www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(12): 2160-2162 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 17-09-2021 Accepted: 23-10-2021

### Namratha G

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Chandrashekar SY

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Hemla Naik B

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

#### Shivaprasad M

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Hanumantharaya L

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Corresponding Author: Namratha G

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere. Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Varietal evaluation of Lisianthus (*Eustoma* grandiflorum Shinn.) for morphological parameters under protected cultivation

## Namratha G, Chandrashekar SY, Hemla Naik B, Shivaprasad M and Hanumantharaya L

### Abstract

An investigation on evaluation of Lisianthus varieties for morphological traits under protected cultivation was carried out in randomized complete block design in the experimental block of department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere under Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga), during 2020-21. Fifteen varieties were used in the investigation *viz.*, Echo Lavender, Echo Light Pink, Rosita 2 Pink Picotee, Echo Purple, Echo Blue, Echo Yellow, Robella 2 Pure White, Rosita 2 Blue Picotee, Echo Pure White, Robella 3 Clear Pink, Rosita 3 Green, Rosita 3 Blue Imp, Rosita 4 Yellow, Rosita 3 Pink Imp, Rosita 3 Pure White. The results revealed that Echo Purple recorded maximum plant height (94.02 cm) and internodal length (8.05 cm), Echo Lavender recorded maximum number of leaves (44.48), leaf area (41.05 cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index (4.56). Based on the findings of the experiment it is concluded that the genotype Echo Lavender followed by Echo Purple, Echo Blue found to be superior with regard to most of as morphological parameters.

Keywords: Varietal, evaluation, Lisianthus, morphological, Eustoma grandiflorum Shinn.

### Introduction

*Eustoma grandiflorum* Shinn. Syn. *Lisianthus russelianus* Hook., (2n=36) belongs to family Gentianaceae. Lisianthus is also known as 'Texas Blue Bell' and Prairie Gentian (Halevy and Kofranek, 1984). *Eustoma* is named after the two Greek words Eu (beautiful, good, well), and stoma (mouth). Although delicate and soft-hued in style, Lisianthus flowers boast powerful symbolism. This floral species represents charisma, appreciation, and gratitude. It mainly used for beautification, bouquets, showy purposes and decorating walls, fences, gates, hedges. It is not only popular as a cut flower but also a bedding plant and a pot plant in the market (Grueber *et al.*, 1985 and Harbaugh, 2007) <sup>[7, 10]</sup>.

Lisianthus, herbaceous annual growing to 15 to 60 cm tall, with bluish green, slightly

succulent leaves. These are having large funnel-shaped flowers growing on long straight stems, sometimes erect single stems, other times growing on branching stems that can rise to be eighteen feet tall. The flowers can grow up to two inches across and can be found in a variety of colors. Lisianthus are long-stemmed flowers in cymes, with often only a few openings at a time. Sepals on Lisianthus are only fused close to the base and are much smaller than petals. As the performance of cultivars varies with region, season and genotypes, testing of performance of the available genotypes for suitability and adaptability with respect to flowering, flower quality and yield parameters takes prime importance.

### **Material and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out in College of Horticulture, Mudigere (under Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga) under protected condition, in the year 2020-2021. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) which had fifteen treatments with three replication each with the spacing 20 x 20 cm. Fifteen Lisianthus genotypes were procured from Sakata ornamentals, Bengaluru *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub> – Echo Lavender, T<sub>2</sub> – Echo Light Pink, T<sub>3</sub> – Rosita 2 Pink Picotee, T<sub>4</sub> – Echo Purple, T<sub>5</sub> – Echo Blue, T<sub>6</sub> – Echo Yellow, T<sub>7</sub> – Robella 2 Pure White, T<sub>8</sub> - Rosita 2 Blue Picotee, T<sub>9</sub> - Echo Pure White, T<sub>10</sub> - Robella 3 Clear Pink, T<sub>11</sub> - Rosita 3 Green, T<sub>12</sub> - Rosita 3 Blue Imp, T<sub>13</sub> - Rosita 4 Yellow, T<sub>14</sub> - Rosita 3 Pink Imp, T<sub>15</sub> - Rosita 3 Pure White.

About 90 days old seedlings were transplanted (4<sup>th</sup> leaf stage) were transplanted in raised beds of 1 m and convenient length and irrigated as required. Fertilizers were given as per the recommended dose. Plant protection measures were undertaken based on the need. The data were recorded on various growth, flowering and flower quality parameters from five randomly tagged plants in each plot.

