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# Characterization of rice traditional varieties (Oryza sativa L.) based on DUS descriptors 
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#### Abstract

One hundred and eighty seven traditional varieties/landraces of rice (Oryza sativa L., ) were characterized following the 62 DUS descriptors. The data was recorded on 44 qualitative and 18 quantitative characters. The rice landraces under study recorded a wide range of variability for most of the morphological traits studied. Out of 62 characters studied, five characteristics were found monomorphic viz., leaf ligule, shape of ligule, leaf collar, male sterility and Endosperm: Presence of amylose, remaining characters registered variations among the landraces. Spikelet: Colour of tip of lemma, Panicle: Colour of awns (late observation) and decorticated grain: Shape recorded six states of expression. The descriptors registered maximum variation were decorticated grain: Colour ${ }^{[7]}$ and Lemma and Palea: Colour ${ }^{[9]}$. Wide variation among the DUS characters indicated wide genetic variation among the landraces under the study, which may be utilized for crop improvement programmes.
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## Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important staple food crop grown in India, it is grown in an area of 43.79 million ha $^{-1}$ with a total production of 112.91 million tones and an average productivity of $2578 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (Anonymous, 2018) ${ }^{[1,2]}$. Rice has the largest germplasm collections in the world, landraces are traditionally cultivated, evolved over generations with proven special features over wild relatives and serve as a treasure of useful genes and they played a very important role in the local food security and sustainable development of agriculture, in addition to their significance as genetic resource for rice genetic improvement (Tang et al., 2002) ${ }^{[19]}$. Characterization of such germplasm is important for utilizing the appropriate attribute based donors and also essential in the present era for protecting the uniqueness of rice (L.V Subba Rao et al., 2015) ${ }^{[9,17,20]}$. Characterization should eventually lead to a system of recording and storing useful data that can be readily retrieved and made available to others and help in planning breeding programmes (Tommasini. Let al., 2003) ${ }^{[8]}$. The Government of India has enacted its Sui generis system, Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Right Act (PPV \& FRA), $2001{ }^{[2]}$ for providing protection to plant varieties based on Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability (DUS) tests. DUS testing principles are used for the protection of plant varieties and award of plant breeders and farmers rights, a system of intellectual property protection which is available for all types of crop breeders. The concept of DUS is fundamental to the characterization of a variety as unique and provides an official description of a variety for its identity as it is globally accepted for varietal identification.
The large collection of land races/genetic resources need to be evaluated for their wealth of useful traits which can form as a great source of raw material to the breeders to conduct the varietal improvement programmes. It is thus necessary to characterize varieties especially farmers varieties/traditional varieties/landraces on the basis of DUS criteria and to register them with PPV\&FR Authority on behalf of the farmers, otherwise valuable germplasm which was being conserved by the farmers will remain unprotected and anybody can utilize for monetary gains. Thus the present study was undertaken with the objective of characterizing 187 traditional varieties of rice following DUS guidelines.

## Material and Methods

The experimental material consisted of 187 traditional varieties of rice collected from various places were sown separately in raised bed nursery, which were evaluated for DUS

Characteristics during Kharif 2015 at IIRR Farm, ICRISAT campus, Patancheru, Hyderabad, India. Experimental farm is situated at $17.53^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $78.27^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ longitude and altitude of 545 m above mean sea level. Thirty days old seedlings of each landrace were transplanted in a plot comprising 11 rows of 6 m length at spacing of 30 cm between rows and 20 cm between plants in Randomized Block Design replicated thrice. Recommended agronomic and plant protection measures for
raising a healthy nursery and main crop were taken up during the experiment. Observations were recorded on five randomly chosen plants of each genotype for all the traits under study, at different stages of growth with appropriate procedures as per the "Guidelines for the Conduct of Test for Distinctness Uniformity Stability (DUS) on Rice" (PPV \& FRA, 2007) ${ }^{[2]}$ (Anonymous, 2007) ${ }^{[1,2]}$. List of landraces used in present investigation is tabulated in Table.1.

Table 1: List of traditional varieties/landraces of rice used for DUS characterization

