www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(10): 1652-1655 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-08-2021 Accepted: 18-09-2021

Kalpana V Rathava

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

RR Acharya

Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Azadchandra S Damor

College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Jabugam, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Kalpana V Rathava

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Studies on heritability and genetic advance for fruit yield and its component traits in segregating generations of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

Kalpana V Rathava, RR Acharya and Azadchandra S Damor

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out during *kharif-rabi* 2017-2018, at Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand. The experimental material for present investigation comprised of six generations *viz.*, P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of four crosses involving eight diverse cultivars of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) used to study the genetic analysis of various quantitative and qualitative traits. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance were estimated for fruit yield per plant in cross 1 (ABSR 2 × NSP 1), days to first picking in cross 3 (JBGR 1 × GP BRJ 204), number of fruits per plant in cross 1 (ABSR 2 × NSP 1), fruit length in cross 1 (ABSR 2 × NSP 1) and cross 2 (KS 224 × CHBR 1) and cross 4 (Doli 5 × PN 1) and total soluble sugar in cross 2 (KS 224 × CHBR 1), which indicated preponderance of additive gene action in these traits and for improvement of such a traits, selection will be effective.

Keywords: brinjal, heritability, genetic advance, quantitative and qualitative trait, additive gene action

Introduction

Brinjal or eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) is an important commercial vegetable crop in India and having chromosome number 2n = 2X = 24. It is self-pollinated crop belonging to the family Solanaceae. India considered as the primary centre of origin of brinjal (Vavilov, 1931). Brinjal crop is a versatile crop adapted to different agro-climatic regions and can be grown throughout the year. It is a perennial but grown commercially as an annual crop. The varieties of brinjal exhibit a wide range of fruit size, shapes and colours. Brinjal is a common and popular major vegetable crop in the subtropics and tropics mainly for its immature fruits as vegetables and since ancient time the human society has socio-economic relationship with this crop. Many local cultivars are popular in different locations and cultivated in large area for their qualitative traits but is characterized by very low productivity due to lack of high yielding varieties adapted to different seasons and agronomic conditions at different parts of country. It is also susceptible to various pests and diseases. As we know, yield is a complex end product of a number of components most of which are under polygenic control. So, all changes in yield must be accompanied by changes in one or more of the components as have been pointed out by Grafius (1959)^[8].

The ultimate goal of any plant breeding programme is to develop improved genotypes which are better than their existing ones in one or more traits which produce more economic yield. Sufficient understanding of the inheritance of quantitative traits and information about heritability of fruit yield, its components and quality traits are essential to develop an efficient breeding strategy. The scope for the improvement of the crop plants through proper breeding method depends on the amount of genetic variability available. Luckily a great genetic variation is available in brinjal throughout the country with regard to fruit size, shape, colour, growth habit, canopy fruit bearing habit, yield, diseases and insect-pests resistance as well as quality and adaptability for different localities and for different growing seasons.

Estimate of heritability serves as a useful guide to the plant breeder. The breeder is able to appreciate the proportion of variation that is due to genotypic (broad sense heritability) or additive (narrow sense heritability) effects, that is, the heritable portion of variation in the first case, and the portion of genetic variation that is fixable in pure lines in the latter case. If heritability of a character is very high, selection for the character should be fairly easy because there would be a close correspondence between the genotype and phenotype due to relatively smaller contribution of the environment to phenotype.

But for a character with low heritability, selection may be considerably difficult or virtually impractical due to the masking effect of the environment on genotypic effects. Thus, estimates of heritability are useful in predicting the transmission of characters from the parents to their offspring.

Material and Methods

The material comprising of eight genetically diverse genotypes of brinjal (ABSR 2, NSP 1, KS 224, CHBR 1, JBGR 1, GP BRJ 204, Doli 5 and PN 1) which were selected on the basis of their geographic origin, variation in morphological characters and based on their mineral nutrient content. There were four crosses (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1, KS $224 \times CHBR$ 1, JBGR 1 × GP BRJ 204 and Doli 5 × PN 1) obtained by crossing of diverse parents during *Kharif-rabi* 2014-15. Backcrossing was done in *Kharif-rabi* 2016-17 with its respective parents. Selfing of F₁s was done in the same season to get F₂s.

