



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2021; 10(12): 1364-1368  
© 2021 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 12-09-2021  
Accepted: 27-10-2021

**K Deepika**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Vasantrao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**VS Khandare**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Vasantrao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**DP Waskar**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Vasantrao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**CH Shanmukhi**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Vasantrao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**VS Khandare**  
Department of Horticulture,  
Vasantrao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

## Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids in chilli for vegetative and yield characters

**K Deepika, VS Khandare, DP Waskar and CH Shanmukhi**

### Abstract

The experimental material for the study comprise thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, three standard checks and thirteen parents which are collected from Horticulture Research Scheme, (Vegetable), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. Among the thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids evaluated in comparison to parents and checks, the hybrids P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub> showed significantly higher yield.

**Keywords:** Chilli, hybrid, vegetative, yield, fruit length

### 1. Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is one of the most important vegetable cum spice crops belonging to the family Solanaceae. Chilli has attained a status of high value crop in India and occupies a unique place among vegetables in Indian cuisine because of its delicate taste and pleasant flavor coupled with rich content of ascorbic acid and other vitamins and minerals. Different varieties are grown for vegetables, spices, condiments, sauces and pickles. Chilli is a branched herbaceous annuals or perennials. The single flowers are off-white colour. The fruit are berries that may be green, yellow, orange or red when ripe. Chillies are rich source of Vitamin A, C and E. Chillies are in different sizes, shapes and colours and have two important qualities, the presence of biting pungency attributed to an alkaloid capsaicin and captivating red colour due to a pigment capsanthin. The oleoresins of chilli are used by food industries, in the preparation of processed products and also for incorporation into a number of pharmaceutical formulations (Rohini and Lakshmanan, 2017)<sup>[1]</sup>. The main goal of most of the plant breeding programme is to increase the yielding ability of crop plants.

### 2. Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted to “Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids in chilli for Vegetative and Yield characters” in Horticulture Research Scheme (Vegetable), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani with an objective to find out the most desirable F<sub>1</sub>s in chilli. The field experiment was laid out in randomized block design with two replications and thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, thirteen parents and three standard checks which are collected from Horticulture Research Scheme, (Vegetable), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The treatments consisted of T<sub>1</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>16</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>17</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>18</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>19</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>20</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>21</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>22</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>23</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>24</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>26</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>27</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>28</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>29</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>31</sub>- PBNC-6, T<sub>32</sub>- PBNC-26, T<sub>33</sub>- PBNC-17, T<sub>34</sub>- Parbhani Tejas, T<sub>35</sub>- PBNC-1, T<sub>36</sub>- Kokan Kirti, T<sub>37</sub>- Phule Jyoti, T<sub>38</sub>- G-4, T<sub>39</sub>- Byadgi, T<sub>40</sub>- Byadgi-341, T<sub>41</sub>- Teja, T<sub>42</sub>- Pusa Jawala, T<sub>43</sub>- Pusa Sadabahar, T<sub>44</sub>- BSS 378 (C<sub>1</sub>), T<sub>45</sub>- BSS 273 (C<sub>2</sub>) and T<sub>46</sub>- BSS 273 (C<sub>3</sub>). Each treatment was planted at a plant spacing of 60 cm x 45cm. The recommended cultural practices, raising of seedling, seed treatment, transplanting, weeding, fertilizer, irrigation and plant protection were done. The observations on vegetative growth and yield attributes were recorded from randomized selected five plants in each treatment. The results obtained along with relevant discussion are presented.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Growth parameters

Growth parameters like, plant height and number of branches per plant, decides the vigour of

plant, which is related with the biological yield of crop. All the growth parameters were varied significantly. The data pertaining to growth parameters are presented in Table 1.

### 3.1.1 Plant Height (cm)

Height of plant is an important growth character in chilli. The yield of crop was influenced by vigour of plant, where the height of plant plays an important role.

Data presented in Table 1 showed that the plant height ranged from 32.37 to 96.99 cm at full maturity. The highest plant height was observed in the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>11</sub> (96.99 cm) which was on par with parent P<sub>11</sub> (96.70 cm), P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>12</sub> (95.30 cm), P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>12</sub> (95.15 cm). While, the lowest plant height was observed in the hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>7</sub> (32.37 cm) which was at par with parent P<sub>3</sub> (41.19 cm), P<sub>9</sub> (43.62 cm).

