



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2021; 10(6): 841-843
© 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 02-04-2021
Accepted: 13-05-2021

SB Gadade
Department of Crop Physiology
D P College of Agriculture,
Dahegaon, Affiliated to
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

NS Kahate
Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, D P College of
Agriculture, Dahegaon,
Affiliated to VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

SB Bade
Department of Agronomy
D P College of Agriculture,
Dahegaon, Affiliated to
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
SB Gadade
Department of Crop Physiology
D P College of Agriculture,
Dahegaon, Affiliated to
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Effect of plant growth regulators on functional leaf number and leaf area of lady's finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)

SB Gadade, NS Kahate and SB Bade

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted on field of Department of Agril. Botany, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, Maharashtra during *kharif*, 2015 for studying effects of plant growth regulators on functional leaf number and leaf area of Lady's Finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments and three replications. The treatments consisted of two growth regulators viz., gibberellic acid (50,100,150 and 200 ppm) and naphthalene acetic acid (50,100,150 and 200 ppm). The number of leaves per plant differed significantly with maximum in GA (100 and 50 ppm) at 60 DAS and individually at 75-90 DAS Leaf area increased from 45 to 60 DAS. The experimental results revealed that application of plant growth regulators significantly increased number of leaves per plant as compared to control. The leaf area was found to be significantly higher in GA (100 ppm) at all the stages.

Keywords: PGR, functional leaf number, leaf area, lady's finger

Introduction

Okra is a tall growing, annual, semi woody and warm season crop. It is self- pollinated, but occasionally up to 20% cross pollination happens by insects. The okra flowers blossoms only one day. Okra pods are harvested when they reach the maximum size but still tender (may be 60-180 days from sowing) around 5-10 days after opening of flower depending on the cultivar grown ^[1].

Okra pods are considered nutritious, providing some human supplementary vitamins such as vitamin C, A, B- complex, calcium, potassium, iron and other minerals ^[2].

The application of plant growth regulators is known as one of the most important treatments used nowadays in agriculture. Some horticulture crop productions were increased by application of different growth regulators. Growth regulators mainly regulate the plant physiological and biochemical processes. There are some reports, which indicate that application of growth regulators improved the growth and yield of vegetables ^[3].

Plant growth regulators could manage vegetative and reproductive growth balance. PGRs are known as chemical messengers because they are produced in one part of plant and affect on another part. Exogenous of plant growth regulators improved the yield production and fruit quality of horticulture crops ^[4].

The overall objective of the experiments was to improve the productivity and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) which will benefit our local farms. Vegetables are high yielding and provide nutritional security, more employment, more cash and more foreign exchange.

West Bengal is the leading state of okra production cultivation in 75.5 thousand ha. area, 882.4 thousand MT production and 15 MT per ha productivity and sharing 18 percent of total production, Followed by Gujarat 73.08. thousand ha. area, 857.05 thousand MT production and 11 MT per ha productivity and sharing 14 percent of total okra production. In Maharashtra okra cultivation with 11.3 thousands ha area with annual production of 84.06 thousand MT and its productivity is of 14.9 MT per ha and sharing 5 percent of the total okra production.

The Area and Production of Maharashtra is low as compare to other leading Okra Producing States. About 60 per cent of okra is grown for the fresh fruit for market and the remaining is used for processing. (Indiastat.com 2015-16) With this background, the present investigation was aimed to find out the effect of plant growth regulators on biochemical and quality aspects in Lady's Finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench).

Materials and Methods

The experiment entitled "Effect of plant growth regulators on functional leaf number and leaf area of Lady's Finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench)" was conducted at Department of Agriculture Botany, VNMKV, Prabhani, Maharashtra, India during *kharif* 2015-2016. Okra variety 'Parbhani ok' was sown at 45cm × 30cm spacing with a net plot size of 2.6 m². The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and eight treatments including plant growth regulators as GA3 (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm), NAA (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm) and one control (foliar spray).

Number of functional leaves on plant was recorded at 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS respectively. The leaf area per plant was counted 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS respectively with the help of automatic leaf area meter.

Statistical analysis: Fisher's method of analysis of variance was applied & analysis conducted as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) [19].