| S. No. | Landrace name | Passport data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NUAPADA-SINAPALI-MAHIPAL | Orissa |
| 2 | KALAMDANI | Jharkhand |
| 3 | CHARKA DHAN | Jharkhand |
| 4 | PANI DHAN | Jharkhand |
| 5 | RAJESH | Bihar |
| 6 | GANDHA DHAN | Jharkhand |
| 7 | NANHIYA | Jharkhand |
| 8 | DUBRAJ | Jharkhand |
| 9 | BHETLU | Jharkhand |
| 10 | JAGARNATH | Jharkhand |
| 11 | RANI KAJAR | Jharkhand |
| 12 | KHIJUR JHOPA | Jharkhand |
| 13 | CHINA BHALI | Jharkhand |
| 14 | BANDGODA | Jharkhand |
| 15 | BIRAHI | West Bengal |
| 16 | Langal Mura | West Bengal |
| 17 | BADAN SARU | West Bengal |
| 18 | DHARANSAL | West Bengal |
| 19 | JAMAYNADU | West Bengal |
| 20 | KHAJURCHARI | West Bengal |
| 21 | MARICH SAL | West Bengal |
| 22 | SINDURMUKHI | West Bengal |
| 23 | SUAKALMA | West Bengal |
| 24 | FULKHAR | West Bengal |
| 25 | AMAR | Bihar |
| 26 | SITASAL | West Bengal |
| 27 | VUTMURI | West Bengal |
| 28 | MUGEM(BARO) | West Bengal |
| 29 | NONA BOGRA | West Bengal |
| 30 | LIKE-KAKUA | West Bengal |
| 31 | Lemont | IRRI |
| 32 | Him-Chhortu | Himachal Pradesh |
| 33 | BASKAMINI | West Bengal |
| 34 | BOMBAI MUGI | West Bengal |
| 35 | CHOTODIDI | West Bengal |
| 36 | PATMAI-23 | West Bengal |
| 37 | KASIPHUL | West Bengal |
| 38 | Surjeet Basmati | Haryana |
| 39 | ChittiMutyalu | Telangana |
| 40 | DUDHERSWAR | West Bengal |
| 41 | KANKCHUR | West Bengal |
| 42 | CHINA KAMINI | West Bengal |
| 43 | DUDH KALMA | West Bengal |
| 44 | Jeeraka Samba | Tamil Nadu |
| 45 | ASAN LAYA | West Bengal |
| 46 | GOKUL SAL | West Bengal |
| 47 | GOWARDHAN KALIKAMOD | Chhattisgarh |
| 48 | Radhatilak | West Bengal |
| 49 | JHILIK | West Bengal |
| 50 | CHARKA DHUSRI | Jharkhand |
| 51 | Neta | Jharkhand |
| 52 | ASISH | West Bengal |
| 53 | AYAN | West Bengal |
| 54 | NIRJHARA | West Bengal |
| 55 | ARKA | West Bengal |
| 56 | Karad | Himachal Pradesh |


| 57 | NABANNA | West Bengal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | KABI RAJ | West Bengal |
| 59 | KALAMKHARI-2 | West Bengal |
| 60 | BADSWARNA-II | West Bengal |
| 61 | BADSHA-B | West Bengal |
| 62 | KANDAGIRI-I | West Bengal |
| 63 | Sagara Mutyalu | Andhra Pradesh |
| 64 | Manipur Black Rice | Manipur |
| 65 | MOTI-1 | West Bengal |
| 66 | MEDI-WB | West Bengal |
| 67 | Annapurna | Uttar Pradesh |
| 68 | Dular | Chhattisgarh |
| 69 | JAJADHI | West Bengal |
| 70 | GANGAJALI | West Bengal |
| 71 | BADSHABHOG | West Bengal |
| 72 | KAKSAL | West Bengal |
| 73 | Kuruka (Kuruna) | Kerala |
| 74 | Azucena | IRRI |
| 75 | Atharav | Uttar Pradesh |
| 76 | Nipponbare | IRRI |
| 77 | Ganjarangwala | Central India |
| 78 | Darbariroodbar | Central India |
| 79 | NERICA-L-45 | IRRI |
| 80 | JAL-DHEEPA | West Bengal |
| 81 | KALO NUNIA | West Bengal |
| 82 | KARTIK SAL | West Bengal |
| 83 | GOBINDABHOG-B2 | West Bengal |
| 84 | KATARIBHOG | West Bengal |
| 85 | KALO JIRA-81 | West Bengal |
| 86 | KALAMKATI | West Bengal |
| 87 | AGNIBAN-B1 | West Bengal |
| 88 | LANGAL MUTHI | West Bengal |
| 89 | MUKTA | West Bengal |
| 90 | NETA | West Bengal |
| 91 | MALABATI-RAN | West Bengal |
| 92 | Nun-Bovel | Jammu \& Kashmir |
| 93 | CHANDRAKATI-B1 | West Bengal |
| 94 | PANATI | West Bengal |
| 95 | KELESH-1981 | West Bengal |
| 96 | KALODHOPA | West Bengal |
| 97 | TAL MUGUR DHAN-1 | West Bengal |
| 98 | BARANI | West Bengal |
| 99 | LAL BADSHABHOG-RAN | West Bengal |
| 100 | NIKUNJA | West Bengal |
| 101 | NARKEL JHOPA | West Bengal |
| 102 | Tolen | Manipur |
| 103 | RUPSAL | West Bengal |
| 104 | BHAGWANTPHOOLPUR | Uttar Pradesh |
| 105 | KALA BHAT | West Bengal |
| 106 | BLACK BURMA | Andaman \& Nicobar |
| 107 | KHUDHBAYYA | Andaman \& Nicobar |
| 108 | Mahadi | Maharashtra |
| 109 | WHITE BURMA | Andaman\& Nicobar |
| 110 | MUSHLEY | Andaman \& Nicobar |
| 111 | NYAW-IN | Andaman \& Nicobar |
| 112 | RED BURMA | Andaman \& Nicobar |
| 113 | SITA SHAWL/SETA SHAL | West Bengal |
| 114 | NONA ASKUL | West Bengal |
| 115 | Bhramarmali | West Bengal |
| 116 | GITANJALI | West Bengal |
| 117 | CHAMOR MONI/CHAMAN MANI | West Bengal |
| 118 | BARSHA | West Bengal |
| 119 | BHURA SILATE/BHURE SILATE | West Bengal |
| 120 | PAN BOAT | West Bengal |
| 121 | PAKHI | West Bengal |
| 122 | Kamad | Jammu \& Kashmir |
| 123 | JHULUR | West Bengal |
| 124 | MORISHAL/MARIS SWAL | West Bengal |


