www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(12): 2627-2630 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 20-10-2021 Accepted: 29-11-2021

Durga Prasad

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

RK Yadav

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Mahak Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Shweta

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ajeet Jaiswal

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Durga Prasad

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Sekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss.)

Durga Prasad, RK Yadav, Mahak Singh, Shweta and Ajeet Jaiswal

Abstract

The experimental material comprising of 100 treatment *viz.*, (10 parents + 45 F₁'s and 45 F₂'s) was evaluated in Randomized Block Design with three replications during *Rabi* 2020-2021, considerable variability for all 16 different characters under study. Number of secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, 1000-seed weight, harvest index and erucic acid showed moderate GCV and moderate PCV (10-20%) estimates in both generations. The estimates of heritability varied from 4.8% (number of seed per siliqua) to 31% (days to maturity) in F₁'s and 1.8% (oil content) to 41.0% (leaf area index) in F₂'s generation. The estimate of genetic advance over per cent of mean of the character ranges from 4.03% (days to 50% flowering) to 52.39% (total glucosinolate) and 3.65% (days to maturity) to 48.87% (total glucosinolate) in F₁ and F₂ generation respectively. Hence selection will be effective for this traits.

Keywords: Genetic advance, heritability, GCV, PCV, variability

1. Introduction

Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L. Czern and Coss) popularly known as rai, raya or laha is one of the most important oil seed crops of the country and it occupies considerably large acerage among the Brassica group of oil seed crops. It is estimated the total production of mustard seed in India about more than 72.82 lakh tones significantly. Rapeseed–mustard group of crops is the major oilseed crop of India. India holds the premier position in rapeseed-mustard economy of the world with 2 nd and 3rd rank in area and production respectively.

Mustard seed is largely crushed for edible oil, which is perhaps the cheapest source of oil in our daily diet. which is golden yellow fragrant and considered among the healthiest and most nutritional cooking medium. Its leaves are rich in protein, minerals and vitamin A and C after extraction of oil from seeds, the remnant is used as food for milching cattles.

The origin of *B. juncea* is conflicting. Middle East seems to be the place of origin since the putative parent species; *B. nigra* and *B. campestris* would have crossed. (Olsson, 1960)^[5].

2. Material and Methods

The basic material in the present investigation comprised of ten diverse genotype of Indian mustard namely, Aashirwad, NDR 501-26, Rohini, Basanti, KMR 17-5-23, KMR 17-5-22, KMR 17-5-21, Narendra Rai 8501, PR 21 and PR-20 were taken from the germplasm maintained at Oilseed Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur.

The experimental material comprising of 100 treatment viz., (10 parents + 45 F1's and 45 F2's) was evaluated in Randomized Block Design with three replications during *Rabi* 2020-2021. Each parent and F1's planted in one row, and F2's in two rows of 5m long 45 cm apart, Plant to plant distance was maintained 15 cm by thinning. All the recommended agronomic practices were adopted for raising a good crop.

Ten plants in parents and F1's and 20 plants in F2's were taken randomly for each treatment in each replication and tagged for recording observations for days to 50% flowering, length of main raceme (c), leaf area index, days to maturity, plant height (c), seed yield per plants(g), no. of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, no. of seed per siliqua, 1000-seed weight (%), harvest index (%), oil content (%), protein content (%), total glucosinolate (µmol) and erucic acid (%).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Analysis of variance

There was significant difference was observed among the treatments (parents, F_1 's and F_2 's) for all the sixteen characters. Parents vs. F_1 's exhibited significance differences for all character except plant height; Parents vs. F_2 's exhibited significance differences for all character except No. of Primary branches per plant, no. of seed per siliqua, 1000-seed weight and oil Content. Similar finding were reported by Tripathi *et al.* (2013)^[8]

In general, phenotypic coefficient of variance was found to be higher than their genotypic coefficient of variance but difference was quite meager. Number of secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, 1000-seed weight, harvest index and erucic acid showed moderate GCV and moderate PCV (10-20%) estimates in both generations. Total glucosinolate were showed high estimates of GCV and PCV (>20%) in both generation. Days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height and oil content were exhibited low estimates (<10%) of PCV & GCV in both the generation (Table 1a and 1b). Similar finding were reported by Hussain *et al.* (2016), Shrimal *et al.*, (2016) ^[6] and Singh *et al.* (2017) ^[17].

