www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(6): 964-971 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 01-04-2021 Accepted: 03-05-2021

#### Ravi Pratap Singh

Ph.D. Scholar Horticulture, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **Arun Kumar Singh**

Associate Professor, Horticulture, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **Anshuman Singh**

Ph.D. Scholar Horticulture, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Archana Singh

Subject Matter Specialist, Home Science, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Mankapur, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh. India

Corresponding Author: Ravi Pratap Singh Ph.D. Scholar Horticulture, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on physical parameters of guava cv. Lucknow-49

# Ravi Pratap Singh, Arun Kumar Singh, Anshuman Singh and Archana Singh

#### Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at main experiment Station, Department of fruit Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, (U.P) during the year 2019 and 2020. The experiment was conducted in a Randomized block design (Factorial) with three replications. The treatment combination comprise of 3 factors *viz.* 3 pruning time, 2 pruning intensity and 2 bagging levels. The physical properties of Lucknow-49 (Sardar Guava) variety of guava fruits and its peduncle, stem and plant parameters were studied for the development of harvesting device for guava fruit. Based on the experimental results it can be concluded that result obtained from the present investigation, among different pruning time, the 05<sup>th</sup> June pruning was found most effective in improving physical parameters of guava fruit. The vegetative bud growth, fruit setting, fruit growth and ripening process delayed with 60 per cent pruning intensity results in higher production of quality fruits. The bagging 20 days after fruit set was effective for improving physical characters of guava fruits. Pruning of guava trees in the first week of June with 60 per cent pruning intensity of annual shoot growth and bagging 20 days after fruit set can be recommended to obtain higher yield with quality fruit and maximum return.

Keywords: canopy, pruning, quality, shoots, bagging, season, fruit

# Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.), the "Apple of the tropics" or "Poor man's apple" is one of the most popular fruit crops of tropical and subtropical climate belonging to Myrtaceae family (Radha and Mathew, 2007) [23]. It is the third richest source of Vitamin C (299 mg/100g) after Barbados cherry (1000-4000 mg/100 g pulp) and aonla (600 mg/100g of pulp). According to Gupta (2014) [13], guava contains 2 to 5 times more vitamin C than oranges and 10 times more than tomato. Guava is hardy, prolific bearer and highly remunerative fruit crop grown on a variety of soils under varied agro-climatic conditions. The physical properties of Lucknow-49 variety of guava fruits and its peduncle, stem and plant parameters were studied for the development of harvesting device for guava fruit. This variety was developed at Ganesh Khend garden, Pune by the selection from Allahabad Safeda variety. Its plant are semi-dwarf, vigorous, spreading type, profuse bearing and heavy branching type with flat crown. Leaves are elliptic-ovate to oblong in shape. Its fruits are large, round to ovate in shape, dark green to yellowish green skin colour, white flesh and seeds are in plenty and harder than that of Allahabad Safeda (Singh, 2013a) [27]. Keeping quality of fruits is good. TSS and Vitamin 'C' content also higher. The developed device was tested with Lucknow-49 cultivar.

Joseph and Priya (2011) [15] reported that guava fruit is round to ovate or pear-shaped berry, white or yellow at maturity with yellow or dark pink flesh having numerous seeds. The fruits are usually ready to harvest after 4-5 month of flowering, when the fruit colour change from dark green to yellowish green. Harvesting should be done during the coolest part of the day, which is usually the early morning or late evening when physiological activities of the fruit are low. The fruit as well as its juice are freely consumed for their great taste and nutritional benefits. Singh (2013b) [27] reported that the period of maturity depends on the climate condition of the growing areas. The maturity of fruits is determined based on colour change, specific gravity, total soluble solids, acidity, etc. At the time of harvest, the specific gravity of mature fruit becomes less than 1.0, i.e., mature fruits float in water. The fruits having specific gravity between 1.00 and 1.02 have better shelf life and are good for long distance transportation.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was carried out at Main Experiment Station, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh during year 2019- 20 and 2020- 21. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with thirteen treatments and three replications with one plant in each replication. The plants were planted at spacing of 6 m x 6 m. Thirteen treatment combinations were formed from 3 pruning time, 2 pruning intensity, 2 bagging time and control.

