



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2021; 10(12): 842-847

© 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 07-10-2021

Accepted: 11-11-2021

SD Gaikwad

Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

PA Bhosale

Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Dr. SS Chandanshive

S. G. R. G. Shinde Mahavidalya, Paranda, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of chickpea in calcareous soil

SD Gaikwad, PA Bhosale and Dr. SS Chandanshive

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the winter (*Rabi*) seasons of 2019 at field of Plant Pathology section, College of Agriculture, Pune to study the “Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of chickpea in calcareous soil.” (*Cicer arietinum*). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eight treatments and three replications. Results revealed that the treatment T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was statistically superior among all other treatments with respect to growth and yield parameters such as germination %, plant height, no. of effective nodules per plant, dry matter weight (g), no. of pods/plant, 1000 seed weight, yield/plot (g) and (qt/ha) of chickpea over control. The uptake of N and P by chickpea improved significantly with seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*. Inoculations with *Pseudomonas fluorescense* alone also improved the growth and yield of chickpea over control.

Keywords: PSB, *Rhizobium*, *Pseudomonas fluorescense*, Nutrient uptake, Calcareous soil, Chickpea

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) occupies an important position among the leguminous crop, due to its nutritive values (17-23% protein) in large vegetarian population of the country (Ali and Kumar, 2006) [2]. Grown principally for its protein rich edible seeds, this crop can be used for both seeds and forage production. It is an excellent animal feed and its straw has good forage value (Prasad 2012) [10]. In addition to proteins, it is a good source of carbohydrates (52-70%), Fat (4-10%), minerals (calcium, phosphorus, iron etc.) vitamins and trace elements. It is an important cool season pulse crop and it is also called Bengal gram.

In terms of pulse production, India contributes about 25% to the total global pulses production. In India, per capita net availability of pulses is 56 g /day (Anonymous 2019) although the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends at least 80 g/capita. The productivity of chickpea depends on the availability of nutrients for the crop of the major essential macro nutrients phosphorous occupies the second place, which is required for the growth and development of plants. It has been renowned fact that legume require more phosphorus as compared to non legume as they have characteristic property to fix atmospheric nitrogen up to 140 kg N /ha from air.

Phosphorus is the main limiting input for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) without which higher production is impossible. Phosphate fertilization of chickpea has been known to promote growth and increase yield (Prasad and Sonoria, 1981) [9]. But after application, a considerable amount of P is rapidly transformed into less available forms by forming a complex with Fe and Al in acid soils or Ca in calcareous soils before plant roots have a chance to absorb it (Alam and Ladha, 2004) [1].

In calcareous soil, the solubility of phosphorus is depressed which results in the decrease of P availability. Phosphorus is often lacking in calcareous soil. Under such a situation phosphate solubilizing microorganisms play an important role in making P available to the plants which increase the yield of crop plants. The approach/hypothesis of using microbes for better plant growth in calcareous soil was tested on Chickpea plant which is very sensitive to calcareous soil.

Materials and Methods

The present field experiment was carried out at Plant Pathology Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Pune. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and eight treatments.

Corresponding Author:

SD Gaikwad

Department of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Experiment design

1. Season : Rabi, 2019
2. Crop : Chickpea
3. Variety : Digvijay
4. Spacing : 30 cm X 10 cm
5. RDF : 25: 50: 30 kg NPK/ha

Treatment Details

The chickpea seeds were treated before sowing as follows:

T1: Seed treatments with PSB isolate 1 (*Pseudomonas fluorescense*)

T2: Seed treatments with PSB isolate 2 (*Bacillus subtilis*)

T3: Seed treatments with PSB isolate 3 (*Bacillus thuringiensis*)

T4: Seed treatments with PSB isolate 4 (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*)

T5: Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain.

T6: Seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain.

T7: Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain + MPKV *Rhizobium* strain.