| 125 | OLKOCHURI | West Bengal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 | Kalahitta |  |
| 127 | MOTOR MALA | West Bengal |
| 128 | MORISALI | West Bengal |
| 129 | KERALA SUNDARI | West Bengal |
| 130 | ECO | West Bengal |
| 131 | BYAMA JHUPI | West Bengal |
| 132 | PAL BARI | West Bengal |
| 133 | Gelei Dhan | Orissa |
| 134 | AHIRMAN/AHIRBAN | West Bengal |
| 135 | Nagrasal | West Bengal |
| 136 | Mushk Budgi | Jammu \& Kashmir |
| 137 | DULPI | West Bengal |
| 138 | MUCHISHAL | West Bengal |
| 139 | GOVARDHAN VISHNU BHOG | Chhattisgarh |
| 140 | KANTA RANGI | West Bengal |
| 141 | DADSHAL | West Bengal |
| 142 | CHINI KAMINI | West Bengal |
| 143 | N22 | Uttar Pradesh |
| 144 | CHENGA RANGI/CHENGA RANI | West Bengal |
| 145 | ASH PHOL | West Bengal |
| 146 | PATHARKUCHI | West Bengal |
| 147 | HOGLA | West Bengal |
| 148 | SADA MOTA | West Bengal |
| 149 | China Goda | Jharkhand |
| 150 | GHEUSH/HEUSH | West Bengal |
| 151 | RANI AKANDA | West Bengal |
| 152 | Sadhu Bhog | Jharkhand |
| 153 | MOULEY/MOULE | West Bengal |
| 154 | KARPURKANTI | West Bengal |
| 155 | NANGAL HANRA/LANGULE HARA | West Bengal |
| 156 | HAMAI | West Bengal |
| 157 | BHASA KALMA | West Bengal |
| 158 | Ajay | Uttar Pradesh |
| 159 | KATRAI BHOG/KATARI BHOG | West Bengal |
| 160 | MARCHAL | West Bengal |
| 161 | GOVARDHAN BADSHAH BHOG | Chhattisgarh |
| 162 | NICO-SPECIAL | West Bengal |
| 163 | ARGIR BAN | West Bengal |
| 164 | GAVERE SARU | West Bengal |
| 165 | Heitupphou | Manipur |
| 166 | LILABATI | West Bengal |
| 167 | Kakirekkalu | Andhra Pradesh |
| 168 | URE BANYA(URE BANYA) | West Bengal |
| 169 | RAM SHAL | West Bengal |
| 170 | KALO PATNAI | West Bengal |
| 171 | Ambemohar | Maharashtra |
| 172 | SADA PATNAI | West Bengal |
| 173 | DURGA | West Bengal |
| 174 | RAJ BHOJH/RAJ BHOJ | West Bengal |
| 175 | JHULI | Orissa |
| 176 | KANAKCHUR | West Bengal |
| 177 | Red Rice (Zag) | Jammu \& Kashmir |
| 178 | MUGEI | Orissa |
| 179 | MAHAMAIYA | Chhattisgarh |
| 180 | DANARGURI | West Bengal |
| 181 | Him-Begmi | Himachal Pradesh |
| 182 | CHAMPAISALI | Orissa |
| 183 | GHEEAS/GHEEYAS | West Bengal |
| 184 | MIRKIMALA | West Bengal |
| 185 | TEWA (CHOTA) | Jharkhand |
| 186 | VALKI | West Bengal |
| 187 | Neta Shawl/ Neta Shal | West Bengal |

## Results and Discussion

In the present study, 187 traditional varieties were characterized by using 62 DUS descriptors. Among the investigated 62 characters, 44 characters were qualitative.

Qualitative characters are important in respect to the characterization/identification of landraces of rice, because they are less influenced by environmental changes (L.V. Subba Rao et al., 2013 and Kalyan et al., 2017) ${ }^{[9,17,20] .}$ The
rice traditional varieties/landraces under study showed a wide range of variability for all the morphological traits studied. Frequency distribution for all the characters under study were computed (Table 2).
Based on leaf characteristics majority of traditional varieties were found to possess green basal leaf sheath colour [78.61\%], Medium intensity of green colour (65\%), absence of leaf anthocyanin \& leaf sheath anthocyanin colouration ( $89 \%$ \& $88 \%$ respectively) and medium leaf senescence (49\%). Pubescence of leaf surface exhibited higher variability where $47 \%$ varieties showed weak pubescence, $37 \%$ medium, $7 \%$ strong and $2 \%$ varieties showed very strong pubescence.

The high diversity for the leaf pubescence can be of great help in developing the varieties possessing tolerance to sucking pests (L.V Subba Rao et al., 2015) ${ }^{[9,17,20]}$. For the character leaf auricle, leaf collar, Anthocyanin colouration of collar and leaf ligule two alternative forms of characters were observed. All the landraces recorded for its presence with the split shape of leaf ligule, Rawte et al., (2017) ${ }^{[14,18]}$ in their study had also reported $95 \%$ of landraces with split shape of ligule. Maximum number of landraces recorded short length of leaf blade ( $60 \%$ ), narrow width of blade ( $57 \%$ ) and semi-erect flag leaf attitude in both early and late observations. $95 \%$ of the total varieties exhibited colourless coleoptiles.

