



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2021; 10(11): 2800-2804
© 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 06-09-2021
Accepted: 13-10-2021

Nitin Kumar Singhai
Department of Agronomy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur,
Madhya Pradesh, India

KK Agrawal
Department of Agronomy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur,
Madhya Pradesh, India

PB Sharma
Department of Agronomy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur,
Madhya Pradesh, India

Sachin Prakash Nagre
Department of Plant Physiology,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Siddharth Nayak
Department of Agronomy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur,
Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Nitin Kumar Singhai
Department of Agronomy,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur,
Madhya Pradesh, India

The effect of nutrient management and bio-inoculants on the growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Nitin Kumar Singhai, KK Agrawal, PB Sharma, Sachin Prakash Nagre and Siddharth Nayak

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in Split Plot Design with three replications during Rabi seasons of 2018-19 and 2019-20 in the Research Farm, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur to study the effect of nutrient management and bio-inoculants on the growth parameters, yield attributes, and yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Different treatments of recommended dose of fertilizers and bio-inoculums with their interactions viz., F₀=control, F₁=50% RDF, F₂=75% RDF, F₃= 100% RDF; Bio-inoculums; B₀=without bio-inoculums, B₁=VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹, B₂=Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹, B₃= VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹. Results revealed that application of F₃ and B₃ and their combinations recorded significantly more days to physiological maturity as well as highest LAI (at 30, 60 & 90 DAS), CCI, and yield attributes i.e. Number of tillers m⁻², Number of spike m⁻², spike length, Number of grain spike⁻¹, Test weight (1000 seed wt.), Grain yield, Straw yield, and Harvest index.

Keywords: Nutrient management, bio-inoculums, Lai, chlorophyll content index, and yield attributes of wheat

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most extensively grown cereal crops worldwide that has known as the “king of cereals,” emanating as the keystone of India’s food security and depicts the primary food for about one-third of the world population (Thakur and Agrawal 2020) [1]. India is the top major producer and consumer of wheat globally. In the global context, India is the second-largest wheat producer, with approximately 12% of the world’s wheat production. It is also the second-largest consumer of wheat after China and has a huge growing demand. Wheat has occupied an area of 222.0 million hectares, with a total production of 753.3 million hectares and productivity 3.4 tonnes ha⁻¹ (USDA, 2017) in the world. In India, wheat has covered an area of 30.8 million hectares, with a total production of 98.51 million tonnes and productivity 3.2 tonnes ha⁻¹. Nutrient management involves the use of mineral fertilizers and bio-inoculums where VAM and Pseudomonas improve physical properties, soil fertility, and crop yields.

When applied in combination with bio-inoculums, RDF supplies energy to beneficial micro-organisms, including Mycorrhiza and Pseudomonas, and is also used to mitigate the multiple nutrient insufficiency. Principal structural nutrients of the cell, i.e., nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, help build up vegetative plant growth. In contrast, the requirement of phosphorus and potassium for better crop production, photosynthesis, and translocation of nutrients from leaves to the seed. Therefore, applying an adequate amount of nutrients is a required key to obtaining a better wheat yield. In this venture, a proper blend of bio-inoculums and inorganic fertilizer is a good substitute for increasing yield and sustaining soil physicochemical and biological properties. It considered the recommended dose of fertilizer its use in the proper amount with bio-inoculums, hampering crop production. VAM and Pseudomonas as an agent to uptake plant nutrients are attaining importance. Pseudomonas and VAM recover the soil health and increase the availability of N, P, K, and other mineral nutrients, as well as help in improving crop productivity by increasing biological activity with better root growth. Efficient nutrient management and bio-inoculums helped us accomplish the goal of increasing grain yield. The present investigation was carried out in finding the effect of RDF and bio-inoculants on the growth and yield of wheat.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was carried out at Research Farm, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.), during the Rabi season of the year 2018-19 and 2019-20, situated at 23° 90' N latitude and 79° 58' E longitude at an altitude 411.78 meters over the mean sea level. The area was rich in sandy clay loam soil, having a pH of 7.1. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with three replications and four different nutrient management (F_0 =control, F_1 =50% RDF, F_2 =75% RDF, F_3 = 100% RDF, {RDF=120:60:40 N, P_2O_5, K_2O kg ha⁻¹}) as main plot and four application of bio-inoculums (B_0 =without bio-inoculums, B_1 =VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹, B_2 =Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹, B_3 = VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹) as subplots. The total treatment combinations were sixteen. The crop was grown with all recommended cultural operations as per requirement. The seed was sown in lines at spacing of 20cm with recommended seed rate, i.e., 100 kg ha⁻¹. The recommended dose of fertilizers for wheat is 120:60:40 N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹. Application of fertilizer and sowing of seed was made manually. The basal dose of fertilizers was applied as per nutrient management in the plots and mixed in the soil. A full dose of P₂O₅, K₂O, and 50% Nitrogen was applied at the time of sowing as per nutrient management. The remaining nitrogen was top-dressed in two splits as per treatment after first and second irrigation. The amount of VAM and Pseudomonas were applied treatment wise. The field was kept free from weeds by hand weeding. Five irrigations applied at critical stages in the same manner for all the treatments. LAI's regular observations were recorded at intervals of 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS, and chlorophyll content index (CCI) (SPAD) at 60 DAS from selected plants. Physiological maturity was noted when the plant attended maximum grain size and moisture content. Yield parameters were recorded just before harvesting the crop. Harvesting was done when the spikes matured and the plant was dried up. Thus grain and straw yield of each plot was recorded in kg plot⁻¹ and then converted into kg ha⁻¹ after threshing. Statistical data were analyzed by ICAR wasp 2.0.

