www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(5): 1393-1397 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 10-02-2021 Accepted: 23-03-2021

D Shivani

Professor, Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Farzana Jabeen

Professor, Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

K Chaithanya ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

MBVN Koushik ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

GD Dileep ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

E Punnia Koti ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

K Supriya Professor, Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

RM Sundaram ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

J Aravind Kumar ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

R Abdul Fiyaz ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice

Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author: R Abdul Fiyaz ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Assessment of genetic diversity of rice germplasm using microsatellite markers

D Shivani, Farzana Jabeen, K Chaithanya, MBVN Koushik, GD Dileep, E Punnia Koti, K Supriya, RM Sundaram, J Aravind Kumar and R Abdul Fiyaz

Abstract

The knowledge on the extent and pattern of diversity in the crop species is a prerequisite for any crop improvement program as it helps breeders in deciding suitable breeding strategies for their future improvement. The study was conducted to analyze the genetic diversity among 77 germplasm lines at molecular level employing 36 randomly chosen microsatellite markers. A total of 30 markers were found to be polymorphic among the genotypes with a total of 70 alleles. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 to 3. The polymorphic information content (PIC) value ranged from 0.072 to 0.640 with an average of 0.32. Based on the principle of Unweighted Pair Wise Method using Arithemetic Average (UPGMA) constructed by Jaccard's similarity Coefficient, the cluster analysis distinguished these accessions into eight clusters. Cluster I had maximum number of genotypes (59) followed by cluster II (8), clusters III (3), whereas the clusters IV and VII have two genotypes each and cluster V, VI and VIII had only one genotype. Thus, the germplasm lines present in the eight clusters were genetically diverse and could be directly utilized in hybridization programme for improvement of yield and yield related traits.

Keywords: Rice, genetic diversity, SSR markers, PIC value, dendrogram

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) belonging to the family Gramineae and is also called as the 'Grain of Life' (Fiyaz *et al.*, 2011) ^[4], it is one of the most important food crop for about half of the population in the world (Rao *et al.*, 2019) ^[11]. In India, rice crop occupies a pivotal role with largest area under cultivation and has maximum share in production and productivity. The global consumption rate and the total demand for rice continues to rise (Khush, 2005) ^[8]. To meet the demand of ever increasing population for rice, there is an urgent need to exploit the genetic diversity and variability in plant breeding programmes. Among all the centres for rice diversity, India is one of the largest center of diversity and the accessions of rice are a rich reservoir of useful genes with wide genetic variability, leaving a wide scope for the rice improvement programme (Singh *et al.*, 2015) ^[17].

Genetic diversity of germplasm occupy an important position in breeding and crop improvement program as it helps in analyzing and establishing genetic relationship in accessions collection, monitoring and identification of diverse parental combinations to create segregating progenies with high genetic variability (Ramadan *et al.*, 2015; Islam *et al.*, 2012)

^[13, 6]. Genetic diversity can be determined by assessment of morphological and or molecular data. Morphological/phenotypic expression of a plant is affected by the environment; therefore, selection based on morphological traits is seductive and it also has disadvantages in terms of time, space, and labor cost. Unlike morphological traits, molecular markers have become quite handy in precisely understanding the extent of genetic divergence among varieties. Evaluation of genetic diversity using molecular marker technology is non-destructive, requires small number of samples, not affected by environmental factors, and does not require large experimental setup and equipment for measuring physiological parameters (Kanawapee *et al.*, 2011) ^[7].

Among all the available molecular markers, Simple sequence repeat (SSR) is one of the best marker technology for identification of genetic diversity of accessions (Sajib *et al.*, 2012) ^[16]. SSR markers are codominant, highly polymorphic, able to detect high level of allelic diversity, informative, easily analyzed and cost effective (Garcia *et al.*, 2004) ^[5] thus being widely applied in genetic diversity analysis, gene mapping and molecular map construction

(Zhang *et al.*, 2007) ^[20]. Due to their multi-allelic and highly polymorphic nature, even less number of SSR markers can give a better genetic diversity spectrum (Singh *et al.*, 2016) ^[18]. Hence, the present study was undertaken with the aim to assess the genetic diversity in seventy-seven germplasm lines of rice using SSR markers. The information generated from this study will enable better selection of diverse parents and to select appropriate parental genotypes in breeding programme.