Table 2: Frequency distribution of traditional varieties/landraces of rice for 62 DUS characters

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Characteristics | States | No | No. of landraces | Unique landraces | $\begin{gathered} \text { Frequency } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (+) | Coleoptile: Colour | Colourless | 1 | 177 |  | 94.65 |
|  |  | Green | 2 | 8 | 51, 68, 98, 118, 157, 178, 179, 187 | 4.28 |
|  |  | Purple | 3 | 2 | 137, 143 | 1.07 |
| $2(*)$ | Basal leaf: Sheath colour | Green | 1 | 147 |  | 78.61 |
|  |  | Light purple | 2 | 18 | 19, 25, 34, 45, 47, 80, 83, 85, 88, 90, 114, 125, 144, 155, 159, 164, 168, 170 | 9.63 |
|  |  | Purple lines | 3 | 7 | 11, 68, 143, 153, 157, 178, 179 | 3.74 |
|  |  | Uniform purple |  | 15 | 6, 16, 51, 64, 91, 98, 106, 115, 126, 137, 145, 169, 181, 184, 187 | 8.02 |
| 3 | Leaf: Intensity of green colour | Light | 3 | 16 | $15,21,31,42,56,59,70,76,78,100,103,111,116,143,151,154$ | 8.56 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 121 |  | 64.71 |
|  |  | Dark | 7 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 1,6,11,12,18,20,22,24,35,38,47,52,55,57,61,62,64,65,71,73,80, \\ 81,85,88,89,91,98,101,102,110,112,114,115,119,125,134,142, \\ 144,145,153,165,169,170,172,177,178,179,182,184,185 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 26.74 |
| 4 | Leaf: <br> Anthocyanin colouration | Absent | 1 | 167 |  | 89.30 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,16,20,25,47,64,85,96,106,114,115,125,126,137,144,145,159, \\ 170,181,184 \end{gathered}$ | 10.70 |
| 5 | Leaf: <br> Distribution of anthocyanin colouration | On tips only | 1 | 7 | 16, 20, 85, 114, 137, 144, 145 | 3.74 |
|  |  | On margins only |  | 13 | $6,25,47,64,96,106,115,125,126,159,170,181,184$ | 6.95 |
|  |  | In blotches only | 3 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Uniform | 4 | * |  | * |
| 6 (+) | Leaf sheath: Anthocyanin colouration | Absent | 1 | 165 |  | 88.24 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} 6,16,19,25,47,64,68,106,114,115,125,126,137,143,144,145,155, \\ 159,169,178,181,184 \end{gathered}$ | 11.76 |
| 7 | Leaf sheath: intensity of anthocyanin colouration | Very weak | 1 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Weak | , | 5 | 19, 47, 114, 145, 155 | 2.67 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 11 | 16, 25, 64, 115, 125, 144, 159, 169, 178, 181, 184 | 5.88 |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | 5 | 6, 68, 126, 137, 143 | 2.67 |
|  |  | Very strong | 9 | 1 | 106 | 0.53 |
| $8(*)$ | Leaf: <br> Pubescence of blade surface | Absent | 1 | 14 | $3,38,51,56,57,65,68,71,89,119,128,136,171,177$ | 7.49 |
|  |  | Weak | 3 | 87 |  | 46.52 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 69 |  | 36.90 |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | 14 | $29,43,64,76,79,93,102,114,129,156,157,180,183,184$ | 7.49 |
|  |  | Very strong | 9 | 3 | 73, 91, 97 | 1.60 |
| $9{ }^{(*)(+)}$ | Leaf: Auricles | Absent | 1 | 1 | 108 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Present |  | 186 |  | 99.47 |
| $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (*)(+) \end{gathered}$ | Leaf: <br> Anthocyanin colouration of auricles | Colourless | 1 | 159 |  | 85.03 |
|  |  | Light purple | 2 | 14 | 6, 11, 19, 47, 81, 96, 98, 115, 125, 126, 169, 170, 179, 184 | 7.49 |
|  |  | Purple | 3 | 14 | $25,34,64,106,114,127,137,144,145,157,159,160,164,168$ | 7.49 |
| $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (+) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Leaf: Collar | Absent | 1 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Present | , | 187 |  | 100.00 |
| 12 | Leaf: <br> Anthocyanin colouration of collar | Absent | 1 | 162 |  | 86.63 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 6,11,25,34,47,64,81,96,98,99,106,115,125,126,127,137,140,144, \\ 145,157,160,168,169,179,184 \end{gathered}$ | 13.37 |
| 13 (+) | Leaf: Ligule | Absent | 1 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Present |  | 187 |  | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (*)(+) \end{gathered}$ | Leaf: Shape of ligule | Truncate | 1 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Acute | 2 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Split | 3 | 187 |  | 100.00 |
| 15 (*) | Leaf: Colour of ligule | White |  | 160 |  | 85.56 |
|  |  | Light purple | 2 | 21 | 6, 11, 25, 47, 81, 96, 98, 114, 115, 125, 126, 137, 140, 145, 157, 160, 164, | 11.23 |



