



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2021; 10(3): 713-716
© 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 17-12-2020
Accepted: 23-02-2021

Sarware Alam
CCS Haryana Agricultural
University Hisar Haryana
India/Starex University Binola
Gurugram Haryana, India

Hanumant Singh
Soil and Land Use Survey of
India, Ahmedabad, Gujarat,
India

Mohammad Amin Bhat
CCS Haryana Agricultural
University, Hisar, Haryana,
India

Dinesh
CCS Haryana Agricultural
University, Hisar, Haryana,
India

Sushma R Ingle
Soil and Land Use Survey of
India, Ahmedabad, Gujarat,
India

KS Grewal
CCS Haryana Agricultural
University, Hisar, Haryana,
India

Corresponding Author:
Sarware Alam
CCS Haryana Agricultural
University Hisar Haryana
India/Starex University Binola
Gurugram Haryana, India

Potassium response in maize crop in coarse textured soils of Haryana

Sarware Alam, Hanumant Singh, Mohammad Amin Bhat, Dinesh, Sushma R Ingle and KS Grewal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2021.v10.i3j.5869>

Abstract

Field experiments were carried out on sandy soils of Karnal, Bawal and Balsamand of Haryana for (2018 to 2019) to study the effect of potassium application (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) on maize fodder (African Tall). The results revealed that maize crop responded to potassium application significantly up to 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The potassium application significantly increased maize straw yield and fresh yield. The K content and K uptake by maize crop increased with potassium fertilizer application to the soil.

Keywords: Potassium, response, uptake, maize fodder, dry yield, fresh yield, loamy sand

Introduction

Increasing population demands more food with every day in the future. About 1000 million people in world are unable to get daily dietary intakes, and more than 400 million are facing malnutrition problems. Hunger and hunger induced diseases kill about 11 million children of less than 5 years of age annually. United States of America and China produce approximately 60% of the world maize crop, while 68% of land devoted to maize crop is located in the developing world, which contribute only 46% of total maize production, indicating the need for improving yield of maize crop in developing countries. Maize is 3rd largest food crop after wheat and rice. Maize is primary source of energy in the dairy industry as it is a good source of proteins, carbohydrates, Fe, vitamin B, and minerals. Maize green fodder is quite rich in proteins. It provides raw materials for flakes, starch, corn oil and custard. Potassium activates many enzymes and plays an important role in the maintenance of electrical potential gradients across cell membranes and the generation of turgor in plants. It regulated for photosynthesis, protein synthesis and starch synthesis (Mengel and Kirkby, 1996) ^[11].

Potassium (K) is a major and essential plant macronutrient and the most abundantly absorbed cation in higher plants (Sparks and Huang 1985) ^[19]. It plays a major role in activating »60 enzymes, regulating stomatal function, controlling water relations, especially under rainfed condition, influencing the water balance of the plant system, and underpinning agronomic productivity and sustainability (Mengel 1985; Sparks and Huang 1985) ^[11, 19]. Dissimilar nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), K does not form bond with carbon (C) or oxygen, so it never becomes a part of protein and other organic compounds. Although K is not a constituent of any plant structures or compounds, it is involved in nearly all processes needed to sustain the plant life. However, to increase crop production, more attention has been paid to N and P fertilizers, but not to K, by either inorganic fertilizer or organic manure. During green revolution and with the progressive intensification of agriculture, the soils are getting depleted in K reserve at a faster rate and available soil K levels have also dropped due to leaching, runoff, and erosion. As a consequence, K deficiency is becoming one of the major constraints in crop production. The K requirement of crops is met from the reserve K fraction (Srinivasarao *et al.* 2006) ^[20]. A negative K balance in soil under intensive cropping occurs because of low external input and large crop removal, leading to depletion of the Non-exch or reserve K (Srinivasarao *et al.* 2006) ^[20].

It has been estimated that in 1980, the available K status of 82% soils of Haryana was high and the remaining 18% were medium. But due to intensive cropping, continuous mining and limited/ no use of K-fertilizer, the available K status of Haryana soils has depleted.

About 21, 52 and 27% of Haryana soils have become low, medium and high in available K status, respectively (Anonymous 2011) [1].