Materials and Methods

The experimental material consisted of 77 germplasm lines. The field experiments were conducted at ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research (ICAR-IIRR), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India during *kharif* 2019 and 2020. Seeds were sown in nursery on raised beds and thirty days old seedlings of each genotype were transplanted in two rows with 20 plants per row following a spacing of 20 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants. The experiment was laid in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications. Recommended agronomic and plant protection measures were taken up for raising a healthy nursery and main crop.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Healthy leaf samples of 2-3 cm long were collected from young plants and DNA was extracted using Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol as per Doyle (1991). DNA concentration was estimated using agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA samples were diluted with 1x TE into an equal concentration of 25 ng/µl.

Amplification of the markers using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was done with a 10 µl reaction volume with 3 µl of 25 ng DNA template, 1 µl of 10x PCR buffer and MgCl, 0.3 µl of each forward and reverse primers, 1 µl of 1 mM dNTP, 4.5 µl sterile distilled water and 0.2 µl of 5 U of Taq polymerase (Bangalore Genei, India). The following PCR profile was used for amplification in the thermocycler (Eppendorf, USA): initial denaturation at 94° C for 5 min and then 35 cycles of denaturation at 94° C for 45 s, annealing at 55° C for 45s and extension at 72° C for 1s; final extension at 72° C for 10 min and cooling at 4° C. Finally, 3 µl of 1x loading buffer for every 10 µl of PCR product was added to the PCR product prior to loading. The PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis using a 3.5% agarose gel electrophoresis (Thermofisher Scientific, USA). The gel was run in 1x TAE at 120 V for 2 hours depending on the product size of the marker and were visualized under the UV-transilluminator (Biorad) and documented and stored using GELSTAN.

Data analysis

Genetic diversity was estimated using 30 polymorphic SSR markers (Table 1). Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) was calculated as described by Botstein *et al.* $(1980)^{[2]}$ using the below formula;



Where p equals the frequency of the i^{th} allele and p the frequency of the (I +1) th allele. For diversity analysis, only data from polymorphic loci was used. The binary data matrix

generated by polymorphic SSR markers were subjected to further analysis using NTSYS-pc version 2.11W (Rohlf, 1997)^[15]. The SIMQUAL program was used to calculate the Jackard's dissimilarity coefficient. The dissimilarity matrix was used as an input for analysis of clusters. UPGMA-based clustering was done using SAHN module of NTSYSpc for dendrogram construction. Based on Unweighted pair-group average (UPGMA) method, the clusters are joined based on the average distance between all members in the two groups.

Results and Discussion

Number of alleles and PIC value

In the present study, considerable amount of genetic variability was found among 77 germplasm lines. The level of polymorphism among the germplasm lines was evaluated by calculating the number of alleles and PIC values using PowerMarker software. A total of 36 SSR randomly chosen markers that covered 12 chromosomes, were used to assess the extent of genetic diversity across 77 rice genotypes. A total of thirty markers produced reproducible polymorphic pattern while remaining six primers were monomorphic. These 30 markers showed a total of 70 alleles and the number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 to 3. Among the polymorphic markers, 20 markers produced 2 alleles each and 10 markers produced 3 alleles. The overall size of amplified products varied from 50 (RM 156) to 477 bp (RM 206). The polymorphic information content (PIC) is the reflection of allele diversity and their frequency among genotypes. PIC values ranged from a 0.0721 to 0.6400 with an average of 0.32 (Table 1). The marker RM22586 showed highest PIC value and lowest PIC value was obtained for RM19665.

Diversity analysis

The Jaccard's similarity coefficient varied from 0.44-0.93 as revealed by UPGMA cluster analysis using thirty markers. The germplasm lines close to the similarity coefficient of 0.44 were considered as more dissimilar, while the germplasm lines close to the similarity coefficient of 0.93 as similar. On the basis of dendrogram, the highest similarity coefficient value was observed between the cultivar BPT 5204 and Jaya (0.93) followed by CR Dhan 500 and Tetep (0.91), whereas lowest value was observed for Pusa Basmati 1121 (0.44) followed by Improved Samba Mahsuri and ADT 49 (0.47). Thus, these accessions were genetically diverse and could be directly utilized in hybridization programme for improvement of yield and related traits.