T8: Absolute control

Observations

The observations on growth parameters like germination (%), plant height (cm), dry weight of plant(g), number of effective nodules and yield parameters like number of pods per plant, 1000 seed weight(g), seed yield per plot (g) and seed yield (q ha⁻¹). N and P uptake (kg ha⁻¹) of chickpea were recorded. Nitrogen and Phosphorus uptake of plant was estimated by following Modified Kjeldahl's process and Colorimetric method respectively suggested by Jackson (1973) [6]. Initial microbial count for total PSB and *Rhizobium* was estimated from soil before sowing and after harvest of crop as per the irrespective of each treatment suggested by Skinner *et al.*, 1952 [13].

Results and Discussion

The results obtained in the investigations are presented below.

Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth parameters of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Germination (%) of chickpea

The results in respect of germination of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 1. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, recorded significantly highest germination (94.73%), followed by T₁ *i.e* Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (91.05%). The minimum germination percentage was noticed in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot. (78.66%) Among other treatments, T₁ *i.e* Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (91.05%) followed by T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (87.45%), T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (84.47 %). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (82.65%) and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (82.37 %) was found statistically at par and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (82.37 %) and T₃ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (80.78%) was found statistically at par with each other. Results of the present investigations are in agreement with results of Rudresh (2004) [11] who obtained increased germination of chickpea due to seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing *Bacillus megatherium* in comparison with control under glasshouse and field conditions.

Table 1: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on germination (%) of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	Germination%
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	91.05
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	82.37
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	80.78
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	82.65
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	87.45
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	84.47
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	94.73
T8	Absolute control	78.66
	SE _±	0.581
	CD at 5%	1.77

Plant height (cm) of chickpea at 30, 45 and 60 DAS

The results in respect of plant height (cm) of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on plant height (cm) of chickpea at 30, 45 and 60 DAS in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment Details	Plant height (cm)		
		30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	38.23	42.27	53.07
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	26.78	33.1	44.61
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	24.33	32.54	44.03
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	27.87	34.7	46.36
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	34.67	39.42	50.9
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	30.97	37	48.82
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	41.88	45.29	55.39
T8	Absolute control	21.82	30.55	41.07
	SE _±	0.808	0.638	0.666
	CD at 5%	2.47	1.95	2.03

Plant height at 30DAS

Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest plant height at 30 DAS (41.88cm), followed by T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 recorded height at 30 DAS (38.23cm). The lowest plant height recorded in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot (21.82cm). Among other treatments, T₁ *i.e* Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (38.23cm), followed by T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (34.67cm), T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (30.97cm). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (27.87cm) and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (26.78cm) are statistically at par and significant to T₃ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (24.33cm).

Plant height at 45 DAS

Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest plant height at 45 DAS (45.29 cm), followed by T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (42.27cm). The lowest plant height recorded in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot (30.55 cm). Among other treatments, T₁ *i.e* Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (42.27cm), followed by T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (39.42cm), T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (37cm). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (34.7cm) and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (33.1cm) are statistically at par

with each other. T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (33.1cm) and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (32.54cm) was found at par with each other.

Plant height at 60 DAS

Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest plant height at 60 DAS (55.39cm), followed by T₁ *i.e.* *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (53.07cm). The lowest plant height recorded in T₈ *i:e* absolute control plot. (41.07 cm). Among other treatments, T₁ *i:e* Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (53.07cm), followed by T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (50.9cm), T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (48.82cm). T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (46.36cm) and T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (44.61cm) are statistically at par with each other. T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (44.61cm) and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (44.03cm) was found at par with each other.

Results of the present investigations are in conformity with those of Dakshyini *et al.*, (2016) who reported increase the plant height in chickpea due to inoculation with efficient PSB isolates. Moreover, Shete *et al.* (2019) [12] who reported increased plant height in different legume crops due to seed inoculation of *Rhizobium*, PGPR and PSB alone or in combination.

Number of effective nodules/plant

The results in respect of number of effective nodules/plant of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 3. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest number of nodules (34.53 plant⁻¹), followed by T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* (30.90 plant⁻¹), T₁ *i:e* PSB isolate 1 (27.17 plant⁻¹). The lowest no. of effective nodule observed in T₈ *i:e* absolute control plot (14.20 plant⁻¹) Among other treatment T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (23.57 plant⁻¹) and T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (20.13 plant⁻¹) are statistically at par with each other. T₂ *i.e.* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (18.93 plant⁻¹) and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (17.87 plant⁻¹) are at par with each other.

