www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 1508-1512 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 22-08-2021 Accepted: 24-09-2021

Jyotiranjan Jena

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Tarence Thomas

Head and Professor of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Raghunandan S Khatana

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Jyotiranjan Jena Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Soil fertility status of different blocks in Balasore district of coastal Odisha, India

Jyotiranjan Jena, Tarence Thomas and Raghunandan S Khatana

Abstract

An investigation on GPS based soil physico-chemical properties of three different block (Bhograi, Jaleswar, Sadar) of Balasore district was under taken during the year 2019-20. 27 soil samples were collected at three different depth (0-15, 15-30, 30-40 cm) and analysed at the department of soil science and agricultural chemistry, NAI, SHUATS. The soils were slightly acidic in reaction (pH 5.5-6.5). The electrical conductivity of soil of entire studied area were less than 1 dS m⁻¹. The soil organic carbon status was low to medium, ranges from 0.27 to 0.78%. The available nitrogen content of entire studied area was low (106 to172.47 kg ha⁻¹). The available Olsen phosphorus and potassium content varied in between 4.75 to23.48 kg ha⁻¹ and 77.50 to 244.21 kg ha⁻¹. The exchangeable Ca and Mg ranges from 2.93-10.87 and 1.27 to 4.77 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹. The sulphur content of soil ranged from 3.75 -7.50 mg kg⁻¹. The fertility data base would be very useful for extension functionaries, agricultural officers, scientist and above all the farmers for a sustainable crop production.

Keywords: soil fertility status, physico-chemical properties, pH, EC, OC, nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, soil analysis, Balasore district

Introduction

Balasore, a district of Odisha which comes under North Eastern Coastal Plain Agro Climatic Zone of Odisha (Nanda *et al.*, 2008) ^[16]. Soil is the life supporting system and one of the most vital and the precious natural resources of country. Socio economic development of the people depend on the soil that sustains life on the Earth. Soil, land and water are crucial element for a long and healthy human existence, as well as the foundation for agricultural development (Das *et al.*, 2009) ^[4]. Efficient management of soil and water resources is a major challenge for the scientists, planners, administers and farmers to ensure food, water and environmental security for the present and future generations (Kanwar, 2000) ^[20]. Soil test-based fertility management is an effective tool for of agricultural soils that have high degree of spatial variability which find out the soil fertility related production constrains in the study area and offer corrective steps for maximum crop production (Rawal *et al.*, 2018) ^[23]. Global Positioning System and Geographic Information System (GPS-GIS) can be efficiently use for monitoring soil fertility maps" prepared with the help of Geographical Information System (Dash *et al.*, 2018) ^[5]. GPS- based soil fertility can also be used to track the health of the soil over time (Swain *et al.*, 2019) ^[26].

Material and Methods

A. Experimental Site

The study area includes an unconsolidated coastal sector of Subarnarekha delta, extend from Rasulpur to Udaipur. The investigated area is bordered on the north by the Mayurbanja District, on the south by Bay of Bengal and on the west by the state of Odisha's Bhadrak District. As deltaic low lying coast line Stretch, this area has a flat alluvium surface with ground level ranging from 2.5 3.5 meters above mean sea level. soil samples were selected from 9 villages namely Kirtaniajalpahi (lat. 21034'48.51721" N, long. 87⁰22'41.53792" E), NM Padia (lat. 21⁰35'2.13949" N, long. 87⁰23'12.01452" E), Ausa (lat. 21⁰37'26.89731" N, long. 87023'33.49901" E), Sugo (lat. 21047'50.97687" N, long. 87⁰17'36.72635" E), Kasidiha (lat. 21⁰48'12.95989" N, long. 87⁰16'5.0971" E), Ambliatha (lat. 21048'34.17454" N, long. 87⁰15'21.38852"E), Kasipada (lat. 21036'55.28808" N, long. 8701'26.42376"E), Nuagaon (lat. 2106'36.80964"N, long. 84043'25.39128"E), Pinchabani (lat. 21036'44.35812"N, long 86⁰59'59.78256"E) for studying the GPS based soil fertility status from the 3 blocks of Balasore district namely Bhograi, Jaleswar, Sadar. Normal Annual Rainfall of Balasore district is 1592 mm and the mean Annual Rainfall is 1723 mm.