A dendrogram based on Jaccard's similarity coefficient was constructed using UPGMA method. The seventy-seven germplasm lines were grouped into eight main clusters (Fig. 1) at a cut-off similarity coefficient of 0.524. The germplasm lines which are of genetically similar are grouped in one cluster. Each cluster distinguishes the genotypes clearly from the other. In the dendrogram, cluster I had maximum fiftynine genotypes followed by cluster II had eight genotypes, clusters III have three genotypes each, whereas the clusters IV and VII have two genotypes each and cluster V, VI and VIII had only one genotype each. Cluster I was the major cluster with 51 genotypes and divided into three sub clusters IA, IB and IC. The sub cluster IA with 31 genotypes, was divided further into two sub clusters IA-1 and IA-2 at similarity coefficient (0.57), the sub cluster IA-1 has 29 genotypes and sub cluster IA-2 had two genotypes viz., Sabita and CSR 10. Sub cluster IB had 24 genotypes and Sub cluster IC had three genotypes viz., Dinesh, Pushyami and CSR 36. Cluster II was

divided into two sub clusters IIA and IIB at similarity coefficient (0.584). Sub cluster IIA have six genotypes and IIB has two genotypes WGL 14 and CR Dhan 202 at similarity coefficient (0.7). Cluster III has three genotypes *viz.*, PR 124, MAS 9461 and Kalanamak. Cluster IV has two genotypes *viz.*, PB 1 and RNR 15048 at similarity coefficient (0.56), whereas cluster V and VI had one genotype each, Vivek Dhan 62 and Badshabhog at similarity coefficient (0.517, 0.47). Cluster VII had two genotypes (ADT 49 and ISM) with similarity coefficient 0.63 and cluster VIII had only one genotype PB 1121 with low similarity coefficient of 0.44. Clustering pattern is presented in the Table 2.

The germplasm lines under evaluation in the present investigation are fixed lines with high yielding and quality obtained from all over the India. Further characterization of such germplasm lines with markers was done to ascertain their diversity and applicability for selection of desirable parents in breeding programmes. Number of alleles per marker obtained in the present study are comparable to the earlier findings by Rachana et al. (2019) ^[12] and Rashmi et al. (2017)^[14]. The PIC value observed in the present study are also comparable to the earlier reports by Beser and Mutafcilar, (2021)^[1], Kumar et al. (2021)^[9] and Verma et al. (2019)^[19]. The higher the PIC value of a locus, the higher the number of alleles detected. The markers RM206, RM495, RM11033, RM10162, RM15404, RM19609, RM22586, RM25066, JGT 8-10.2, JGT 11-15.3 and RM24954 were found to be the most appropriate marker to discriminate among the rice germplasm lines used in the present study owing to the highest PIC value of 0.5-0.64.

Jaccard's similarity coefficient describes the relatedness among the genotypes. The higher the genetic difference among the genotypes, more clusters are obtained with single genotype in each cluster (Nihar et al., 2016)^[10]. In the present study we observed three single genotype clusters in the dendrogram. Among all the genotypes, Pusa Basmati 1121 singled out with least similarity coefficient of 0.44 as an independent cluster. It is known for its extraordinary kernel (grain) length of 8.4 millimetres, the longest-ever known released cultivar in the world. It has very high kernel elongation ratio ranging from 2 to 2.5. When cooked, the rice does not turn sticky, possesses minimum breadth-wise expansion, and is aromatic with intermediate alkali-spreading value and amylose content. Hence, the marker based information supports the morphological diversity present in them. Similar results were reported by Farhzadi et al., (2020) ^[3] in 30 cultivars of Indica rice using SSR markers and the genotypes were grouped in to three major clusters. Rachana et al. (2019) ^[12] reported the grouping of fourty six core set of rice accessions using 67 SSR markers in to eight clusters. Similarly, Beser and Mutafcilar (2020) ^[1] reported the grouping of the 60 rice varieties into two main clusters using 50 markers distributed across all the chromosomes. The microsatellite marker alleles provided a greater degree of discrimination between the accessions than the quantitative characterization. The more allelic diversity among the genotypes clearly emphasizes on the scope for improvement of yield and related genes by introgression of genes from genotypes of different clusters and could be directly utilized in hybridization programme.

