www.ThePharmaJournal.com

## The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(6): 1007-1014 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 03-03-2021 Accepted: 12-05-2021

#### SM Raghatate

Department of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

#### **DM Panchbhai**

Professor and Associate Dean, College of Agriculture, Nagpur Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: SM Raghatate Department of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

# Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum cv. PDKV Bijli Super

#### SM Raghatate and DM Panchbhai

#### Abstract

A field experiments were carried out to study the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum cv. PDKV Bijli super, at Experimental field, Department of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture, Dr. PDKV, Akola (M. S.) during the winter season of 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. The experiments were laid out in factorial randomized block design with twenty seven treatment combinations and replicated thrice. The treatments comprised of three levels of each, Nitrogen (100, 150 and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Phosphorus (50, 75 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Potassium (50, 75 and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in twenty seven different combinations. Results of the two years experiment revealed that, growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, stem diameter, number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread were recorded maximum with an application of nitrogen 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and potassium 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas, flowering parameters *viz.*, days to first flower bud initiation, days to fully opened flower, days to 50 percent flowering and days to first harvesting were delayed with an application of nitrogen 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the duration of flowering was found maximum with an application of nitrogen 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Keywords: Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, seed yield, annual chrysanthemum

#### 1. Introduction

Annual chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum coronarium L.) is considered to be the most important cultivated commercial loose flower crop grown all over India. It belongs to the family asteraceae and originated in Southern Europe. The species is also referred to as Leucanthemum coronarium or Glebionis coronarum. It is winter season annual propagated through seeds. Annual chrysanthemum is commercially grown in the states of India are Maharashtra, Tamilnadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Telangana, Punjab and Haryana. Annual chrysanthemum is also known as 'Crown Daisy' or 'Garland chrysanthemum'. In India the annual chrysanthemum flower is also locally called as 'Bijli' in Maharashtra, 'Babbona' in Haryana, 'Guldhak' in Punjab, 'Gendi' in Uttar Pradesh and 'Market' in Delhi. It has also a medicinal value. It has antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimutagenic, antimicrobial, antifungal, antiangiogenic, antiatherosleorosis and nematicidal properties. Leaves are used as expectorant, bitter and stomachic. The essential oil fraction of chrysanthemum coronarium have many enzyme inhibitory activities. It also has a cytotoxic activity. The volatile oil components in the flowers are responsible for the pleasant fragrance. The essential oil of annual chrysanthemum is abundant in terpenoids, sterols, flavonoids, polyacetalynes etc. (Dokuparthi et al., 2015)<sup>[4]</sup>. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the important macronutrients and play a vital role in growth and flowering of plant. Nitrogen acts as an essential part in the biosynthesis of nucleic acids and it is an important constituents of chlorophyll, proteins and amino acids thereby enhancing the rate of photosynthesis. Normally plants need phosphorus for metabolic regulation, cellular bioenergetics and among the key component of essential biomolecular including DNA, RNA, ATP, phospholipids and sugar phosphates. Potassium helps in efficient use of water and develops more resistant against drought, disease and pest and as a result plants grow stronger. Hence, the application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium play an important role in growth and development of plant and flowering, so keeping all these things in view the present investigation had been carried out to study the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum.

#### **Materials and Methods**

An investigation entitled "Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum cv. PDKV Bijli Super" at Experimental field, Department of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture, Dr. PDKV, Akola (M.S.) during the winter season of the year 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with twenty seven different treatment combinations and replicated thrice. The treatments comprised of three levels of each, Nitrogen viz., N1 (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), N<sub>2</sub> (150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and N<sub>3</sub> (200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Phosphorus viz.,  $P_1$  (50 kg ha^{-1}),  $P_2$  (75 kg ha^{-1}) and  $P_3$  (100 kg ha^{-1}) and Potassium viz.,  $K_1$  (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_2$  (75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_3$  (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The spacing between row to row and plant to plant was 45 x 30 cm and plot size was 2.25 x 1.80 m (4.05 m<sup>2</sup>). The half dose of nitrogen was given in the form of urea at the time of planting and remaining half dose of nitrogen was given 30 days after transplanting. Full dose of phosphorus and potassium were given in the form of single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively at the time of transplanting. The observations were recorded in respect of growth parameters viz., plant height, stem diameter, number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread and in respect of flowering parameters viz., days to first flower bud initiation, days to fully opened flower, days to 50 percent flowering, days to first harvesting and duration of flowering. The data obtained on various parameters was statistically analyzed as per methods suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967)<sup>[13]</sup>.

#### Results and Discussion Growth parameters Effect of nitrogen levels

The data presented in table 1 (a), pooled results revealed that, the application of nitrogen significantly influenced the growth parameters. Significantly maximum plant height (103.56 cm), stem diameter (20.03 mm), number of primary branches plant <sup>1</sup> (29.47) and plant spread (47.00 cm) were recorded in the treatment N<sub>3</sub>. However, significantly minimum plant height (94.98 cm), stem diameter (16.30 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (23.92) and plant spread (37.40 cm) were recorded in the treatment N<sub>1</sub>. This might be due to nitrogen as an elementary constituent of amino acid, nucleic acid, proteins, proteids, nucleotides, chlorophyll, and numerous substances such as alkaloids, is an important constituent of enzymes. Thus higher dose of nitrogen would have improved cell division, which resulted in better growth of annual chrysanthemum. The similar results were reported by Chopde et al. (2015)<sup>[2]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum, Satar et al. (2016) <sup>[15]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum and Nikam et al. (2018) <sup>[11]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum.