Materials and Methods

To study the response of K in maize fodder crop, pot experiments were conducted from kharib season of 2018-19 at CCS HAU, (Haryana). The soil sample collected from Karnal, Bawal and Balsamand. The experiments were laid out in randomized block design with three replications. There were four treatment combinations viz., $N_{80}P_{30}K_0$, $N_{80}P_{30}K_{30}$, $N_{80}P_{30}K_{60}$ and $N_{80}P_{30}K_{90}$. The soils of the experimental fields were loamy sand in texture, alkaline in reaction. The soil pH, EC and soil texture were determined by standard methods Jackson (1973) [8]. Available N was estimated by alkaline permanganate oxidation method as outlined by Subbaiah and Asija (1956) [22]. Available P was determined by Olsen's method (Olsen *et al.* 1954) [12] using spectrophotometer (660 nm wave length). Available K was extracted with neutral

normal ammonium acetate and the content of K in the sample was estimated by flame photometer (Jackson 1973) [8]. The potassium treatments were applied before sowing as per treatments through muriate of potash (MOP). As per recommendations, a uniform basal dose of 40 kg N and 30 kg P_2O_5 through DAP and urea and 25 kg $ZnSO_4$ were applied at sowing while remaining 25 kg N through urea was top dressed at first irrigation. The maize fodder crop was harvested at maturity. Soil and straw samples were analyzed for available K in soil and K concentration and straw, respectively (Jackson 1973) [8]. Available K was extracted by neutral normal ammonium acetate and estimated by flame photometer and K concentration in straw was estimated after samples were digested in HNO_3-HClO_4 mixture (4:1). Results were statistically analyzed by methods of Panse and Sukhatme (1978) [13].

Results and Discussion

Table 1: Effect of potassium fertilization on dry matter and fresh yield and nutrient uptake by maize

Physiographic unit	K level (mg kg ⁻¹)	Yield (g pot ⁻¹)		Nutrient uptake (mg kg ⁻¹)			
		Dry matter	Fresh yield	N	P	K	Zn
P1	K ₀	36.39	210.11	499.36	101.60	484.34	3.77
	K ₃₀	36.25	211.51	503.26	102.83	487.51	3.81
	K ₆₀	36.92	215.01	512.18	103.73	489.21	3.83
	K ₉₀	37.20	215.14	513.74	105.03	491.72	3.84
	CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
P2	K ₀	29.14	168.22	331.40	35.67	283.042	2.13
	K ₃₀	31.56	181.92	352.91	38.77	323.171	2.18
	K ₆₀	33.59	196.32	365.34	44.90	364.846	2.28
	K ₉₀	34.27	199.52	377.85	44.03	380.945	2.30
	CD (0.05)	1.61	8.41	16.69	4.55	31.86	0.10
P3	K ₀	28.12	162.21	306.43	31.13	271.25	2.08
	K ₃₀	30.45	177.21	309.69	35.20	294.80	2.10
	K ₆₀	33.15	192.71	324.55	40.03	341.65	2.20
	K ₉₀	33.78	196.71	331.70	40.13	349.06	2.21
	CD (0.05)	1.10	8.56	12.72	4.22	29.33	0.09

P1-Typic Natrustalfs, P2-Typic Ustorthents, P3-Typic Ustipsammments

Response of potassium to maize crop

The potassium releasing power of different soils was assessed in a screen house experiment by growing maize. Soils collected from different physiographic units were used for this purpose. The experiment was conducted in 5 kg capacity plastic pots. Different levels of potassium (0, 30, 60 and 90 mg kg⁻¹) were applied before sowing the maize crop.

Effect of potassium application dry matter and fresh yield

The data (Table 1) indicated that the maize fodder crop in control plots varied from 28.12 to 36.39 yield (g pot⁻¹) and 162.21 to 210.11 yield (g pot⁻¹) respectively, at three different locations of soil sample during one season crop study due to variation in general fertility of the soil. The dry matter yield of maize increased with increase in application of potassium (Table 1). Dry matter and fresh yield from 33.78 to 37.20 yield (g pot⁻¹) respectively, and 196.71 to 210.11 yield (g pot⁻¹) respectively, with application of different rate of potassium application. The highest dry matter yield was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs (37.2 g pot⁻¹) and lowest in Typic Ustipsammments (33.7 g pot⁻¹). The K₉₀ mg kg⁻¹ level recorded significantly higher dry matter yield over control (K₀ mg kg⁻¹) and K₃₀ mg kg⁻¹ levels in all physiographic units but was at par with K₆₀ mg kg⁻¹ level except in Typic Natrustalfs. The increased yield with K fertilization might be due to

increased availability, absorption and translocation of K nutrient by and into the maize fodder crop, increased enzyme activity, photosynthesis, transport of sugars, protein and starch synthesis and ultimately increased crop yield. Rekhi *et al.* (2000) [16], Singh *et al.* (2002) [16-18, 25, 26], Yadav and Yadav (2004) [24-26], Yadav *et al.* (2012) [24-26] and Yadav *et al.* (2013) [24-26] also observed the significant effect of K application in sesame, mustard, groundnut, pearl millet and wheat.