| (+) | Anthocyanin colouration of apex | Weak | 3 | 3 | 114, 149, 157 | 1.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 6 | 34, 51, 80, 90, 143, 177 | 3.21 |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 16,19,20,22,25,37,45,71,83,91,96,98,125,137,144,153,159,160, \\ 164,168,169,170,181,186,187 \end{gathered}$ | 13.37 |
|  |  | Very strong | 9 | 10 | 6, 47, 56, 64, 73, 81, 85, 106, 108, 115 | 5.35 |
| $\begin{gathered} 27(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Spikelet: Colour of stigma | White | 1 | 148 |  | 79.14 |
|  |  | Light green | 2 | 1 | 96 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Yellow | 3 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Light purple | 4 | 15 | $34,45,51,56,64,80,90,106,125,144,153,157,160,169,187$ | 8.02 |
|  |  | Purple | 5 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 11,16,19,22,25,68,73,81,91,108,114,115,121,126,145,155,159 \\ 164,168,170,177,181,184 \end{gathered}$ | 12.30 |
| 28 | Stem: Thickness | Thin (<0.40cm) | 3 | 5 | 19, 29, 122, 136, 143 | 2.67 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (0.40-0.55 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 105 |  | 56.15 |
|  |  | Thick ( $>0.55 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) | 7 | 77 | $4,6,8,9,18,21,22,23,24,28,32,34,38,40,41,42,52,53,55,56,57$, $58,59,64,66,67,69,70,75,86,92,98,101,102,103,105,106,107,108$, $110,114,117,118,121,124,127,128,129,131,133,137,138,141,142$, $151,154,154,155,156,158,159,161,163,164,165,169,171,173,175$, $176,178,179,181,184,185,187$ | 41.18 |
| 29 (*) | Stem: Length (excluding panicle; excluding floating rice) | Very short ( $<91 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) | 1 | 174 |  | 93.05 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Short } \\ (91-110 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 5 | 39, 108, 122, 126, 136 | 2.67 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ 111-130 \mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 7 | $32,44,63,64,68,165,167$ | 3.74 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Long } \\ (131-150 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 1 | 171 | 0.53 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very long } \\ & (>150 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | 9 | * |  | * |
| $30(*)$ | Stem: <br> Anthocyanin colouration of nodes | Absent | 1 | 162 |  | 86.63 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 25,137,170,45,51,56,68,96,125,126,153,157,159,164,184,16,34, \\ 64,91,98,108,144,163,168,187 \end{gathered}$ | 13.37 |
| 31 | Stem: Intensity of anthocyanin coloration of nodes | Weak | 3 | 3 | 25, 137, 170 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 12 | $45,51,56,68,96,125,126,153,157,159,164,184$ | 6.42 |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | 10 | $16,34,64,91,98,108,144,163,168,187$ | 5.35 |
| 32 | Stem: <br> Anthocyanin colouration of internodes | Absent | 1 | 171 |  | 91.44 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 16 | $16,25,34,45,51,64,81,82,91,98,125,144,157,163,184,187$ | 8.56 |
| $\begin{gathered} 33(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Panicle: Length of main axis | Very short ( $<16 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) | 1 | 4 | 2, 45, 75, 109 | 2.14 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Short (16-20 } \\ \mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 126 |  | 67.38 |
|  |  | Medium (21-25 <br> cm) | 5 | 52 | $\begin{gathered} 4,11,15,24,32,37,43,44,46,56,62,63,64,68,71,73,74,76,78,79 \\ 82,84,87,90,98,99,102,108,111,119,120,122,124,126,128,131 \\ 136,140,142,143,150,154,156,165,167,169,171,176,181,183,184 \\ 185 \end{gathered}$ | 27.81 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Long } \\ (26-30 \mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 4 | 31, 38, 39, 186 | 2.14 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very long }(>30 \\ \mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 1 | 70 | 0.53 |
| $\begin{gathered} 34(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Flag leaf: Attitude of blade (late observation) | Erect | 1 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 7,10,11,15,27,29,41,50,51,58,61,73,76,80,89,94,103,104,133, \\ 155,156,157,160 \end{gathered}$ | 12.30 |
|  |  | Semi-erect | 3 | 119 |  | 63.64 |
|  |  | Horizontal | 5 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 1,6,17,24,26,28,48,67,68,71,75,78,81,82,84,85,86,98,106,107, \\ 122,124,128,130,132,136,139,140,148,149,161,166,170,171,172, \\ 174,175,177,181,182 \end{gathered}$ | 21.39 |
|  |  | Deflexed | 7 | 5 | 21, 22, 32, 92, 165 | 2.67 |
| $\begin{gathered} 35(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Panicle: Curvature of main axis | Straight | 1 | 7 | 8, 14, 25, 68, 76, 108, 115 | 3.74 |
|  |  | Semi-straight | 3 | 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,6,7,9,10,13,16,20,27,29,30,31,32,34,35,40,45,46,49,50,54 \text {, } \\ & 56,57,62,64,65,66,70,72,73,75,78,82,83,88,89,90,92,94,95,97 \text {, } \\ & 109,110,119,126,129,132,133,137,142,145,152,153,158,168,182 \end{aligned}$ | 29.95 |
|  |  | Deflexed | 5 | 85 |  | 45.45 |
|  |  | Dropping | 7 | 39 | $5,21,22,38,39,44,51,58,63,67,71,74,77,79,84,91,102,120,122$, $123,124,125,128,131,135,136,139,143,148,154,161,165,166,167$, $171,177,178,184,187$ | 20.86 |
| 36 | Panicle: <br> Number per | Few (<11) | 3 | 33 | $19,25,42,45,54,59,67,68,74,75,77,78,79,83,86,104,112,114,116$, $118,119,126,127,133,138,149,163,164,166,168,170,185,187$ | 17.65 |