### 1. Number of new shoots per pruned branch

Ten terminally pruned shoots were tagged randomly in all directions on the tree at the time of pruning and number of new shoots emergence per pruned branch was counted in the 1<sup>st</sup> week of November. The average was worked out and expressed as number of new shoots per pruned branch.

#### 2. Length of new shoots (cm)

Ten terminal shoots were tagged randomly in all directions on the tree at the time of pruning. The length of shoots was measured from the point of emergence to its apex at the fruit set stage and the mean value was calculated and expressed as length of new shoots in centimeter.

# 3. Number of flower per branch

Ten terminal pruned shoots were tagged randomly in all directions on the tree at the time of pruning and count the number of flower per pruned branch. The average was workout and expressed as number of flower per branch.

#### 4. Fruit set per branch

Ten branches from each tree were tagged randomly in all four directions and in the centre of canopy, then open flower just after full bloom stage were counted and the average was workout and expressed as fruit set (In respect to number of open flowers) recorded.

#### 5. Number of fruit per tree

Fruits are born in the axil of leaves of the shoot and also those emerged newly on branches where fruit are available were recorded. The average number of fruits per plant was worked out.

# 6. Fruit weight (g)

The weight of harvested fruits was recorded with the help of electronic balance by taking five numbers of representing fruit sample from each treatment and their average weight were determined and expressed in g.

# 7. Volume of fruit (cm<sup>3</sup>)

Volume of fruit was determined by using measuring cylinder, the volume of a liquid i.e. water which was actually displaced by fruit was measured as volume of fruit. It was calculated as per formula by Mazumdar and Majumder (2003) [19].

V = b-a

Where,

V = Volume of fruit (ml)

b = Final volume of water (ml)

a = Initial volume of water (ml)

# 8. Fruit length (cm)

Randomly selected five harvested fruits from each treatment per replication were taken to record fruit parameters. The length was measured vertically from the attached portion of pedicel up to an apex of fruit with the help of vernier calipers and average was worked out according to Magness and Taylor (1925) [18].

# 9. Fruit width (cm)

Randomly selected five harvested fruits from each treatment per replication were taken to record fruit parameters. The width was measured horizontally from the widest point of fruit by the vernier calipers and average was worked out.

### 10. Fruit firmness (kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

The firmness of the fruit was measured with the help of fruit Penetrometer (Model I: GY-3), Capacity: **1-** 12 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> and **2-** 24 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> and it was expressed in Kg./cm<sup>2</sup> (Deepthi *et al.*, 2016) [9]

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### 1. Number of new shoot per pruned branch of guava

The 5th June pruning and 60 per cent pruning intensity gave significantly higher number of shoot per pruned branch of guava. Bagging was not effective for number of new shoots per pruned branch of guava. Higher values were obtained with 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning and the 60 per cent pruning intensity showed significant effect during both the years. Overall the treatments were found effective against control during both the years. Lal (1983) [16] studied that number of new shoot and flower bud emergence were significantly increased in all the pruning treatments. Maximum number of new shoots was observed in winter season by full shoot pruning and 34 shoot pruning in May. He further concluded that three forth shoot pruning in May was best for good crop during winter season. Singh et al. (2001) [29] studied the effect of pruning dates on fruit yield of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda and Sardar. As compared to pruning in February and March, pruning from April through June, enhanced number of shoots and flowering percentage. The study indicated that half shoot (50%) pruning in April and July have positive effect towards vegetative growth, results in less rainy season yield and more number of emergence of new shoots per plant, flower buds per plant and increased fruit weight during winter season (Sah et al. 2017)

Table 1: Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on Number of new shoot per pruned branch

Treatments	Number of new shoot per prunned branch	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	9.91	9.38
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	10.10	9.57
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	8.85	8.38
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.209	0.196
CD (P=0.05%)	0.609	0.572
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	9.14	8.65
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	10.10	9.57

SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.170	0.160
CD (P=0.05%)	0.497	0.467
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	9.43	8.93
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	9.81	9.29
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.170	0.160
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	9.62	9.11
Control (C)	6.60	7.62
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.417	0.392
CD (P=0.05%)	1.218	1.144

#### 2. Length of new shoots of guava (cm)

The 05<sup>th</sup> June pruning and 60 per cent pruning intensity produced significant effect and maximum length of new shoots of guava. Significantly, higher shoot length were obtained with 5th June pruning. The pruning intensity 60 per cent showed significant effect during both the years. The treatments were found effective against control in the year 2020. Jadhav et al. (2002) [14] reported the effects of pruning date (25th April, 25th May and 25th June) and pruning intensity (30 and 60 cm) on the vegetative growth and fruit yield of 16year-old guava cv. Sardar. The number of days before sprouting increased, whereas the average length of shoots, number of flowers and fruits per shoot, average weight of fruits per shoots and crop yield decreased with the delay in pruning. Singh and Chauhan (1998) [28] reported that the heavily pruned trees had significantly longer shoots than light pruning trees. The increase in growth was primarily a function of greater availability of photosynthates and nutrients in the heavily pruned trees. Dubey et al. (2001a) [11] studied the deblossoming by pruning of summer season flower of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda. The greatest length of shoots and number of shoots that emerged after pruning (lateral shoots) were obtained with 100 and 25% pruning intensities. The treatment, pruning at 45 cm shoot length in May gave the highest increase in new shoot length (1.83 cm) at 15 days after pruning (DAP) followed by the treatment 30 cm pruning in April and the lowest (0.31 cm) was recorded in the control (Meena et al. 2016) [20].

**Table 2:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on length of new shoots (cm)

Treatments	Length of new shoots(cm)	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	11.01	10.54
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	11.22	10.74
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	9.83	9.41
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.244	0.235
CD (P=0.05%)	0.711	0.685
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	10.16	9.72
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	11.22	10.74
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.199	0.192
CD (P=0.05%)	0.580	0.560
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	10.48	10.03
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	10.90	10.43
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.199	0.192
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	10.69	10.23
Control (C)	11.76	12.81
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.487	0.470
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	1.371

#### 3. Number of flower per branch of guava

Pruning during 5th June produced maximum number of flowers per branch. The pruning was also effective in year 2019 and 2020, with 60 per cent intensity. Jadhav et al. (2002) [14] reported that the number of days before sprouting increased, whereas the average length of shoots, number of flowers and fruits per shoot decreased with the delay in pruning. Singh and Varu (2017) [33] studied that early flowering during rainy season flush was observed with 10th May pruning. Minimum number of flowers and fruit set per shoot was recorded with 30<sup>th</sup> May pruning in summer season flush, subsequently increased number of flowers and fruits set per shoot with 30<sup>th</sup> May pruning during kharif season flush. Widyastuti *et al.* (2019) [35] reported that pruning treatment was able to accelerate the appearance of flowers and increase the number of generative shoots, the number of flowers per tree, the amount of fruit harvested. Increased flowering response due to pruning is supported by the rate of stomatal conduction; the number of stomata is higher than without pruning. The pruning treatment can accelerate the time the flower appears 10 days faster than without trimming. The minimum number of flowers and fruits in the rainy season and minimum flowers and fruit per shoot in winter season were recorded at 60 cm pruning treatment. (Suleman et al. 2006)

**Table 3:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on number of flower per branch

Treatments	Number of flo	Number of flower per branch	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020	
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	19.04	20.79	
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	19.41	21.19	
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	17.01	18.57	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.328	0.456	
CD (P=0.05%)	0.957	1.330	
B. Pruning intensity			
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	17.57	19.17	
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	19.41	21.19	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.268	0.372	
CD (P=0.05%)	0.781	1.086	
C. Bagging			
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	18.12	19.78	
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	18.86	20.58	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.268	0.372	
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS	
D. Treatment vs Control			
Treatment (T)	18.49	20.18	
Control (C)	20.00	20.33	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.656	0.911	
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS	

