www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(12): 1950-1953 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 02-09-2021 Accepted: 09-10-2021

Mangesh Raut

M.Sc. Student, Department of Plant Pathology Section, College of Agriculture, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

Sunita J Waghmare

Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology Section, College of Agriculture, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

DP Deshmukh

Associate Professor, Regional Wheat Rust Research Station, Mahabaleshwar, Maharashtra, India

Effect of *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth and yield of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Mangesh Raut, Sunita J Waghmare and DP Deshmukh

Abstract

Chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) is a popular vegetable and spice crop. It is an essential and indispensible item in every kitchen because of its pungency and spicy taste, also green Chilli is rich source of vitamin A and C. After green revolution modern agriculture is mostly relied on utilization of high yielding seeds combined with high doses of chemical fertilizers. Continuous indiscriminate and imbalance use of chemical fertilizers results in environmental pollution by damaging soil and water resources. In the present study, fifteen Azotobacter and five phosphate solubilizing fungal (PSF) isolates were isolated from Chilli rhizosphere of Kolhapur District. All isolates were identified on the basis of morphological, cultural, microscopic features and different biochemical tests. The results of yield parameters revealed that the treatment T9, 100% RDF + commercial Azotobacter + commercial PSF, showed the highest number of flowers (55.29 / plant), number of fruits (41.30 / plant), length of fruit (7.13 cm) and green Chilli yield (24.50 t/ha) was on par with treatment T5, 100% RDF + Efficient Azotobacter + Efficient PSF i.e. number of flowers (53.85 / plant), number of fruits (40.02 / plant), length of fruit (6.53 cm) and green Chilli yield (22.02 t/ ha). Whereas, highest dry matter weight (104.04 g), available N (178.75 Kg/ha), P (22.03 Kg/ha) and K (187.22 Kg/ha) was showed by treatment T9, 100% RDF + commercial Azotobacter + commercial PSF which was on par with treatment T5, 100% RDF + Efficient Azotobacter + Efficient PSF i.e. dry matter weight (99.73 g), available N (176.66 Kg/ha), P (21.59 Kg/ha) and K (186.40 Kg/ha) in soil after harvest.

Keywords: Azotobacter, phosphate, solubilizing, Chilli, Capsicum annuum L.

Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is a popular vegetable and universal spice crop, widely cultivated throughout temperate, tropical and sub-tropical countries. It is an essential and indispensible item in every kitchen because of its pungency, spice taste, appealing odour and flavor. Green Chillis are high in rutin, which is used extensively in pharmaceuticals (Purseglove, 1977) ^[14]. Nutrient management is one of the most important factors to improve the productivity of chilli.

Continuous indiscriminate and imbalance use of chemical fertilizers results in environmental pollution by damaging soil and water resources as well as less nutrient uptake efficiency of plants, resulting in decreasing yield consequently. In the last decades many micro organisms have been used in the form of biofertilizers.

Biofertilizers are micro-organisms that originated either from root nodule or rhizospheric soil and enrich the soil by enhancing the availability of nutrients to crop also reduce the application of chemical fertilizers, ensuring environmental safety. Azotobacter is a free living, aerobic, nitrogen fixing, non-symbiotic heterotrophic bacteria found in soil which can fix an average 20 kg N/ha/year. Some species of Azotobacter are associated with some plants (Kass et al., 1971) [7]. Azotobacter also produces biologically active copounds such as phytohormones like auxins (Ahmad et al., 2005)^[1] thereby stimulating plant growth (Oblisami et al., 2005)^[12], (Rajaee et al., 2007) [15]. Phosphorus is abundant in soils in both organic and inorganic form but it is unavailable to plants. Under in vitro conditions, the dissolution of inorganic phosphorus by microbial communities such as fungi, bacteria and other is common. Mineral solubilization, biological control, and production of secondary metabolites are the characteristics of fungi. It has also been observed that the available P and aggregate stability levels, higher soil carbon levels, enzyme activities, and lower soil pH were reported due to inoculation of phosphate solubilising fungi. (Malviya et al., 2011) [9]. The heavy use of inorganic fertilizers in chilli pollutes environment, whereas biofertilizers alone cannot meet the crop's nutrient requirement. As a result, an appropriate ratio of biofertilizer and chemical source of the inputs is required to

Corresponding Author: Sunita J Waghmare Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology Section, College of Agriculture, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India achieve quantity and quality of chilli and also improving soil quality. Keeping in this view the present investigation was undertaken to find out effect of *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth and yield of Chilli.

