www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(7): 903-906 © 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 14-04-2021 Accepted: 05-06-2021

#### Shailendra Kumawat

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### Ajay Kumawat

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### KP Asati

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### Rajesh Bhuriya

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Shailendra Kumawat Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Effect of different mulches on growth, yield and economics of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

#### Shailendra Kumawat, Ajay Kumawat, KP Asati and Rajesh Bhuriya

#### Abstract

The present investigation entitled Effect of different mulches on growth, yield and economics of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) was carried out during kharif season 2018 at Nursery, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Indore, (M.P.). The experimental material for the present investigation was comprised of 11 treatments of different organic and plastic mulches with control. Results revealed that the maximum growth (*viz.* plant height, leaf area per plant, leaf area index and number of branches per plant), Phenological (*viz.* days to flower initiation, days to 50% flowering, no. of fruit per plant, average fruit weight) and yield attributes (*viz.*, fruit length, fruit width, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, fruit yield per hectare) were recorded under the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflecting (silver) mulch (30 micron). It is revealed from the data, that maximum yield of 134.88 q/ha with maximum net return of Rs.1,76,665/ha and the Maximum cost benefit ratio of 1:2.90 were found under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron).

Keywords: Economics of Chilli, Capsicum annuum, Nursery

#### Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) commonly known as hot pepper, belongs to family solanaceae, and is cultivated as an annual crop worldwide. It is an important spice as well as vegetable crop, where both ripe and unripe fruits are used for culinary, salad and processing purposes. Its extract is used in pharmaceutical industry for colouring the drugs. It is an excellent source of vitamin A and C. Being richest source of vitamin C, it is sometimes referred as capsule of vitamin C (Durust *et al.* 1997)<sup>[8]</sup>. India contributes about 36 percent to the total world production and occupies an area of 0.31 million hectares with a production of 3.76 million tons, while in Madhya Pradesh it covers 0.33 million hectare area and produce 0.574 million tones 2016-2017 Horticulture data base.

Mulches can effectively minimize water vapour loss, soil erosion, weed problems and nutrient loss (Van Derwerken and Wilcox-Lee 1988)<sup>[25]</sup>. Different type of polythene mulches have significant role in decreasing or increasing soil temperature. Mulching with plant residues and synthetic material is a well-established technique for increasing the profitability of many horticultural crops (Gimenez *et al.* 2002)<sup>[9]</sup>. Various organic mulching materials include grass clippings, paddy straw, tree bark, sawdust and plastic are utilized. Mulches also create a micro-environment by retaining soil moisture and changing root-zone temperatures and the quantity and quality of light reflected back to the plants which alter plant growth and development (Crizinszky *et al.* 1995)<sup>[6]</sup>.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiments were carried out during Kharif season 2018, at the research field, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Indore, (M.P.). Indore is situated in Malwa Plateau in western part of M.P. at 22 <sup>0</sup>43" N latitude and 75<sup>0</sup> 66 " E longitudes with an altitude of 555.7 m above the mean sea level (MSL). It has sub-tropical climate having a temperature range from 29  $^{\circ}$ C - 41  $^{\circ}$ C as maximum and 7  $^{\circ}$ C - 23  $^{\circ}$ C as minimum in summer and winter season respectively. It is hottest during March to May while coolest in December and January Relative humidity generally fluctuates between 60 to 85%. In this area, most of the rainfall is received during July to September while winter rains are occasional and uncertain. The average annual rainfall is 941 mm. The south-west monsoon is responsible for the major precipitation. The soil of experimental field was medium black clay in texture with uniform topography. The experimental area was ploughed and harrowed in order to bring the soil in well pulverized condition. FYM was applied @ 20t/ha.

Plots were made according to the layout plan after leveling. The treatments consisted of the 11 different organic and inorganic mulches on chilli were tested in randomized complete block design with three replications with 3.0 X 3.0 m<sup>2</sup> plot size and 60 cm X 59 cm<sup>2</sup> spacing. Observations were recorded under investigation i.e. plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area, leaf area index, Days to flower initiation, Days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit set, days to first fruit harvest, and Yield and its attributing Parameters.

#### **Results and Discussion**

## Effect of different mulches on morphology characters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Among Morphology characters, plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area, leaf area index was studies in chilli. At 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after transplanting.