# 4. Number of Fruit set/branch

Higher number of fruit set/branch with 05th June pruning and

60 per cent pruning intensity during both the years. The bagging treatment was found non-significant during both the years. However the treatments were found effective against control in the year 2020. Singh and Varu (2017) [33] reported, minimum number of flowers and fruit set per shoot was recorded with 30<sup>th</sup> May pruning in summer season flush, subsequently increased number of flowers and fruits set per shoot with 30<sup>th</sup> May pruning during kharif season flush. To regulate the guava crop, it is essential to reduce the fruit set during the rainy season and subsequently increase the fruit set during winter season (Boora *et al.* 2016) <sup>[6]</sup>.

**Table 4:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on number of Fruit set/branch

Treatments	Number of Fruit set/branch	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	14.39	16.01
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	14.67	16.32
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	12.85	14.30
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.393	0.342
CD (P=0.05%)	1.148	0.998
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	13.27	14.76
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	14.67	16.32
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.321	0.279
CD (P=0.05%)	0.937	0.815
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	13.69	15.23
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	14.25	15.85
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.321	0.279
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	13.97	15.54
Control (C)	12.08	13.33
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.786	0.684
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	1.996

# 5. Number of fruit/ Tree of guava

Pruning time 5<sup>th</sup> June and pruning with 60 per cent intensity yielded highest number of guava fruit per tree. Highest number of fruit per tree was obtained with 05th June pruning time and pruning with 60 per cent intensity during both the years. The treatments were also found effective against control in both the years. Singh and Varu (2017) [33]. In winter season crop, maximum number of fruits per tree and fruit yield per plant and per hectare was recorded with 30th May pruning. Dhaliwal et al. (2000) [10] reported that the maximum number of fruit was recorded with 50% pruning intensity, while the minimum number of fruits was obtained with 100% pruning intensity. The study revealed that heading back at the level of 200 cm and two pinching were found most effective in increasing the growth characters of the plant, i.e. number of sprouts per shoot, flowering intensity, fruit setting, number of fruits/plant and yield as compared to control and other treatments (Saini et al. 2016) [25]. In case of yield and fruit attributes the highest fruit set was registered, highest numbers of fruits per tree, maximum fruit yield was obtained in 30 cm of pruning (Choudhary and Dhakare 2018) [8]. The results of the study revealed that among the various pruning treatments the pruning of 30 cm of apical shoots on 15<sup>th</sup> May proved to be the best in increasing the yield and yield attributes in terms of number of fruits per tree (Singh *et al.* 2020)<sup>[30]</sup>.

**Table 5:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on number of fruit/ Tree

Treatments	Number of	fruit/ Tree
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	184.11	198.87
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	187.69	202.73
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	164.45	177.63
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.085	3.753
CD (P=0.05%)	9.005	10.954
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	169.81	183.43
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	187.69	202.73
SE(m <u>+)</u>	2.519	3.064
CD (P=0.05%)	7.353	8.944
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	175.18	189.22
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	182.33	196.94
SE(m <u>+)</u>	2.519	3.064
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	178.75	193.08
Control (C)	111.00	138.87
SE(m <u>+)</u>	6.171	7.506
CD (P=0.05%)	18.010	21.907

# 6. Length and width of guava fruit (cm)

The 5th June Pruning significantly, increased fruit length and minimum fruit length was measured in 20th June pruning during both the years. The pruning was effective in the year 2019 and 2020, with 60 per cent intensity. The bagging at 20 days after fruit set was found effective for fruit length of guava in year 2020, however the treatments were found effective against control in year 2020. Maximum fruit width was seen with 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning and pruning intensity 60 per cent during both the years. The minimum fruit width was measured in 20th June pruning time and pruning intensity 30 per cent during both the years. Adhikari and Kandel (2015) [3] reported that the increased level of pruning and delayed pruning increased the fruit size and fruit weight in both seasons (rainy and winter). El-Souda (2005) [12] reported that the guava fruit weight and size increased by increasing severity of pruning. The size of fruit were maximum in a tree pruned at the 45 cm level followed by 15 cm level and minimum in unpruned trees (Brar et al. 2007) [7]. Maximum fruit weight, fruit length and fruit breadth in winter season were recorded with pruning of total flower/ fruit bearing portion of current season shoot treatment (Singh et al. 2007b) [32]. There is an increasing trend during growth and development in shoot length, leaf area index, and fruit growth parameters like fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight. At the time of harvest recorded maximum shoot length, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight result (Patil et al. 2017) [22].