Result and Discussion

Mean number of functional leaves per plant

The table 1 data revealed that there was progressive increase the number of leaves per plant up to 75 DAS and their after it was slowly increased. The treatment differences significant at all growth stages except 30 DAS. The data revealed that the number of functional leaves per plant increased at all stages except 30 days after sowing. At 45 days number of functional leaves per plant was highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) and statistically significantly superior over the treatment T₉ (control) and at par with treatment T₁ (GA 50 ppm).

Table 1: Mean number of functional leaves per plant

Sr. No.	Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁	GA 50 ppm	6.17	10.4	12.00	13.3	14.03
T ₂	GA 100 ppm	5.80	12.16	13.03	14.63	15.90
T ₃	GA 150 ppm	6.10	9.7	11.06	12.46	13.06
T ₄	GA 200 ppm	4.83	8.9	10.90	12.03	12.70
T ₅	NAA 50 ppm	5.03	8.4	10.46	11.76	13.40
T ₆	NAA 100 ppm	5.43	9.8	11.03	12.43	12.93
T ₇	NAA 150 ppm	4.40	12.2	13.03	14.63	15.50
T ₈	NAA 200 ppm	5.30	7.8	10.30	11.80	13.06
T ₉	Control	5.43	5.6	8.03	8.43	9.50
	S.E.±	0.36	0.30	0.50	0.29	0.29
	C.D.at 5%	N.S.	0.90	1.51	0.88	0.88

At 60 and 75 days treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) was highest and statistically superior over treatment T₉ (Control) and at par with treatment T₁ (GA 50 ppm) and T₆ (NAA 100 ppm) respectively. At 90 days treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) was highest and statistically superior over the treatment T₉ (control) and at par with treatment T₁ (GA 50 ppm).

Mean leaf area per plant (dm²)

The table 2 data revealed that the leaf area per plant increased at all stages except 30 days. At 45 days leaf area per plant was highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) and statistically significantly superior over the treatment T₉ (control) and at par with treatment T₁ (GA 50ppm). At 60 days leaf area per plant was highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and treatment T₇ (NAA 150 ppm)

and statistically significantly superior over the treatment T₉ (control) and at par with T₁ (GA 50 ppm).

Table 2: Mean leaf area per plant (dm²)

Sr. No.	Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T ₁	GA 50 ppm	9.73	15.26	19.16	20.93	22.53
T ₂	GA 100 ppm	10.70	16.36	22.33	23.23	24.13
T ₃	GA 150 ppm	9.30	12.80	13.03	14.13	18.70
T ₄	GA 200 ppm	9.40	9.83	17.46	20.83	20.20
T ₅	NAA 50 ppm	9.33	9.36	16.00	21.16	22.73
T ₆	NAA 100 ppm	9.53	9.50	14.26	19.53	20.26
T ₇	NAA 150 ppm	10.20	16.13	21.40	22.53	23.53
T ₈	NAA 200 ppm	8.73	9.26	14.86	18.63	19.5
T ₉	Control	7.3	8.8	12.66	13.56	14.66
	S.E.±	0.89	0.19	0.61	0.33	0.25
	C.D.at 5%	N.S	0.57	1.84	1.01	0.76

At 75 and 90 days treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) was highest and statistically significant superior over the treatment T₉ (control) and at par with T₁ (GA 50ppm) and T₆ (NAA 50 ppm) respectively.

Increase in growth and yield attributes in the functions of plant growth regulators by foliar application which supports growth and developed in turn reflect in production of biomass. Each incremental application of GA and NAA had increased number of leaves per plant and leaf area per plant. Where as in case of number of leaves per plant and leaf area was also highest in treatment T₂ (GA 100 ppm) and T₇ (NAA 150 ppm) it might be GA promotes cell division and number of plant development mechanism and encourages numerous desirable effect such as number of leaves and leaf area per plant.