The maximum plant height (74.56 cm) was recorded in T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant silver mulch @ 30 micron) which was statistically at par with treatment  $T_9$  (White polythene mulch @ 30 micron) (69.21 cm) while, minimum plant height (58.13 cm) was recorded in the treatment  $T_1$  (Control-without treatment) at 120 DAT. All the treatment significantly increased the plant height over control (No mulch) because it had reduced the weed population without adverse effect to the crop. These findings were supported by Ahmad et al. (2011) <sup>[3]</sup>, Belel (2012)<sup>[5]</sup>, Mochiah et al. (2012)<sup>[17]</sup>, Rajablariani and Sheykh Mohamady (2015) <sup>[19]</sup>, Reddy et al. (2016) <sup>[20]</sup>, Helaly et al. (2017) <sup>[11]</sup>, Laxmi Kanth et al. (2018) <sup>[15]</sup>. Similar Leaf area per plant and leaf area index differed significantly due to practice of various type of mulching methods applied on chilli. Significantly higher leaf area per plant (8944) was recorded in T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant silver mulch @ 30 micron).While minimum leaf area per plant (6295) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Control-without treatment). The Maximum leaf area per plant and leaf area index among all the mulches used in the experiment may be due to less weed crop competition. Similar results have been reported by Dennis (2007)<sup>[7]</sup>, Ahmad et al. (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>, Rajablariani and Sheykh mohamady (2015) <sup>[19]</sup>, Reddy et al. (2016) <sup>[20]</sup>, Helaly et al. (2017) <sup>[11]</sup>, Laxmi Kanth et al. (2018) <sup>[15]</sup> and Shivaraj et al. (2018) <sup>[22]</sup>. The significantly maximum number of branches per plant (14.50) was recorded in treatment  $T_8$  (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) while the least number of branches per plant (10.15) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Controlwithout application) at 120 DAT. Maximum number of branches per plant among the mulches in the experiment may be due to less weed crop competition. Similar results have been reported by Belel (2012) <sup>[5]</sup>, Reddy et al. (2016) <sup>[20]</sup> and Helaly et al. (2017)<sup>[11]</sup>. Significant variation in phonological parameters viz., days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first fruit set and days to first fruit harvest responded with the application of different treatments of mulches.

## Effect of different mulches on phenological characters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.).

The early initiation of flowers and days to 50 per cent flowering was recorded in the treatment  $T_8$  (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron). While late flower initiation was occurred in the treatment  $T_1$  (Control-without treatment). The flower initiation is indirectly correlated with soil temperature and available phosphorus and weeds are directly affected by the crop growth due to crop-weed competition for space, light and nutrient etc. Soil temperatures are increased by the mulching. The findings are also in agreement with the findings of William James (1993)<sup>[26]</sup>, Laxmikanth et al. (2018)<sup>[15]</sup> and Shivaraj et al. (2018)<sup>[22]</sup>. The early days to first fruit set and days to first fruit harvest were recorded in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron). While late first fruit set and days to first fruit harvest was occurred in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Control-without treatment. William James (1993) [26], Ahmad et al. (2011)<sup>[3]</sup> and Helaly et al. (2017)<sup>[11]</sup>. The days to first fruit harvest (59.23 days DAT) were recorded in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) which was statistically at par with the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (White polythene mulch @ 30 micron) (63.41 days) and followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Palash straw mulch @ 9 t/ha) (68.25 days) and T<sub>11</sub> (Yellow mulch @ 30 micron) (69.29 days). While late first fruit harvest was occurred in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Control-without mulch) (73.63 days).

## Effect of different mulches on yield and its attributing characters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