#### Effect of phosphorus levels

The pooled results presented in the table 1 (a) shown that, the application of phosphorus significantly increased the plant height (100.42 cm), stem diameter (18.73 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (27.47) and plant spread (43.56 cm) recorded in the treatment P<sub>3</sub>. Whereas, significantly minimum plant height (96.84 cm), stem diameter (17.16 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (25.19) and plant spread (39.39 cm) were recorded in the treatment P<sub>1</sub>. This might be due to phosphorus application improved growth due to stimulation in root growth which helps in better root development and subsequently leading to more absorption of water and mineral

nutrients in soil which ultimately enhanced the plant growth. Similar results were recorded by Satar *et al.* (2016) <sup>[15]</sup> in Annual chrysanthemum and Rajan *et al.* (2019) in chrysanthemum.

#### Effect of potassium levels

The pooled results from the Table 1 (a) indicated that, an application of potassium significantly increased the plant height (100.03 cm), stem diameter (18.57 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (27.21) and plant spread (43.05 cm) were recorded with an application of the treatment  $K_3$ . However, significantly lowest plant height (97.47 cm), stem diameter (17.42 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (25.60) and plant spread (40.11 cm) were recorded under the treatment K1. This might be due to potassium increases protein synthesis which might have been responsible for the growth of annual chrysanthemum with the increase level of potassium. The similar results were in close conformity with the findings of Karetha et al. (2011)<sup>[6]</sup> in gaillardia, Kumar and Moon (2014)<sup>[8]</sup> in African marigold, Shinde et al. (2014) in African marigold and Nikam et al. (2018) [11] in annual chrysanthemum.

#### **Interaction effects**

#### Interaction effect between nitrogen and phosphorus

The pooled results from the Table 1 (b & f) revealed that, the plant height, stem diameter, number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread were significantly influenced due to interaction between nitrogen and phosphorus levels. The treatment combination N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly highest plant height (105.45 cm), stem diameter (20.67 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (30.56) and plant spread (49.51 cm). Whereas, significantly lowest plant height (93.80 cm), stem diameter (15.62 mm), number of primary branches plant-<sup>1</sup> (23.10) and plant spread (36.08 cm) were recorded with the application of treatment combination N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>. It might be due to nitrogen, which is one of the major key elements for the plant growth and increase in nitrogen supply accelerates the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acids which enhanced the vegetative growth. Beneficial effects of phosphorus on the growth were probably being the resultant of the increased synthesis of metabolites in the presence of directly applied phosphorus is found in nucleic acids and is involved through ATP in activation of amino acids for the synthesis of proteins. Similar results are also reported by Dorajeerao et al. (2012)<sup>[5]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum and Tembhare et al. (2015) [16] in China aster.

#### Interaction effect between nitrogen and potassium

The pooled results depicted in Table 1 (c & g), in respect of plant height, stem diameter, number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread were significantly influenced due to the interaction of nitrogen and potassium levels. The treatment combination  $N_3K_3$  significantly increased the plant height (104.74 cm), stem diameter (20.42 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (30.13) and plant spread (48.66 cm). However, minimum plant height (93.45 cm), stem diameter (15.46 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (22.83) and plant spread (35.83 cm) were recorded in the treatment combination  $N_1K_1$ . The results obtained in this investigation are in close agreement with the findings of Nikam *et al.* (2018) <sup>[11]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum and Shinde *et al.* (2019) in African marigold.

#### Interaction effect between phosphorus and potassium

The data presented in Table 1 (d & h), the pooled results indicated that, the results in respect of growth parameters significantly influenced due to interaction effect of phosphorus and potassium levels. The significantly superior plant height (101.56 cm), stem diameter (19.24 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (28.16) and plant spread (45.18 cm) were noted in the treatment combination  $P_3K_3$ . However, significantly lowest plant height (95.48 cm), stem diameter (16.57 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (24.40) and plant spread (38.07 cm) were recorded in the treatment combination  $P_1K_1$ . Similar results are found in close conformity with the results of Kumar and Moon (2014) <sup>[8]</sup> in African marigold and Saeed and Amin (2019) <sup>[14]</sup> in rose.

## Interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium

The pooled data presented in Table 1 (e & i) revealed that, growth parameters were influenced due to interaction of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Significantly superior plant height (106.76 cm), stem diameter (21.20 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (31.47) and plant spread (52.06 cm) were recorded under the treatment combination N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub>. However, significantly lowest plant height (92.60 cm), stem diameter (15.00 mm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (22.27) and plant spread (35.00 cm) were recorded under the treatment combination N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>. The combine application of higher doses of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium increased plant height might be due to nitrogen promotes rapid growth as a constituent of protein and nucleic acid. This rapid growth is because of higher concentration of nitrogen, which has tendency to increase plant growth and potassium enhances synthesis and translocation of carbohydrate, whereas phosphorus encourages cell walls and growth of plant. This result are in close conformity with the result of Ahmad et al. (2010) <sup>[1]</sup> in marigold and Karetha et al. (2011) <sup>[6]</sup> in gaillardia.