The differences in plant height are mostly attributed to the genetic potential and also due to the environmental factors,

especially temperature which should be around 25°C for good vegetative growth. Similar results were obtained for Dhaliwal *et al.* (2015)<sup>[3]</sup> and Dhupal *et al.* (2020)<sup>[4]</sup>.

### 3.1.2 Number of branches per plant

The data pertaining to number of branches per plant is given in Table 1.

The highest number of branches per plant were present in the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> (13.59) which was on par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (13.16) compared to parents and checks and the lowest number of branches were present in the hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (7.17) which was at par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (8.17) compared to parents and checks.

In chilli, high branching is desirable for easy picking of fruits and for effective inter cultivation and to prevent rotting of fruits. The results obtained were relevant to the results attained by Karak *et al.* (2015)<sup>[9]</sup>, Yatagiri *et al.* (2017)<sup>[12]</sup>, Rohini and Lakshmanan (2017)<sup>[11]</sup> and Nivedha *et al.* (2019)<sup>[10]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for plant height and number of branches per plant.

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches per plant
T-01	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	65.08	7.17
T-02	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	56.14	10.45
T-03	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	66.12	11.16
T-04	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	32.37	9.37
T-05	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	74.85	12.06
T-06	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	61.34	10.42
T-07	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	88.95	9.04
T-08	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	93.86	10.65
T-09	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	95.15	11.82
T-10	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	66.99	12.61
T-11	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	68.95	8.17
T-12	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	83.16	13.16
T-13	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	69.47	10.26
T-14	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	66.57	12.40
T-15	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	81.87	10.70
T-16	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	63.70	12.52
T-17	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	93.80	10.23
T-18	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	96.99	10.65
T-19	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	95.30	10.92
T-20	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	73.66	13.59
T-21	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	72.38	9.51
T-22	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	68.68	10.08
T-23	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	64.76	12.50
T-24	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	56.11	12.57
T-25	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	57.78	12.16
T-26	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	57.94	12.61
T-27	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	72.27	12.55
T-28	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	76.13	12.08
T-29	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	72.22	10.91
T-30	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	61.82	11.88
T-31	P <sub>1</sub>	53.76	10.25
T-32	P <sub>2</sub>	61.77	11.98
T-33	P <sub>3</sub>	41.49	12.00
T-34	P <sub>4</sub>	54.04	10.95
T-35	P <sub>5</sub>	61.20	11.57
T-36	P <sub>6</sub>	68.91	9.64
T-37	P <sub>7</sub>	44.40	11.91
T-38	P <sub>8</sub>	63.71	10.46
T-39	P <sub>9</sub>	43.62	12.01
T-40	P <sub>10</sub>	59.18	11.43
T-41	P <sub>11</sub>	96.70	9.53
T-42	P <sub>12</sub>	91.36	9.90
T-43	P <sub>13</sub>	83.83	11.69
T-44	C <sub>1</sub>	67.46	9.66
T-45	C <sub>2</sub>	69.70	9.75
T-46	C <sub>3</sub>	71.62	10.03

	Grand Mean	69.28	11.02
	SE (m)	3.04	0.87
	CD @ 5%	8.7	2.5
	C.V.	6.21	11.26

### 3.2 Yield parameters

#### 3.2.1 Length of fruit (cm)

The data presented in Table 2. revealed that the highest fruit length was observed in the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (13.45 cm) which was on par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>8</sub> (13.24 cm), P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub> (13.16 cm) compared to parents and checks while, lowest length of fruit was observed in P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>7</sub> (6.78 cm) which is at par with the parent P<sub>7</sub> (6.88 cm).

There is varietal variation for fruit length in chilli and the variation is also due to the genetical and environmental factors. The results are in accordance with the results obtained by Chattopadhyay *et al.* (2011) [1] and Herison *et al.* (2014) [6].

**3.2.2 Green fruit weight (g):** The data pertaining to green fruit weight are presented in Table 2. showed that the highest weight of fruit is recorded in the hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub> (7.63 g) which was at par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (7.52 g) compared to parents and checks and lowest weight of fruit was observed in the parent P<sub>10</sub> (2.64 g) which was on par with P<sub>7</sub> (2.92 g). Fruit weight at maturity varies according to cultivars, time of harvest, soil fertility and cultural management. Dhaliwal *et al.* (2014) [2] revealed that fresh fruit weight varies among the chili varieties. Fruit weight varies with variation in fruit length and diameter. The findings were in conformity with Jamal *et al.* (2015) [7] and Yatagiri *et al.* (2017) [12].