The Maximum fruit length and fruit width was observed in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron). While, the minimum fruit length and fruit width was recorded in plants under  $T_1$  control-without treatment. This may be due to decrease weed density and less weed crop competition, increased supply of major plant nutrients and are required in larger quantities for growth and development of plants. The similar result has been found Larios and Santos (1997)<sup>[14]</sup>, Belel (2012) <sup>[5]</sup> and Reddy et al. (2016) <sup>[20]</sup>. Maximum number of fruits per plant (143) was observed in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) as compared to other treatments. While, the minimum number of fruits per plant (101) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Control-without treatment). This may be due to decrease weed density and increased supply of major plant nutrients and are required in larger quantities for growth and development of plants. Nitrogen accelerates the development of growth and reproductive phases and protein synthesis, thus promoting yield attributing characters. Similar results have been reported by Hassan et al. (1995) <sup>[10]</sup>, Hutton and Handley (2007) <sup>[12]</sup>, Ahmad et al. (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>, Belel (2012)<sup>[5]</sup>, Mochiah et al. (2012)<sup>[17]</sup> and Reddy et al. (2016)<sup>[20]</sup>. The treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) recorded maximum average fruit weight (2.83 g) as compared to other treatments. While, the minimum average fruit weight (2.04 g) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Controlwithout treatment). This may be due to decrease weed density and less weed crop competition, increased supply of major plant nutrients and are required in larger quantities for growth and development of plants. The findings are also in agreement with the findings of Larios and Santos (1997)<sup>[14]</sup>, Mochiah et al. (2012)<sup>[17]</sup>, Belel (2012)<sup>[5]</sup> and Reddy et al. (2016)<sup>[20]</sup>. The maximum fruit yield per hectare (134.88) was recorded under the treatment  $T_8$  (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (White polythene mulch @ 30 micron) (128.77 q/ha) and T<sub>7</sub> (Palash straw mulch @ 9t/ha) (121.71) as compared to other treatments. While, the minimum fruit yield per hectare (68.78) was observed in  $T_1$  (Control-without treatment). The probable reason for enhanced pod yield may be due to primitive effects of nutrient (macro and micro) on vegetative growth which ultimately lead to more photosynthetic activities which, enhance carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism of peptic substances, as well as improve the water metabolism and water relation in the plants. White mulches perhaps a combination of the light spectrum reflected and the soil temperature conservation played a role in growth

and yield response of chilli. This can be probable attributed to specific properties of different plastic mulches and their ability to capture more heat during winter season. Similar results have been reported by William James (1993) [26], Larios and Santos (1997)<sup>[14]</sup>, Hassan et al. (1995)<sup>[10]</sup>, Hutton and Handley (2007)<sup>[12]</sup>, Agrawal et al. (2009)<sup>[1]</sup>, Belel (2012) <sup>[5]</sup>, Masarirambi, et al. (2013) <sup>[16]</sup>, Amalia et al. (2014) <sup>[4]</sup>, Rajablariani and Sheykh Mohamady (2015)<sup>[19]</sup>, Reddy et al. (2016)<sup>[20]</sup> and Spehia et al. (2017)<sup>[23]</sup>. Higher money value and less cost of cultivation are desirable traits for getting higher returns. Hence economics of the treatments was work out. It is revealed from the data, that maximum yield of 134.88 q/ha with maximum net return of Rs.1,76,665/ha and the Maximum cost benefit ratio of 1:2.90 were found under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron), followed by T<sub>9</sub> (White polythene mulch @ 30 micron) gave fruit yield 128.77 g/ha and a net return of Rs. 1,66,245/ha with cost

benefit ratio of 1:2.82. While, minimum fruit yield 68.78 q/ha, net return of Rs. 67,640/ha along with cost benefit ratio of 1:1.97 was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control-without mulch). Finding corroborates with their results obtained by Sutagundi *et al.* (2000) <sup>[24]</sup>, Agrawal *et al.* (2009) <sup>[1]</sup>, Kumara *et al.* (2016) <sup>[13]</sup>, Sathiyamurthy *et al.* (2017) <sup>[21]</sup> and Prakash *et al.* (2017).

#### Conclusion

On the basis of present investigation, it is concluded that Treatment  $T_8$  (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) was recorded maximum growth, phonological, yield and its attributing traits in chilli variety Pusa Sadabahar. It is revealed from the data, that maximum yield of 134.88 q/ha with maximum net return of Rs.1,76,665 /ha and the Maximum cost benefit ratio of 1:90 were found under treatment  $T_8$  (Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron).