The following equation was used for the calculation of the leaf area index (LAI) (Watson, 1952).

$$LAI = \frac{\text{Total leaf area}}{\text{Land area}} \quad LAI = \frac{(LA_2 + LA_1)}{2/p}$$

Where LA₁ and LA₂ represent leaf area during two consecutive intervals and 'P' ground area.

Harvest Index it refers to the ratio of economic yield (seed yield) to the biological yields (seed + straw), and it is expressed under a particular treatment in percentage. It was worked for each plot by using the following formula (Nichiporovich, 1967),

$$HI \% = \frac{\text{Economic yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

Result and Discussion

Days to Physiological maturity

Physiological maturity is usually defined as when the flag leaf and spikes turn yellow (Hanft and Wych, 1982) [6]. Physiological maturity is when the seeds gain maximum dry weight (Harrington, 1972) [7]. Days to physiological maturity of wheat as influenced by different treatments are presented in Table 1. The result of 2018-19 and 2019-20 revealed significant differences among main treatments and sub-treatment with their interactions. Under nutrient management, application of F_3 (102 and 103 days) leads to increased days to physiological maturity, and in contrast, noted the Lowest value for days to physiological maturity on treatment without fertilizer (94 & 94 days), which was at par with F_2 (97 and 97 days) respectively. Among the bio-inoculants, the application of VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹ (99 and 100 days) took more days to attain physiological maturity, respectively. Treatment with no bio-inoculums (95 and 96 days) observed lesser days to reach physiological maturity with respect to the interaction of both years, the F_3B_3 (106 and 107 days), respectively. Similar Results conform with the findings of Jat *et al.* (2015) [9]; Shaukat *et al.* (2020) [22]. After physiological maturity, the assimilates are not partitioned to sink from the source because the formation breaks the vascular connection to the seed of the abscission layer.

Leaf area index

Leaf area index is important because it represents the plants' canopy density and depth, which determines the extent of solar energy interception and its proper utilization for the conversion into chemical energy. The LAI is related to the biological and economic yields, and an increase in LAI causes higher yield (Singh *et al.*, 2009) [20]. The investigations revealed both years' non-significant differences of LAI among nutrient management application (F) and bio-inoculants application (B) at 30 DAS. During the experimentation (2018-19 and 2019-20), At 60 DAS, recorded nutrient management with the application of F_3 (3.31 and 3.46) was a significantly higher leaf area index than other treatments. On the other hand, the lowest leaf area index was recorded significantly without fertilizer (2.91 & 3.01) in both years, respectively. Among the bio-inoculants, treatment VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹ (3.26 & 3.43) was recorded significantly higher and superior leaf area index than other treatments. Without bio-inoculums treatment (2.88 & 3.00), the significantly lowest leaf area index was recorded, respectively. F_3B_3 showed maximum LAI (3.69 & 3.89) in both years, respectively, with respect to the interaction effect. Our findings similarity to Singh and Ghosh (1991) [18], Naveed (2013) [13], Jat *et al.* (2015) [9]. The present study indicated a progressive increase in leaf area index with the advancement of crop growth. After attaining a peak at 60 days after sowing, it declined at 90 DAS lower LAI observed than 60 DAS, reducing the magnitude of assimilatory surface area and mobilizing photoassimilates from the assimilatory apparatus to the other parts of the plants, particularly grains.