**Table 2:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for fruit length and Green fruit weight characteristics.

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Length of fruit (cm)	Green fruit weight (g)
T-01	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	11.23	5.97
T-02	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	11.07	6.60
T-03	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	10.85	6.24
T-04	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	6.78	5.31
T-05	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	13.16	7.63
T-06	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	11.60	6.11
T-07	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	11.26	5.69
T-08	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	12.88	7.30
T-09	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	11.83	6.26
T-10	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	10.21	6.33
T-11	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	12.43	7.16
T-12	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	13.45	7.52
T-13	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	10.12	5.15
T-14	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	8.20	5.07
T-15	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	13.24	7.12
T-16	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	10.71	6.09
T-17	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	11.03	5.84
T-18	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	11.82	6.15
T-19	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	11.93	6.45
T-20	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	11.04	6.46
T-21	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	10.39	5.00
T-22	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	10.64	4.50
T-23	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	7.41	3.80
T-24	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	6.89	3.86
T-25	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	9.98	5.84
T-26	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	9.86	4.03
T-27	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	10.20	5.49
T-28	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	10.31	3.98
T-29	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	10.36	4.66
T-30	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	8.11	3.84
T-31	P <sub>1</sub>	10.19	6.82
T-32	P <sub>2</sub>	11.66	6.31
T-33	P <sub>3</sub>	8.67	3.87
T-34	P <sub>4</sub>	10.26	5.25
T-35	P <sub>5</sub>	10.91	6.21
T-36	P <sub>6</sub>	7.74	3.01
T-37	P <sub>7</sub>	6.88	2.92
T-38	P <sub>8</sub>	9.31	5.10
T-39	P <sub>9</sub>	10.06	3.74
T-40	P <sub>10</sub>	8.50	2.64
T-41	P <sub>11</sub>	11.10	4.03
T-42	P <sub>12</sub>	11.01	4.69
T-43	P <sub>13</sub>	8.78	2.99
T-44	C <sub>1</sub>	10.12	5.64
T-45	C <sub>2</sub>	10.00	4.32
T-46	C <sub>3</sub>	9.65	6.02
	Grand Mean	10.30	5.32

	SE (m)	0.55	0.20
	CD@5%	1.56	0.59
	C.V.	7.50	5.55

### 3.2.3 Number of fruits per plant

The data pertaining to number of fruits per plant are presented in Table 3. The highest number of fruits per plant were recorded in the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> (339.64) which was at par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (327.14) compared to parents and checks whereas the lowest number of fruits per plant were observed in P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (99.00) which was on par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (109.95).

The number of fruits per plant was appreciably high for certain hybrids and thus provides good scope for selection of these genotypes for improvement in chilli for yield characters. The variation is due to the difference in percentage fruit set, genetical and environmental factors. The results obtained are in accordance with Janaki *et al.* (2015) [8], Nivedha *et al.* (2019) [10] and Dhumal *et al.* (2020) [4].

### 3.2.4 Green fruit yield per plant (g)

The data presented in Table 3 found that fruit yield per plant was highest for the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (2262.97 g) which was at par with P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub> (2254.92 g) compared to parents and checks, while the fruit yield per plant was lowest in the parent P<sub>6</sub> (490.96 g) which was on par with P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (611.11 g).

The fruit yield per plant is influenced by the fruit length. It is indirectly affected by the number of branches per plant and fruit weight. The yield per plant was significantly and positively associated with both genotypic and phenotypic levels (Farhad *et al.*, 2008) [5]. The results are in agreement with Yatagiri *et al.* (2017) [12] and Nivedha *et al.* (2019) [10].

**Table 3:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for number of fruits per plant and Green fruit yield per plant.