#### Flowering parameters Effect of nitrogen levels

The data depicted in the Table 2 (a) revealed that, the application of nitrogen significantly influenced the flowering parameters. During the year 2018-2019 and 2019-2020, the flowering parameters viz., days to first flower bud initiation (54.16 and 54.19 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (17.46 and 18.75 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (72.07 and 74.22 days, respectively) and days to first harvesting (93.00 and 93.18 days, respectively) were delayed significantly with the treatment N<sub>3</sub>. Whereas, the minimum days to first flower bud initiation (47.29 and 47.01 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (12.99 and 13.64 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (63.22 and 64.40 days, respectively) and days to first harvesting (83.07 and 81.92 days, respectively) were recorded in the treatment N<sub>1</sub>. The late induction of flower bud might be due to more synthesis of protein and protoplasm from carbohydrates deciding to less accumulation of stored vegetative parts. Since the nature of protoplasm hydrated, plants become succulent and as resulted in delayed in flowering parameters. The results are in close conformity with the results of Kumar et al. (2003) [7] in China aster and Tembhare et al. (2016) in China aster.

The data furnished in the Table 2 (a) indicated that, significantly delayed in flowering parameters during the year 2018-2019 and 2019-2020, viz., days to first flower bud initiation (52.15 and 52.01 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (16.11 and 17.25 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (69.37 and 71.48 days, respectively) and days to first harvesting (89.81 and 89.85 days, respectively) were recorded with the treatment  $P_3$ . Whereas, the minimum days to first flower bud initiation (49.36 and 49.36 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (14.46 and 15.33 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (66.18 and 67.70 days, respectively) and days to first harvesting (86.14 and 85.59 days, respectively) were noted in the treatment P<sub>1</sub>. This might be due to phosphorus is an essential component of protoplasm and chlorophyll materials, which caused conversion of photosynthates and phospholipids resulting adequate vegetative growth and ultimately resulted in delayed in flowering. The results of the present investigation are supported by the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2003)<sup>[7]</sup> in China aster and Tembhare et al. (2016) in China aster.

#### Effect of potassium levels

The data from the Table 2 (a) indicated that, flowering parameters significantly influenced due to the potassium levels. The significantly highest days to first flower bud initiation (51.50 and 51.42 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (15.70 and 16.76 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (68.66 and 70.63 days, respectively) and days to first harvesting (89.14 and 88.81 days, respectively) were recorded with an application of the treatment  $K_3$ . Whereas, significantly minimum days to first flower bud initiation (49.88 and 49.76 days, respectively), days to fully opened flower (14.78 and 15.69 days, respectively), days to 50 percent flowering (66.85 and 68.44, respectively) and days to first harvesting (86.81 and 86.48 days, respectively) were observed in the treatment K<sub>1</sub>. The late flower bud initiation was observed in the higher level of potassium. Potassium increases protein content of plants which in turn improves vegetative growth which might have been responsible for delay in first flower bud initiation and ultimately resulted in delayed in flowering. Similar results were reported by Pal and Ghosh (2010)<sup>[12]</sup> in African marigold and Badge et al. (2019) <sup>[3]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum.

#### **Interaction effect**

The interaction effect of these flowering parameters were found to be non-significant.

#### Duration of flowering (days) Effect of nitrogen levels

The pooled data furnished in the Table 2 (a) revealed that, significantly superior duration of flowering was recorded in the treatment N<sub>3</sub> (60.01 days). Whereas, significantly lowest duration of flowering was noticed in the treatment N<sub>1</sub> (52.27 days). The maximum flowering duration was recorded with the highest application of nitrogen, might be due to an increased vegetative growth of plants at higher nitrogen level that might have enhanced turgidity of flowers by increasing the rate of photosynthesis and other metabolic activities which turn might have increased flowering duration in annual chrysanthemum. These results were in line with the findings of Chopde *et al.* (2015) <sup>[2]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum and Mali *et al.* (2016) <sup>[10]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum.

#### Effect of phosphorus levels

#### Effect of phosphorus levels

The data depicted in Table 2 (a), the pooled results revealed that, the highest duration of flowering was recorded with an application of treatment  $P_3$  (57.55 days) and significantly lowest duration of flowering was observed in the treatment  $P_1$  (54.14 days). Similar results have been recorded by Maheta *et al.* (2016)<sup>[9]</sup> and Tembhare *et al.* (2016) in China aster.

#### **Effect of potassium levels**

The pooled results from the Table 2 (a) indicated that, significantly maximum duration of flowering recorded in the treatment  $K_3$  (57.27 days). However, significantly minimum duration of flowering was observed in the treatment  $K_1$  (54.72 days). Similar results were reported by Badge *et al.* (2019) <sup>[3]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum.

#### **Interaction effect**

#### Interaction effect between nitrogen and phosphorus

The data from the Table. 2 (b), pooled results revealed that, the interaction between nitrogen and phosphorus levels significantly influenced the duration of flowering. The treatment combination  $N_3P_3$  noted significantly maximum flowering duration (61.44 days). Whereas, significantly minimum duration of flowering was recorded with the treatment combination  $N_1P_1$  (50.66 days). The findings of the present investigation are in conformity with the results of Kishore *et al.* (2010) in African marigold and Maheta *et al.* (2016)<sup>[9]</sup> in China aster.