3.2 Heritability and Genetic advance

Heritability along with genetic advance is useful in estimating the influence of environment. High heritability estimates along with high genetic gain render the selection effective (Johnson *et al.*, 1955)^[4]. Burton (1952) indicated that genetic variability together with heritability estimates would give a better idea on the amount of genetic advance expected out of selection.

Efficiency of selection depends on such variability which is highly transmissible from parents to their offspring. The breeding objective in mustard improvement program is to obtain genetic progress in yield component traits along with maintaining a high amount of variability. Heritability alone has no reliable for remark of genetic progress from individual genotype selection. Hence knowledge about heritability along with genetic gain is very useful (Johnson *et al.*, 1955)^[4].

If the estimated value of heritability is below 10% it will be said to be having low heritability, while if heritability ranged from 10 - 30% then medium heritability but if it is greater than 30% it would be highly heritable.

The estimate of heritability was calculated as per the method suggested by (Crumpacker and Allard, 1962) ^[3]. The estimates of heritability varied from 4.8% (number of seed per siliqua) to 31% (days to maturity) in F₁'s and 1.8% (oil content) to 41.0% (leaf area index) in F₂'s generation (Table 2).

In F₁'s, estimate of narrow sense were observe high in days to maturity, erucic acid and number of siliqua per plant; medium in harvest index, plant height, leaf area index, oil content, days to 50% flowering, length of main raceme, seed yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, protein content, number of secondary branches, glucosinolate content; and low in no. of primary branches and no. of seed per siliqua. In F₂'s, estimate of narrow sense were observe high in leaf area index, protein content and plant height; moderate in erucic acid, length of main raceme, no. of seed per siliqua, no. of secondary branches per plant, 1000-seed weight, no. of primary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, harvest index, days to maturity and no. of siliqua per plant; and low in glucosinolate, seed yield per plant and oil content.

The genetic advance over per cent of mean was calculated for the entire 16 attribute. The arbitrary scale for genetic advance suggested into three categories viz. high (above 20%), medium (10-20%) and low (less than 10%). The estimate of genetic advance over per cent of mean of the character ranges from 4.03% (days to 50% flowering) to 52.39% (total glucosinolate) and 3.65% (days to maturity) to 48.87% (total glucosinolate) in F_1 and F_2 generation respectively. High genetic advance over per cent of mean value were recorded for glucosinolate, leaf area index, erucic acid and seed yield per plant in both generation; moderate genetic advance plant height, no. of primary branches, no. of secondary branches, no. of siliqua per plant, 1000-seed weight, harvest index, oil content and protein content; and low in days to 50% flowering and days to maturity. Similar finding were reported by Singh et al. (2017)^[7] and Awasthi et al. (2020)^[1].

 Table 1a: Analysis of variance for parents and F1's in 10 x 10 diallel cross of Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L. Czern and Coss) for seed yield and its components: Mean Sum of Square