### **Results and Discussion**

The data pertaining to morphological characters are furnished in Table 1. Among fifteen genotypes of Lisianthus, significantly maximum plant height was recorded with the genotype Echo Purple (94.02 cm) while, the minimum plant height was recorded in Rosita Blue Picotee (54.73 cm). Genetic factors play an important role to regulate plant height along with its overall performances. Similar findings were reported in Bhargav et al. (2020) [5], Uddin et al. (2013) [22] and Ahmad et al. (2017)<sup>[1]</sup> in Lisianthus. The maximum number of leaves (44.48), leaf area (41.05 cm<sup>2</sup>), leaf area index (4.56) was recorded with the genotype Echo Lavender. The leaves are the functional unit of photosynthesis, which greatly influenced the growth and flower yield of the crop. These results are in accordance with the findings of Anitha et al. (2016)<sup>[4]</sup> in Lisianthus and Karrow and Sharma (2008)<sup>[12]</sup> in Carnation, Bhat et al. (2016) [6] and Nataraj et al. (2014) [16] in Asiatic Lily and Sandesh (2019)<sup>[7]</sup> in Liliums.

The plant spread varied significantly in East-West and North-South direction, respectively (Table 1), the maximum plant spread was observed in the genotype Echo Lavender (21.38 cm) whereas, the minimum plant spread observed in Rosita 3

Pure White (14.47 cm) in East-West direction. The maximum plant spread was observed in Echo Lavender (21.88 cm) while, the minimum plant spread observed in Rosita 3 Pure White (15.20 cm). Different genotypes have a significant impact on plant spread or canopy spread, which is regarded a crucial factor that indicates the rate of vegetative growth. It helps to utilize sunlight to maximum extent. Variations due to varieties has been reported by Shivaprasad *et al.* (2016) <sup>[19]</sup> in Rose, Amreen (2012) <sup>[2]</sup> in Gerbera, Aditya *et al.* (2019) Manjula and Nataraj (2017) <sup>[13]</sup> in Dahlia and Sarkar *et al.* (2020) in China aster.

The maximum number of branches per plant (5.94) was recorded with the genotype Echo Lavender while, the minimum number of branches per plant recorded in the genotype Rosita 3 Pure White (2.21).. Variation for this character may be genetic behaviour of the genotype. Increased number of branches leads to production of more number of leaves in turn it will enhance the yield of flowers. The results are in confirmation with that of Uddin et al. (2013)<sup>[22]</sup> and Ahmad et al. (2017)<sup>[1]</sup> in Lisianthus, Manjula and Nataraj (2017)<sup>[13]</sup> in Dahlia and Tarannum and Naik (2012) in Carnation. The maximum internodal length was recorded in the genotype Echo Purple (8.05 cm) whereas, minimum internodal length recorded in the genotype Echo Yellow (4.85 cm). The variation in the internodal length among the genotypes may be genetic control. In addition to this, higher the internodal length more will be the plant height. Anitha et al. (2013)<sup>[3]</sup> Lisianthus and Mukund et al. (2004)<sup>[15]</sup> in Carnation reported similar results.