The mean maximum summer temperature is 45 °C and the mean minimum winter temperature is 9 °C. The relative humidity varies between 30 to 90 percentages. The climate is Humid Sub-tropical climate.

B. Soil Sampling

Total 27 numbers of composite (0-15 cm, 15-30 cm, 30-45 cm) soil samples were collected from the studied area which includes 3 samples from each village from 3depth. Composite soil samples were collected along with latitude and longitude of the area with the help of GPS instrument.

C. Analysis of Soil

The soils were analysed for its pH (1: 2.5::Soil: water) (Jackson, 1958), EC (1:2:Soil:water) (Wilcox, 1950), Organic Carbon (Wakley and Black, 1934) ^[28], Available Nitrogen (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) ^[25], Available Phosphorus (Olsen *et al.*, 1954) ^[21], Available Potassium (Toth and Prince, 1949) ^[27], Exchangeable Ca and Mg (Schwarzenbach *et al.*, 1946) ^[24], Available Sulphur (William and Steimberg, 1959) ^[30].

D. Statistical Analysis

The data recorded during the course of investigation was subjected to statistical analysis of Completely Randomized Design (CRD) as per the method of "Analysis of Variance" (ANOVA) technique (Fisher, 1954)^[7].

E. Soil Fertility Index

Fertility index was calculated as per the formula suggested by (Parkar 1951)^[22] such as Nutrient Index Value

_No.of samples (Low)*1+No.of samples (Medium)*2+No.of samples(High)*3 Total No.of samples

Results

Soil pH

The pH of soils of all the 3 blocks (Bhograi, Jaleswar, Sadar) of Balasore district was found moderately acidic to slightly acidic. The pH of the investigated area ranged from 5.6 to 6.93 with an average value 6.3. The Kasidiha and Pinchhabani was found strongly acidic. Similar findings were also recorded by (Mishra *et al.*, 2015)^[10].

Table 1: Soil pH of farmer's field at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 cm | 15-3 | s cm | 30-4 cm | Total | Mean |
|-----------------|---------|------|------|---------|-------|------|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 6.6 | 6. | .4 | 6.3 | 19.3 | 6.43 |
| NM Padia | 6.5 | 6. | .9 | 6.7 | 20.1 | 6.70 |
| Ausa | 6.8 | 6 | .5 | 7.5 | 20.8 | 6.93 |
| Sugo | 5.9 | 6 | .6 | 7 | 19.5 | 6.50 |
| Kasidiha | 5.3 | 5.5 | | 5.8 | 16.6 | 5.53 |
| Ambliatha | 5.8 | 6. | .7 | 7.1 | 19.6 | 6.53 |
| Kasipada | 6.8 | 6 | .4 | 6.7 | 19.9 | 6.63 |
| Nuagaon | 6.15 | 6. | 32 | 6.5 | 18.97 | 6.32 |
| Pinchhabani | 5.8 | 5.2 | | 5.8 | 16.8 | 5.6 |
| f-test | | | S | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | 0.31 | | | |
| C.D. (P = 0.05) | | | 0.66 | | | |

Soil Electrical Conductivity (dS m⁻¹)

Entire study area was found to be less than $1dSm^{-1}$. Hence all the soil in the study area is safe for all types of crops production with respect to the soluble salts. Similar findings were also recorded by (Mishra *et al.*, 2015)^[10].

Table 2: Soil electrical conductivity (dSm⁻¹) of farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 cm | 15-3 | cm | 30-4 cm | Total | Mean | |
|-----------------|---------|------|------|---------|-------|------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 0.085 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.07 | 0.225 | 0.08 | |
| NM Padia | 0.16 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 0.14 | |
| Ausa | 0.09 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.06 | |
| Sugo | 0.09 | 0.26 | | 0.15 | 0.5 | 0.09 | |
| Kasidiha | 0.16 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.14 | |
| Ambliatha | 0.16 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0.11 | |
| Kasipada | 0.06 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.54 | 0.18 | |
| Nuagaon | 0.24 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.31 | 0.71 | 0.24 | |
| Pinchabani | 0.36 | 0.3 | | 0.24 | 0.9 | 0.30 | |
| f-test | | | | S | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | | 0.63 | | | |
| C.D. (P = | = 0.05) | | 0.13 | | | | |

Soil Organic Carbon (%)

The organic carbon ranges from 0.27 - 0.64. In the entire study area organic carbon status was found to be low to medium. The village Ausa show a highest content of organic matter. The result clearly shows that the gradual decrease of SOC from surface layer to deep sub surface layer. This could be related to increase cropping intensity combined with increased crop residue assimilation. Similar findings also been reported by (Mishra 2013)^[11], (Digel *et al.*, 2018)^[6], (Swain *et al.*, 2019)^[26].