The evaluation trial was conducted in *Kharif-rabi* 2017-18 at Experimental Farm, Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand. The experimental material consisting of six generations (P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂) of each of the four crosses were in Compact Family Block Design with three replications. Each replication was divided in four compact blocks. Each cross consisting of six generations were randomly allotted to each plot within a block. Each plot consisted of two rows of parents and F₁, four rows of the backcrosses and eight rows of the F₂ generation of each cross. Inter and intra row spacing was 90 cm and 60 cm, respectively. The standard agronomical practices were followed to raise the good and healthy experimental crop.

In this study, observations for twelve different characters viz, days to first flowering, days to first fruit picking, plant height, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, total phenol content, total soluble sugars, moisture content and total soluble solids were recorded in each experimental unit *i.e.*, generation as five plants in each P₁, P₂ and F₁, ten plants in each BC₁ and BC₂ and twenty plats in F₂. The plants for recording observations were selected randomly from the competitive plants. The selected plants were tagged and numbered for recording different observations. An individual observation of each generation of each family was considered for statistical analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Heritability in narrow sense: The narrow sense heritability in *per cent* was calculated by using formula suggested by Warner (1952)^[29].

h² (ns) (%) =
$$\frac{2VF_2 - (VB_1 + VB_2)}{VF_2} \times 100$$

Heritability is a measure of the efficiency of a selection system in separating genotypes. The quantitative traits are largely influenced by environments; therefore, those are not highly heritable. High, moderate and low heritability are not rigidly defined as it varies with character to character, but the following values are widely accepted (Robinson *et al.* 1949) ^[24].

1.	Low heritability	: 0 - 30 %
2.	Moderate heritability	: 30 - 60 %
3.	High heritability	: > 60 %

Genetic advance: The expected genetic advance at 5 %

selection intensity was estimated by using formula suggested by Allard (1960)^[1].

G.A. =
$$h^2$$
 (ns) × K × σ_p

Where,

 h^2 (ns) = Heritability in narrow sense, K= Selection differential (K=2.06) at 5% selection intensity and σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation Expected genetic advance as per cent of population mean was obtained by using following formula

Genetic advance (%) =
$$\frac{GA}{\overline{x}} \times 100$$

Where,

 $X = \frac{\overline{P}_1 + \overline{P}_2}{2}$ (Basic population mean)

The value of expected genetic advance for various characters is demarcated into three categories *viz.*, low, moderate and high, as follows (Johnson *et al.* 1955) ^[9]

- 1. Low genetic advance : 0 10 %
- 2. Moderate genetic advance : 10 20 %
- 3. High genetic advance : > 20 %

Results and Discussion

Narrow sense heritability may be more helpful in the selection of segregating populations and that is why the genetic advance is calculated on the basis of narrow sense heritability to ascertain more reliable results. In cross 1 (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1) high narrow sense heritability was recorded for number of fruits per plant, moisture content, fruit yield per plant, total phenol content, days to first fruit picking, total soluble sugars and fruit length (Table 1). Whereas, in cross 2 (KS 224 \times CHBR 1) total soluble sugars, total phenol content, fruit length and fruit weight exhibited high narrow sense heritability. In cross 3 (JBGR $1 \times$ GP BRJ 204) moisture content, first fruit picking, number of fruits per plant and total soluble sugars had recorded high narrow sense heritability. In case of cross 4 (Doli $5 \times PN$ 1) fruit weight and fruit length recorded high narrow sense heritability. The results are in agreement with the findings of Kapadia (1995)^[11], Negi et al. (2000) ^[19], Prasad et al. (2004) ^[22], Patel et al. (2004) ^[21], Singh and Kumar (2005) [27], Golani et al. (2007) [7], Sharmin et al. (2010) [25], Ansari et al. (2011) [3], Kafytullah et al. $(2011)^{[10]}$, Kumar *et al.* $(2011)^{[14]}$, Dhaka and Soni $(2012)^{[6]}$, Ambade et al. (2013)^[2], Lokesh et al. (2013)^[15], Khalil et al. (2013) ^[12], Kumar et al. (2013) ^[13], Mili et al. (2014) ^[17], Chaudhary and Kumar (2014) ^[5], Madhavi et al. (2015), Mistry et al. (2016)^[18], Balwani et al. (2017)^[4], Nilakh et al. (2017)^[20], Ravali et al. (2017)^[23] and Tripathy et al. (2017) ^[28], who reported high narrow sense heritability for all these trait viz., number of fruits per plant, moisture content, fruit yield per plant, total phenol content, days to first fruit picking, total soluble sugars, fruit length and fruit weight.