**Table 1:** Growth traits of different Lisianthus genotypes under protected cultivation

Guiden	Plant height	Number	Leaf area	Leaf area	Plant spread (cm)		Number of Intermodal branches length		Chlorophyll (mg/g) of fresh weight		
Genotypes	(cm)	of leaves	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	index	E-W	N-S	per plant	(cm)	Chl 'A'	Chl 'B'	<b>Total Chl</b>
T <sub>1</sub> - Echo Lavender	84.00	44.48	41.05	4.56	21.38	21.88	5.94	7.83	1.46	0.51	1.97
T <sub>2</sub> - Echo Light Pink	69.59	37.35	26.40	2.46	17.46	17.53	3.07	7.32	1.54	0.62	1.53
T <sub>3</sub> - Rosita 2 Pink Picotee	74.26	35.48	21.38	1.89	15.23	15.92	4.10	7.16	1.53	0.61	2.15
T <sub>4</sub> - Echo Purple	94.02	42.05	35.44	3.72	18.85	19.69	5.07	8.05	1.42	0.49	1.86
T <sub>5</sub> - Echo Blue	90.95	41.71	31.84	3.32	18.20	18.65	5.52	7.49	1.75	0.71	2.44
T <sub>6</sub> - Echo Yellow	58.45	33.07	24.43	2.01	16.56	17.41	2.47	4.85	1.38	0.41	1.78
T <sub>7</sub> - Robella 2 Pure White	77.98	38.98	25.86	2.52	17.13	17.97	3.33	6.46	1.29	0.38	1.64
T <sub>8</sub> - Rosita Blue Picotee	54.73	32.45	25.40	2.06	16.10	17.17	2.77	6.93	1.48	0.51	1.46
T <sub>9</sub> - Echo Pure White	70.25	37.10	26.51	2.45	16.89	17.08	2.60	6.24	1.35	0.47	1.80
T <sub>10</sub> - Robella 3 Clear Pink	88.07	41.77	37.21	3.88	19.51	20.05	4.12	7.72	1.87	0.75	2.46
T <sub>11</sub> - Rosita 3 Green	72.63	38.74	22.87	2.21	15.32	16.13	3.92	6.88	1.28	0.48	1.71
T <sub>12</sub> - Rosita 3 Blue Imp	66.26	37.68	25.28	2.38	15.96	16.55	2.82	6.59	1.14	0.39	1.58
T <sub>13</sub> - Rosita 4 Yellow	67.07	37.85	24.09	2.27	14.76	15.64	3.13	6.54	1.03	0.36	1.27
T <sub>14</sub> - Rosita 3 Pink Imp	75.77	38.26	24.29	2.32	16.10	16.78	3.17	6.98	1.31	0.42	1.75
T <sub>15</sub> - Rosita 3 Pure White	59.76	34.71	19.94	1.73	14.47	15.20	2.21	6.00	1.25	0.46	1.37
S. Em ±	1.29	0.97	2.28	0.45	0.79	0.77	0.37	0.11	0.03	0.01	0.05
CD @ 5%	3.74	2.82	6.61	1.33	2.29	2.22	1.07	0.31	0.10	0.04	0.13

The chlorophyll content in leaf enhanced photosynthetic activity, which produce carbohydrates, carbohydrates serves as energy source for growing bud, flower opening and longevity. The chlorophyll content varied significantly among the genotype of Lisianthus (Table 1). Chlorophyll 'a'content was significantly maximum in genotype Robella 3 Clear pink (1.87 mg/g) and minimum in Rosita 4 Yellow (1.03 mg/g). The Chlorophyll 'b' content was significantly maximum in genotype Robella 3 Clear pink (0.75 mg/g) and minimum in Rosita 4 Yellow (0.36 mg/g). The total chlorophyll content was significantly maximum in genotype Robella 3 Clear pink (2.46 mg/g) and minimum in Rosita 4 Yellow (1.27 mg/g).

The leaf chlorophyll content is a genetic character that differs according to the genotype. The variation in chlorophyll content was previously observed in Uddin *et al.* (2013) <sup>[22]</sup> and Ahmad *et al.* (2017) <sup>[1]</sup> in Lisianthus, Soujanya *et al.* (2018) <sup>[20]</sup> in Rose and Tarannum and Naik (2012) <sup>[21]</sup> in Carnation.

Performance of any cultivar is determined by its genetic makeup and environmental conditions. The variation in plant vigour among cultivars could be attributed to genetic variations. Variations among cultivars are observed because these characteristics are genetically controlled. As a result, the vigorous growing genotypes outperformed other genotypes in some or all vegetative characteristics such as plant height, number of branches, leaf area, number of leaves, internodal length, chlorophyll content, and so on, some of which are interdependent.

### Conclusion

Significant differences among the fifteen Lisianthus cultivars were found in respect of all of the attributes evaluated. Results of the experiment clearly confirmed that, the genotype Echo Lavender followed by Echo Purple, Echo Blue found to be superior with regard to most of the morphological parameters.