#### Interaction effect between nitrogen and potassium

The pooled results from the Table 2 (c) indicated that, significantly the highest duration of flowering was recorded with the treatment combination  $N_3K_3$  (60.88 days). However, significantly lowest flowering duration was observed in the treatment combination  $N_1K_1$  (50.27 days). Similar results were reported by Badge *et al.* (2019) <sup>[3]</sup> in annual chrysanthemum.

#### Interaction effect between phosphorus and potassium

The pooled results from Table 2 (d) revealed that, significantly superior duration of flowering was observed with the application of treatment combination  $P_3K_3$  (58.66 days). Significantly lowest duration of flowering was produced in the treatment combination  $P_1K_1$  (52.88 days). Similar results have been reported by Kumar and Moon (2014)<sup>[8]</sup> in African marigold.

### Interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium

The pooled data from the Table 2 (e) exhibited that, the interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels significantly influenced the duration of flowering. Significantly maximum duration of flowering was recorded with the application of treatment combination  $N_3P_3K_3$  (62.83 days). Whereas, significantly lowest duration of flowering was recorded with the treatment combination  $N_1P_1K_1$  (49.16 days). The findings of the present investigation are in conformity with the results of Sharma *et al.* (2006) in chrysanthemum and Maheta *et al.* (2016) <sup>[9]</sup> in China aster.

Treatmonts	Plan	t height (cn	ı)	Stem d	liameter (	mm)	Number o	f primary bra plant <sup>-1</sup>	nches	Plant	spread (o	em)
reatments	2018-2019	2019-2020	Pooled	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	Pooled	2018-2019	2019-2020	Pooled	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	Pooled
					Nitr	ogen (N	)					
N1-100 kg ha-1	93.43	96.53	94.98	16.19	16.41	16.30	23.54	24.30	23.92	37.65	37.16	37.40
N2-150 kg ha-1	96.61	99.63	98.13	17.71	17.97	17.84	25.74	26.37	26.06	41.23	40.13	40.68
N <sub>3</sub> -200 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	102.03	105.09	103.56	19.87	20.17	20.03	29.52	29.68	29.47	47.50	46.49	47.00
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig	Sig	Sig
SE(m) ±	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.07
CD at 5%	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.33	0.33	0.22
	-				Phos	ohorus (	<b>P</b> )		-		-	
P <sub>1</sub> -50 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	95.97	98.37	96.84	17.03	17.28	17.16	24.88	25.49	25.19	39.71	39.08	39.39
P <sub>2</sub> -75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	97.84	100.97	99.41	18.14	18.43	18.29	26.51	27.08	26.80	42.59	41.67	42.13
P <sub>3</sub> -100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	98.91	101.92	100.42	18.60	18.84	18.73	27.14	27.79	27.47	44.09	43.03	43.56
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig	Sig	Sig
$SE(m) \pm$	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.07
CD at 5%	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.33	0.33	0.22
					Pota	ssium (F	K)					
K <sub>1</sub> -50 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	95.93	99.01	97.47	17.29	17.54	17.42	25.34	25.88	25.60	40.46	39.77	40.11
K <sub>2</sub> -75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	97.57	100.76	99.17	18.02	18.33	18.18	26.33	26.94	26.64	42.38	41.47	41.92
K <sub>3</sub> -100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	98.57	101.48	100.03	18.46	18.68	18.57	26.89	27.53	27.21	43.55	42.55	43.05
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
SE(m) ±	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.07
CD at 5%	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.33	0.33	0.22
					Interac	tion (N 1	<b>X P</b> )					
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
SE(m) ±	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.20	0.20	0.13
CD at 5%	0.42	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.58	0.57	0.38
					Interac	tion (N 2	K K)					
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
$SE(m) \pm$	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.20	0.20	0.13
CD at 5%	0.42	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.58	0.57	0.38
					Interac	tion (P X	K K)					

Table 1(a): Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth parameters of annual chrysanthemum

'F' test	Sig.													
$SE(m) \pm$	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.20	0.20	0.13		
CD at 5%	0.42	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.58	0.57	0.38		
<b>Interaction (N X P X K)</b>														
'F' test	Sig.													
$SE(m) \pm$	0.25	0.23	0.20	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.35	0.35	0.23		
CD at 5%	0.73	0.65	0.57	0.51	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.55	0.41	1.01	0.99	0.66		

Table 1(b): Interaction effect between nitrogen and phosphorus levels on plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) of annual chrysanthemum

				Pl	ant heig	ht (cm)							Stem	diame	ter (mi	m)		
N X P	2	018-20	19		2019-202	20		Pool	ed		2018	-2019		2019	-2020		Poole	ed
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	92.17	94.04	99.73	95.42	97.20	102.48	93.80	95.62	101.11	15.4	9 16.56	19.04	15.76	16.73	19.38	15.62	16.64	19.21
P2	93.73	97.35	102.44	96.80	100.31	105.80	95.26	98.83	104.12	16.3	5 18.07	20.02	16.58	18.33	20.38	16.47	18.20	20.20
P3	94.37	98.44	103.91	97.37	101.40	107.00	95.87	99.92	105.45	16.7	3 18.53	20.56	16.91	18.84	20.78	16.82	18.69	20.67
'F' test	Sig				Sig			Sig	3		S	ig		S	ig		Sig	
$SE(m) \pm$	0.14				0.13			0.1	2		0.	10		0.	09		0.08	3
CD at 5%	0.14				0.37			0.3	3		0.	29		0.	26		0.24	1