Table 1: LAI at 30, 60 and 90 DAS of wheat as affected by nutrient management and bio-inoculums

Treatments	Leaf area index (30 DAS)		Leaf area index (60 DAS)		Leaf area index (90 DAS)	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
Fertilizer (nutrient management) F						
F_0	1.15	1.12	2.91	3.01	2.01	2.36
F_1	1.31	1.10	2.96	3.07	2.57	2.48
F_2	1.46	1.40	3.15	3.30	2.61	2.71

F ₃	1.71	1.50	3.31	3.46	2.76	2.79
Mean	1.41	1.28	3.08	3.21	2.49	2.58
S.Em±	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.07
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.29	0.26	0.39	0.25
Bio inoculants (soil application) B						
B ₀	1.22	0.94	2.88	3.00	2.06	2.19
B ₁	1.50	1.32	3.14	3.26	2.55	2.64
B ₂	1.41	1.35	3.05	3.15	2.67	2.66
B ₃	1.49	1.50	3.26	3.43	2.68	2.85
Mean	1.41	1.28	3.08	3.21	2.49	2.58
S.Em±	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.16	0.08
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.16	0.13	0.46	0.24

Chlorophyll content index (CCI)

The high chlorophyll contents might also contribute to a higher photosynthetic rate, and a significant positive correlation between chlorophyll content and photosynthesis rate was noted (Thomas *et al.*, 2005) [23]. The chlorophyll is one of the major chloroplast components for photosynthesis, and relative chlorophyll content has a positive relationship with photosynthetic rate. Flag leaf chlorophyll content is an indicator of the photosynthetic activity and its stability for the conjugation of assimilating biosynthesis (Bijanazadeh and Emam, 2010) [3]. The present study showed that 100% nutrient management with VAM+ Pseudomonas F₃B₃ (51.96) registered higher magnitudes for chlorophyll content index than control (45.48).

During the year 2018-19 & 2019-20, the chlorophyll content

index varied significantly for nutrient management application. It was found to be maximum in treatment F₃ (50.18 & 50.66), and minimum chlorophyll content index was found in treatment without nutrient management (45.84 & 49.63), respectively. Among the bio-inoculants application, the chlorophyll content index varied significantly. It was maximum in treatment VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹ (49.15) in the first year and (49.63) in the second year and minimum in treatment no bio-inoculums (46.80 & 47.28), respectively. Among the application of fertilizer, full dose of nutrient management observed 9.41 percent more chlorophyll content index than control and among bio-inoculums VAM + Pseudomonas (4.9%) recorded the maximum values for this character than the B₀, respectively. Prokhorov *et al.* (1998) [16] reported a similar result.

Table 2: Days to maturity and CCI of wheat as affected by nutrient management and bio-inoculums

Treatments	Days to physiological maturity		Chlorophyll content index (CCI)	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
Fertilizer (nutrient management) F				
F ₀	94	94	45.84	46.32
F ₁	95	95	47.17	47.65
F ₂	97	97	48.41	48.89
F ₃	102	103	50.18	50.66
Mean	97	97	47.90	48.38
S.Em±	0.4	0.4	0.34	0.34
CD(P=0.05)	1.3	1.3	1.17	1.17
Bio inoculants (soil application) B				
B ₀	95	96	46.80	47.28
B ₁	96	97	47.79	48.27
B ₂	96	97	47.86	48.34
B ₃	99	100	49.15	49.63
Mean	97	97	47.90	48.38
S.Em±	0	0	0.22	0.22
CD(P=0.05)	0.7	0.7	0.65	0.65

Number of tillers (m⁻²) and Number of spikes (m⁻²)