Table 1: Effect of different mulches on morphology characters of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.)

| S.  | Treatment                                  | Plant height (cm) |       |       |       | Number of branches |      |       |       | Leaf area plant <sup>-1</sup><br>(cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Leaf area<br>index |
|-----|--|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|-------|-------|---|--------------------|
| No. |  | 30<br>DAT         | 60    | 90    | 120   | 30                 | 60   | 90    | 120   | 120 DAT   | 120 DAT            |
|     |  |                   | DAT   | DAT   | DAT   | DAT                | DAT  | DAT   | DAT   |   | 120 200            |
| 1   | T1 - Control (Without treatment)           | 20.27             | 31.91 | 47.05 | 58.13 | 3.57               | 5.87 | 9.77  | 10.15 | 6295  | 3.07               |
| 2   | T2 - Soybean straw mulch @ 6 t/h           | 21.34             | 32.46 | 53.31 | 61.43 | 4.15               | 6.39 | 10.33 | 10.60 | 6900  | 3.45               |
| 3   | T3 - Soybean straw mulch @ 9 t/h           | 22.84             | 34.20 | 57.35 | 61.67 | 5.46               | 7.39 | 11.47 | 11.63 | 7443  | 3.58               |
| 4   | T4 - Wheat straw mulch @ 6 t/h             | 21.07             | 32.31 | 53.34 | 60.08 | 4.02               | 6.12 | 9.85  | 10.35 | 6622  | 3.17               |
| 5   | T5 - Wheat straw mulch @ 9 t/h             | 22.57             | 33.50 | 56.27 | 62.85 | 5.16               | 7.15 | 11.17 | 11.44 | 7267  | 3.53               |
| 6   | T6 - Palash straw mulch @ 6 t/h            | 22.40             | 33.23 | 54.11 | 60.91 | 4.34               | 6.44 | 10.41 | 10.77 | 7100  | 3.48               |
| 7   | T7 - Palash straw mulch @ 9 t/h            | 23.81             | 35.00 | 61.65 | 66.64 | 6.05               | 8.39 | 12.24 | 12.68 | 8240  | 4.22               |
| 8   | T8 - Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) | 27.34             | 38.58 | 66.11 | 74.56 | 7.10               | 9.50 | 13.91 | 14.50 | 8944  | 4.55               |
| 9   | T9 - White mulch (30 micron)               | 25.34             | 35.47 | 63.04 | 69.21 | 6.64               | 9.11 | 12.42 | 14.05 | 8791  | 4.31               |
| 10  | T10 - Blue mulch (30 micron)               | 23.30             | 34.02 | 57.67 | 62.87 | 5.65               | 7.77 | 12.04 | 12.41 | 7564  | 3.79               |
| 11  | T11 - Yellow mulch (30 micron)             | 23.67             | 34.54 | 58.77 | 63.67 | 5.87               | 8.03 | 12.21 | 12.54 | 7918  | 4.12               |
|     | S.Em.±                                     | 0.73              | 1.09  | 1.68  | 1.84  | 0.26               | 0.31 | 0.51  | 0.59  | 219.04  | 0.11               |
|     | C.D. at 5 % level                          | 2.16              | 3.24  | 4.96  | 5.44  | 0.78               | 0.93 | 1.50  | 1.76  | 646.18  | 0.32               |

Table 2: Effect of different mulches on pheonological and yield and characters of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.)