Material and Methods

The experiment was undertaken during the summer season at Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, Rajarshee Chhatrapati Shahu Maharaj College of Agriculture Kolhapur during the year 2020-2021. Fifteen Azotobacter and five phosphate solubilizing fungal (PSF) isolates were isolated from chilli rhizosphere of Kolhapur District. All isolates were identified and selected efficient strains on the basis of morphological (gram staining, cell shape, cell arrangement, stain colour, motility test, KOH test), cultural (colony colour, shape, structure, margin, elevation, size), microscopic observations and different biochemical test viz. methyl red test, catalase test, starch hydrolysis, geletine hydrolyase, gas production, H2S production, oxidase test, N fixing and P solubilizing ability respectively. The efficient strain of Azotobacter (Azoto-1) and phosphate solubilizing fungus (PSF-1) were selected for field studies. The expt. was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and eleven treatments (Table 1). Seeds of Phule Jyoti were sown on raised beds and seedlings were grown.

Roots of healthy seedlings of chilli were treated in the talcum based fungal inoculums for a half an hour by using seedling root dip method. After that seedlings were treated with lignite based Azotobacter inoculums of isolate for a half an hour as per treatment. The seedlings were grown in field on raised beds and transferred to field treated with culture by root dip method as per treatments. As per the plan of layout treated seedlings transplanted in respective plots. Data are recorded and analysed statistically to express the yield.

Results and Discussion

The experimental results (Table 1) revealed a number of features on growth, yield attributing parameters and yield of chilli. The data represented in Table 1 specified that the seed germination, plant height, leaf area, number of branches and plant spread were significantly increased when seedlings were treated with *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungi as compared to single inoculation and uninoculated control. The

treatment T_9 , 100% RDF + commercial *Azotobacter* + commercial PSF showed highest (90%) germination however, T_{10} (88.33%); treatment T_5 (88%) and treatment T_6 (87.67%) were at par with treatment T_9 , while the lowest seed germination 66% was observed in treatment control with RDF. The results are in conformity with Sandeep *et al.*, (2011)^[16], and Nagaraj *et al.*, (2016)^[11].

The treatment T₉, 100% RDF + commercial Azotobacter + commercial PSF, showed the highest plant height (59.83 cm), leaf area (25.28 cm2), number of branches (17.21 / plant) and plant spread (33.57 cm) at 60 DAT which was on par with treatment T₅, 100% RDF + Efficient Azotobacter + Efficient PSF i.e. plant height (58.26 cm), leaf area (23.75 cm2), number of branches (16.70 / plant) and plant spread (32.46 cm). Whereas, highest dry matter weight (104.04 g) was showed by treatment T₉. The results are in agreement with Din et al., (2019) observed that use of nitrogen fixing Azotobacter and phosphate solubilizing fungi showed maximum plant height as compared to single inoculation in Lagenaria siceraria and Abelmoschus esculentus, Islam et al., (2018) found that leaf area increases with increase in availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in chilli. Khan and Pariari (2012) reported that number of branches per plant increase significantly in 100% RDF with Azotobacter treatment (25.06 per plant) as compared to other Azotobacter treatments and control with RDF (21.17 per plant), and Yadav et al., (2018).

The results concern yield parameters revealed that the treatment T₉, 100% RDF + commercial Azotobacter + commercial PSF, showed the highest number of fruits (41.30 / plant), and green chilli yield (24.50 t/ ha) was on par with treatment T₅, 100% RDF + Efficient Azotobacter + Efficient PSF i.e. number of fruits (40.02 / plant), and green chilli yield (22.02 t/ha). The results are in conformity with following researchers. Din et al., (2019) [3], observed that the inoculation of Azotobacter and Aspergillus niger increased number of fruit significantly (15) in Lagenaria siceraria as compared to single inoculation i.e. Azotobacter (9), Aspergillus niger (11) and uninoculated control (7)., Jadhav et al., (2014) [6] treatment, 80% N + 100% PK with Azotobacter showed highest (77.75) number of fruits per plant and yield (10 t/ha)as compared to other Azotobacter treatments and control with RDF (72.50) in Chilli crop.