In cross 1 (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1) moderate narrow sense heritability was recorded for days to first flowering, while total soluble solids had low narrow sense heritability for this cross. In case of cross 2 (KS 224 × CHBR 1) fruit girth and moisture content exhibited moderate narrow sense heritability, while rest of the traits shown low narrow sense heritability in this cross. In cross 3 (JBGR 1 × GP BRJ 204) days to first flowering shown low narrow sense heritability. In case of cross 4 (Doli $5 \times PN$ 1) total phenol content and total soluble solids exhibited low narrow sense heritability in this cross.

High expected genetic advance was recorded for number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant and fruit length in cross 1 (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1); fruit weight, total soluble solids and fruit length for cross 2 (KS $224 \times$ CHBR 1); days to first fruit picking for cross 3 (JBGR 1 × GP BRJ 204) and for fruit yield per plant in cross 4 (Doli $5 \times PN$ 1) (Table 1). However, most of the crosses revealed low to high genetic advance for some more traits. Moderate expected genetic advance was recorded for days to first fruit picking and total phenol content in cross 1 (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1); fruit girth for cross 2 (KS $224 \times CHBR$ 1); number of fruits per plant for cross 3 (JBGR $1 \times GP$ BRJ 204) and for fruit length in cross 4 (Doli $5 \times PN$ 1). Low genetic advance was reported for days to first flowering, total soluble sugars, moisture content and total soluble solids in cross 1 (ABSR $2 \times NSP$ 1); total phenol content and moisture content for cross 2 (KS $224 \times$ CHBR 1); days to first flowering, total phenol content and total soluble sugars for cross 3 (JBGR $1 \times$ GP BRJ 204) and for total soluble sugars and total soluble solids in cross 4 (Doli $5 \times PN$ 1). The results of present study are akin with finding of Kapadia (1995)^[11], Negi et al. (2000) [19], Prasad et al. (2004) [22], Singh and Kumar (2005) [27], Ansari et al. (2011) [3], Kafytullah et al. (2011)^[10], Kumar et al. (2011)^[14], Dhaka and Soni (2012)^[6], Ambade et al. (2013)^[2], Khalil et al. (2013)^[12], Kumar et al. (2013) ^[13], Mili et al. (2014) ^[17], Madhavi et al. (2015) ^[16], Mistry et al. (2016) [18], Balwani et al. (2017) [4], Ravali et al. (2017) ^[23] and Tripathy et al. (2017) ^[28], who reported high genetic advance for all these traits viz., days to first fruit picking, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant and fruit length, fruit weight and total soluble solids.