Table 6(a): Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on fruit length (cm)

Treatments	Fruit length (cm)	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	6.60	6.82
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	6.73	6.95
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	5.90	6.09

SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.137	0.110
CD (P=0.05%)	0.399	0.321
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	6.09	6.29
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	6.73	6.95
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.112	0.090
CD (P=0.05%)	0.326	0.262
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	6.28	6.49
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	6.54	6.76
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.112	0.090
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	0.262
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	6.41	6.62
Control (C)	5.86	5.93
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.274	0.220
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	0.642

**Table 6(b):** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on Fruit width (cm)

Treatments	Fruit wi	dth (cm)
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	6.18	6.34
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	6.30	6.47
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	5.52	5.67
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.134	0.140
CD (P=0.05%)	0.391	0.410
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	5.70	5.85
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	6.30	6.47
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.109	0.115
CD (P=0.05%)	0.319	0.335
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	5.88	6.04
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	6.12	6.28
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.109	0.115
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	6.00	6.16
Control (C)	5.27	5.46
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.268	0.281
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	NS

# 7. Fruit weight of guava (g)

Pruning time 05th June gave highest fruit weight of guava during 2019. The lowest fruit weight was estimated in treatment, pruning on  $20^{\text{th}}$  June during both the years. The pruning intensity 60 per cent gave significant and maximum fruit weight during 2020. The bagging treatment showed significant effect at 20 days after fruit set in year 2019, however the effect of treatment on control was also found significant during both the years. Adhikari and Kandel (2015) [3] studied the increased level of pruning and delayed pruning increased the fruit size and fruit weight in both seasons (rainy and winter). The study indicated that half shoot (50%) pruning in April and July have positive effect towards vegetative growth, results in less rainy season yield and more number of emergence of new shoots per plant, flower buds per plant and increased fruit weight during winter season (Sah et al. 2017) [24]. The maximum return per tree was obtained from 30 cm pruned tree and minimum in 100 cm pruned tree. They also observed more volume and fruit weight under severe pruning than control (Bajpai et al. 1973) [5]. The guava plant subjected to heavy pruning have lower rates of fruit set fewer fruit per plant and consequently, lower production. However, these fruits produce higher average weight

compared to plants subjected to light pruning (Luiz *et al.* 2008) <sup>[17]</sup>. Maximum fruit weight (305.21 g) and maximum pulp content (54.34 %) was found due to 90 cm of pruning intensity (Choudhary and Dhakare 2018) <sup>[8]</sup>. The results of the study revealed that among the various pruning treatments the pruning of 30 cm of apical shoots on 15<sup>th</sup> May proved to be the best in increasing the yield and yield attributes in terms of number of fruits per tree and also fruit size, weight and yield (Singh *et al.* 2020) <sup>[31]</sup>.

**Table 7:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on Fruit weight (g)

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)		
A. Pruning time	2019	2020	
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	212.10	236.63	
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	216.22	241.23	
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	189.45	211.36	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	4.435	4.928	
CD (P=0.05%)	12.943	14.382	
B. Pruning intensity			
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	201.80	218.25	
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	210.04	241.23	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.621	4.023	
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	11.743	
C. Bagging			
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	197.68	225.15	
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	214.16	234.33	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.621	4.023	
CD (P=0.05%)	10.568	NS	
D. Treatment vs Control		•	
Treatment (T)	205.92	229.74	
Control (C)	146.67	148.33	
SE(m <u>+)</u>	8.869	9.855	
CD (P=0.05%)	25.887	28.765	