### References

- 1. Ahmad H, Rahul SK, Mahbuba MR, Jahan, Uddin JAFM. Evaluation of Lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) lines for commercial production in Bangladesh. Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. Res 2017;5(4):156-157.
- 2. Amreen T. Peformance of Gerbera genotypes under protected cultivation. *M. Sc. Thesis*, Univ.Hort. Sci., Bagalkot, Karnataka (India). 2012, 137.
- Anitha K, Selvaraj N, Jegadeeswari V, Sharath Kumar M. Performance of evaluation of Lisianthus (*Eustoma* grandiflorum) cultivars as a emerging cut flower under Nilgiri condition. Acta Hort., 2013;1241:293-298.
- 4. Anitha K, Sharathkumar M, Jeya KP, Jegadeeswari V. A Simple, non-destructive method of leaf area estimation in Lisianthus. Current Biotica. 2016;9(4):313-321.
- Bhargav L, Singh D, Fatmi U. Varietal Evaluation of Lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Conditions in Prayagraj. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2020;9(12):16-18.
- Bhat SK, Patra SK, Mohanty CR. Varietal evaluation of Asiatic lilies under open and polyhouse condition. Int. J. Agric. Sci. Res 2016;6(3):569-576.
- Grueber KL, Corr BE, Wilkins HF. Evaluation of Eustoma grandiflorum as a bedding plant. Minn. State Flor. Bull. 1985;34(1):16-18.
- 8. Halevy AH, Kofranek AM. Evaluation of Lisianthus as a new flower crop. Hort. Sci.1984;19(3):845-847.
- Harbaugh BK, Bell ML, Liang R. Evaluation of Fortyseven Cultivars of Lisianthus as Cut Flowers. Hort. Tech 2000;10(4):812-815.
- Harbaugh BK. Lisianthus. Eustoma grandiflorum. In: Anderson, N.O. (Ed.), Flower breeding and genetics. Springer, Netherlands. 2007, 645-663.
- Jose DA, Fatmi U, Singh D, Benny JC. Evaluation of Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) varieties under naturally ventilated polyhouse. Plant Archives. 2017;17(2):1262-1266.
- Karrow P, Sharma BPS. Evaluation of standard Carnation cultivars under protected conditions. Prog. Agric 2008;10(3):50-56.
- 13. Manjula BS, Nataraj SK. Studies on variabilty, heritabilty and genetic advance in Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) genotypes under hill zone of Karnataka. Int. J Development Res. 2017;6(10):9616-9618.
- Medeo K, Fatmi U, Singh D. Varietal evaluation of Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) under naturally ventilated polyhouse. Int. J Chem. Stud. 2019;7(5): 2235-2239.
- 15. Mukund S, Shirol AM, Reddy BS, Kulkurni BS. Performance of standard Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cultivars under protected cultivation for

vegetative characters. J Orn. Hort. 2004;7(4):212-216.

- Nataraj SK, Chandrashekar SY, Naik HB, Latha S. Performance of Asiatic Lilium hybrids under hill zone of Karnataka. Proc. Third International Symp. On Genus Lilium, April 1-3, 2014. Zhangzhou China 2014, 17.
- Sandesh P. Performance of Liliums under protected cultivation in transitional zone Karnataka. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Hort. Sci., Shivamogga, Karnataka (India). 2019, 142
- Sarakar A, Sadhukhan R, Chowdhuri TK. Varietal evaluation of China aster in sub-tropical region. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2020;9(6):3726-3736.
- Shivaprasad SG, Natraj SK, Latha S, Ravi CH, Vader SK. Evaluation and correlation studies of Rose under naturally ventilated polyhouse. Res. Environ. Life Sci 2016;9(9):1097-1099.
- Soujanya P, Kulkarni SB, Kumar R, Munikrishnappa PM, Shivapriya M, Harsha VM. Evaluation of Rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) varieties for growth, yield and quality under eastern dry zone of Karnataka. J Pharmacog. Phytochem 2018;7(5):165-168.
- 21. Tarannum, Naik HB. Performance of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) genotypes for qualitative and quantitative parameters to assess genetic variability among genotypes. J Hort. Sci 2012;5(1):96-101.
- 22. Uddin JAFM, Islam MS, Mehraj H, Roni MZK, Shahrin S. An evaluation of some Japanese Lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) varieties grown in Bangladesh. Agriculturists. 2013;11(1):50-60.
- 23. Verma LS, Mishra SK, Sharma D, Narayan K. Evaluation of different Carnation varieties for the agroclimatic condition of Chhattisgarh. Asian J. Hort 2012;7(2):318-320.
- Wazir JS. Evaluation of Eustoma/Lisianthus cultivars for assessing their suitability as prominent new cut flower crop under mid hill conditions of H.P. Int. J. Agric. Sci. & Vet. Med 2014;2(1):105-110.