Table 1: List of markers used in the present study for genetic diversity

| Sl. No | Marker | Chr. | Forward Sequence | Reverse Sequence | No. of alleles | PIC |
|--------|-------------|------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 1 | RM 495 | 1 | ATGATGATGGACGACGACAACG | TGAATCCAAGGTGCAGAGATGG | 2 | 0.6075 |
| 2 | RM 11033 | 1 | TGTTTCAGAGTTCAGAGTCACACG | AGGACAAGCCCACTTATTGAACC | 3 | 0.6310 |
| 3 | RM 10162 | 1 | GGGCATGTCATTTCCTACTCCTACCG | CCACAAAGTCGCAATGTCCTGTAGC | 3 | 0.5107 |
| 4 | RM 13070 | 2 | CCACCGGAGATCATAGGTTCG | AAGTTAGCCCTCAACCGCTATGG | 2 | 0.2730 |
| 5 | RM 15404 | 3 | TTTGGCAAGGCTATCTTCTCTGG | GGAGAGCCGAAACTATTTGATTGG | 3 | 0.6174 |
| 6 | RM 489 | 3 | GAACAGGGACACAATGATGAGG | GACGATCGGACACCTAATTACAGC | 2 | 0.1864 |
| 7 | RM 16791 | 4 | GGCTGCTTCAGAGCGTTTGTAGG | AAATACGCCATCAAGTTGCACACC | 2 | 0.3392 |
| 8 | RM 16649 | 4 | CTCCCTTCATGCGTAAGCTCTCC | GCAAACAGGATCCTCCACAAAGG | 2 | 0.3215 |
| 9 | RM 1386 | 5 | TCAAGCTGCATTAGGAAGACACC | AACTTAGCTGAAACGCAACACG | 2 | 0.3156 |
| 10 | RM 18516 | 5 | CTTTCGTCCTGTACGTAAACC | TCAAACTACCCTCACATTCTCC | 3 | 0.1668 |
| 11 | RM 17950 | 5 | GGAAATGTGCATAGGTAGTTCAGG | GAGTTGGGAACTGCTACAAACG | 2 | 0.2349 |
| 12 | RM 19609 | 6 | GTTTGTGGACATCGTTGTTGTGC | CGGATTGCAGCCTTAGTTGTACC | 2 | 0.5347 |
| 13 | RM 3567 | 6 | ACCACGCGTCATTGACATCC | ATGGGATGAAACTGCCACAACC | 2 | 0.2349 |
| 14 | RM 20098 | 6 | GGATACGCGATACGAGGATACG | TCAGCGTGAATTAGCTACAAGAGG | 3 | 0.3465 |
| 15 | RM 22586 | 7 | TCACCAACAAGTGGAGTACTTAGGC | CCTTACCTCAGGAGTGTTCGATCC | 3 | 0.6400 |
| 16 | RM 21539 | 7 | GCCCAACTACTTCGACAGCTTCC | CAATGACCTGAGTAGCATCCAAGG | 2 | 0.4025 |
| 17 | RM 21309 | 7 | GAGTGGATTTACTGACAAGCTCTTCC | GATTCTCTTGGAGCCCATACTCC | 3 | 0.5441 |
| 18 | JGT 8-10.2 | 8 | GTACTAAACAGATGGAGCAGTACG | GTGGTACTGCTAATTAGGGGGGTA | 2 | 0.5533 |
| 19 | RM 22839 | 8 | ACGGTACGGATTATAGCGATGC | ACGCTCATCAACCGAGAAAGG | 2 | 0.0750 |
| 20 | RM 22772 | 8 | GCCCGAAGAAATCAGAGTAGTAAGG | TTAGCAATATGGTGCCCAAGTCC | 2 | 0.1434 |
| 21 | RM 23778 | 9 | AACACAGCCTAAAGGTGTTCTGAGC | GCTTCGGCCCTATAGTCTTCTCG | 2 | 0.2950 |
| 22 | RM 24542 | 9 | ATCCACAAGAGCACCGATGAGG | TGACCTGGTAGTGGTGAGTGTGC | 2 | 0.2701 |
| 23 | Chr 10-21.2 | 10 | ATGGACACGAACATGCAGAGAGG | AATGATTGCACGGTGTGATGG | 2 | 0.1570 |
| 24 | RM 24954 | 10 | TGGACAGGTAATCATGTGTCTGC | TTTAGTTGGTGTGCACGTGAGG | 2 | 0.5711 |
| 25 | JGT 11-15.3 | 10 | GATCGCCCGTTAGCCTTTTCGA | GAACAGTTTTGCTGGCAACAA | 3 | 0.5493 |
| 26 | RM 25066 | 10 | GTTGTTAGGTGTAGCCGTGTAGG | GTACACCAATAACTGTGGAAGAGC | 3 | 0.6179 |
| 27 | RM 206 | 11 | ATCGATCCGTATGGGTTCTAGC | GTCCATGTAGCCAATCTTATGTGG | 3 | 0.6283 |
| 28 | RM 26784 | 11 | CGTTATTGCGGATGACAGAAACG | GGCATGAGACACAACCAGATCG | 2 | 0.2432 |
| 29 | RM 19665 | 12 | CGATGTCTTCGAGTCCCTTAACAGG | ACGGTTGGTGATGCTCTTAGGC | 2 | 0.0721 |
| 30 | RM 28461 | 12 | GAGCTTAGAGGCTGTCCTTGTCC | GCCTGAGTCACGTTTCTTATCAGC | 2 | 0.1178 |