#### 8. Fruit Firmness (kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

In the 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning, 60 per cent pruning intensity and bagging at 20 days after fruit set recorded highest fruit firmness (kg/cm²) of guava. However, the effect of treatment verses control was also found significant during both the years. The lowest fruit firmness was observed in pruning on 20<sup>th</sup> June, the 30 per cent pruning and bagging at 10 days after fruit set during both the years (Nehad *et al.* 2017) <sup>[2]</sup> reported that, the bagging fruits with news paper bag increased fruit firmness and total acidity percentage. Abbasi *et al.* (2014) <sup>[1]</sup> reported the newspaper bagged fruit exhibited the lowest weight loss, maximum fruit firmness and highest pH during storage. Un-bagged fruits had the highest value for weight

loss, while least value for fruit firmness. The pre harvest fruit bagging in Royal Delicious apple helps in improving skin colour, reducing blemishes, reducing diseases, insect pest damage, sun burn, fruit cracking, agro-chemical residue and bird damage. Light yellow coloured bags improved fruit firmness (Sharma *et al.* 2014) [26].

**Table 8:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on fruit Firmness (kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Treatments	Fruit Firmness (kg/cm²)	
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	7.81	7.86
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	7.96	8.01
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	6.97	7.02
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.150	0.164
CD (P=0.05%)	0.438	0.479
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	7.43	7.25
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	7.73	8.01
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.122	0.134
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	0.391
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	7.28	7.32
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	7.88	7.94
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.122	0.134
CD (P=0.05%)	0.357	0.391
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	7.58	7.63
Control (C)	6.07	6.20
SE(m <u>+)</u>	0.300	0.328
CD (P=0.05%)	0.875	0.957

# 9. Volume of fruit (cm<sup>3</sup>) of guava

An increase in volume of fruit was observed with 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning gave highest volume of fruit. The lowest volume of fruit was recorded in treatment, pruning on 20<sup>th</sup> June during both the years. Pruning with 60 per cent intensity gave significant, highest volume of fruit during 2020. The bagging treatment, 20 days after fruit set showed significant effect. The effect of treatments on control was also found significant during both the years. Bajpai *et al.* (1973) <sup>[5]</sup> observed that maximum number of fruits was retained on the 30 cm pruned

trees and minimum on 100 cm pruned trees in Allahabad safeda. The maximum return per tree was obtained from 30 cm pruned tree and minimum in 100 cm pruned tree. They also observed more volume and fruit weight under severe pruning than control. Ali and Abdel-Hameed (2014) [4] reported that the fruit weight and fruit volume gave the highest values with apical 20 cm of new pruned shoots at May and June. The maximum fruit weight and volume of fruit was found in the  $T_1$  - Lucknow-49 variety according to Mehta *et al.* (2018) [21].

**Table 9:** Effect of pruning time, pruning intensity and bagging on volume of fruit (cm<sup>3</sup>)

Treatments	Volume of	f fruit (cm³)
A. Pruning time	2019	2020
T <sub>1</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> May)	196.62	223.17
T <sub>2</sub> (Pruning on 5 <sup>th</sup> June)	200.43	227.50
T <sub>3</sub> (Pruning on 20 <sup>th</sup> June)	175.62	199.34
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.682	4.726
CD (P=0.05%)	10.748	13.794
B. Pruning intensity		
P <sub>1</sub> (30% Pruning)	187.07	205.84
P <sub>2</sub> (60% Pruning)	194.71	227.50
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.007	3.859
CD (P=0.05%)	NS	11.263
C. Bagging		
D <sub>1</sub> (Bagging at 10 DAFS)	183.25	208.00
D <sub>2</sub> (Bagging at 20 DAFS)	198.53	225.34
SE(m <u>+)</u>	3.007	3.859
CD (P=0.05%)	8.776	11.263
D. Treatment vs Control		
Treatment (T)	190.89	216.67
Control (C)	122.33	133.33
SE(m <u>+)</u>	7.365	9.452
CD (P=0.05%)	21.496	27.589