Table 3: Soil organic carbon (%) of farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30,30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 cm | 15-30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean | |
|-----------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.82 | 0.27 | |
| NM Padia | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 1.2 | 0.40 | |
| Ausa | 1.17 | 0.78 | 0.4 | 2.35 | 0.78 | |
| Sugo | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.2 | 1.38 | 0.46 | |
| Kasidiha | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 1.2 | 0.40 | |
| Ambliatha | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 1.08 | 0.36 | |
| Kasipada | 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 1.47 | 0.49 | |
| Nuagaon | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 1.07 | 0.36 | |
| Pinchabani | 0.98 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 1.91 | 0.64 | |
| f-test | | | NS | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | 0.17 | | | |
| C.D. (P = | | 0.36 | | | | |

Available nitrogen In Soil (kg ha⁻¹)

The available nitrogen ranged from 106 to 207.4kg ha⁻¹ with a mean of 152.05 kg ha⁻¹. All the soil sample were categorized under low nitrogen content. The variation in N content may be related to soil management, application of the FYM and fertilizers to previous crop. The result obtained in the present study are in agreement with the findings of (Nalawade and Palwe 2014) ^[15] and (Kadlag *et al.*, 2016) ^[19].

Table 4: Available Nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹) in soil of farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 | 15-30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 94.4 | 134.6 | 89 | 318 | 106 | |
| NM Padia | 145.6 | 148.6 | 105.8 | 400 | 133.33 | |
| Ausa | 138.2 | 156.8 | 120.4 | 415.4 | 138.46 | |
| Sugo | 150.4 | 155.8 | 165.8 | 472 | 157.33 | |
| Kasidiha | 157.4 | 168.8 | 147.4 | 473.6 | 157.86 | |
| Ambliatha | 129.4 | 139.8 | 122.8 | 392 | 130.66 | |
| Kasipada | 215.4 | 238.6 | 168.4 | 622.4 | 207.46 | |
| Nuagaon | 154.6 | 206 | 134.2 | 494.8 | 164.93 | |
| Pinchabani | 186 | 201.6 | 129.8 | 517.4 | 172.46 | |
| f-test | | | S | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | 20.81 | | | |
| C.D. $(P = 0.05)$ | | | 43.72 | | | |

Available Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) In Soil

The available phosphorus in my investigated area ranged from 4.41 to 23.47 kg ha⁻¹ with a mean of 13.3kg ha⁻¹. Must of the soils were under low category for available phosphorus. As per the findings of the low amount available P may be due to application of lower doses of P fertilizer, fixation of P on clay minerals, or CaCO₃ surface with the time elapsed between fertilizer application and crop uptake. Similar results were noticed by (Barik *et al.*, 2017)^[3].

Table 5: Available Phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) in soil of farmer's filed at0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 | 15-30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean | |
|-----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 15.5 | 30.85 | 24.08 | 70.43 | 23.47 | |
| NM Padia | 21.1 | 10.5 | 22.5 | 54.1 | 18.03 | |
| Ausa | 5.4 | 22.1 | 12.25 | 39.75 | 13.25 | |
| Sugo | 2.88 | 10.6 | 15.2 | 28.68 | 9.56 | |
| Kasidiha | 6.9 | 22.6 | 18.9 | 48.4 | 16.13 | |
| Ambliatha | 7.5 | 20.2 | 13.7 | 41.4 | 13.8 | |
| Kasipada | 2.5 | 9.9 | 0.85 | 13.25 | 4.416 | |
| Nuagaon | 18.5 | 20.1 | 11.2 | 49.8 | 16.6 | |
| Pinchabani | 3.75 | 9.01 | 1.5 | 14.26 | 4.75 | |
| f-test | | | S | | | |
| S.Em (±) | | | 5.30 | | | |
| C.D. (P = 0.05) | | | 11.14 | | | |

Available Potassium (kg ha⁻¹) In Soil

The available K of block Bhograi ranges from 66.75 - 101.04 kg ha⁻¹, for block Jaleswar 117 - 314.36 kg ha⁻¹ and in block Sadar 75.3 - 269.46 kg ha⁻¹. Village Sugo, Kasidiha, Ambliatha, Kasipada and Nuagaon were in medium range of available potassium content. 44% of my studies area show the available potassium increased with increasing soil depth, which could be due to the development of the soil from residual parent materials, mostly feldspars and micas, which are mostly potash bearing minerals, as well as the effect of potassium depletion by the crops from the surface horizon. The mean available potassium of these three blocks is 142.59 kg ha⁻¹. Similar results also found by (Dash *et al.*, 2018)^[5].

