IC₅₀ values, having substantially higher IC₅₀ values of the oil and plant extracts. Higher the IC_{50} value lower will be the herbicidal activity. The order in which the samples exhibited herbicidal potential is LIEO (74.98±24.44 ppm) > LICE (362.58±66.28 ppm).

References

- 1. Brahmachari G, Mandal NC, Roy R, Ghosh R, Barman S, Sarkar S *et al*. A new pentacyclic triterpene with potent antibacterial activity from *Limnophila indica* Linn. (Druce). Fitoterapia 2013;90:104-111.
- 2. Brahmachari G. *Limnophila* (Scrophulariaceae): Chemical and Pharmaceutical Aspects-An Update. The Open Natural Products Journal 2014, 7(1).
- Chopra A, Doiphode VV. Ayurvedic medicine: core concept, therapeutic principles, and current relevance. Medical Clinics 2002;86(1):75-89.
- 4. Dapkevicius A, Venskutonis R, Vanbeek TA, Linssen JP. Antioxidant activity of extracts obtained by different isolation procedures from some aromatic herbs grown in Lithuania. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1998;77(1):140-146.
- 5. Friedman M. Structure–antibiotic activity relationships of plant compounds against nonresistant and antibiotic-resistant foodborne pathogens. Advances in microbial food safety 2006, 167-183.
- 6. Huang Y, Ho SH. Toxicity and antifeedant activities of cinnamaldehyde against the grain storage insects,

Tribolium castaneum (Herbst) and *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. Journal of Stored Products Research 1998;34(1):11-17.

- Lis- Balchin M, Deans SG, Eaglesham E. Relationship between bioactivity and chemical composition of commercial essential oils. Flavour and Fragrance Journal 1998;13(2):98-104.
- 8. Lu X, Wang J, Al-Qadiri HM, Ross CF, Powers JR, Tang J *et al.* Determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of onion (*Allium cepa*) and shallot (*Allium oschaninii*) using infrared spectroscopy. Food Chemistry 2011;129(2):637-644.
- 9. Park M, Bae J, Lee DS. Antibacterial activity of [10]gingerol and [12]- gingerol isolated from ginger rhizome against periodontal bacteria. Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives 2008;22(11):1446-1449.
- Kumar R, Kumar R, Prakash O, Srivastava RM, Pant AK. Chemical composition, *in vitro* antioxidant, antiinflammatory and antifeedant properties in the essential oil of Asian marsh weed *Limnophila indica* L. (Druce). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019;8(1):1689-94.
- 11. Kumar R, Anjum B, Kumar R, Prakash O, Panday G, Pant AK *et al.* Chemical composition, total phenolics assay and biological activities of chloroform extract from *Limnophila indica* (L.) Druce. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2019.
- 12. Tiwari V, Shanker R, Srivastava J, Vankar PS. Change in antioxidant activity of spices-turmeric and ginger on heat treatment. Elect. J Environ 2006;5:1313-1317.
- 13. Veldhuizen EJ, Tjeerdsma-van Bokhoven JL, Zweijtzer C, Burt SA, Haagsman HP. Structural requirements for the antimicrobial activity of carvacrol. Journal of agricultural and Food Chemistry 2006;54(5):1874-1879.
- Wu JJ, Yang JS, Liu MS. Effects of Irradiation on the Volatile Compounds of Garlic (*Allium sativum* L). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1996;70(4):506-508.