Table 1(c): Interaction effect between nitrogen and potassium levels on plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) of annual chrysanthemum

				Pla	ant heig	ht (cm)							Stem	diame	ter (m	m)		
NXK	2	018-20	19	1	2019-202	20		Pool	ed		2018	-2019		2019	-2020		Pool	ed
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3
<b>K</b> 1	91.75 95.48 100.55 95.15 98.46 103. 93.71 96.68 102.31 96.80 99.88 105.				103.42	93.45	96.97	101.98	15.3	6 17.20	19.33	15.56	17.40	19.69	15.46	17.30	19.51	
K2	93.71 96.68 102.31 96.80 99.88 105.6				105.60	95.25	98.28	103.95	16.3	1 17.76	20.00	16.67	18.04	20.29	16.49	17.90	20.14	
K3	94.82 97.66 103.22 97.64 100.55 106.20				106.26	96.23	99.11	104.74	16.9	1 18.20	20.29	17.02	18.47	20.56	16.97	18.33	20.42	
'F' test	Sig Sig						Sig	3		S	ig		S	ig		Sig		
SE(m) ±	0.14 0.13						0.1	2		0.	10		0.	09		0.08	3	
CD at 5%	0.42 0.37							0.3	3		0	29		0.	26		0.24	1

Table 1(d): Interaction effect between phosphorus and potassium levels on plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) of annual chrysanthemum

				P	lant heig	ght (cm)								Stem	diame	ter (m	m)		
P X K	2	018-20	19	17	2019-202	20		Poole	ed			2018	2019		2019	-2020		Poole	ed
	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	P	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3
<b>K</b> 1	94.04	96.62 97.13 96.93 99.75 100.3 98.24 99.42 98.28 101.53 102.4				100.35	95.48	98.18	98.74	16.	.44	17.60	17.84	16.69	17.87	18.09	16.57	17.73	17.97
<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub>	95.04	98.24	8.24 99.42 98.28 101.53 102.4				96.66	99.88	100.94	16.	.84	18.38	18.84	17.18	18.73	19.09	17.01	18.56	18.97
<b>K</b> <sub>3</sub>	96.86	98.66	100.17	99.88	99.88 101.62 102.95			100.14	101.56	17.	.80	18.47	19.13	18.00	18.69	19.36	17.90	18.58	19.24
'F' test	Sig Sig						Sig				S	ig		S	ig		Sig		
SE(m) ±	0.14 0.13						0.12	2			0.	10		0.	09		0.08	3	
CD at 5%	0.14 0.13 0.42 0.37							0.33	3			0.	29		0.	26		0.24	1

 Table 1(e): Interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) of annual chrysanthemum

				Pla	nt heigh	t (cm)						S	stem di	iamete	r (mm	)		
N X P X K	2	018-20	19	2	2019-202	20		Pooled		20	)18-20	19	20	19-202	20	]	Pooled	
	N1	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3
$P_1K_1$	91.00	92.80	98.33	94.20	96.26	100.33	92.60	94.53	99.33	14.87	15.93	18.53	15.13	16.07	18.87	15.00	16.00	18.70
$P_1K_2$	91.80	93.26	100.06	95.06	96.60	103.20	93.43	94.93	101.63	15.13	16.20	19.20	15.60	16.40	19.53	15.37	16.30	19.37
$P_1K_3$	93.73	96.06	100.80	97.00	98.73	103.93	95.36	97.40	102.36	16.47	17.53	19.40	16.53	17.73	19.73	16.50	17.63	19.57
$P_2K_1$	91.93	96.60	101.33	95.46	99.26	104.53	93.70	97.93	102.93	15.47	17.73	19.60	15.67	17.93	20.00	15.57	17.83	19.80
$P_2K_2$	94.20	97.93	102.60	97.26	101.26	106.06	95.73	99.60	104.33	16.67	18.33	20.13	17.07	18.67	20.47	16.87	18.50	20.30
$P_2K_3$	95.06	97.53	103.40	97.66	100.40	106.80	96.36	98.96	105.10	16.93	18.13	20.33	17.00	18.40	20.67	16.97	18.27	20.50
$P_3K_1$	92.33	97.06	102.00	95.80	99.86	105.40	94.06	98.46	103.70	15.73	17.93	19.87	15.87	18.20	20.20	15.80	18.07	20.03
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	95.13	98.86	104.20	98.06	101.80	107.53	96.60	100.33	105.90	17.13	18.73	20.67	17.33	19.07	20.87	17.23	18.90	20.77
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	95.66	99.40	105.46	98.26	102.53	108.06	96.96	100.96	106.76	17.33	18.93	21.13	17.53	19.27	21.27	17.43	19.10	21.20
'F' test	Sig				Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.25				0.23			0.20			0.18			0.16			0.15	
CD at 5%	0.25				0.65			0.57			0.51			0.46			0.41	

 Table 1(f): Interaction effect between nitrogen and phosphorus levels on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread (cm) of annual chrysanthemum