#### Conclusion

The maximum number of new shoots per pruned branch and length of new shoots were seen only with 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning

time and 60 per cent pruning intensity during both the years. The maximum number of flower per branch was seen with 5<sup>th</sup> June pruning time and 60 per cent pruning intensity during

both the years. The number of fruit set per branch and number of fruit per tree of guava were recorded maximum with 5th June pruning time and 60 per cent pruning intensity during both the years. The maximum length and width of guava fruits were recorded with 5th June pruning time and 60 per cent pruning intensity during both the years. The bagging was effective with 20 days after fruit set only for fruit length during 2020. The maximum weight of guava fruit was recorded with 5th June pruning time during both the years and 60 per cent pruning intensity during 2020, the bagging was effective with 20 days after fruit set only in 2019. The maximum fruit firmness of guava were observed with 5th June pruning time during both the years and pruning intensity 60 per cent was found effective only in 2020. The bagging was effective with 20 days after fruit set during both the years. The maximum fruit volume of guava was measured with 5th June pruning time during both the years and 60 per cent pruning intensity during 2020. The bagging was effective with 20 days after fruit set during both the years.

The bagging 20 days after fruit set was effective for improving physical characters of guava fruits. Pruning of guava trees in the first week of June with 60 per cent pruning intensity of annual shoot growth and bagging 20 days after fruit set can be recommended to obtain quality fruit and maximum return for guava growers.

#### Reference

- 1. Abbasi NA, Chaudhary MA, Ali MI, Hussain A, Ali I. On tree fruit bagging influences quality of guava harvested at different maturity stages during summer. Int. J Agric. Biol 2014;16:543-549.
- 2. Abdel Gawad-Nehad MA, EL-Gioushy SF, Baiea MHM. Impact of different bagging types on preventing sunburn injury and quality improvement of Keitt mango fruits. Middle East J Agric. Res 2017;6(2):484-494.
- 3. Adhikari S, Kandel TP. Effect of time and level of pruning on Vegetative Growth, Flowering, Yield, and Ouality of Guava. Int. J of Fruit Sci 2015;15:290-301.
- 4. Ali F, Sahar F, Abdel-Hameed AA. Effect of pruning on yield and fruit quality of guava trees. J Agric. Vet. Sci 2014;7(12):41-44.
- 5. Bajpai PN, Shukla HS, Chaturvedi AM. Effect of pruning on growth, yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) var. Allahabad Sufeda. Prog Hort 1973;5:73-79.
- 6. Boora RS, Dhaliwal HS, Arora NK. Crop regulation in guava. Agric. Rev 2016;37(1):1-9.
- 7. Brar JS, Thakur A, Arora NK. Effect of pruning intensity on fruit yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Haryana J Hort. Sci 2007;36(41):65-66.
- 8. Choudhary K, Dhakare BB. Influence of Pruning Intensities on Growth, Yield and Fruit Attributes of Custard Apple. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci Special Issue 2018;7:5311-5315.
- 9. Deepthi V, Phani Chandra Sekhar R, Srihari D, Siva Sankar A. Guava Fruit Quality and Storability as influenced by harvest maturity and post-harvest application of calcium salts. Plant Archives 2016;16(1):174-182.
- 10. Dhaliwal GS, Rattanpal HS, Gill HS. Effect of time and severity of pruning on cropping and physico-chemical properties of Sardar guava. Haryana J Hort. Sci 2000;29(1/2):17-20.
- 11. Dubey AK, Singh DB, Dubey N. Deblossoming of summer season flowering of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)