All fertility levels significantly affected the vegetative and reproductive growth of the plants depending upon the availability of needed nutrition that increases by the bio-inoculums, leading to a proportional increase in tillers. The increase in the number of tillers might be due to nutrient management with VAM and Pseudomonas, which led to greater stimulation of vegetative growth. The number of tillers in wheat affected by nutrient management and bio-inoculums after 60 days after sowing is reported in Table no.3. Application of F₃ (100% RDF) recorded the maximum number of tillers m⁻² (682 & 696) significantly after 60 days of sowing in both years, respectively. While recorded a minimum number of tillers significantly by applying without fertilizer F₀, it was 536 & 542 respectively in both years. Without bio-inoculums (587.17 & 596.5), a minimum number

of tillers m⁻² were recorded, respectively. Significantly higher numbers of tillers m⁻² (646.58 and 656.5) were observed for treatment VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹ compared to other treatments, respectively in both years. With respect to interaction F₃B₃ (700), significantly more number tillers were observed compared to different combinations. In both years, Nutrient management application, a significantly higher and superior number of spikes m⁻² was observed for treatment F₃ (560.75 and 586.25), followed by F₂ and F₁ treatments, respectively. The number of spikes m⁻² was observed to be significantly lowest for treatment without fertilizer (409.42 & 436.3). Among different bio-inoculants, in both years, a markedly higher under a number of spikes m⁻² was observed for treatment VAM 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha⁻¹ (523.58 & 551.58), respectively as compared to other bio-inoculums treatments. Without bio-inoculums (467.08 &

487.58) minimum number of spikes m^{-2} was recorded in respective years. Regarding interaction F_3B_3 (700), significantly more number spikes were observed compared to the rest of the combinations. Singh *et al.* (2007) suggest that

ineffective tillers died with the time of growth, and only effective tillers have remained. Our findings Similarly to Desai *et al.* (2015)^[4], Manino *et al.* (2009)^[11], Heidaryan and Feilinezhad (2015)^[8].

Table 3: Yield attributing characters of wheat as affected by various nutrient management and bio-inoculums

Treatments	No. of tillers m^{-2}		No. spikes m^{-2}		No. of grain spike $^{-1}$		Test weight		Grain yield (kg ha $^{-1}$)		Straw Yield (kg ha $^{-1}$)		HI (%)	
	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20	2018-19	2019-20
Fertilizer (nutrient management) F														
F ₀	536.08	542.42	409.42	436.33	32.13	33.20	35.08	35.36	2329.89	2414.13	3692.61	3828.65	38.68	38.67
F ₁	582.17	589.67	459.42	483.42	32.53	33.33	38.43	38.10	2779.43	2867.13	4142.95	4327.41	40.10	39.78
F ₂	657.17	668.33	536.67	560.25	33.07	34.13	39.38	38.83	3500.05	3549.51	5034.53	5192.90	40.86	40.45
F ₃	682.33	696.00	560.75	586.25	37.33	38.40	40.46	39.74	4426.76	4500.95	6233.86	6417.04	41.53	41.22
Mean	614.44	624.10	491.56	516.56	33.77	34.77	38.34	38.00	3259.03	3332.93	4775.99	4941.50	40.29	40.03
S.Em±	3.64	2.24	3.62	4.65	0.43	0.37	0.35	0.66	86.35	112.93	19.49	20.53	0.57	0.69
CD(P=0.05)	12.60	7.76	12.51	16.08	1.48	1.27	1.23	2.27	298.82	390.80	67.45	71.03	NS	NS
Bio inoculants (soil application) B														
B ₀	587.17	596.58	467.08	487.58	30.80	31.87	36.57	36.27	2945.59	3021.01	4346.45	4533.27	40.06	39.69
B ₁	609.67	618.50	484.58	508.08	33.33	34.40	38.63	37.54	3122.53	3203.56	4611.19	4801.45	40.13	39.82
B ₂	614.33	624.75	491.00	519.00	34.27	35.33	38.71	38.33	3279.62	3351.41	4894.14	5002.19	39.94	39.95
B ₃	646.58	656.58	523.58	551.58	36.67	37.47	39.44	39.88	3688.38	3755.75	5252.16	5429.09	41.02	40.65
Mean	614.44	624.10	491.56	516.56	33.77	34.77	38.34	38.00	3259.03	3332.93	4775.99	4941.50	40.29	40.03
S.Em±	2.55	2.51	3.08	3.80	0.14	0.23	0.48	0.45	54.05	48.55	24.16	15.24	0.41	0.30
CD(P=0.05)	7.43	7.31	9.00	11.09	0.41	0.68	1.40	1.30	157.76	141.72	70.51	44.50	NS	NS