|  | plant | Medium (11-20) | 5 | 150 |  | 80.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Many (>20) | 7 | 4 | 7,10,22, 26 | 2.14 |
| 37 (*) | Spikelet: Colour of tip of lemma | White | 1 | 119 |  | 63.64 |
|  |  | Yellowish | 2 | 1 | 145 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Brown | 3 | 33 | $13,19,25,26,30,55,57,68,71,92,96,100,106,117,122,129,131,134$, $135,136,137,138,142,144,147,149,150,156,158,161,171,180,184$ | 17.65 |
|  |  | Red | 4 | 3 | 114, 146, 181 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Purple | 5 | 19 | $5,6,20,22,41,47,48,51,73,81,85,95,98,108,120,159,162,177,187$ | 10.16 |
|  |  | Black | 6 | 12 | $27,64,72,91,99,126,143,160,167,168,170,186$ | 6.42 |
| 38 (+) | Lemma and Palea: Colour | Straw | 1 | 113 |  | 60.43 |
|  |  | Gold and gold furrows on straw background | 2 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 12,38,50,51,56,58,67,75,123,129,131,133,135,139,143,152,158, \\ 161,171,178,182 \end{gathered}$ | 11.23 |
|  |  | Brown spots on straw | 3 | 14 | $11,21,119,122,124,134,138,140,142,149,156,159,168,180$ | 7.49 |
|  |  | Brown furrows on straw | 4 | 15 | $5,13,19,26,55,73,98,100,113,114,117,137,144,146,150$ | 8.02 |
|  |  | Brown (tawny) | 5 | 2 | 25, 187 | 1.07 |
|  |  | Reddish to light purple | 6 | 2 | 41, 183 | 1.07 |
|  |  | Purple spots / <br> furrows on straw | 7 | 3 | 106, 108, 115 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Purple | 8 | 7 | 6, 20, 47, 68, 81, 85, 95 | 3.74 |
|  |  | Black | 9 | 10 | $27,64,72,91,99,126,160,167,170,186$ | 5.35 |
| $\begin{gathered} 39(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Panicle: Awns | Absent | 1 | 165 |  | 88.24 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4,23,30,38,41,51,56,58,62,70,75,90,92,94,102,104,122,136,140, \\ 147,177,184 \end{gathered}$ | 11.76 |
| 40 (*) | Panicle: Colour of awns (late observation) | Yellowish White | 1 | 15 | 4,23,30,38,51,58,62,70,75,90,94,102,104,140,147 | 8.02 |
|  |  | Yellowish Brown | 2 | 1 | 41 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Brown | 3 | 3 | 9,21,22,136 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Reddish brown | 4 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Light red | 5 |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  | Red | 6 | 1 | 184 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Light purple | 7 | 2 | 56,177 | 1.07 |
|  |  | Purple | 8 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Black | 9 | * |  | * |
| 41 | Panicle: Length of longest awn | Very short | 1 | 4 | 122, 140, 147, 184 | 2.14 |
|  |  | Short | 3 | 9 | $4,23,30,38,62,75,92,102,104$ | 4.81 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 5 | 41, 56, 58, 90, 177 | 2.67 |
|  |  | Long | 7 | 3 | 51, 70, 136 | 1.60 |
|  |  | Very long | 9 | 1 | 94 | 0.53 |
| $42(*)$ | Panicle:Distribution ofawns | Tip only | 1 | 6 | 23, 58, 62, 122, 140, 147 | 3.21 |
|  |  | Upper half only | 3 | 8 | 4, 38, 41, 75, 92, 102, 104, 184 | 4.28 |
|  |  | Whole length | 5 | 8 | 30, 51, 56, 70, 90, 94, 136, 177 | 4.28 |
| 43 (+) | Panicle: <br> Presence of secondary branching | Absent | 1 | 1 | 27 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 186 |  | 99.47 |
| 44 (+) | Panicle: <br> Secondary branching | Weak | 1 | 60 | $8,10,13,15,16,20,25,27,28,29,30,31,34,41,42,43,45,49,50,54$, $62,66,69,70,72,74,75,76,78,85,87,88,90,92,94,95,97,103,109$, $114,115,116,118,121,124,132,133,136,146,147,151,152,162,164$, $166,168,173,177,178,182$ | 32.09 |
|  |  | Strong | 2 | 125 |  | 66.84 |
|  |  | Clustered | 3 | 2 | 108, 139 | 1.07 |
| $\begin{gathered} 45(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Panicle: Attitude of branches | Erect | 1 | 17 | $8,10,14,16,20,25,30,50,57,68,73,88,95,115,133,152,172$ | 9.09 |
|  |  | Erect to semiErect | 3 | 82 |  | 43.85 |
|  |  | Semi-erect | 5 | 43 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,7,12,13,19,29,32,35,45,46,53,54,60,62,64,66,69,70,72,77,90, \\ 92,96,97,109,110,122,126,127,130,132,136,138,143,145,168,177, \\ 178,180,182,183,184,186 \end{gathered}$ | 22.99 |
|  |  | Semi-erect to spreading | 7 | 34 | $4,15,21,22,26,38,39,40,43,44,71,74,83,85,89,91,102,106,107$, $114,139,144,146,149,151,155,157,161,162,163,166,169,171,181$ | 18.18 |
|  |  | Spreading | 9 | 11 | $33,48,84,108,124,125,128,147,150,154,176$ | 5.88 |
| $\begin{gathered} 46(*) \\ (++) \end{gathered}$ | Panicle: <br> Exertion | Partly exerted | 3 | 42 | $1,3,5,6,7,8,10,28,29,31,32,45,51,57,69,70,72,74,80,82,88,89$, $90,94,95,97,98,100,101,102,109,110,119,121,131,143,152,162$, $165,172,182,187$ | 22.46 |
|  |  | Mostly exerted | 5 | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,4,9,12,13,14,16,18,20,23,27,30,34,36,37,39,42,46,49,50,52 \text {, } \\ & 53,54,58,59,60,61,62,63,65,66,67,68,75,76,79,83,86,103,104 \end{aligned}$ | 35.83 |