			Numb	er of pi	rimary	branc	hes pla	nt <sup>-1</sup>					Plar	it spre	ad (cm	)		
N X P	20	2018-2019 2019-2020							ed		2018	2019		2019	-2020		Poole	ed
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_1$ $N_2$ $N_3$ $N_1$ $N_2$ $N_3$ $N_1$					N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	22.73	24.00	27.91	23.47	24.67	28.36	23.10	24.33	28.13	36.24	38.44	44.43	35.93	37.78	43.54	36.08	38.11	43.98
P <sub>2</sub>	23.76	26.27	29.53	24.47	47       24.67       28.36       23.10       24.33       28.13       36.24         47       26.87       29.91       24.11       26.57       29.72       37.82						41.94	48.00	37.42	40.58	47.00	37.62	41.26	47.50

<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	24.13 26.98 30.31	24.98 27.60 30.80	24.56 27.29 30.56 38	.88 43.28 50.07 38	.13 42.03 48.94 38	.51 42.66 49.51
'F' test	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig
$SE(m) \pm$	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.20	0.20	0.13
CD at 5%	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.58	0.57	0.38

 Table 1(g): Interaction effect between nitrogen and potassium levels on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread (cm) of annual chrysanthemum

		N	umbe	r of pr	imary	branc	hes pl	ant <sup>-1</sup>					Plar	nt spre	ad (cn	ı)		
N X K	20	18-20	19	20	19-202	20		Poole	ed		2018	-2019		2019	2020		Poole	ed
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{K}_1$	22.49 25.04 28.40 23.71 25.82 29.47			23.18	25.64	28.84	22.83	25.34	28.62	35.97	39.91	45.47	35.68	38.97	44.64	35.83	39.44	45.06
$K_2$	23.71 25.82 29.47			24.53	26.47	29.84	24.12	26.14	29.66	37.90	41.42	47.81	37.44	40.23	46.74	37.67	40.82	47.27
<b>K</b> <sub>3</sub>	24.42 26.38 29.89 25.20 27.02 30		30.38	24.81	26.70	30.13	39.07	42.34	49.22	38.35	41.20	48.10	38.71	41.77	48.66			
'F' test	Sig				Sig			Sig			S	ig		S	ig		Sig	
$SE(m) \pm$	0.10				0.11			0.08	3		0.	20		0.	20		0.13	3
CD at 5%	0.29				0.31			0.24	1		0.	58		0.	57		0.38	3

 Table 1(h): Interaction effect between phosphorus and potassium levels on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread (cm) of annual chrysanthemum

		Nu	mber	of prii	nary b	ranch	es plaı	1t <sup>-1</sup>					Plant	spread	l (cm)			
P X K	20	018-20	19	20	)19-202	20		Pooled	l	20	)18-20	19	20	19-202	20	]	Pooled	
	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3
$K_1$	24.09	25.76	26.09	24.71	26.36	26.60	24.40	26.06	26.34	38.35	41.16	41.84	37.80	40.48	41.02	38.07	40.82	41.43
$K_2$	24.69	9 26.89 27.42 25.29 27.38 28.1		28.18	24.99	27.13	27.80	39.31	43.13	44.68	38.85	42.12	43.44	39.08	42.62	44.06		
<b>K</b> <sub>3</sub>	25.87	26.91	27.91	26.49	27.51	28.60	26.18	27.21	28.26	41.45	43.46	45.72	40.61	42.40	44.64	41.03	42.93	45.18
'F' test	Sig		Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig			
SE(m) ±	0.10 0.11				0.08			0.20			0.20			0.13				
CD at 5%	0.10				0.31			0.24			0.58			0.57			0.38	

 Table 1(i): Interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread (cm) of annual chrysanthemum

NXPXK		Nu	mber	of prir	nary b	ranch	es pla	nt <sup>-1</sup>					Plant	sprea	d (cm)			
	20	18-20	19	20	19-202	20		Pooled	l	20	18-20	19	20	19-20	20	]	Pooled	l
	N1	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>
$P_1K_1$	21.93	23.33	27.00	22.60	23.87	27.67	22.27	23.60	27.33	35.16	37.13	42.76	34.83	36.73	41.83	35.00	36.93	42.30
$P_1K_2$	22.33	23.53	28.20	23.13	24.20	28.53	22.73	23.87	28.37	35.50	37.53	44.90	35.50	37.06	44.00	35.5	37.3	44.45
$P_1K_3$	23.93	25.13	28.53	24.67	25.93	28.87	24.30	25.53	28.70	38.06	40.66	45.63	37.46	39.56	44.80	37.76	40.11	45.21
$P_2K_1$	22.60	25.80	28.87	23.33	26.40	29.33	22.97	26.10	29.10	36.03	41.10	46.36	35.90	39.90	45.66	35.96	40.50	46.01
$P_2K_2$	24.27	26.67	29.73	24.93	27.27	29.93	24.60	26.97	29.83	38.43	42.66	48.30	37.96	41.13	47.26	38.20	41.90	47.78
$P_2K_3$	24.40	26.33	30.00	25.13	26.93	30.47	24.77	26.63	30.23	39.00	42.06	49.33	38.40	40.73	48.06	38.70	41.40	48.70
$P_3K_1$	22.93	26.00	29.33	23.60	26.67	29.53	23.27	26.33	29.43	36.73	41.50	47.30	36.33	40.30	46.43	36.53	40.90	46.86
$P_3K_2$	24.53	27.27	30.47	25.53	27.93	31.07	25.03	27.60	30.77	39.76	44.06	50.23	38.86	42.50	48.96	39.31	43.28	49.60
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	24.93	27.67	31.13	25.80	28.20	31.80	25.37	27.93	31.47	40.16	44.30	52.70	39.20	43.30	51.43	36.68	43.80	52.06
'F' test	Sig				Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig			Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.18				0.19			0.15			0.35			0.35			0.23	
CD at 5%	0.18				0.55			0.41			1.01			0.99			0.66	