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Number of fruits per plant	Green fruit yield per plant (g)
T-01	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	99.00	611.11
T-02	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	182.04	1483.35
T-03	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	233.04	1601.60
T-04	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	149.88	794.42
T-05	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	281.08	2254.92
T-06	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	251.43	1772.97
T-07	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	131.09	880.02
T-08	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	247.94	1692.99
T-09	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	282.56	1759.19
T-10	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	302.15	2065.64
T-11	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	109.95	801.73
T-12	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	327.14	2262.97
T-13	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	167.59	844.26
T-14	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	290.16	1452.51
T-15	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	228.17	1684.79
T-16	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	307.05	1873.26
T-17	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	136.56	811.55
T-18	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	178.14	1068.51
T-19	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	196.42	1173.81
T-20	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	339.64	2217.39
T-21	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	207.74	1071.79
T-22	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	259.20	1169.72
T-23	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	294.76	1199.72
T-24	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	303.60	1339.73
T-25	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	276.46	1372.03
T-26	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	295.63	1118.26
T-27	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	291.00	1399.62
T-28	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	273.94	1050.34
T-29	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	255.70	1137.41
T-30	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	263.20	1054.99
T-31	P <sub>1</sub>	160.97	1189.67
T-32	P <sub>2</sub>	277.61	1744.51
T-33	P <sub>3</sub>	262.98	1089.43
T-34	P <sub>4</sub>	258.69	1309.67
T-35	P <sub>5</sub>	278.59	1739.72
T-36	P <sub>6</sub>	167.26	490.96
T-37	P <sub>7</sub>	273.01	851.75
T-38	P <sub>8</sub>	230.49	1105.36
T-39	P <sub>9</sub>	279.71	1041.80
T-40	P <sub>10</sub>	273.52	719.82
T-41	P <sub>11</sub>	176.56	730.73
T-42	P <sub>12</sub>	242.37	1137.24
T-43	P <sub>13</sub>	269.31	809.66
T-44	C <sub>1</sub>	324.39	1832.63
T-45	C <sub>2</sub>	321.49	1416.32
T-46	C <sub>3</sub>	319.20	1904.61

	Grand Mean	244.40	1307.27
	SE (m)	11.41	65.07
	CD@5%	32.61	185.96
	C.V.	6.58	7.04

#### 4. Conclusions

One of the first step to increase productivity is introduction of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, which are genetically superior and high yielding, among the thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids evaluated in Comparison to parents and checks, the hybrids P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub> showed significantly higher yield. These F<sub>1</sub> hybrids can be recommended for commercial cultivation of chilli.

#### 5. References

1. Chattopadhyay A, Sharangi AB, Dai N, Dutta S. Diversity of genetic resources and genetic association analyses of green and dry chillies of Eastern India. Chilean J Agril Res. 2011;71(3):124-132.
2. Dhaliwal MS, Garg N, Jindal SK, Cheema DS. Heterosis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) using genetic male sterility. J Res Punjab Agric Univ. 2014;51(3, 4):255-261.
3. Dhaliwal MS, Garg N, Jindal SK, Cheema DS. Growth and yield of elite genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in diverse agro climatic zones of Punjab. J Spices and Aromatic Crops 2015;24(2):83-91.
4. Dhumal VT, Pharle NR, Kapse VD, Naik HP, Vaidya KP, Meshram NA, et al. Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> progenies of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under Konkan agro climatic condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2020;9(1):180-182.
5. Farhad M, Hasanuzzaman M, Biswas BK, Azad AK, Arifuzzaman M. Reliability of yield contributing characters for improving yield potential in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int. J Sustain. Crop Prod. 2008;3(3):30-38.
6. Herison C, Handayaningsih M, Fahrurrozi, Rustikawati. Evaluation of growth and yield performance on inoculated chili pepper hybrids by cucumber mosaic virus. Agrivita. 2014;36:14-18.
7. Jamal Uddin AFM, Hussain MS, Rahman SKS, Ahmad H, Roni MZK. Growth, yield and quality of SAU-Agni and SAU-Cayenne chilli variety. J Bangladesh Res. Pub 2015;11(3):198-203.
8. Janaki M, Naram Naidu N, Venkata Ramana C, Paratpara Rao M. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int. Quarterly J Life Sci 2015;10(2):729-733.
9. Karak PK, Pariari A, Karak C. Varietal evaluation of chilli in the saline belt of West Bengal. J Crop and Weed 2015;11:86-89.
10. Nivedha P, Rajasree V, Arumugam T, Karthikeyan M, Thiruvengadam V. Evaluation of parents and hybrids of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for yield and resistance to chilli leaf curl disease. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019;8(3):4763-4766.
11. Rohini N, Lakshmanan V. Evaluation studies of hot pepper hybrids (*Capsicum annuum* L.) for yield and quality characters. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2017;8(2):643-651.
12. Yatagiri N, Sanap PB, Telugu RK. Growth, flowering behaviour and physical fruit parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes in Coastal

Maharashtra. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(7):2230-2237.