Table 2: Clustering pattern of 77 genotypes based on molecular data.

| S. No | Clusters | No. of genotypes | List of genotypes |
|----------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | Cluster I | 59 | Pant Dhan 19, Sukaradhan 1, Bahadur, CR Dhan 300, Dhanrasi, Chittimutyalu, IR 62, DRR Dhan 44, Sameshwari, IR 64, Vivek Dhan 86, Akshaydhan, CR Sugandhdhan, Gontra bidhan 3, Amara, BPT 5204, Jaya, Dubraj, Tarori Basmati, IR 64, PR 113, CR Dhan 201, NDR 8002, FL 478, Pooja, Shobini, Swarna <i>Sub1</i> , Karma Mahsuri, Sugandh Samba, Sabita, CSR 10, Varalu, Co 51, Co 43, CSR 23, DRR Dhan 43, Govind, MTU 1010, CST 71, Aadur 1, Swarna, Samba Mahsuri <i>Sub1</i> , Jalmagna, Pokkali, Purnedu, Karjat 6, IR 8, IR 52, Lalat, C101A51, Narendra 97, Co50, Salivahana, IR 43, NDR 359, Dinesh, Pushyami, CSR 36, IR 5 |
| 2 | Cluster II | 8 | Karjat 7, Shalimar 3, DHMAS 164 2B, Ketekijoha, CR Dhan 500, CR Dhan 202, Tetep, WGL 14 |
| 3 | Cluster III | 3 | PR 124, MAS 9461, Kalanamak |
| 4 | Cluster IV | 2 | PB 1, RNR 15048 |
| 5 | Cluster V | 1 | Vivek Dhan 62 |
| 6 | Cluster VI | 1 | Badshabhog |
| 7 | Cluster VII | 2 | ISM, ADT 49 |
| 8 | Cluster VIII | 1 | PB 1121 |



Fig 1: Dendrogram showing the genetic relationships among 77 genotypes of rice using UPGMA clustering method

Conclusion

Exploring the genetic variation among the genotypes plays a major role in varietal improvement program. This is because genetic variations give room for recombinants which are essential in development of new varieties. In this study, molecular diversity analysis using SSR markers has provided clues in the identification of the divergence among rice germplasm. The present study indicated the presence of high amount of diversity among the germplasm lines. Accessions such as Pusa Basmati 1121, Badshabhog and Vivek Dhan 62 singled out with independent clusters. The information about the genetic diversity of these germplasm lines will be useful for identification and selection of appropriate parents for use in the breeding programmes, gene mapping, identification of parental source and ultimately in marker assisted selection for improvement of rice.