These results are in agreement with Shete *et al.* (2019) [12] reported that seed inoculation of green gram with consortia of MPKV *Rhizobium*, PSB and KMB + 75% RDF significantly increase the number of nodules/plant (41.50) and found at par with 100% RDF.

Table 3: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on number of effective nodules/plant of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	No. of effective nodules / Plant
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	27.17
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	18.93
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	17.87
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	20.13
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	23.57
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	30.90
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	34.53
T8	Absolute control	14.20
	SE _±	3.62
	CD at 5%	1.18

Dry weight of chickpea plant

The results in respect of number of effective nodules/plant of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 4. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium*, was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest dry weight of plant after harvest (36.55 gm plant⁻¹) of the crop, followed by T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (32.9 gm plant⁻¹). The lowest dry weight of plant after harvest (11.77gm plant⁻¹) was noticed in T₈ *i:e* absolute control plot. However T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (29.59 gm plant⁻¹) was found at par with T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (32.29 gm plant⁻¹). T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (16.13 gm plant⁻¹) and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (16.03 gm plant⁻¹) are statistically at par with each other. These results are in agreement with Dakshayini *et al.*, (2016) [5] reported that significantly increase in dry matter weight of chickpea plant due to inoculation of *Rhizobium* and efficient PSB isolates over uninoculated control.

Table 4: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on dry matter weight (g) of chickpea plant in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	Dry matter weight (g)/ plant
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	32.29
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	16.13
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	16.03
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	20.00
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	28.13
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	29.59
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	36.55
T8	Absolute control	11.77
	SE _±	3.59
	CD at 5%	1.17

Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on yield parameters of chickpea in calcareous soil

Number of pods/plant

The results in respect of number of pods/plant of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 5. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest number of pods (91.46 plant⁻¹), followed by T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 recorded number of pods (88.02 plant⁻¹). The lowest number of pods (71.14 plant⁻¹) was noticed in T₈ *i:e* absolute control plot. Among other treatments, T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (84.20 plant⁻¹) followed by T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (80.88 plant⁻¹). T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (77.97 plant⁻¹) and T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (77.09 plant⁻¹) are statistically at par with each other, and T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (77.09 plant⁻¹) and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (75.10 plant⁻¹) was found statistically at par. These results are in agreement with Dakshayani *et al.*, (2016) [5] reported that inoculation with *Rhizobium* and PSB significantly increases no. of pod per plant, and biomass in chickpea over uninoculated control.

Table 5: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on number of pods/plant of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	No. of pods plant ⁻¹
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	88.02
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	77.09
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	75.10
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	77.97
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	84.20
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	80.88
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	91.46
T8	Absolute control	71.14
	SE _±	2.39
	CD at 5%	0.78

1000 seed weight

The results in respect of 1000 seed weight (g) of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 6. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest 1000 seed weight (242.98 g), followed by T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (225.81 g). The lowest 1000 seed weight (149.39 g) was noticed in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot. Among other treatments, T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (208.64 g) followed by T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* (192.21 g). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (175.03 g) and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (163.77 g) are statistically at par and T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (163.77g) and T₃ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (161.16 g) are at par with each other. These results are in agreement Shete *et al.* (2019) [12] reported that seed inoculation of green gram with consortia of MPKV *Rhizobium*, PSB and KMB + 75% RDF significantly increase the 1000 seed weight and found at par with 100% RDF.

Table 6: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on 1000 seed weight (g) of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	1000 seed weight (g)
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	225.81
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	163.77
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	161.16
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	175.03
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	208.64
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	192.21
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	242.98
T8	Absolute control	149.39
	SE _±	3.86
	CD at 5%	11.82

Seed yield (g/plot) and yield (qt/ha)

The results in respect of seed yield (g/plot) and yield (qt/ha) of chickpea as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 7. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest seed yield (725.52 g plot⁻¹), as converted to per ha basis recorded yield obtained (20.09 qt ha⁻¹), followed by T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1

recorded seed yield (686.23 g plot⁻¹), (19.01 qt ha⁻¹). The lowest seed yield was noticed in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot. (490.75 g plot⁻¹) (13.59 qt ha⁻¹) Among other treatments, T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (635.27 g plot⁻¹), (17.59 qt ha⁻¹) followed by T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain (587.04 g plot⁻¹), (16.23 qt ha⁻¹). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (542.50 g plot⁻¹), (15.02 qt ha⁻¹), T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 (522.15 g plot⁻¹), (14.45 qt ha⁻¹) and T₃ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 (530.34 g plot⁻¹), (14.69 qt ha⁻¹) are statistically at par with each other. These results are in agreement with Yadav and Singh (2014) [15] reported that combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* and PSB significantly increases yield in late sown chickpea over absolute control.