High magnitude of narrow sense heritability coupled with high value of expected genetic advance as per cent of population mean were observed for fruit yield per plant in cross 1, days to first picking in cross 3, number of fruits per plant in cross 1, fruit length in cross 1 and cross 2, fruit weight in cross 2 and cross 4 as well as total soluble sugar in cross 2, which indicated that heritability of these traits were under the control of additive gene action. For improvement of such traits, selection will be effective. Moderate to high magnitude of narrow sense heritability coupled with moderate value of expected genetic advance as per cent of population mean were observed for days to first picking in cross 1, number of fruits per plant in cross 3, fruit length in cross 4, fruit girth in cross 2 and total phenol content in cross 1, which indicate that heritability of these traits were due to additive gene action, suggesting that these characters can be further improved by adopting selections in succeeding generations. High heritability (narrow sense) associated with moderate to high value of expected genetic advance recorded for days to first picking in cross 1 and cross 3, number of fruits per plant in cross 1, fruit yield per plant in cross 1 and cross 3, fruit length in cross 1, cross 2 and cross 4, fruit girth in cross 2, fruit weight in cross 2 and cross 4, total phenol content in cross 1 and total soluble sugar in cross 2 which indicated that these characters can be further improved through selection in advanced generation. High magnitude of narrow sense heritability associated with low value of expected genetic advance was found for days to first flowering in cross 1, total phenol content in cross 2, total soluble sugar in cross 1 and cross 3 and moisture content in cross 1, which can be improved further by using inter se crossing of desirable recombinants keeping adequate size of population. Low magnitude of narrow sense heritability associated with low value of expected genetic advance was estimated for days to first flowering in cross 3, total phenol content in cross 4, total soluble solids in cross 1 and cross 4 and moisture content in cross 2, which indicated that heritability of these traits under the control of non-additive gene action for this cross and selection would be ineffective for this trait.

Estimates	Dave to first	Days to first fruit picking	Plant height	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit length	Fruit girth	Fruit weight	Fruit yield per plant	Total phenol content	Total soluble sugars	Moisture content	Total soluble solids		
$Cross 1 (ABSR 2 \times NSP 1)$														
h ² (ns) %	47.22	112.99	-390.1	154.42	89.38	-138.14	-1908.8	138.87	123.20	102.45	149.04	19.22		
GA %	9.42	19.31	-58.71	69.56	32.24	-28.51	1057.7	38.65	13.03	4.77	1.54	0.97		
				Cr	oss 2 (KS	S 224 × 0	CHBR 1)							
h ² (ns) %	-14.3	-14.3	-455.7	-182.7	102.77	46.43	91.56	-84.3	152.6	-108.33	44.88	194.5		
GA %	-3.216	-2.86	-85.18	-16.69	47.41	19.12	86.11	-11.42	8.70	-3.52	0.025	53.5		
Cross 3 (JBGR $1 \times GP$ BRJ 204)														
h ² (ns) %	13.64	148.37	-123.3	90.81	-359.7	-89.14	-16.80	-325.20	00.00	79.00	1880.00	-19.48		
GA %	3.04	29.72	-33.25	13.13	-102.4	-27.15	-12.49	-46.44	00.00	1.84	-1.02	-1.30		
$Cross 4 (DOLI 5 \times PN 1)$														
h ² (ns) %	-517.8	-888.3	-121.2	-227.6	50.10	-78.27	57.97	-275.00	24.45	-550.00	-16.67	19.22		
GA %	-73.36	-64.85	-35.55	-32.51	17.71	-19.17	39.76	-53.62	0.13	-19.58	-0.0095	0.97		

Table 1: Estimates of heritability [h²(ns) %] and genetic advance (GA %) for yield and yield attributing traits in four crosses of brinjal.

Conclusion

The higher estimates of heritability indicates that these traits were comparatively less affected by environment and their phenotypes are good reflection of genotypes and thus possessed paramount importance in making selection of superior genotype on the basis of phenotypic performance of these matric traits but in case of lower heritability, pedigree, sib or progeny test can be employed for genetic improvement. The moderate to low estimates of heritability for most of the traits including yield components indicated the preponderance of non-additive variance for yield and yield attributes in the material under study.

References

- 1. Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York 1960.
- 2. Ambade RL, Sahu M, Sahu KK, Mehta N. Heritability and genetic advance of yield and its components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Asian Journal of Bio Science 2013;8(2):234-236.
- 3. Ansari SF, Mehta N, Ansari S, Gavel GP. Variability studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) in

Chhattisgarh plains. Electronic J. Plant Breeding 2011;2(2):275-281.