Table 1: Effect of efficient Azotobacter and phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth parameters of Chilli

Tr. No.	Germination %	Plant Height (cm)	Leaf Area (cm ²	Number of Branches (per plant)	Plant Spread (cm)	Dry matter Weight (g)	Number of Fruits / Plant	Yield of Chilli (t/ha)
		60 DAT	60 DAT	60 DAT	60 DAT	(g)		(viia)
T_1	80.33	53.42	22.76	15.21	29.15	95.37	36.10	20.05
T ₂	79.33	42.44	20.30	11.69	20.79	82.23	26.50	14.22
T ₃	75.33	49.46	22.06	13.95	26.42	90.39	32.88	18.31
T ₄	73.67	40.83	20.02	11.21	19.77	80.22	25.20	13.89
T ₅	88.00	58.26	23.75	16.70	32.46	99.73	40.02	22.02
T ₆	87.67	44.26	21.19	12.45	22.79	84.85	28.70	16.28
T ₇	82.33	56.57	22.88	15.70	30.74	96.32	37.40	20.63
T ₈	77.33	51.09	22.27	14.45	27.47	92.09	34.21	19.15
T ₉	90.00	59.83	25.28	17.21	33.57	104.04	41.30	24.50
T ₁₀	88.33	46.65	21.57	13.20	24.41	87.42	30.60	17.16
T ₁₁	66.00	48.21	21.95	13.70	25.52	89.15	31.91	18.15
S.E.±	1.48	1.48	0.79	0.48	1.24	1.46	1.15	1.31
C.D. at 5%	4.38	4.37	2.33	1.43	3.68	4.32	3.42	3.87

The analysis of results from Table 2 stated that, the use of Azotobacter and PSF significantly increases available N, P

and K in some amount as compared to control. The highest available N (178.75 Kg/ha), P (22.03 Kg/ha) and K (187.22

Kg/ha) was showed by treatment T_9 , 100% RDF + commercial Azotobacter + commercial PSF which was on par with treatment T_5 , 100% RDF + Efficient Azotobacter + Efficient PSF i.e. available N (176.66 Kg/ha), P (21.59 Kg/ha) and K (186.40 Kg/ha) in soil after harvest. It is in agreement with the obtained results by Mehana and Wahid (2002) [10] and El-Azouni and Iman (2008) [4].

The maximum (22.50×10⁶ cfu/g) population of *Azotobacter* was observed in treatment T₇, 100% RDF + Commercial

strain of *Azotobacter* and treatment T_1 , 100% RDF + Efficient *Azotobacter* (21.83×10⁶ cfu/g) was found statistically at par with treatment T_7 . The highest (3.37×10⁶ cfu/g) PSF population was observed in treatment T_8 , 100% RDF + Commercial strain of PSF and treatment T_3 , 100% RDF + Efficient PSF (3.23×10⁶ cfu/g) was found statistically at par with treatment T_7 . It is in agreement with the obtained results by Patil *et al.*, (2017) [13] and Barge (2021) [2].

Table 2: Effect of efficient *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungi on available NPK in soil after harvest and population count of *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungi at 50% flowering

Tr. No.	Avisilable N (Va/he)	Available D (Va/be)	Aveilable V (Va/he)	Microbial Population	
Ir. No.	Available N (Kg/ha)	Available P (Kg/ha)	Available K (Kg/ha)	Azotobacter (106 cfu/g)	PSF (10 ⁶ cfu/g)
T_1	173.41	19.08	185.62	21.83	1.63
T_2	162.71	17.51	176.02	18.73	1.53
T ₃	168.24	20.62	183.69	16.33	3.23
T ₄	158.87	18.40	175.71	15.73	2.53
T ₅	176.66	21.59	186.40	19.60	2.70
T ₆	164.29	18.63	178.13	17.33	2.03
T ₇	174.63	19.38	186.12	22.50	1.60
T ₈	170.27	20.93	184.56	16.50	3.37
T ₉	178.75	22.03	187.22	20.10	2.93
T_{10}	163.13	18.81	180.49	17.80	2.33
T ₁₁	166.39	19.04	185.07	16.80	1.73
S.E.±	2.01	0.48	2.32	0.35	0.05
C.D. at 5%	5.93	1.44	6.85	1.06	0.17

Conclusion

Results indicates that, the treatment T9, 100% RDF + commercial *Azotobacter* + commercial PSF showed highest yield parameters and available N, P, K in soil after harvest followed by treatment T5, 100% RDF + Efficient *Azotobacter* + Efficient PSF. Also it was observed that dual inoculation of *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing fungus has harmonious effect as compared to single inoculation on the growth and yield of Chilli.

