



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2021; 10(3): 635-638

© 2021 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 04-01-2021

Accepted: 14-02-2021

## Drashti Chaudhari

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BA College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

## Punit Mehta

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BA College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

## Nisha Chaudhary

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BA College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

## Manish Yadav

a. Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BA College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

b. Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India

## Corresponding Author:

### Drashti Chaudhari

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BA College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

## Iron Ferti-fortification in grain *Amaranthus* (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.)

Drashti Chaudhari, Punit Mehta, Nisha Chaudhary and Manish Yadav

### Abstract

The practice of intensive cropping with hybrid varieties for boosting food production in India has caused nutrient depletion in soil, consequently macro and micronutrient deficiencies are reported in soils of India. Further, micronutrient deficient soils result in production of food/feed/fodder low in micronutrient content/density and that in the long-run have been inflicting their deficiency in humans and animals. Attempts are made to enhance micronutrient content in cereal grains and there is a need to explore the possibility of such improvements in other locally available food crops. One such crop that could be considered suitable for this purpose is of the genus *Amaranthus*. It is an excellent source of iron and  $\beta$ -Carotene and thus it can help in removing iron and vitamin A deficiency. Looking to above scenario, an attempt was made to study the effect of Fe fertilization for Fe enhancement in amaranthus grain.

A field experiment was carried out during *Rabi*, 2019-2020 at College Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat). There were 12 treatments laid out in randomized block design with a view to study the effect of Iron ferti – fortification in grain *Amaranthus* (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L) grown under *Typic* Ustocrepts. The results revealed that recommended dose of fertilizer, 15 kg  $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  through enriched 200 kg FYM recorded 15% higher grain yield over control. While, maximum grain Fe content and uptake was recorded under soil application of 11.25 kg  $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  through enriched 200 kg FYM. Among the different Fe ferti-fortification treatment recommended dose of fertilizer along with soil application of  $\text{FeSO}_4 @ 7.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  through enriched 200 kg FYM showed highest iron use efficiency (15.07%) as well as partial factor productivity (862) over rest of the treatments. However, highest Fe harvest index (13.7%) was noticed in treatment receiving recommended dose of fertilizer coupled with  $\text{FeSO}_4 @ 11.25 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and bio NPK Consortium is adjudged as the best Fe ferti-fortification strategy for grain amaranthus crop in the middle Gujarat condition.

**Keywords:** Iron, micronutrient, FYM, ferti-fortification, *Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.

### Introduction

As human population continue to increase, anthropogenic manipulation in the agro-ecosystem to produce food and fiber will place greater demand on soils to supply essential nutrients. The quest for attaining food sufficiency and higher crop production to feed the burgeoning population of the nation has resulted detrimental impact on agro-ecosystem in India.

The practice of intensive cropping with hybrid varieties for boosting food production in India has caused nutrient depletion in soil, consequently macro and micronutrient deficiencies are reported in soils of India. Simultaneously, low soil organic matter level, little return of crop residues and manures to soils exacerbates these deficiencies. Analysis of more than 2.00 lakhs soil samples in 508 districts of India revealed that the problem of Fe deficiency is acute and limiting the crop productivity in the western part of the country, particularly in Rajasthan, Gujarat and Maharashtra (Shukla *et al.*, 2014) [16].

Iron is a needed element to the plants for full fill the chlorophyll formation and photosynthesis process. Almost all plant enzymes are using iron ions to make the proper transpiration cycle in plants. Iron deficiency will discard the high yield and quality of plants Iron deficiency is considered to be the common worldwide nutritional deficiency that affects approximately 20% of the world population. Anemia affects nearly one-third of the world's population, primarily infants and young children from developing countries (Singh and Toteja 2003, Mason *et al.*, 2004) [8, 17]. Iron deficiency anemia majorly affects children under 5 years, women of childbearing age and pregnant women (Dharmalingam *et al.*, 2010, Ahmad *et al.*, 2010, Buchowski *et al.*, 1989) [2, 5, 6].

Biofortification offers a sustainable solution to the escalating micronutrient deficiencies in the population, especially for the poor. Biofortification is a process of enhancing the density of vitamins and minerals in a crop. Among the types of biofortification, agronomic biofortification (use of fertilizers) is a short term approach and the easiest of all to enhance the micronutrient content in the diet (Nissar *et al.*, 2019) [11].