- 4. Balwani AK, Patel JN, Acharya RR, Gohil DP, Dhruve JJ. Heterosis for fruit yield and its component traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(5):187-190.
- 5. Chaudhary P, Kumar S. Variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in egg-plant (*Solanum melongena* L.). Plant Archives 2014;14(1):483-486.
- Dhaka SK, Soni AK. Genetic variability in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.). Asian J Hort. 2012;7(2):537-540.
- 7. Golani IJ, Mehta DR, Naliyadhara MV, Pandya HM, Purohit VL. A study on genetic diversity and genetic variability in brinjal. Agri. Sci. Digest 2007;27(1):22-25.
- 8. Grafius JE. Heterosis in barley. Agron. J 1959;51:551-554.
- 9. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Gnotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybean and their implications in selection. Agron. J. 1955;47:477-483.
- Kafytullah, Indiresh KM, Santhosa HM. Genetic variability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Environ. and Eco 2011;29(3/B):1686-1688.
- Kapadia SJ. Generation mean analysis in brinjal. M. Sc. (Agri.) thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardar Krushinagar 1995.
- Khalil MI, Meah MB, Islam MM. Morphological and molecular characterization of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) lines for resistant to phomopsis blight and fruit rot. Int. J Agril. Res. Innov. & Tech 2013;3(1):35-46.
- Kumar SR, Arumugam T, Anandakumar CR, Rajavel DS. Heterosis expression, inter-relationship, direct and indirect effects of component characters on yield in intervarietal crosses of eggplant. Afr. J Biotech 2013;12(45):6366-6375.
- Kumar S, Sharma JP, Chopra S. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance for morphological and yield traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Mysore J Agri. Sci 2011;45(1):63-66.
- 15. Lokesh B, Reddy PS, Reddy RVSK, Sivaraj N. Variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Electronic J of Plant Breeding 2013;4(1):1097-1100.
- Madhavi N, Mishra AC, Prasad OJ, Bahuguna N. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Plant archives 2015;15(1):277-281.
- Mili C, Bora GC, Das B, Paul SK. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in *Solanum melongena* L. (Brinjal) genotypes. Direct Res. J Agri. and Food Sci 2014;2(11):192-194.
- Mistry C, Kathiria KB, Sabolu S, Kumar S. Heritability and gene effects for yield related quantitative traits in eggplant. Annals of Agricultural Science 2016;61(2):237-246.
- Negi AC, Baswana KS, Singh A, Sanwal SK, Batra BR. Studies on genetic variability and heritability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) under high temperature condition. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 2000;29(3, 4):205-206.
- 20. Nilakh SB, Thaware BL, Dhekale JS, Palshetkar MG. Genetic variability studies on f_5 generation of brinjal (*solanum melongena* L.). Plant Archives 2017;17(1):103-105.

- Patel KK, Sarnaik DA, Asati BS, Tirkey T. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Agriculture Science Digest 2004;24(4):256-259.
- 22. Prasad M, Mehta N, Dikshit SN, Nichal SS. Genetic variability, genetic advance and heritability in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Orissa J Hort 2004;32(2):26-29.
- Ravali1 B, Reddy RK, Saidaiah P, Shivraj N. Genetic diversity in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2017;6(6):48-54.
- 24. Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey VH. Estimation of heritability and degree of dominance in corn. Agronomy J. 1949;41:353-359.
- Sharmin D, Meah MB, Moniruzzaman M. Inheritance of resistance to phomopsis blight and fruit rot in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J Agrofor. Environ 2010;3(2):135-140.
- 26. Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetics analysis. Kalyani publishers, Ludhiana 2004.
- 27. Singh O, Kumar J. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in brinjal. Indian J Hort 2005;62(3):265-267.
- 28. Tripathy B, Sharma D, Jangde BP, Bairwa PL. Genetic variability and heritability studies in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The *bioscane*, special 2017;(10):109-116.
- 29. Warner JN. A method of estimating heritability. Agron. J 1952;44:427-430.