Number of grain spike $^{-1}$

The number of grains spike $^{-1}$ has proved to be an important yield component that substantially influences yield. It has been observed in the present study that application of 100% nutrient management with VAM + Pseudomonas recorded more number of grains spike $^{-1}$ as compared to control. The decrease in the number of grains spike $^{-1}$ despite low nutrient management levels treatment was associated with enhancing the other yield components. During both years, nutrient management application, a significantly more grains spike $^{-1}$ was observed for F₃ (37 & 38) than other treatments. A significantly minimum number of grains spike $^{-1}$ was observed in both years for treatment without fertilizer management (32 & 33). Among bio-inoculants, a significantly more number of grains spike $^{-1}$ was observed for treatment VAM 10 kg ha $^{-1}$ + Pseudomonas 5 kg ha $^{-1}$ (37) in both years over the rest of treatment, and no bio-inoculums treatment (31 & 32) was noted a minimum number of grains spike $^{-1}$. The results were in contradictions with the findings of Ashraf (1986)^[2], Mondal *et al.* (2018)^[12], and Rani *et al.* (2018)^[17].

Grain yield kg ha $^{-1}$ and Straw yield kg ha $^{-1}$

Grain (kg ha $^{-1}$) and straw yield (kg ha $^{-1}$) were significantly affected by different treatments. The highest grain yield was recorded with the application of 100% RDF (F₃) and B₃ (VAM + Pseudomonas), which was at par with F₂ and B₂. In comparison, it was significantly superior to other treatments. Similarly, the straw yield was also substantially greater under F₃ than other treatments except for F₀, F₁, B₀, and B₁. With respect to nutrient management, the improvement in grain yield and straw yield has 89.99% and 68.81% in the first year, 86.44%, and 67.60% in the second year, respectively, compared to control. Bio-inoculums showed a similar effect, i.e., improvement in grain yield, and straw yield has 25.21 % and 20.83% in the first year, 24.31%, and 19.76% in the second year respectively, compared to control. Regarding improvements in grain yield and straw yield of F₃B₃ has 113.54 % and 84% mean of both years, respectively, compared to control (F₀B₀). For these reasons, higher growth attributes contribute to higher yield. Increasing nutrient level (NPK) up to 100% RDF applied alone or combined with Bio-

inoculums (VAM & Pseudomonas). Incorporating inorganic sources of nutrients and bio-inoculants might have supplied readily available nutrients, resulting in greater assimilation, production increasing the yield. Similarity results were observed with Devi *et al.* (2011)^[5] and Mader *et al.* (2011)^[10].

Harvest Index (HI %) and Test weight (1000 seed wt.)

Harvest index had seen minimum (38.20, 38.70, and 39) in F₀B₀, F₀B₁, and F₁B₀. However, found maximum (41.90 and 41.70) F₂B₃ and F₃B₃ combinations of RDF and bio-inoculums and found significantly superior (9.16%) over the control. Harvest index influenced by different nutrient management and bio-inoculums found as maximum for F₃ and B₃ (41.53 and 41.02) in the first year, the similar trend was observed in the second year, i.e., F₃ and B₃ (41.2 and 40.7) it was found significantly superior over control of both the years. Similarly, test weight is also influenced by nutrient management and bio-inoculums, F₃B₃ (16.05%) significantly maximum and superior over the control treatment. With respect to nutrient management, F₃ is maximum, and concerning bio-inoculums, B₃ was recorded maximum. The results are in close association with the findings of Singh and Uttam (1994)^[19]; Chopra *et al.* (2016); Rani *et al.* (2018)^[17]; Omar *et al.* (1991)^[15]; Singh *et al.* (2018)^[21].

Conclusions

Based on the results summarized above, it can be concluded that the use of RDF with bio-inoculums to plant along strengthened the nutrient availability and the growth and yield of wheat. Application of 100% RDF (F₃) and (B₃) gave best results in respect to all growth parameters (*viz.*, days to physiological maturity, LAI, CCI) yield attributing, and grain & straw yield. F₃B₃ was proved the combined application of nutrient management and bio-inoculums, the most effective wheat production management.