Grain amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.) called a pseudocereal is an under exploited tropical novel crop with a high nutritive value. Amaranth locally known as *Rajagira* and it is grown during *rabi* season. Amaranth is a quick growing, bushy plant with thick stalk. The unique features are lower water and input requirement, tolerance to moisture stress with short growing period. In India, the grain is well known as the only food permitted on fast days. The amaranth grain could be easily processed into a variety of products like chikki, malt, popped flour, roti, nippatu, biscuits etc. It is useful in the high quality plastics, cosmetics, natural dyes, pharmaceuticals (laxative) and aqualene (National Research Council, 1984) [10]. It is a C<sub>4</sub> dicotyledonous pseudo cereal crop having higher productivity potential added with substantial quantities of minerals, carbohydrates, fats and proteins are comparable with any other improved cereals. It could be used both for grains and green leaves which are rich in vitamin A, calcium and iron (Annapurna *et al.*, 2001) [3].

The amaranth grains contain relatively higher iron content than in cereal grains. It is an excellent source of iron and  $\beta$ -Carotene and thus it can help in removing iron and vitamin A deficiency (Narwade *et al.*, 2018) [9]. Amaranth has complete protein and is also a good source of minerals such as iron, magnesium, phosphorus, copper and manganese. Its unique composition makes it an attractive food complement and supplement. Looking to the above scenario, the research work on Iron ferti-fortification in grain *Amaranthus* (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.) under middle Gujarat condition.

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of the year 2019-2020 at College Agronomy farm, BACA, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat. This experiment consisting of twelve treatment combinations *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>: RDF (Control), T<sub>2</sub>: RDF + Bio NPK Consortium, T<sub>3</sub>: RDF + 200 kg FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>: RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>: RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium, T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> with 7.5 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>: RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub>: RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium, T<sub>9</sub>: RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> with 11.25 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>: RDF + 15 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>11</sub>: RDF + 15 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium, T<sub>12</sub>: RDF + 15 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium in randomized block design, which was replicated four times and *Amaranthus* variety GA - 2 was sown.

RDF was applied in common to all the plots where in nitrogen dose was applied at 50% basal and 50% at 30 DAS and 50

DAS respectively, While, total quantity of recommended dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was applied through diammonium phosphate (DAP) as basal. Application of Bio NPK consortium (*Azotobacter* + PSB + KSB) was made through seed treatment at 10 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed as well as soil drenching at 1 liter ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAS. FeSO<sub>4</sub> was applied as per treatment and for iron enriched FYM, the quantity of 200 kg FYM was taken and thoroughly mixed with the solution of FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O as per treatment. The thoroughly mixed materials was filled in the pits treatment wise and incubated for a period of 50 days. The 50 per cent available soil moisture was maintained in the pits till 50 days. After 50 days, the enriched manure was utilized as soil application as per treatment at the time of sowing of *Amaranthus* crop. The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture, alkaline in reaction. It was low in organic carbon and available nitrogen while medium in available phosphorus and available potash. With respect to DTPA micronutrients, Fe content was low, Zn and Mn was in medium, while Cu was in sufficient range. Standard error of mean (S.E.m) and Co-efficient of variation (CV %) were worked out for each observation as per the method suggested by Steel and Torrie (1982) [19].

## Fe uptake by grain

The concentrations of micronutrients in plant were expressed in terms of mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The Fe uptake by grain was calculated using below mentioned formula.

$$\text{Fe uptake (g ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Fe content (mg kg}^{-1}\text{)} \times \text{Yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{1000}$$

## Fe harvest index

Fe Harvest Index can be interpreted as amount of Fe harvested in cereal grain out of total Fe uptake by the crops; derived using below mentioned formula.

$$\text{Fe HI (\%)} = \frac{\text{Fe uptake in control (g ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Total uptake (g ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

## Partial factor productivity

The calculation in relation to impact of Fe application on yield of crop was made in terms of partial factor productivity as per formula suggested and adopted by Fageria and Baligar, 2003 [7]. The PFP was calculated as mentioned below.

$$\text{PFP} = \frac{\text{Grain Yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Fe applied (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

## Iron use efficiency (%)

Iron use efficiency (FeUE) was calculated in order to assess the utility of Fe in comprehensive applications. It was calculated as per formula by Patel, 1991 [4, 12].

$$\text{FeUE (\%)} = \frac{\text{Fe uptake in fertilized plot (g ha}^{-1}\text{)} - \text{Fe uptake in control (g ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Fe applied (g ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