|  |  | Extra long | 9 | * |  | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 55(*) \\ (++) \end{gathered}$ | Decorticated grain: Width | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Narrow } \\ & (<2.0 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 47 | $\begin{gathered} 4,7,8,10,17,26,27,31,38,40,44,47,48,55,63,64,68,70,71,74,75, \\ 76,78,79,82,83,85,90,101,102,103,104,108,113,117,123,126,139, \\ 143,146,151,158,161,167,178,180,181 \end{gathered}$ | 25.13 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium (2.0- } \\ 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 89 |  | 47.59 |
|  |  | Broad (>2.5) | 7 | 51 | $6,11,16,21,28,30,35,53,56,60,67,80,81,88,91,92,97,99,109,110$, $120,121,122,124,125,127,128,130,133,136,137,140,142,147,148$, $152,154,155,156,157,159,160,162,163,165,168,177,179,183,184$, 185 | 27.27 |
| $\begin{gathered} 56(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Decorticated grain: Shape (in lateral view) | Short slender | 1 | 13 | $26,31,40,44,63,75,90,108,113,117,126,151,181$ | 6.95 |
|  |  | Short bold | 2 | 87 |  | 46.52 |
|  |  | Medium slender | 3 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} 8,9,10,12,34,37,43,50,52,61,82,83,85,87,89,93,101,143,173, \\ 178,180 \end{gathered}$ | 11.76 |
|  |  | Long bold | 4 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 6,16,18,24,28,32,54,56,69,96,105,106,119,121,130,131,144,145, \\ 147,150,152,154,155,167,170,175,179,187 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 14.97 |
|  |  | Long slender Long slender* (For Basmati type) | 5 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 2,3,4,15,17,23,27,33,36,42,49,55,57,58,59,62,64,65,66,68,70 \\ 74,76,78,79,86,102,103,104,111,116,118,123,158,161,172 \end{gathered}$ | 19.25 |
|  |  | Extra long slender | 6 | 1 | 38 | 0.53 |
| 57 (*) | Decorticated grain: Colour | White | 1 | 72 |  | 38.50 |
|  |  | Light brown | 2 | 59 | $\begin{gathered} 1,6,8,9,20,21,22,25,27,31,33,35,37,38,39,40,42,43,49,50,55, \\ 56,57,61,63,65,71,75,78,79,81,84,85,92,106,107,113,123,135, \\ 138,142,145,146,148,149,152,158,161,165,170,171,172,175,176, \\ 178,179,184,186,187 \end{gathered}$ | 31.55 |
|  |  | Variegated brown | 3 | 16 | $69,91,95,109,117,119,125,127,140,150,156,157,159,160,164,167$ | 8.56 |
|  |  | Dark brown | 4 | 17 | $5,15,16,30,34,67,72,94,100,110,115,116,130,155,163,168,181$ | 9.09 |
|  |  | Light red | 5 | 13 | $4,29,32,73,74,93,96,108,122,126,132,133,177$ | 6.95 |
|  |  | Red | 6 | 7 | 26, 54, 60, 88, 112, 136, 182 | 3.74 |
|  |  | Variegated purple | 7 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Purple | 8 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Dark purple | 9 | 3 | 64, 105, 131 | 1.60 |
| 58. (+) | Endosperm: Presence of amylose | Absent | 1 | * |  | * |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 187 |  | 100.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 59(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Endosperm: Content of amylose | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Very low } \\ & (<10 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 3 | 64, 98, 105 | 1.60 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ (10-19 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4,31,38,55,56,63,68,74,76,92,104,106,108,124,128,129,137,138, \\ 141,142,144,146,147,151,153,159,167,181 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 14.97 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium (20- } \\ 25 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 126 |  | 67.38 |
|  |  | High $(26-30 \%)$ | 7 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} 1,7,10,14,15,24,25,30,45,46,51,52,58,59,67,69,73,87,93,94, \\ 119,121,123,133,171,178,179,182,184,186 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 16.04 |
|  |  | Very high $\text { ( }>30 \% \text { ) }$ | 9 | * |  | * |
| 60 (+) | Varieties with endosperm of amylose absent only. Polished grain: <br> Expression of white core | Absent or Very small | 1 | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 3,4,9,13,17,26,30,31,33,36,40,42,44,47,48,49,55,59,60,62,63, \\ 67,71,75,77,83,84,90,92,101,104,108,110,111,113,118,126,135, \\ 141,143,146,149,151,152,153,158,165,169,171,172,174,175,176, \\ 181,183 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 29.41 |
|  |  | Small | 3 | 97 |  | 51.87 |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 6,7,15,21,29,35,51,53,56,88,91,99,119,120,121,127,128,130, \\ 137,140,147,148,157,163,168,186 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13.90 |
|  |  | Large | 7 | 1 | 64 | 0.53 |
|  |  | Fully chalky | 9 | 8 | $28,41,98,105,106,142,159,162$ | 4.28 |
| 61 (+) | Gelatinization temperature through alkali spreading value | Low | 1 | 2 | 143, 185 | 1.07 |
|  |  | Medium | 3 | 7 | 39,64, 79, 92, 108, 179, 186 | 3.74 |
|  |  | High medium | 5 | 165 |  | 88.24 |
|  |  | High | 7 | 13 | 4,38, 47,56, 101,104,106,110,129,146,167,171,184 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 62(*) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Decorticated grain: Aroma | Absent | 1 | 152 |  | 81.28 |
|  |  | Present | 9 | 35 | $4,11,17,18,24,29,32,33,38,40,41,43,64,70,71,75,82,85,104,105$, $107,112,129,134,137,141,146,149,150,153,161,165,166,174,180$ | 18.72 |