Table 6: Available potassium (kg ha⁻¹) in soil of farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Treatments | 0-15 | 15- | -30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean |
|-------------------|--------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 67.36 | 89 | .8 | 106 | 263.16 | 87.72 |
| NM Padia | 78.59 | 72 | .3 | 81.6 | 232.49 | 77.49 |
| Ausa | 101.04 | 12 | 20 | 66.75 | 287.79 | 95.93 |
| Sugo | 296.21 | 151 | .53 | 117 | 564.74 | 188.24 |
| Kasidiha | 157.18 | 266 | 6.86 | 167.5 | 591.54 | 197.18 |
| Ambliatha | 314.36 | 287 | .29 | 130.98 | 732.63 | 244.21 |
| Kasipada | 269.45 | 14. | 5.3 | 111.21 | 525.96 | 175.32 |
| Nuagaon | 98.2 | 169 | .39 | 75.3 | 342.89 | 114.29 |
| Pinchabani | 84.75 | 111 | .05 | 113.09 | 308.89 | 102.96 |
| f-test | | | S | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | 49.61 | | | |
| C.D. $(P = 0.05)$ | | | 104.23 | | | |

Exchangeable Calcium [cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹]

The entire studied area was under low category of calcium with a mean value of 6.9 cmol (p^+) kg⁻¹. Similar also observed by (Pulakeshi, 2010).

 Table 7: Exchangeable calcium [cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹] in soil of farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 | 15-30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 10.4 | 11.8 | 1.6 | 23.8 | 7.93 |
| NM Padia | 4.11 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 13.21 | 4.40 |
| Ausa | 18.8 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 32.6 | 10.86 |
| Sugo | 2.9 | 14.9 | 3.2 | 21 | 7 |
| Kasidiha | 7.3 | 8.9 | 3.5 | 19.7 | 6.56 |
| Ambliatha | 11.5 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 18.8 | 6.26 |
| Kasipada | 11.8 | 11.8 | 0.5 | 24.1 | 8.03 |
| Nuagaon | 12.3 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 24.3 | 8.1 |
| Pinchabani | 0.9 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 2.93 |
| f-test | | | | NS | |
| S. Em (±) | | | | 2.1 | |
| C.D. (P = 0 |).05) | | | 8.9 | |

Exchangeable Magnesium [cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹] In Soil

The data in respect of exchangeable magnesium content in soil were ranged from $1.2 - 4.7 \text{ cmol } (p^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$ with the mean value of 2.76 cmol $(p^+) \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Similar results were found also reported by (Anderson *et al.*, 2017)^[1].

Table 8: Exchangeable magnesium [cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹] in soil of
farmer's filed at 0-15, 15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 | 15-30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean | |
|-----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 2 | 1.75 | 0.05 | 3.8 | 1.26 | |
| NM Padia | 3.5 | 1.08 | 1 | 5.58 | 1.86 | |
| Ausa | 2.5 | 2.12 | 2.1 | 6.72 | 2.24 | |
| Sugo | 4 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 10.7 | 3.56 | |
| Kasidiha | 5.3 | 2.78 | 1 | 9.08 | 3.02 | |
| Ambliatha | 2.6 | 2.11 | 1 | 5.71 | 1.90 | |
| Kasipada | 2.5 | 4.8 | 3.75 | 11.05 | 3.68 | |
| Nuagaon | 0.6 | 5.1 | 2.01 | 7.71 | 2.57 | |
| Pinchabani | 4 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 14.3 | 4.76 | |
| f-test | | NS | | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | 1.50 | | | | |
| C.D. (P = 0.0 | 5) | | 3.16 | | | |

Available Sulphur (mg kg⁻¹)

The available sulphur in soils of Bhograi, Jaleswar and Sadar block of Balasore district ranged from 3.75 to 6.25ppm. In the entire study area was found to be in range of low to medium. The available sulphur content of investigated area decreased with increasing the soil depth. This could be attributed to a larger concentration of organic matter in the upper layers than in the deeper layers, as well as differences in land usage. Similar results were also observed by (Nahak *et al.*, 2016) ^[18] and (Mishra 2016) ^[18].