References

1. Thakur M, Agrawal HP. Effect of bio-inoculant, organic manure and chemical fertilizer on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). IJCS. 2020;8(3):2293-

- 2296.
2. Ashraf AH. Studies on Interaction Relationship of Two Wheat Genotype and N.P.K. Application under Late Sown Condition. MS Thesis, Agronomy Department, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. 1986.
 3. Bijanzadeh E, Emam Y. Effect of Source-Sink Manipulation on Yield Component and Photosynthetic Characteristic of Wheat Cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* and *T. durum* L.). *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2010;10:564-569.
 4. Desai HA, Dodia IN, Desai CK, Patel MD, Patel HK. Integrated nutrient management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Trends in Bioscience*. 2015;8:472-475.
 5. Devi KN, Singh MS, Singh MG, Athokpam HS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). 2011. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2011;7(2):23-27.
 6. Hanft JM, Wych RD. Visual Indicators of Physiological Maturity of Hard Red Spring Wheat 1. *Crop Science*. 1982 May;22(3):584-588.
 7. Harrington JF, Kozlowski TT. Seed storage and longevity. *Seed biology*. 1972 Jan 1;3:145-245.
 8. Heidaryan J, Feilinezhad A. on the effect of bio fertilizer on the yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under Eyvan climate condition. *Biological Forum International Journal*. 2015;7:581-585.
 9. Jat AL, Srivastava VK, Singh RK. Effect of crop-establishment methods and integrated nitrogen management on productivity of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 2015;60(3):341-346.
 10. Mäder P, Kaiser F, Adholeya A, Singh R, Uppal HS, Sharma AK, et al. Inoculation of root microorganisms for sustainable wheat–rice and wheat–black gram rotations in India. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2011;43(3):609-619.
 11. Manino S, Tognetti R, Alvino A. Crop yield and grain quality of emmer populations grown in central Italy, as affected by nitrogen fertilization. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2009;31:233-240.
 12. Mondal T, Mitra B, Das S. Precision nutrient management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) using Nutrient Expert®: Growth phenology, yield, nitrogen-use efficiency and profitability under eastern sub-Himalayan plains. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2018;63(2):174-80.
 13. Naveed K, Khan MK, Baloch MS, Khan N, Nadim MA. Effect of time of III nitrogen application on morphological and Physiological attributes of dual-purpose wheat Pak. *J Bot*. 2013;45(4):1299-1305.
 14. Nichiporovich AA. Aims of research on the photosynthesis of plants as a factor of production. In *photosynthesis of productive system programme for Science Translation*, Jerusalem. Israel. 1967, 3-36.
 15. Omar MNA, Hegazy MH, Abd El-Azize RA, Abo-Soliman MSM, Sobh MM. Effect of inoculation with rhizobacteria on yield of wheat under graded level of nitrogen fertilization. *Annals Agric Sci Ain Shams Univ*. 1991;36:99-104.
 16. Prokhorov VN, Laman NA, Putyrikii IN. Features of nitrogen exchange in crops of spring barley differing in productivity. *Vesti Akademi Navuk Belarsui. Seriya Biyalagichnykh Navuk*. 1998;3:22-32. [F.C.A. (1999) 12: 8818].
 17. Rani BA, Madan S, Pooja SK, Kumari NI, Kumar AS. Mitigating the effect of drought stress on yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) using arbuscular Mycorrhiza fungi (*Glomus mosseae*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2018;1;88:95-100.
 18. Singh BP, Ghosh DC. Effect of cultural factors on leaf area index, light interaction ratio and grain yield of wheat. *New Agriculturist*. 1991;1:125-130.
 19. Singh VPN, Uttam SK. Studies on the method of sowing and integrated nutrient management in wheat. *Advances in Agriculture Research*. 1994;1:78-85.
 20. Singh P, Agrawal M, Agrawal SB. Evaluation of physiological, growth and yield responses of a tropical oil crop (*Brassica campestris* L. var. Kranti) under ambient ozone pollution at varying NPK levels. *Environmental Pollution* 2009;157:871-880.
 21. Singh VK, Brahma SD, Mishra RP, Shukla AK, Timsina J, Upadhyay PK, et al. Yields, Soil Health and Farm Profits under a Rice-Wheat System: Long-Term Effect of Fertilizers and Organic Manures Applied Alone and in Combination. *Agronomy*. 2018;9(1):1-22.
 22. Shaukat M, Ahmad A, Khaliq T, Afzal I. Organic and inorganic fertilization changes the phenophases of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by modulating the photosynthetic capacity of leaves. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2020 Jan 1, 57(1).
 23. Thomas JA, Jaffrey AC, Atsuko A, David MK. Regulating the proton budget of higher plant photosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Academic Science USA*. 2005;102:9709-9713.
 24. Watson DJ. The Physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advance Agronomy*. 1952;4:101-145.