Table 2(a): Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on flowering parameters of annual chrysanthemum

	Days to fi	rst flower bud	Days to fu	lly opened	Days to 5	0 percent	Days	to first	Duratio	on of flov	wering
Treatments	initiat	ion (days)	flower	(days)	flowerin	ıg (days)	harvesti	ng (days)		(days)	
Treatments	2018- 2019	2019-2020	2018-2019	2019-2020	2018-2019	2019-2020	2018-2019	2019-2020	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	Pooled
				Nit	rogen (N)						
N <sub>1</sub> -100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	47.29	47.01	12.99	13.64	63.22	64.40	83.07	81.92	51.93	52.63	52.27
N <sub>2</sub> -150 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	50.90	50.86	15.39	16.46	68.29	70.33	88.22	88.18	55.70	56.22	55.96
N <sub>3</sub> -200 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	54.16	54.19	17.46	18.75	72.07	74.22	93.00	93.18	59.37	60.67	60.01
'F' test	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig
SE(m) ±	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.14	0.17	0.10
CD at 5%	0.47	0.39	0.31	0.26	0.64	0.57	0.68	0.72	0.41	0.48	0.29
				Phos	phorus (P)						
P1-50 kg ha-1	49.36	49.36	14.46	15.33	66.18	67.70	86.14	85.59	53.81	54.48	54.14
P <sub>2</sub> -75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	50.84	50.70	15.27	16.27	68.03	69.77	88.33	87.85	56.11	57.00	56.55
P <sub>3</sub> -100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	52.15	52.01	16.11	17.25	69.37	71.48	89.81	89.85	57.07	58.04	57.55
'F' test	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig
SE(m) ±	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.14	0.17	0.10
CD at 5%	0.47	0.39	0.31	0.26	0.64	0.57	0.68	0.72	0.41	0.48	0.29

Potassium (K)												
K1-50 kg ha-1	49.88	49.76	14.78	15.69	66.85	68.44	86.81	86.48	54.37	55.07	54.72	
K <sub>2</sub> -75 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	50.97	50.88	15.36	16.40	68.07	69.88	88.33	88.00	55.78	56.74	56.25	
K <sub>3</sub> -100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	51.50	51.42	15.70	16.76	68.66	70.63	89.14	88.81	56.85	57.70	57.27	
'F' test	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.14	0.17	0.10	
CD at 5%	0.47	0.39	0.31	0.26	0.64	0.57	0.68	0.72	0.41	0.48	0.29	
Interaction (N X P)												
'F' test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.29	0.24	0.19	0.16	0.39	0.34	0.41	0.44	0.25	0.29	0.18	
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	0.84	0.51	
				Intera	ction (N X K)	)						
'F' test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.29	0.24	0.19	0.16	0.39	0.34	0.41	0.44	0.25	0.29	0.18	
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	0.84	0.51	
Interaction (P X K)												
'F' test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.29	0.24	0.19	0.16	0.39	0.34	0.41	0.44	0.25	0.29	0.18	
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	0.84	0.51	
Interaction (N X P X K)												
'F' test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Sig	Sig	Sig	
SE(m) ±	0.50	0.42	0.33	0.28	0.68	0.60	0.71	0.76	0.43	0.51	0.31	
CD at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.22	1.45	0.88	

 Table 2(b): Interaction effect between nitrogen and phosphorus levels on duration of flowering (days)

	Duration of flowering (days)										
N x P		2018-2019			2019-2020		Pooled				
	N1	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3	N1	$N_2$	N3		
$P_1$	50.33	53.33	57.77	51.00	53.44	59.00	50.66	53.38	58.38		
$P_2$	52.22	56.55	59.55	53.00	57.11	60.88	52.61	56.83	60.22		
P3	53.22	57.22	60.77	53.88	58.11	62.11	53.55	57.66	61.44		
'F' test	Sig			Sig			Sig				
SE(m) ±	0.14			0.17			0.10				
CD at 5%		0.41			0.48			0.29			

Table 2(c): Interaction effect between nitrogen and potassium levels on duration of flowering (days)

	Duration of flowering (days)									
N x K		2018-2019			2019-2020		Pooled			
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	
<b>K</b> <sub>1</sub>	50.00	54.88	58.22	50.55	55.00	59.66	50.27	54.94	58.94	
<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub>	52.22	55.55	59.55	53.11	56.22	60.88	52.66	55.88	60.22	
K3	53.55	56.66	60.33	54.22	57.44	61.44	53.88	57.05	60.88	
'F' test	Sig			Sig			Sig			
SE(m) ±	0.14			0.17			0.10			
CD at 5%		0.41		0.48			0.29			

Table 2(d): Interaction effect between phosphorus and potassium levels on duration of flowering (days)