Table 9: Available sulphur (mg kg⁻¹) in soil of farmer's filed at 0-15,15-30, 30-45 cm depth

| Village | 0-15 | 15-3 | 30 | 30-45 | Total | Mean | |
|-----------------|-------|------|-----------|-------|-------|------|--|
| Kirtaniajalpahi | 6.25 | 1.2 | 5 | 3.75 | 11.25 | 3.75 | |
| NM Padia | 5 | 5 | | 2.5 | 12.5 | 4.17 | |
| Ausa | 11.25 | 6.2 | 5 | 5 | 22.5 | 7.50 | |
| Sugo | 5 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.25 | 10 | 3.33 | |
| Kasidiha | 7.5 | 1.2 | 5 | 3.75 | 12.5 | 4.17 | |
| Ambliatha | 5 | 1.2 | 5 | 3.75 | 10 | 3.33 | |
| Kasipada | 10 | 7.5 | 5 | 1.25 | 18.75 | 6.25 | |
| Nuagaon | 5 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.25 | 10 | 3.33 | |
| Pinchabani | 6.25 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.25 | 11.25 | 3.75 | |
| f-test | | | | NS | | | |
| S. Em (±) | | | | 2.22 | | | |
| C.D. (P = 0.05) | | | | 4.67 | | | |

Soil Fertility Index

The soil fertility status of the Bhograi, Jaleswar and Sadar block of Balasore district was estimated as per Parker's nutrient index value and the available primary nutrients i.e., N, P, K status of the soils categorized as low.



Fig 1: Nutrient Fertility Pyramid

In pyramid toward the top, it become narrow and nutrient index value also reduces which indicates less availability of respective nutrient in soil with respect to bottom nutrients and requirement is maximum in the soil.

Conclusion

Under this investigation it was concluded that soil of area around Balasore district slightly acidic in nature but low in salt content so almost all crops are suitable for the production. And the organic carbon content was medium to high in range, low in available nitrogen content, low to medium phosphorus and nitrogen content. With respect to secondary macronutrient the available exchangeable calcium, magnesium and sulphur content of soil was low. The deficient nutrient may be replenished to avoid the suffering from their deficiency and optimum utilization of nutrients. Integrated nutrient management (INM) may be adopted for sustainable soil fertility management as well as to achieve higher crop production.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to convey gratitude to the department of Soil Science and Agricultural chemistry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Prayagraj for providing necessary support and resources for this thesis work.

Conflict of Interest

As a corresponding Author, I Jyotiranjan Jena, confirm that none of the others have any conflict of interest associated with this publication.

References

- 1. Anderson C, Peterson M, Curtin D. Base cations, KC and Ca2C, have contrasting effect on soil carbon, nitrogen and denitrification dynamics as pH rises. Soil Biol. Biochem 2017;113:99-107.
- Antón R, Ruiz-Sagaseta A, Orcaray L, Arricibita FJ, Enrique A. Soto, I.d.; Virto, I. Soil Water Retention and Soil Compaction Assessment in a Regional-Scale Strategy to Improve Climate Change Adaptation of Agriculture in Navarre, Spain. Agronomy 2021;11:607.
- 3. Bark R, Saren S, Mishra A, Acharya BP. Soil Fertility Status of some village in Astaranga block of Puri District

of East and South Eastern Coastal plain Agro climatic zone of Odisha. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 2017;19(4):408-412.