| Source of variation | d.f. | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Leaf Area Index | Plant Height | No. of Primary branches per plant | No. of Secondary branches per plant | No. of siliqua per plant | No. of seed per siliqua |
|---------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Replication | 2 | 126.35 ** | 9.242 | 0.016 | 364.21 ** | 0.880 | 21.858 ** | 1890.806 * | 2.977 |
| Treatments | 54 | 15.763 ** | 38.064 ** | 0.378 ** | 454.218 ** | 0.804 ** | 22.649 ** | 5541.195 ** | 5.854 ** |
| Parents | 9 | 12.015 * | 43.867 ** | 0.035 ** | 694.830 ** | 0.209 | 6.726 ** | 1783.244 ** | 4.633 ** |
| Hybrid | 44 | 15.711 ** | 35.561 ** | 0.411 ** | 411.463 ** | 0.848 ** | 23.886 *** | 5747.218 ** | 5.903 ** |
| Patents *Hybrids | 1 | 51.739 * | 96.012 ** | 2.003 ** | 169.922 | 4.243 ** | 111.527 *** | 30297.710 ** | 14.676 ** |
| Error | 108 | 4.623 | 4.341 | 0.008 | 31.171 | 0.317 | 2.525 | 291.306 | 1.267 |
| Total | 164 | 9.775 | 15.505 | 0.130 | 174.528 | 0.484 | 9.387 | 2039.434 | 2.798 |
| Source of variation | d.f. | Length of Main Raceme | 1000-seed weight | Harvest Index | Oil Content | Protein content | Erucic Acid | Total Glucosinolate | Seed Yield per Plant |
| Replication | 2 | 29.682 | 0.023 | 5.563 | 15.540 * | 2.068 | 3.198 * | 2.547 | 6.219 |
| Treatments | 54 | 87.272 ** | 1.324 ** | 20.416 ** | 21.867 ** | 20.403 ** | 66.820 ** | 910.099 ** | 34.963 ** |
| Parents | 9 | 21.541 | 0.234 | 6.763 ** | 14.688 ** | 15.584 ** | 17.884 ** | 449.481 ** | 19.359 ** |
| Hybrid | 44 | 84.522 ** | 1.384 ** | 22.331 ** | 21.736 ** | 14.448 ** | 64.148 ** | 1006.779 ** | 35.988 ** |
| Patents *Hybrids | 1 | 799.888 ** | 8.459 ** | 59.021 ** | 92.238 ** | 325.813 ** | 624.777 ** | 801.737 ** | 130.306 ** |
| Error | 108 | 25.552 | 0.094 | 1.855 | 2.908 | 1.620 | 0.952 | 8.466 | 2.776 |
| Total | 164 | 45.925 | 0.498 | 8.012 | 9.304 | 7.810 | 22.668 | 305.273 | 13.416 |

• Significance Levels * = <.05 and ** = <.01 respectively.

| Table 1b: Analysis of variance for parents and F ₂ 's in 10 x 10 diallel cross of Indian mustard (B. juncea L. Czern and Coss) | for seed y | yield and |
|---|------------|-----------|
| its components: Mean Sum of Square | | |

| Characters | d.f. | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Leaf Area Index | Plant Height (c) | No. of Primary branches per plant | No. of Secondary branches per plant | No. of siliqua per plant | No. of seed per siliqua |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Replication | 2 | 157.436 ** | 19.170 | 0.036 | 151.756 | 1.116 * | 75.646 ** | 8633.461 ** | 7.686 |
| Treatments | 54 | 18.759 ** | 36.893 ** | 0.341 ** | 693.731 ** | 0.941 ** | 7.810 ** | 2962.556 ** | 4.685 ** |
| Parents | 9 | 12.015 * | 43.867 ** | 0.035 | 694.830 ** | 0.209 | 6.726 * | 1783.244 ** | 4.633 * |
| Hybrid | 44 | 17.470 ** | 30.326 ** | 0.407 ** | 636.066 ** | 1.104 ** | 7.828 ** | 2685.50** | 4.787 ** |
| Patents *Hybrids | 1 | 136.194 ** | 263.117 ** | 0.187 * | 3221.094 ** | 0.316 | 16.793 * | 25766.840 ** | 0.670 |
| Error | 108 | 4.189 | 6.491 | 0.022 | 43.029 | 0.170 | 2.274 | 492.55 | 1.918 |
| Total | 164 | 10.856 | 16.656 | 0.127 | 258.611 | 0.435 | 4.992 | 1405.16 | 2.900 |
| Characters | d.f. | Length of Main Raceme (c) | 1000-seed weight % | Harvest Index % | Oil Content % | Protein content % | Erucic Acid % | Total Glucosinolate (µmol) | Seed Yield per Plant (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 1977.314 ** | 0.187 | 17.598 * | 6.448 | 2.561 | 0.623 | 3.222 | 26.486 ** |
| Treatments | 54 | 316.719 ** | 1.138 ** | 14.536 ** | 16.905 ** | 26.326 ** | 69.119 ** | 817.342 ** | 28.599 ** |
| Parents | 9 | 21.541 | 0.234 | 6.763 ** | 14.688 ** | 15.584 ** | 17.884 ** | 449.481 ** | 19.359 ** |
| Hybrid | 44 | 328.947 ** | 1.341 ** | 15.008 ** | 17.733 ** | 26.252 ** | 75.581 ** | 903.314 ** | 28.420 ** |
| Patents *Hybrids | 1 | 2435.311 *** | 0.317 | 63.712 *** | 0.432 | 126.233 ** | 245.906 ** | 345.307 ** | 119.609 ** |
| Error | 108 | 42.088 | 0.109 | 2.454 | 1.771 | 1.101 | 1.005 | 4.406 | 3.510 |
| T 1 | 1 < 4 | 156 115 | 0.449 | 6 617 | 6.011 | 0.405 | 00,400 | 070.044 | 10.051 |