	Duration of flowering (days)										
P x K		2018-2019			2019-2020		Pooled				
	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3	<b>P</b> 1	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> 3		
<b>K</b> <sub>1</sub>	52.55	54.88	55.66	53.22	55.88	56.11	52.88	55.38	55.88		
<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub>	53.33	56.55	57.44	54.00	57.44	58.77	53.66	57.00	58.11		
K3	55.55	56.88	58.11	56.22	57.66	59.22	55.88	57.27	58.66		
'F' test	Sig			Sig			Sig				
SE(m) ±	0.14			0.17			0.10				
CD at 5%		0.41		0.48			0.29				

Table 2(e): Interaction effect between nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on duration of flowering (days)

	Duration of flowering (days)									
N x P x K		2018-2019			2019-2020		Pooled			
	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	N <sub>1</sub>	$N_2$	N3	
$P_1K_1$	48.66	52.00	57.00	49.66	52.00	58.00	49.16	52.00	57.50	
$P_1K_2$	49.66	52.33	58.00	50.33	52.33	59.33	50.00	52.33	58.66	
P1K3	52.66	55.66	58.33	53.00	56.00	59.66	52.83	55.83	59.00	
$P_2K_1$	50.00	56.00	58.66	50.66	56.33	60.66	50.33	56.16	59.66	
$P_2K_2$	53.00	57.00	59.66	54.00	57.66	60.66	53.50	57.33	60.16	

$P_2K_3$	53.66	56.66	60.33	54.33	57.33	61.33	54.00	57.00	60.83
$P_3K_1$	51.33	56.66	59.00	51.33	56.66	60.33	51.33	56.66	59.66
P3K2	54.00	57.33	61.00	55.00	58.66	62.66	54.5	58.00	61.83
P3K3	54.33	57.66	62.33	55.33	59.00	63.33	54.83	58.33	62.83
'F' test		Sig			Sig			Sig	
$SE(m) \pm$		0.43			0.51			0.31	
CD at 5%		1.22			1.45			0.88	

#### Conclusion

The maximum plant growth was recorded with the combine application of nitrogen 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and potassium 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and the flowering parameters were delayed with the combine application of nitrogen 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and potassium 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### References

- 1. Ahmad I, Muhammad A, Atyab A, Sagheer A. Fertilization enhances growth, yield and xanthophyll contents of marigold, Turk J. Agric 2010;35:641-648.
- Chopde N, Gore KS, Kuchanwar O, Bhande MH. Response of annual chrysanthemum genotypes to different levels of nitrogen, Plant Arch 2015;15(2):801-804.
- 3. Badge S, Raut M, Ganvir GB, Nikam BA. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on flowering and seed quality parameters of annual chrysanthemum, Multilogic in Sci. 2019;9(31).
- Dokuparthi SK, Penumudi M. Phytochemical and pharmacological studies on *Chrysanthemum coronarium* L. A review, J of Drug Disc. and Therapeutics 2015;3(27):11-16.
- Dorajeerao AVD, Mokashi AN, Patil VS, Venugopal CK, Lingaraju S, Koti RV. Effect of graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.), Karnataka J. Agri. Sci 2012;25(2):224-228.
- Karetha KM, Jat G, Singh V, Gajipara NN. Effect of different levels of NPK on growth, yield and yield attributes of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella*) cv. Local Double, The Asian J. of Hort 2011;6(2):344-347.
- Kumar J, Chauhan SS, Singh PV. Response of N and P fertilization on China aster, J. Orna. Hort. New series 2003;6(1):82.
- Kumar NV, Moon SS. Effect of phosphorus and potassium on growth and flowering of African marigold, J. of Soils and Crops 2014;24(1):169-173.
- Maheta P, Polara ND, Rathod J, Barad AV, Bhosale N. Response of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees) cv. Poornima to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in medium black soil, HortFlora Res. Spectrum 2016;5(2):120-123.
- Mali GL, Moond SK, Choudhary A, Bola PK, Choudhary P. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.), HortFlora Res. Spectrum 2016;5(1):48-52.
- 11. Nikam BS, Badge SA, Pawar AR. Growth and seed yield of annual chrysanthemum as influenced by different levels of nitrogen and potassium. Int. J. of Microbiol. Sci. 2018;7(9):563-568.
- 12. Pal P, Ghosh P. Effect of different sources and levels of potassium on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.) cv. 'Siracole'. Indian J of Nat. Prod. and Res. 2010;1(3):371-375.
- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for

agriculture workers. ICAR, New Delhi 1967.

- 14. Saeed A, Noor-ul-Amin. Effect of phosphorus and potassium on the production and quality of cut rose cultivars, Sarhad J. of Agri 2019;35(3):799-805.
- 15. Satar VP, Panchbhai DM, Badge S, Shivankar S. Growth and flower yield of annual chrysanthemum as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus levels 2016;16(2):523-526.
- Shinde M, Khiratkar SD, Ganjure S, Bahadure R. Response of nitrogen and potassium levels on growth, flowering and seed yield of African marigold, J. of Soils and Crops 2014;24(1):89-94.
- 17. Tembhare VJ, Badge SA, Raghatate SM, Mohalkar MV. Growth and seed yield of China aster cv. Poornima as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus, J. of Soils and Crops 2015;25(2):325-329.