- by shoot pruning. Prog. Hort 2001a;33(2):165-168.
- 12. E1-Sauda A. Effect of pruning on growth, flowering and fruiting of some guava cultivars. M.Sc. Agri. Thesis, Department of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University 2005.
- 13. Gupta SN. Instant horticulture. Jain brothers, New Delhi 2014, 206.
- 14. Jadhav BJ, Mahurkar VK, Kale VS. Effect of time and severity of pruning on growth and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Orissa J Hort 2002;30(2):83-86.
- 15. Joseph B, Priya MR. Review on Nutritional, Medicinal and Pharmacological Properties of Guava (*Psidium guajava* Linn.). Int J Pharma Bio Sci 2011;1(1):53-59.
- 16. Lal S. Effect of pruning on crop regulation in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) var. Lucknow 49. Prog. Hort 1983;7(3):60-62.
- 17. Luiz AL, Claudia SM, Claudio RP, Inorbert DM, Marlon VM, Flavio DT. Times and intensities of pruning in guava Paluma. Rev. Bras. Frutic 2008, 30(4).
- 18. Magness JR, Taylor GF. An improved type of pressure tester for the determination of fruit maturity and measured fruit length. USDA Circular 350. Washington D.C 1925.
- 19. Mazumdar BC, Majumder K. Handbook of Methods on Physicochemical Analysis of Fruits 2003, 21-23.
- 20. Meena KR, Maji S, Kumar S, Verma S. Influence of shoot pruning for crop regulation and Improving fruit yield of guava. Bioscan 2016;11(2):1355-1359.
- 21. Mehta SK, Singh KK, Rana DK, Bhartwal, Pratibha, Brahmanand. Evaluation of Physico-Chemical Parameters of different Varieties of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under Sub-tropical Condition of Garhwal Himalaya (Uttarakhand), India. Int. J Advanced Scientific Res. and Management, Special Issue I 2018. ISSN 2455-6378.
- 22. Patil, Prabhugouda, Kumar A, Kiran Bhagwan A, Sreedhar M. Studies on crop load, fruit thinning and their effects on growth attributes of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda under meadow planting system. Agric. Update 2017;12(3):804-811.
- 23. Radha T, Mathew L. Fruit crops. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi 2007, 59.
- 24. Sah H, Lal S, Negi SS. Effect of pruning on growth, flowering and yield in high density planting of guava. Int. J Pure App. Biosci 2017;5(1):285-292.
- 25. Saini H, Baloda S, Vijay. Impact of heading back and pinching on vegetative and reproductive parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under high density plantation. J Krishi Vigyan 2016;4(2):47-53.
- 26. Sharma RR, Reddy SVR, Jhalegar MJ. Pre-harvest fruit bagging: A useful approach for plant protection and improved post-harvest fruit quality: A review. J Hort. Sci. & Biotech 2014;89(2):101-113.
- 27. Singh G. Guava, Book Published by Mrinal Goel, Westville Publishing House, New Delhi 2013c, 106.
- 28. Singh D, Chavan JS. Effect of different pruning and Nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of peach (*Prunus persica* Batsch) cv. July Elberta. Haryana J Hort. Sci 1998;27(2):92-97.
- 29. Singh G, Singh AK, Rajan S. Influence of pruning date on fruit yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under subtropics. J Appl. Hort 2001;3(1):37-40.
- 30. Singh, Gurdarshan, Grover, Jagdish. Influence of nodal

- pruning on vegetative and reproductive attributes of Sardar guava. Int. J Chemi. Studies 2020;8(4):921-924.
- 31. Singh, Jyoti, Pandey SK, Rai HK, Vishal Nath, Marboh. Evening Stone and Shiv Poojan. Influence of Spacing and Planting System on Light Interception, Physiological Parameters, Yield and Quality of Litchi cv. Shahi. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2020;9(09):1214-1226.
- 32. Singh H, Boora RS, Singh G. Effect of pruning on crop regulation of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda. Haryana J Hort. Sci 2007b;36(3/4):270.
- 33. Singh, Tejendra, Varu DK. Effect of Pruning in Different Dates on Flowering, Fruiting and Yield Characteristics on Winter Season Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Bhavnagar Red. Trends in Biosciences 2017;10(23):4781-4783.
- 34. Suleman M, Sharma JR, Kumar R, Gupta RB, Singh. Effect of pruning on growth and cropping pattern in guava cv. Lucknow- 49. Haryana J Hort. Sci 2006;35(3/4):211-212.
- 35. Widyastuti RD, Susanto S, Melati M, Kurniawati A. Effect of Pruning Time on Flower Regulation of Guava (*Psidium Guajava*). IOP conf. series: journal of physics conf. series 2019, 1155.