Same result regarding to GA and NAA in relation to different growth attributes were noted by the Rahman *et al.*(1984) [5], Singh *et al.* (1999) [6] Naruka and Paliwal (2000) [7] and Mandal *et al.* (2012) [8], Patil.*et al.*(2010) [9] and H. Mehraj, *et al* (2015) [10], Singh and Singh (1977) [11], Abdul *et al.* (1985) [12], Paliwal *et al* (1999) [14], Muhamm Shahid and *et al.*(2013) [15], Devan Elumalai *et al* (2015) [16], H. Mehraj, *et al* (2015) [17] and YL Bhagure and Tambe (2015) [18] respectively.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the treatments, GA (100 ppm) showed significantly higher plant height at all the stages as compared to control thus the number of leaves per plant differed significantly with maximum in GA (100 and 50 ppm) at 60 DAS and individually at 75-90 DAS Leaf area increased from 45 to 60 DAS. The leaf area was found to be significantly higher in GA (100 ppm) at all the stages.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding publication of this paper.

Ethical standard

The experiment conducted complies with laws.

References

- Adetuyi, FO, Osagie AU, Adekunle AT. Effect of post-harvest storage techniques on the nutritional properties of benin indigenous Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L Moench). Pak J of Nutri 2008;7(5):652-657.
- Lee KH, Cho CY, Yoon ST, Park SK. The effect of nitrogen fertilizer plant density and sowing date on the

- yield of okra. Korean J of Crop Sci 1990;35(8):179-183.
3. Jafarullahet MD, Abdul Fattah Q, Feroza H. Response of growth, yield attributes and yield to the application of KNP and NAA in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). Bang J of Bot 2007;36(2):127-132.
 4. Nickell LG. Plant growth regulators. Chemical Engineering News 1978;56:18-34.
 5. Rahman Atiqar, Enayat ABM, Rahman MA. Effect of gibberllic acid on growth flowering and seed yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Pak J Bio Sci 1998;8(1):115-120.
 6. Singh RK, Rajkumar. Effect of NAA, on vegetative growth of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.).Vegetable Sci 1988;15(2):190-192
 7. Naruka IS, Palliwal R. Ameliorative potential of gibberllic acid and NAA on growth and yield attributes of okra. South Ind Hort 2000;48(1-6):129-131.
 8. Mandal PN, Singh KP, Singh VK, Roy RK. Effect of the growth regulators on growth and yield of hybrid Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Asian J of Hort 2012;(7):72-74.
 9. Patil, DR, Patil MN. Effect of seed treatment with GA3 and NAA on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Asian J Hort 2010;5(2):269-272.
 10. Mehraj HT, Taufique MR, Ali RK, Sikder AFM, Jamal Uddin. Impact of GA3 and NAA on horticultural traits of *Abelmoschus esculentus*. World App Sci 2015;33(11):1712-1717.
 11. Singh RK, Singh KP. Effect of seed treatment with plant growth and yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Proc Bihar Acad Agri Sci 1977;25(2):24-27.
 12. Abdul KS, Arif LH, Yadgar MF. Effect of some growth regulators on growth and yield of Okra. Iraqui J Agri Sci, Zanco 1985;(3):95-104.
 13. Singh RK, Rajkumar. Effect of NAA, on vegetative growth of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Vegetable Sci 1988;15(2):190-192
 14. Paliwal R, Naruka IS, Singh NP. Ameliorative potential of gibberllic acid and NAA on growth and yield attributes of Okra. Prog. Hort., 1999;31(3, 4):162-165.
 15. Muhammad Rizwan Shahid, Muhammad Amjad, Khurram Ziaf, Muhammad Mu-zammil Jahangir, Saeed Ahmad, Qumer Iqbal et al. Growth, yield and seed production of okra as influenced by different growth regulators. Pak J Agri Sci 2013;50(3):387-392.
 16. Devan, eluemalai, maduraiveeran, hemavati, mujeera fathima and patheri kulyli, kaleena. Effect of varmwash and plant growth regulators on anatomical changes of okra (*Ablmoschus esculantus* L. Moench). African J of Basic & App Sci 2015;7(2):91-100.
 17. Mehraj HT, Taufique MR. Ali, Sikder, RK, Jamal Uddin. Impact of GA3 and NAA on horticultural traits of *Abelmoschus esculentus*. World App Sci J 2015;33(11):1712-1717
 18. Bhagure YL, Tambe TB. Effect of seed soaking and foliar sprays of plant growth regulators on physiological and yield attributes of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L Moench.). Asian J. Hort 2015;10(1):31-35.
 19. Panse and Sukhatme. Statistical methods for agril. Workers 1967;XVI:361