• Significance Levels * = <.05 and ** = <.01 respectively.

Table 2: Genetic parameters of variation in F₁'s and F₂'s for 16 attributing traits in Indian mustard (B. juncea L. Czern and Coss).

| Characters | Maan | PCV (%) | | GCV (%) | | h ² ns | | G.A. as % of mean | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Characters | wiean | F ₁ 's | F ₂ 's | F ₁ 's | F ₂ 's | F ₁ 's | F2's | F ₁ 's | F ₂ 's |
| Days to 50% flowering | 66.8 | 4.40 | 4.63 | 2.93 | 3.39 | 0.234 | 0.125 | 4.03 | 5.15 |
| Days to maturity | 137.53 | 2.90 | 2.9 | 2.46 | 2.27 | 0.310 | 0.113 | 4.31 | 3.65 |
| Leaf Area Index | 1.56 | 20.21 | 21.93 | 19.57 | 19.98 | 0.244 | 0.410 | 39.07 | 37.50 |
| Plant height | 179.53 | 7.39 | 9.47 | 6.69 | 8.65 | 0.247 | 0.321 | 12.48 | 16.28 |
| No. of primary branches per plant | 4.40 | 14.60 | 15.16 | 8.50 | 11.77 | 0.088 | 0.135 | 10.20 | 18.81 |
| No. of secondary branches per plant | 14.01 | 19.29 | 15.22 | 16.44 | 10.19 | 0.149 | 0.180 | 28.87 | 14.05 |
| No. of siliqua per plant | 258.73 | 15.71 | 15.62 | 14.55 | 12.35 | 0.303 | 0.106 | 27.75 | 20.13 |
| No. of seed per siliqua | 13.85 | 11.54 | 12.29 | 8.54 | 7.00 | 0.048 | 0.214 | 13.00 | 8.22 |
| Length of Main Raceme | 87.43 | 7.34 | 14.58 | 4.92 | 12.06 | 0.210 | 0.251 | 6.77 | 20.57 |
| 1000-seed weight | 4.76 | 13.54 | 13.83 | 12.20 | 12.05 | 0.163 | 0.168 | 22.67 | 21.64 |
| Harvest Index | 19.73 | 13.50 | 13.84 | 11.84 | 10.90 | 0.288 | 0.121 | 21.40 | 17.70 |
| Oil Content | 37.10 | 7.85 | 7.0 | 6.49 | 6.03 | 0.238 | 0.018 | 11.07 | 10.69 |
| Protein content | 23.86 | 10.45 | 11.99 | 9.32 | 11.27 | 0.153 | 0.327 | 17.11 | 21.83 |
| Erusic acid | 31.35 | 17.58 | 16.92 | 17.21 | 16.56 | 0.307 | 0.278 | 34.71 | 33.39 |
| Total Glucosinolates | 71.9 | 26.15 | 24.11 | 25.79 | 23.91 | 0.112 | 0.075 | 52.39 | 48.87 |
| Seed Yield Per Plant | 17.59 | 18.87 | 21.83 | 16.81 | 18.32 | 0.164 | 0.073 | 30.88 | 31.67 |