References

- 1. Beser N, Mutafcilar ZC. Identification of SSR Markers for Differentiating Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Varieties Marketed in Turkey. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2020;26(3):357-362.
- 2. Botstein D, White LR, Sholnick M, Davis RW. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphism. American Journal of Human Genetics 1980;32:314-331.
- Farahzadi F, Ebrahimi A, Zarrinnia V, Azizinezhad R. Evaluation of genetic diversity in Iranian rice (*Oryza* sativa) cultivars for resistance to blast disease using microsatellite (SSR) markers. Agricultural Research. 2020;9(4):460-468.
- Fiyaz R, Ramya KT, Chikkalingaiah AB, Gireesh C, Kulkarni RS. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under alkaline soil condition. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding 2011;2(4):531-537.
- Garcia AA, Benchimol LL, Barbosa AM, Geraldi IO, Souza CL, Souza APD. Comparison of RAPD, RFLP, AFLP and SSR markers for diversity studies in tropical maize inbred lines. Genetics and Molecular Biology 2004;27(4):579-588.
- Islam MR, Gregorio GB, Salam MA, Collard BCY, Singh RK, Hassan L. Validation of SalTol linked markers and haplotype diversity on chromosome 1 of rice. Molecular Plant Breeding 2002;3:103-114.
- Kanawapee N, Sanitchon J, Srihaban P, Theerakulpisut P. Genetic diversity analysis of rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.) differing in salinity tolerance based on RAPD and SSR markers. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 2011;14:1-14.
- Khush GS. What it will take to feed 5.0 billion rice consumers in 2030. Plant Molecular Biology 2005;59(1):1-6.
- Kumar SJ, Susmita C, Agarwal DK, Pal G, Rai AK, Simal-Gandara J. Assessment of Genetic Purity in Rice Using Polymorphic SSR Markers and Its Economic Analysis with Grow-Out-Test. Food Analytical Methods 2021, 1-9.
- Nihar S, Ramesha MS, Sundaram RM, Neeraja CN, Kemparaju KB. Genetic Diversity Studies using SSR and EST-SSR Markers in Maintainer Lines of Rice Hybrids. Journal of Rice Research 2017;10:44-48.
- Rao A, Singh NB, Pandey D. Impact of Organic and Inorganic Source of Nutrients on Growth and Yield of Basmati Rice under SRI. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science 2019;8(12):1728-1734.
- 12. Rachana B, Eswari KB, Jyothi B, Lakshmi DG, Jai VLRK, Laxmi BP *et al.* Characterization of new plant type core set of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using QTL/gene-linked markers. Oryza 2019;56(4):352-360.
- Ramadan EA, Elmoghazy AM, El-Mowafi HF. Molecular markers based genetic diversity analysis for drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using SSR Markers. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research 2015;2:137-146.

- Rashmi D, Bisen P, Saha S, Loitongbam B, Singh S, Singh PK. Genetic diversity analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) accessions using SSR markers. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 2017;10(4):457-467.
- 15. Rohlf FJ. NTSYS-pc numerical taxonomy and multivariant analysis system. Version 2.02 Exeter Publications Setauket New York 2000;2:1-43.
- 16. Sajib AM, Hossain M, Mosnaz ATMJ, Hossain H, Islam M, Ali M *et al.* SSR marker-based molecular characterization and genetic diversity analysis of aromatic landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of BioScience & Biotechnology 2012;1(2).
- Singh A, Saini R, Singh J, Arya M, Ram M, Singh PK. Genetic diversity studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using microsatellite markers. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment & Biotechnology 2015;8:143-152.
- Singh AK, Dutta M, Chattopadhyay R, Chakravarty B, Chaudhury K. Intrafollicular interleukin-8, interleukin-12, and adrenomedullin are the promising prognostic markers of oocyte and embryo quality in women with endometriosis. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 2016;33(10):1363-1372.
- 19. Verma H, Borah JL, Sarma RN. Variability assessment for root and drought tolerance traits and genetic diversity analysis of rice germplasm using SSR markers. Scientific reports, 2019;9(1):1-19.
- 20. Zhang W, He H, Guan Y, Du H, Yuan L, Li Z *et al.* Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to the tuberculate fruit gene in the cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Theoretical and applied genetics 2010;120(3):645-654.