Table 7: Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on seed yield (g/plot) and yield (qt/ ha) of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	Yield/plot (g)	Yield /ha (q)
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate	686.23	19.01
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	522.15	14.45
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	530.34	14.69
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	542.50	15.02
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	635.27	17.59
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	587.04	16.23
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	725.52	20.09
T8	Absolute control	490.75	13.59
	SE _±	10.15	0.86
	CD at 5%	31.10	0.28

Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on N and P uptake by chickpea in calcareous soil

N uptake by plant

The results in respect of N uptake by chickpea plant as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 8. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest N uptake by plant (56.38 kg ha⁻¹), followed by T₆ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* (53.33 kg ha⁻¹), however T₆ statistically at par with T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (50.44 kg ha⁻¹) in soil. The lowest N uptake in soil was noticed in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot (36.33 kg ha⁻¹). Among other treatments, T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (50.44 kg ha⁻¹), followed by T₅ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (45.01 kg ha⁻¹). T₄ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4, T₂ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate and 2 and T₃ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 was found statistically at par with each other for recorded N uptake by plant (41.15 kg ha⁻¹), (40.48 kg ha⁻¹), (40.13 Kg ha⁻¹) respectively.

P uptake by plant

The results in respect of P uptake by chickpea plant as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 8. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i.e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* was found to be the most effective as it recorded significantly highest P uptake by plant (18.68 kg ha⁻¹), followed by T₁ *i.e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (15.42 kg ha⁻¹) in soil. The lowest P uptake by plant in soil was noticed in T₈ *i.e* absolute control plot (4.34 kg ha⁻¹). Among

other treatments, T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (15.42 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (12.16 kg ha⁻¹). T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4, T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2, T₃ *i:e* seed treatment of PSB isolate 3 and T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* strain are statistically at par with each other for recorded P uptake by plant (9.72 kg ha⁻¹), (9.56 kg ha⁻¹), (9.44kg ha⁻¹) and (9.14 kg ha⁻¹) respectively. These results are in agreement with Tagore *et al.*, (2014) [14] who reported that significantly increased N and P uptake in chickpea due to inoculation with *Rhizobium* and PSB.

Table 8: Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on N and P uptake (kg/ha) by chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	N uptake (kg/ha)	P uptake (kg/ha)
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	50.44	15.42
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	40.48	9.56
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	40.13	9.44
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	41.15	9.72
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	45.01	12.16
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	53.33	9.14
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	56.38	18.68
T8	Absolute control	36.33	4.34
	SE±	0.98	0.78
	CD at 5%	1.38	1.11

Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on Microbial Population of *Rhizobium* and PSB after harvest of chickpea in calcareous soil

PSB population

The results in respect of PSB population in soil as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 9. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* recorded significantly highest population of PSB (50.33 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil), followed by T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 recorded PSB population (47.22 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil), in soil. The lowest microbial population of PSB in soil after harvest of chickpea was noticed in T₈ *i:e* absolute control plot (27 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil). Among other treatments, T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (47.22 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil), followed by T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (44 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil). T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (41x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil) and T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* (38.22 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil) are at par. T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* , T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 are statistically at par with each other recorded PSB population (38.22 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil), (35.56 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil), (33.89 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil) respectively.