Table 3: Mean and range for 16 characters derived from 10 parental diallel crosses in Indian mustard (B. juncea L. Czern and Coss).

| | | Mean | | Range | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Characters | Parents | F ₁ 's | F _{2;} s | Parents | | F ₁ 's | | F _{2;} s | |
| | | | | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. |
| Days to 50% flowering | 66.8 | 65.61 | 64.87 | 65.33 | 70.33 | 61.33 | 70.33 | 59.67 | 70.33 |
| Days to maturity | 137.53 | 135.91 | 140.21 | 128.67 | 141.00 | 128.66 | 142.00 | 128.67 | 147.67 |
| Leaf Area Index | 1.56 | 1.79 | 1.63 | 1.45 | 1.75 | 1.31 | 2.99 | 1.00 | 2.52 |
| Plant height | 179.53 | 177.38 | 170.16 | 144.33 | 193.67 | 144.33 | 198.00 | 141.97 | 196.2 |
| No. of primary branches per plant | 4.40 | 4.74 | 4.31 | 3.97 | 4.85 | 3.52 | 6.30 | 3.07 | 5.57 |
| No. of secondary branches per plant | 14.01 | 15.75 | 13.33 | 12.23 | 16.83 | 11.10 | 22.30 | 10.67 | 17.1 |
| No. of siliqua per plant | 258.73 | 287.47 | 232.22 | 227.3 | 296.3 | 218.33 | 377.00 | 165.33 | 296.33 |
| No. of seed per siliqua | 13.85 | 14.47 | 13.71 | 11.40 | 15.23 | 11.40 | 17.80 | 11.07 | 17.13 |
| Length of Main Raceme | 87.43 | 92.09 | 79.28 | 81.03 | 90.5 | 81.03 | 102.16 | 57.47 | 99.0 |
| 1000-seed weight | 4.76 | 5.24 | 4.86 | 4.17 | 5.03 | 4.03 | 6.60 | 3.77 | 6.0 |
| Harvest Index | 19.73 | 20.99 | 18.41 | 17.33 | 22.2 | 16.23 | 27.66 | 14.4 | 22.57 |
| Oil Content | 37.10 | 38.68 | 37.21 | 34.17 | 39.23 | 34.16 | 44.83 | 32.87 | 41.63 |
| Protein content | 23.86 | 26.84 | 25.72 | 20.67 | 26.2 | 20.66 | 31.53 | 19.9 | 32.27 |
| Erusic acid | 31.35 | 27.22 | 28.76 | 28.53 | 33.47 | 17.93 | 35.50 | 19.67 | 41.23 |
| Total Glucosinolates | 71.9 | 67.22 | 68.83 | 56.50 | 90.77 | 24.30 | 104.46 | 44.00 | 101.2 |
| Seed Yield Per Plant | 17.59 | 19.47 | 15.72 | 13.73 | 21.10 | 13.46 | 27.76 | 10.0 | 21.10 |

4. References

- 1. Awasthi D, Tiwari VK, Kandalkar VS. Evaluation of Heritability and Genetic Advance for Morphological Traits of Indian mustard Germplasms. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology 2020;39(21):39-47.
- 2. Burton GW, de vane EH. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicatedclonal material. Agron. J 1953;45:478-481.
- 3. Crumpacker DW, Allard RW. A diallel cross analysis of heading date in wheat. Hilgardia 1962;32:275-318.
- 4. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RF. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implication. J Agron 1955;47:477-578.
- Olsson G. Species cross within genus Brassica. I. Artificial *Brassica juncea* Coss. Hereditas 1960;46:351-396.
- 6. Shrimal TM, Chauhan RM, Gami RA, Patel PT. Diallel analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding 2016;7(4):919-924.
- Singh M, Kumar R, Tomar A. Selection parameter analysis for selecting the best cross combinations in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). J Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(1):385-387.
- 8. Tripathi N, Kumar K, Verma OP. Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss.) for Seed Yield and it's Contributing Attributes Under Normal and Saline/Alkaline Int. J Sci. Res. Condition Index Copernicus 2013;6:14.