## Results and Discussion

### Grain yield

The vital effect of experimental variable was reflected in the final yield of *Amaranthus* crop. The consequences obtainable in Table 1 make known that application of suggested quantity

of RDF along with soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through enriched 200 kg FYM was recorded significantly higher (1326 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yield. The increment in grain yield due to enrichment of farmyard manure with iron regulates its supply to the crop through mineralization and prevents them

from leaching and other losses besides mobilizing and supplying the native iron and increase better partitioning of carbohydrates from leaf to reproductive parts resulting in increased yield. The results were accordance with the finding gets support from Rathod (2003) [15], Tolessa Debele *et al.* (2001) [21], Veeranagappa (2009) and Sridevi *et al.* (2010) [18, 22].

### Fe content in grain

The maximum grain Fe content (102.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded under RDF along with soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 11.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through enriched 200 kg FYM. The highest content of Fe could be due to application of iron enriched with manure. Mineralization of iron from organic matter and the release of iron from iron containing amino acids during decomposition of organic manures and also due to addition of iron containing fertilizers. The results are corroborated with those of reported by Rathod (2003) [15], Ponnampuruma (1972) and Bhadu *et al.* (2017) [4, 14].

### Fe uptake by grain

The maximum removal of 136 g ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with RDF along with soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 11.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through enriched 200 kg FYM. Increased iron uptake might

be due to increased supply of iron favoured increased accumulation in the plant. Furthermore, the organic manures would have favourably influenced the rhizosphere environment for better iron uptake. The result of the present study reestablishes the opinion of several workers (Veeranagappa (2009), Patil *et al.* (2006) and Abbas *et al.* (2009)) [1, 13, 22].

### Fe harvest index

Fe HI indicates translocation of Fe from stover to grain. High index indicates more movement from stover to grain and low indicates vice versa. The data pertaining to the iron harvest index as affected by different treatments are presented in the Table 2.

### Partial factor productivity and iron use efficiency (%)

The Partial factor productivity could be interpreted by kg grain yield produced per kg nutrient application. Table 2 indicated that among the different treatments highest partial factor productivity (862) and highest iron use efficiency (15.07%) was observed under the RDF along with soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through enriched 200 kg FYM (T<sub>6</sub>).

**Table 1:** Effect of iron on yield and uptake by grain *Amaranthus*

No.	Treatment details	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain Fe content (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain Fe uptake (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub>	RDF (Control)	1154	82.4	96
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + Bio NPK Consortium	1216	85.7	104
T <sub>3</sub>	RDF + 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	1201	87.5	105
T <sub>4</sub>	RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1256	92.3	116
T <sub>5</sub>	RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	1283	94.8	122
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	1293	100.1	129
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1268	96.1	122
T <sub>8</sub>	RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	1293	98.0	127
T <sub>9</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	1326	102.3	136
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF + 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	1274	99.3	127
T <sub>11</sub>	RDF + 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	1288	98.5	127
T <sub>12</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	1326	100.9	134
	S.Em.+	2.28	3.34	5.60
	C.D. (P = 0.05)	6.56	9.60	16.11
	C.V.%	8.33	7.04	9.31

**Table 2:** Effect of iron ferti-fortification on Fe harvest index, partial factor productivity and Fe use efficiency

Trt.	Treatment details	Fe harvest index (%)	Partial factor productivity	Fe use efficiency (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	RDF (Control)	13.8	-	-
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF + Bio NPK Consortium	12.8	-	-
T <sub>3</sub>	RDF + 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	13.2	-	-
T <sub>4</sub>	RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	13.3	837	10.13
T <sub>5</sub>	RDF + 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	13.7	855	11.73
T <sub>6</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 7.5 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	13.7	862	15.07
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	13.4	564	8.53
T <sub>8</sub>	RDF + 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	14.2	575	7.56
T <sub>9</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 11.25 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	14.1	589	10.80
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF + 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup>	13.4	425	7.37
T <sub>11</sub>	RDF + 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> + Bio NPK Consortium	13.7	429	6.77
T <sub>12</sub>	RDF + Enriched 200 kg FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> with 15 kg FeSO <sub>4</sub>	13.5	442	8.83

### Conclusion

On the basis of Fe harvest index, soil application of 11.25 kg FeSO<sub>4</sub> along with Bio NPK consortium application through seed treatment at 10 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> seed as well as soil drenching (1 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 30 DAS is adjudged as the best Fe ferti-fortification strategy for grain *Amaranthus* crop grown in middle Gujarat

condition.