References

- 1. Ahmad F, Ahmad I, Khan M. Indole acetic acid production by the indigenous isolates of Azotobacter and fluorescent Pseudomonas in the presence and absence of tryptophan. Turkish journal of Biology. 2005;(29):29-34.
- 2. Barge MS, Khot GG, Shewale SA, Patil NP, Patil PP. Co-inoculation effect of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth, yield and nutrient uptake inn groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). Pharma Innovation. 2021;10(1):472-476.
- 3. Din M, Nelofer R, Salman M, Abdulla Khan FH, Khan A, Ahmad M *et al.* Production of nitrogen fixing *Azotobacter* (SR-4) and phosphorus solubilizing *Aspergillus niger* and their evaluation on *Lagenaria siceraria* and *Abelomochus esculentus*. Biotechnology reports. 2019;22:00323.
- 4. El-Azouni, Iman M. Effect of phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth and nutrient uptake of soybean plant. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2008;4(6):592-598.
- Islam MR, Sultana T, Haque MA, Hossain MI, Sabrin N, Islam R. Growth and yield of chilli influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2018;11(5):54-68.
- 6. Jadhav PB, Dekhane SS, Saravaiya SN, Tekale GS, Patil SJ, Patel DJ. Effect of nitrogen fixing azotobacter and azospirillum on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum* sp.

- L.) cv. Acharya. International J. Innovative Research and Studies. 2014;3(5):828-832.
- 7. Kass DL, Alexander M. Nitrogen fixation by *Azotobacter* paspali in association with Bahiagrass (*Paspalum* notatum). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1971;35:286-289.
- 8. Khan S, Pariari A. Effect of N-Fixing Biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Chilli (*Capsicum Annuum* L.). The Bioscan. 2012;7(3):481-482.
- 9. Malviya J, Singh K, Joshi V. Effect of phosphate solubilizing fungi on growth and nutrient uptake of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) plants. Advances in Bioresearch. 2011;2(2):110-113.
- 10. Mehana TA, Wahid OA. Associative effect of phosphate dissolving fungi, *Rhizobium* and phosphate fertilizer on some soil properties, yield components and the phosphorus, nitrogen concentration and uptake by *Vicia faba* L. under field condition. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2002;5:1226-1231.
- 11. Nagaraj AK, Mahadevmurthy M, Channappa TM, Sidappa M, Raghupathi MS. Isolation of phosphate solubilizing fungi from rhizosphere soil and its effect on seed growth parameters of different crops. Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology. 2016;4(6):22-26.
- 12. Oblisami G, Santhanakrishan P, Pappiah CM, Shabnugavelu KG. Effect of Azotobacter inoculants and growth regulators on the growth of cashew. Acta Horticulture (ISHS). 2005;108:44-49.
- 13. Patil DA, Narute TK, Govekar YR, Gaikwad PH. Effect of liquid formulation of *Azotobacter* and PSB inoculation on soil biological properties. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience, 2017.
- 14. Purseglove G, Shambhulingappa KG. Tropical Crops Dicotyledons I and II, Longman, London, 1977, 524-525.
- 15. Rajaee S, Alikham HA, Raiesi F. Effect of plant growth promoting potentials of Azotobacter chroococcum native strain on growth, yield and uptake of nutrients in wheat.

- Journal of Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resource. 2007;11(41):297.
- 16. Sandeep C, Rashmi SN, Sharmila V, Surekha R, Tejaswini R, Suresh CK. Growth response of *Amaranthus gangeticus* to *Azotobacter chroococcum* isolated from different agroclimatic zones of Karnataka. Journal of Phytology. 2011;3(7):29-34.
- Journal of Phytology. 2011;3(7):29-34.

 17. Yadav KS, Pal AK, Singh AK, Yadav D, Mauriya SK. Effect of different bio-fertilizers on growth and flowering of marigold. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1):1548-1550.