Character culm attitude, 68\% varieties shows semi erect, $25 \%$ shows erect and $5 \%$ varieties shows open and $2 \%$ spreading culm attitude respectively. All the traditional varieties were
male fertile and only $12 \%$ of accessions had awns, while the rest of $88 \%$ did not have awns.
With respect to the stem characters, for the stem length
(excluding panicle) $93 \%$ of traditional varieties were very short, $3 \%$ were short and $4 \%$ were medium. With respect to the thickness of the stem, $56 \%$ were medium, $41 \%$ were thick and $3 \%$ were thin. Maximum number of traditional varieties recorded absence of stem anthocyanin colouration of nodes ( $87 \%$ ) and internodes ( $91 \%$ ).
Based on time of heading, the majority of the genotypes were grouped under medium (58\%) followed by late (33\%), early ( $9 \%$ ) categories and one landrace was categorized as very early type. Similar findings reported with high genetic divergence in days to $50 \%$ flowering by Bose and Pradhan (2005).

With respect to panicle characters, $45 \%$ landraces were of deflexed, $30 \%$ landraces were of semi-straight, $21 \%$ landraces were of drooping and $4 \%$ of landraces were straight type of panicle curvature of main axis. For the character panicle awns, $12 \%$ landraces recorded the presence of awns. Out of which, 15 landraces observed yellowish white, one variety yellowish brown, three varieties brown, one variety with red and 2 varieties were possessed light purple awns. The awns distribution was limited to tip only in 6 varieties, upper half only in 8 landraces, whereas the awn was distributed through the whole length of panicle in case of 8 landraces. $99 \%$ landraces have presence of secondary branching, of which, $67 \%$ have strong secondary branching $31 \%$ landraces were weak and $1 \%$ landraces have clustered secondary branching. For the character Panicle Attitude of branches, $44 \%$ landraces were of erect to semi-erect, 23 were semi-erect, 18 were semierect to spreading and $6 \%$ landraces exhibited spreading attitude of panicle branches. For panicle exertion, $22 \%$ varieties were exhibited partly exerted panicle, $36 \%$ varieties mostly exerted and $42 \%$ varieties exhibited well exerted panicles. Panicle length of main axis for $3 \%$ cultivars were of very short, $67 \%$ were short, $27 \%$ were medium, $2 \%$ were long and 1 landrace was of very long type. With respect to panicle number per plant, $80 \%$ landraces registered a medium number of panicles, $18 \%$ of landraces under few and $2 \%$ of landraces fall under many panicles per plant category. The diversity for panicle number has got highest economic importance (L.V Subba Rao et al., 2015) ${ }^{[9,17,20]}$.
With respect to grain characters grain weight of 1000 fully developed grains, highest number of the genotypes (71\%) showed medium grain weight. Thousand grain weights have been used for characterizing rice varieties by researchers; Joshi et al., (2007) ${ }^{[4,6,11]}$. For the character grain length, $66 \%$ accessions were found under short and for grain width $39 \%$ of landraces fall under medium followed by $35 \%$ with narrow, $14 \%$ with broad, $11 \%$ with very narrow and one variety recorded very broad grain width. $90 \%$ of landraces exhibited grain phenol reaction of lemma. For the character decorticated grain shape, $7 \%$ landraces were of short slender type, $46 \%$ landraces were of short bold, $12 \%$ landraces exhibited medium slender type, $15 \%$ landraces were of long bold type, $19 \%$ landraces were of long slender and one landrace recorded long slender type. For the character colour of the decorticated grain, majority landraces recorded white (39\%) and light brown ( $32 \%$ ). Aroma of decorticated grain was not recorded in $81 \%$ of the landraces.
With respect to quality, for the amylose content the entire investigated landraces were grouped into four categories viz., high ( $16 \%$ ), medium ( $67 \%$ ), low ( $15 \%$ ) and very low ( $2 \%$ ). On the basis of Gelatinization temperature through alkali spreading value, the experimental material was grouped into four categories viz., low (1\%), medium (4\%), high-medium
( $88 \%$ ) and high ( $7 \%$ ).
The rice traditional varieties/landraces undertaken for this study registered wide range of distinctiveness for all most all the Agro-morphological traits studied and similar studies has been reported earlier by Joshi et al. $2007{ }^{[4, ~ 6, ~ 11] ; ~ C h a k r a b a r t y ~}$ et al. $2012{ }^{[4,10]}$; Parikh et al. $2012{ }^{[12]}$; Sinha and Mishra $2013{ }^{[16]}$; Rao et al. (2013) ${ }^{[7,9,13,21]}$; Tirkey et al. (2013) ${ }^{[20]}$; Mondal et al. (2014) [11]; Kalyan et al., (2017) ${ }^{[7]}$; and Umarani et al. (2017) ${ }^{[21]}$; Manjunatha et al., $2018{ }^{[5]}$; Suman Rawte* and Ritu R. Saxena $2018{ }^{[14,18]}$, Islam et al., $2018{ }^{[10]}$, S. Poudel et al., $2020{ }^{[15]}$.

## Conclusion

The 187 rice traditional varieties/landraces under study showed a wide range of variability for 57 characters of the 62 DUS characters under study. The non-polymorphic traits were the leaf ligule, shape of ligule, leaf collar, male sterility and Endosperm: Presence of amylose, whereas Lemma and Palea: Colour showed maximum (9) number of states of expression. This experimental evaluation throws limelight on kind of material, duration, grain type, stem strength and yielding ability. The information generated on DUS characterization useful for breeders, researchers and farmers to identify the novel genes for varietal improvement.
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