- Das DK, Bandyopadhyay S, Chakraborty D, Srivastava R. Application of modern techniques in characterization and management of soil and water resources, Journal of Indian society of Soil Science 2009;60:13-19.
- 5. Dash PK, Mishra A, Saren S, Revathi B, Sethy SK. Preparation of GPS and GIS Based Soil Fertility Maps and Identification of soil related Crop Production Constraints of RRTTS and KVK farm, Dhenkanal Located in the Mid-Central Table land Agro climatic zone of Odisha, India. International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018;6(5):934-943.
- Digal M, Saren S, Mishra A, Dash PK, Swain N, Acharya BA. Soil fertility status of some village in Phiringia block of Kandhamal district under North-Eastern Ghat Agro climatic zone of Odisha, India, Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(6):658-662.
- 7. Fisher RA. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver and Boyd 1954. ISBN 0-05-002170-2.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 1958, 498.
- Manojkumar D. Characterization and classification of soils of micro watershed on basalt parent rock in northern transition zone of Karnataka. M. Sc (Agri) thesis, univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India) 2011.
- Mishra A, Pattnaik TM, Das D, Das. Vertical Distribution of Available Plant Nutrients in Soils of Mid Central Valley at Odisha Zone, India, American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 2015;7(4):214-221. Article no. AJEA.123 ISSN: 2231-0606.
- 11. Mishra A, Das D, Saren S. Preparation of GPS and GIS Based Soil Fertility maps for Khurda district of Odisha. Indian Agriculturist 2013;57(1):1-20.
- 12. Mishra A, Das D, Saren S, Dey P. GPS and GIS Based Soil Fertility Maps of Nayagargh District, Odisha, Annals of Plant and Soil Research 2016;18(1):23-28.
- 13. Mitra GN, Mishra UK, Sahu SK. Macro and micro nutrient status of the soil of Orissa, IFFCO, Kolkata 2002.
- Mitra GN, Rout KK, Sahu SK. In: nutrient management of crops in soil of Orissa, G. N Mitra (ed.), Department of Soil Science and Agricultural chemistry, OUAT, BBSR 2006.
- 15. Nalawade AS, Palwe CR. Assessment of GPS-GIS based Soil fertility status of Agricultural research station Savale vihir farm, MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra. Bioinfolet 2014;11(2A):300-301.
- Nanda SK, Mishra A, Pradha NK, Muralidhardu Y. Soil testing and fertilizer recommendation in Odisha. AICRP on Soil test crop response, Department of soil science and agricultural chemistry, OUAT, BBSR 2008.
- 17. Nayak RK, Sahu GC, Nanda SSK. Characterization and Classification of the soils of Central Research Station, Bhubaneswar. Agropedology 2006;12:1-8.
- Nahak T, Mishra A, Saren S, Pogula S. GPS and GIS Based soil fertility maps of Ranital KVK farm and identification soil related production constraints. International Journal of Agricultural Science 2016;8(51):2241-2251.
- 19. Kadlag AD, Pharande AL, Durgude AG, Kale SD, Todmal SM, Kadu PP *et al.* GIS- soil fertility maps of western Maharashtra Pub 2016. No.: MPKV/Res

Pub/205/2016.

- Kanwar JS. Soil and Water resource management for sustainable agriculture imperatives. In: development and conservation. Int. Conf. Agricultural Production in 21st century. Feb 14-18, New Delhi 2000, 17-27.
- 21. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. United States Department of Agriculture 1954, 939.
- 22. Parkar FW. The broad interpretation and application of soil test information, Agronomy Journal 1951;43(3):151-152.
- Rawal N, Acharya KK, Bam RC, Acharya K. Soil fertility mapping of different VDCs of Sunsari District, Nepal using GIS, International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology (IJASBAT) 2018;6(2):142-151.
- 24. Schwarzenbach G, Biedermann W, Bangerter F. Komplexone VI. Neue einfache Titriermethoden Zur Bestimmung der Wasserharte. Helvetica Chimica Acta 1946;29:811-812.
- 25. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in the soil. Current Science 1956;25:259-260.
- 26. Swain N, Mishra A, Saren S, Dash KP, Digal M, Mishra BB. Soil Fertility status of some village in Khordha and Bhubaneswar block of Khordha District under North Eastern Ghat Agro Climatic Zone of Odisha, India, International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2019, 8(1).
- 27. Toth SJ, Prince AL. Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by Flame photometer technique, Soil Science An Interdisciplinary Approach to Soil Research 1949;67(6):439-446.
- 28. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science 1934;37:29-38.
- 29. Wilcox LV. Electrical Conductivity, Amer, Water Works assoc, J 1950;42:775-776.
- 30. Williams CH, Steinbergs A. Soil Sulphur fraction as chemical indices of available sulphur in some Australian soils. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 1959;10:340-352.