The effect of K application on NKP and Zn uptake by maize

The significant increase in maize fodder crop K uptake was observed with the increase in the levels of applied K (Table 1). The NPK and Zn uptake increased significantly in all the physiographic units with the exception of Typic Natrustalfs. The highest nitrogen uptake was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs (513.7 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in Typic Ustipsammments (331.7 mg kg⁻¹). Similarly, the highest potassium uptake was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs (491.7 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in Typic Ustipsammments (349.0 mg kg⁻¹). Likewise, the highest phosphorous uptake was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs (105.0 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in Typic Ustipsammments (40.1 mg kg⁻¹). In the same way, the highest zinc uptake was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs (3.8 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in Typic

Ustipsamments (2.2 mg kg^{-1}). The $K_{90} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ level recorded significantly higher nutrient uptake over control ($K_0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and $K_{30} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ levels in all physiographic units but was at par with $K_{60} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ level except in Typic Natrustalfs. The increased K uptake by crop might be due to increased dry matter yield and increased K uptake in maize fodder crop due to K fertilization. Similar results were also observed in groundnut and pearl millet by Hadvani and Gundalia (2003)^[7] and Yadav *et al.* (2012)^[24-26]. Secondly, because NO_3^- is taken up by plant roots through an active process (Streeter and Barta, 1984; Fageria, 2001)^[6, 21], therefore, NO_3^- uptake may be affected through the influence of K on the translocation of photosynthetic assimilates, needed to support this active uptake process (Ashley and Goodson, 1972; Fageria, 2001)^[2-6]. Zhang *et al.* (2010)^[10, 27] also reported increase in nitrogen uptake with increase in levels of potassium. The increased uptake of P with increased levels of K may be due to synergistic effect of P and K mediated by nitrogen. Li *et al.* (2007)^[10, 27] concluded that K application increased P percentage in maize stalk most likely due to more uptake of N that enhanced the increased uptake of P. Bukhsh *et al.* (2009)^[3] also reported that K application promoted P uptake by plants and consequently improved P concentration in stalk. Similar results were ascertained by Jat *et al.* (2014) and Ravindra *et al.* (2014)^[9, 15] who reported increased uptake of N, P and K by wheat and maize crop due to K application, respectively. Cherney and Cherney (2005)^[5] also reported higher uptake of K by sorghum and different grasses with increasing application of potassium. The data reported above clearly bring out the synergistic effect of K application on the N, P and Zn content and N uptake by maize. Watson (1963)^[23] attributed such a higher nutritional uptake mainly by greater expansion of root system caused by increased supply of photosynthetic productions. The higher uptake of K by maize may be ascribed to the contact between K ions and roots which increases with increase of K availability in the soil. Moreover, in pots the plants have to take up nutrients from confined mass of soil. Singh *et al.* (2014)^[16-18, 25, 26] also reported significant effect of K fertilizer on potassium uptake by crop. Patil *et al.* (2017)^[14] reported that significantly higher total N, P, K uptake by maize crop was recorded when 125% of K_2O was applied. The increase in uptake of zinc with increasing levels of K may be due to the favourable effect of K on photosynthesis and metabolic processes thereby augmenting the production of photosynthates and their translocation to different plant parts which eventually increased the Zn concentration in the stalks. Chaudhary *et al.* (2017)^[4] evinced that the application of potassium increased the uptake of zinc which was possibly due to enhanced growth and development of plants because of higher photosynthetic activity

Conclusion

The dry matter yield of maize increased with increase in application of potassium. The dry matter yield increased significantly in all the physiographic units having medium amounts of ammonium acetate extractable K with the exception of Typic Natrustalfs where the levels of K were adequate. The $K_{90} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ level recorded significantly higher dry matter yield over control ($K_0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and $K_{30} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ levels in all physiographic units. There was variation in dry matter and green fodder yield with respect to physiographic units which may be due to the wide variation of soil K pools and K-supply potential of these soils. The highest nutrient

uptake was recorded in Typic Natrustalfs and lowest in Typic Ustipsamments. The $K_{90} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ level recorded significantly higher nutrient uptake over control ($K_0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and $K_{30} \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ levels in all physiographic units.