### References

1. Abbas G, Khan M, Khan MJ, Hussain F, Hussain I. Effect of iron on the growth and yield contributing parameters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Journal

- of Animal and Plant sciences 2009;19(3):135-139.
2. Ahmad N, Kalakoti P, Bano R, Aarif SM. The prevalence of anaemia and associated factors in pregnant women in a rural Indian community. *Aust Med J* 2010;3(5):276–280.
  3. Annapurna ML, Mushtari Begum J, Dhanpal GN, Shamshad Begum S, Jyothi G. Grain amaranth and rice bean nutria-rich products, Division of Rural Home Science, UAS, Hebbal, Bangalore 2001, P1.
  4. Bhadu V, Chaudhari NJ, Patel BA. Influence of organic manure enrichment on growth and yield of crops and soil properties. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2017;5(5):925-928.
  5. Buchowski MS, Mahoney AW, Kalpalathika MP. Nonheme. Iron absorption, apparent iron absorption and haemoglobin regeneration efficiency in anaemic and normal rats fed with dietary heme and nonheme iron at various levels. *Nutr. Res* 1989;19(7):773–783.
  6. Dharmalingam A, Navaneetham K, Krishnakumar CS. Nutritional status of mothers and low birth weight in India. *Matern Child Health J* 2010;14(2):290–298.
  7. Fageria NK, Baligar VC. Methodology for evaluation of lowland rice genotype for nitrogen use efficiency. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 2003;26(6):1315-1333.
  8. Mason JB, Lotfi M, Dalmiya N, Sethuraman K, Deitchler M, Geibel S *et al.* The micronutrient report: current progress and trends in the control of vitamin A, iodine, and iron deficiencies. Ottawa, Canada: The Micronutrient Initiative 2001.
  9. Narwade S, Pinto S. Amaranth—A functional food. *Concepts Dairy & Veterinary Science* 2018;1:72-77.
  10. National Research Council. Amaranth Modern Prospects for Ancient Crop. National Academic Press, Washington 1984.
  11. Nissar R, Zahida R, Kanth RH, Manzoor G, Shafeeq R, Ashaq H *et al.* Agronomic biofortification of major cereals with zinc and iron-A review. *Agricultural Reviews* 2019;40(1):21-28.
  12. Patel KP. Effect of some organic chemicals on the transformation of fertilizer and soil nitrogen in rice-wheat sequence. Ph. D. thesis submitted to division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Indian Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi 1991.
  13. Patil PL, Radder BM, Patil SG, Aladakatti YR, Meti CB, Khot AB. Effect of moisture regimes and micronutrients on yield, water use efficiency and nutrient uptake by maize in Vertisols of Malaprabha command, Karnataka. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2006;54(3):261-264.
  14. Ponnampereuma FN. The chemistry of submerged soils. *Advanced Agronomy* 1972;24:26-29.
  15. Rathod DD. Effect of zinc enriched organics on yield and nutrient uptake by crops under wheat-maize cropping sequances, M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to Anand Agricultural University, Anand 2003.
  16. Shukla AK, Tiwari PK, Prakash C. Micronutrients deficiencies vis-à-vis food and nutritional security of India. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 2014;10(12):94–112.
  17. Singh P, Toteja GS. Micronutrient profile of Indian children and women: summary of available data from iron and vitamin A. *Indian Pediatr* 2003;40:477–9.
  18. Sridevi G, Vijay M, Gayathri B, Anil S. Effect of zinc enriched organic manures on nutrient uptake and yield of Rice (ADT-45) in zinc deficient typic ustopepts. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 2010;44(2):150-153.
  19. Steel GD, Torrie JH. Principles and procedures of statistics 1982. <https://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:000426806>
  20. Stoltzfus RJ. Summary: implications for research and programs. *J Nutr* 2001;131:697S–700S.
  21. Tolessa Debele, Sharanappa, Sudhir K, Sujith GM. Direct and interactive effect of enriched farm yard manure and nitrogen level on productivity and nutrient uptake of maize. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science* 2001;14:894-899.
  22. Veeranagappa P. Effect of zinc enriched compost on soil properties, yield and uptake of nutrients by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). MSc. thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences-Bengaluru 2009.