***Rhizobium* population**

The results in respect of PSB population in soil as influenced by seed treatment with phosphate solubilizing bacteria are presented in Table 9. Among different seed treatments, T₇ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV *Rhizobium* recorded significantly highest population of *Rhizobium* (40.44 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil), followed by T₆ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV *Rhizobium* (34.78 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil) however T₆ was found at par with T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (33.33 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil). The lowest *Rhizobium* population in soil after harvest of chickpea was noticed in T₈ *i:e* absolute

control plot (18.33 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil). Among other treatments, T₁ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 1 (33.33 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil) followed by T₅ *i:e* seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain (30 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil), T₄ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 4 (27.22 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil). T₂ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 2 and T₃ *i:e* seed treatment with PSB isolate 3 was found statistically at par with each other recorded *Rhizobium* population (23.44 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹ soil) and (21.56 x 10⁶ cfu g⁻¹soil) respectively. These results are in agreement with the results of Cao *et al.* (2016) [4] who reported that the application of *Rhizobium* inoculant and/or PSB inoculation to soybean significantly increased the population of *Rhizobium* and PSB in soybean.

Table 9: Effect of PSB on microbial population of PSB and *Rhizobium* (10⁶) after harvest of chickpea in calcareous soil.

Sr. No.	Treatment details	PSB (10 ⁶ cfu g ⁻¹ soil)	<i>Rhizobium</i> (10 ⁶ cfu g ⁻¹ soil)
T1	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 1	47.22	33.33
T2	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 2	35.56	23.44
T3	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 3	33.89	21.56
T4	Seed treatment with PSB isolate 4	41.00	27.22
T5	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB strain	44.00	30
T6	Seed treatment with MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	38.22	34.78
T7	Seed treatment with MPKV PSB + MPKV <i>Rhizobium</i> strain	50.33	40.44
T8	Absolute control	27.00	18.33
	SE±	0.94	0.87
	CD at 5%	2.88	2.68



Effect of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on No. of nodules/plant of chickpea in Calcareous soil.



General view of field experiment plot

References

1. Alam MM, Ladha JK. Optimizing phosphorus fertilization in an intensive vegetable-rice cropping system. *BioFertile Soils*. 2004;40:277-283.
2. Ali M, Kumar S. Pulse production in India. *Yojana*, 2006, 13-15.
3. Anonymous. Daily availability of pulses per capita in India, 2011-2018. Sep. 23, 2019.
4. Cao *et al.*, Effects of rhizobia and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria on soybean (*glycine max* l. Merr.) Cultivated on Ferralsols of daklak province, Vietnam. *World J. Pharma & Pharmaceutical Sci* 2016;5(4):318-333.
5. Dakshayini *et al.* Evaluation of the efficient phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth of chickpea under greenhouse condition. *Adv. in Life Sciences*. 2016;5(2):662-666.
6. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, 1st edition, New Delhi, India, 1973.
7. Pikovaskaya's RT. Mobilization of phosphate in soil connection with the vital activities of microbial species. *Microbiologia* 1948;17:362-370.
8. Poonia TC, Pithia MS. Increasing efficiency of seed inoculation with biofertilizers through application of micronutrients in irrigated chickpea. *Afr. J Agric. Res* 2014;9(29):2214-21
9. Prasad, Sanoria CL. "Response of Bengal gram to seed and bacterium and phosphorus, "Seed and Farms" 1981;7:31-32.
10. Prasad R. *Textbook of Field Crops Production*. Indian council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India 2012;1:320-21.
11. Rudresh MN. Effect of a combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* a phosphate solubilizing *Bacillus megatherium* sub sp. *phosphaticum* strain PSB and a biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma* spp. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2004;21:70-80.
12. Shete MH, Murumkar DR, Tirmali AM, Landge KB. Formulation of culture media for growth of nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilizing and potash mobilizing bacteria in a consortium. *J Pl. Dis. Sci* 2019;14(1):41-46.
13. Skinner *et al.* A comparison of a direct- and a plating-counting technique for the quantitative estimation of soil microorganisms. *J Gen. Microbiol* 1952;6:261-271.
14. Tagore GS, Sharma SK, Shah SK. Effect of microbial inoculants on nutrient uptake, yields and quality of chickpea genotypes. *Int. J of Agril. Sci. and Veter. Medicines* 2014;2(2):18-23.
15. Yadav VK, Singh RS. Response of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on yield attributes and yield of chickpea under late sown chickpea. *Res. Env. Life. Sci* 2014;7(4):287-288.