References

1. Anonymous Soil Maps, Department of Soil Science, CCS HAU, Hisar. Haryana Website 2011. soils@hau.ernet.in.
2. Ashley DA, Goodson RD. Effects of time and plant K status on c-labeled photosynthate movement in cotton. *Crop Science* 1972;12:686-690.
3. Bukhsh MAAHA, Ahmad R, Ishaque M, Malik AU. Response of maize hybrids to varying potassium application in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science* 2009;46(3):179-184.
4. Chaudhary DG, Chaudhary SR, Chaudhary MM, Mor VB. Interaction effect of potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient uptake of forage maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown on loamy sand soil. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2017;5(4):1737-1739.
5. Cherney JH, Cherney JR. Agronomic response of cool-season grasses to low intensity harvest management and low potassium fertility. *Journal of Agronomy* 2005;97:1216-1221.
6. Fageria VD. Nutrient interactions in crop plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 2001;8(24):1269-1290.
7. Hadvani GJ, Gundalia JD. Direct effect of potassium on summer groundnut and its residual effect on pearl millet grown on medium black calcareous soils (Typic Ustochrepts). *Journal of Potassium Research* 2003;19:93-98.
8. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 1973, P98.
9. Jat G, Majumdar SP, Jat NK, Mazumdar SP. Effect of potassium and zinc fertilizer on crop yield, nutrient uptake and distribution of potassium and zinc fractions in Typic Ustipsamment. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2014;84(7):832-838.
10. Li BY, Zhou DM, Cang L, Zhang HL, Fan XH, Qin SW. Soil micronutrient availability to crops as affected by long term inorganic and organic fertilizer applications. *Soil and Tillage Research* 2007;96(1-2):166-173.
11. Mengel K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant nutrition" book published by Panima Publishing Corporation, New Delhi/Bangalore 1996, P427-446.
12. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available P in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. United States Department of Agriculture Circular 1954, P939.
13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agriculture Workers. ICAR Publication. New Delhi 1978.
14. Patil S, Basavaraja PK, Parama VRR, Chikkaramappa T, Sheshadri T. Effect of different sources and levels of K on maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield, nutrient content and uptake by maize crop in low K soils of eastern dry zone of Karnataka, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 2017;6(8):577-587.
15. Ravindra VM, Math KK, Ramya SH, Prashanth KM. Effect of application of spent wash as a source of potassium on the uptake of NPK and S by maize. *Environment & Ecology* 2014;32(4B):1784-1787.
16. Rekhi RS, Benbi DK, Singh B. Effect of fertilizer and organic manures on crop yield and soil properties in rice-

- wheat cropping system. In Long term Soil Fertility Experiments in Rice-wheat Cropping System (I.P. Abrol, K.F. Brougan, J.M. Duxbury and P.K. Gupta, Eds.). Rice-wheat consortium paper series. N. Delhi 2000;6;1-6.
17. Singh K, Bansal SK, Moinuddin. Effect of potassium fertilization on buildup/depletion of NPK in a long term (fodder) sorghum-wheat cropping system in Inceptisol. *Journal of Potassium Research* 2002;18:16-22.
 18. Singh YP, Raghubanshi BPS, Tomar RS, Verma SK, Dubey SK. Soil fertility status and correlation of available macro and micronutrients in Chambal region of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2014;62(4):369-375.
 19. Sparks DL, Huang PM. Physical chemistry of soil potassium. In: Potassium in agriculture, ed. R. D. Munson, Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy 1985, P201-276.
 20. Srinivasarao C, Rupa TR, Subba Rao A, Ramesh G, Bansal SK. Release kinetics of non-exchangeable potassium by different extractants from soils of varying mineralogy and depth. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2006;37:473-491.
 21. Streeter JG, Barta AL. Nitrogen and minerals. In physiological basis of crop growth and development. American Society of Agronomy 1984, P175-200.
 22. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Current Science* 1956;25:258-260.
 23. Watson DJ. In the Growth of Potato. Eds. Ivins, J. D. and Mithorpe, F. L., Butter Worths, London 1963, P233-247.
 24. Yadav RL, Yadav BL. Effect of soil compaction and potassium fertilization and yield and water expense efficiency of pearl millet in loamy soil. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2004;52:192-193.
 25. Yadav SS, Tikkoo A, Singh JP. Potassium response in Indian mustard in coarse textured soils of southern Haryana. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2013;61(2):107-111.
 26. Yadav SS, Tikkoo Abha, Singh JP. Effect of potassium on pearl millet-wheat cropping system in coarse textured soils of southern Haryana. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2012;60:145-49.
 27. Zhang F, Niu JF, Zhang WF, Chen XP, Li CJ, Yuan LX, Xie JC. Potassium nutrition of crops under varied regimes of nitrogen supply. *Plant and Soil* 2010;335(1-2):21-34.