| S.<br>No. | Treatment                                  | Days to<br>flower<br>initiation |       |       | Days to<br>first fruit<br>harvest | Fruit<br>length<br>(cm) | Fruit<br>width<br>(cm) | Number<br>of fruit<br>per plant | Average<br>fruit<br>weight (g) | Fruit<br>yield<br>(q/ha) |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1         | T1 - Control (Without treatment)           | 35.16                           | 44.50 | 52.18 | 73.63                             | 5.50                    | 0.70                   | 101.00                          | 2.04                           | 68.78                    |
| 2         | T2 - Soybean straw mulch @ 6 t/h           | 33.90                           | 42.00 | 49.50 | 72.10                             | 5.90                    | 0.72                   | 119.67                          | 2.21                           | 87.96                    |
| 3         | T3 - Soybean straw mulch @ 9 t/h           | 32.15                           | 40.56 | 47.20 | 70.69                             | 6.55                    | 0.76                   | 126.67                          | 2.47                           | 104.04                   |
| 4         | T4 - Wheat straw mulch @ 6 t/h             | 34.80                           | 43.33 | 49.70 | 73.30                             | 5.67                    | 0.72                   | 114.67                          | 2.14                           | 81.91                    |
| 5         | T5 - Wheat straw mulch @ 9 t/h             | 32.92                           | 40.93 | 48.74 | 71.32                             | 6.40                    | 0.75                   | 122.33                          | 2.36                           | 96.16                    |
| 6         | T6 - Palash straw mulch @ 6 t/h            | 33.34                           | 41.87 | 48.96 | 71.87                             | 6.03                    | 0.74                   | 120.67                          | 2.26                           | 90.76                    |
| 7         | T7 - Palash straw mulch @ 9 t/h            | 30.98                           | 38.30 | 46.50 | 68.25                             | 7.13                    | 0.81                   | 134.80                          | 2.70                           | 121.31                   |
| 8         | T8 - Reflectant (silver) mulch (30 micron) | 28.00                           | 34.67 | 41.65 | 59.23                             | 8.22                    | 0.91                   | 143.00                          | 2.83                           | 134.88                   |
| 9         | T9 - White mulch (30 micron)               | 29.78                           | 38.12 | 45.48 | 63.41                             | 7.81                    | 0.88                   | 139.00                          | 2.78                           | 128.77                   |
| 10        | T10 - Blue mulch (30 micron)               | 31.75                           | 39.40 | 47.10 | 70.51                             | 6.80                    | 0.76                   | 130.00                          | 2.57                           | 111.22                   |
| 11        | T11 - Yellow mulch (30 micron)             | 31.31                           | 39.10 | 46.87 | 69.29                             | 6.93                    | 0.78                   | 134.00                          | 2.66                           | 118.92                   |
|           | S.Em.±                                     | 0.98                            | 1.19  | 1.44  | 2.04                              | 0.21                    | 0.03                   | 4.09                            | 0.07                           | 3.10                     |
|           | C.D. at 5% level                           | 2.91                            | 3.53  | 4.26  | 6.01                              | 0.64                    | 0.09                   | 12.06                           | 0.22                           | 9.14                     |

#### References

- 1. Agrawal Narendra, Panigrahi HK, Sharma D, Agrawal R. Effect of different colour mulches on the growth and yield of tomato under Chhattisgarh region. Indian J Hort 2009;67(Special Issue):295-300.
- 2. Agricoop.govt.in-2017-18 area and production of Horticulture crops.
- 3. Ahmad I, Hussain Z, Raza S, Memon NUN, Naqvi SA. Response of vegetative and reproductive components of chili to inorganic and organic mulches. Pak. J Agri. Sci

2011;48(1):19-24.

- Amalia A, Dadang Prijono D. Effect of mulches, botanical insecticides, and trapes against fruit flies infestation and yield of chilli (*Capsicun annuum*). J ISSAAS 2014;20(2):11-18.
- Belel MD. Effects of grassed and synthetic mulching materials on growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) in Mubi, Nigeria. J Agric. Soc. Sci 2012;8(3):97-99.
- 6. Crizinszky AA, Schuster DJ, Kring JB. Colour mulches

influence yield and insect pest population in tomato. J Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci 1995;120:778-84.

- 7. Dennis Decoteau R. Leaf area distribution of tomato plants as influenced by polyethylene mulch surface color. Hort. Tech 2007;17(3):341-345.
- 8. Durust N, Sumengen D, Durust Y. Ascorbic acid and element contents of Trabzon (Turkey). J Agric. Food Chem 1997;45:2085-87.
- 9. Gimenez C, Otto RF, Castilla N. Productivity of leaf and root vegetable crops under direct cover. Sci. Hort 2002;94:1-11.
- Hassan SA, Abiding RZ, Ramlan MF. Growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in response to mulching and potassium fertilization. J Trop. Agric. Sci 1995;18(2):113-117.
- 11. Helaly AA, Goda Y, Abd El Rehim AS, Mohamed AA, El Zeiny OAH. Effect of polyethylene mulching type on the growth, yield and fruits quality of *Physalis pubescens*. Adv. Plants Agric. Res 2017;6(5):154-160.
- 12. Hutton Mark G, Handley David T. Effects of silver reflective mulch, white inter-row mulch, and plant density on yields of pepper in maine. Hort. Tech 2007;17(2):214-219.
- Kumara N, Loganandhan N, Somashekhar, Gowda BH. Effect of black Polythene mulches on growth and yield of green chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) in Tumkur District, Karnataka. Nat. Env. Poll. Tech 2016;15(1):201-204.
- Larios Farias J, Santos Orozco M. Effect of polyethylene mulch colour on aphid populations, soil temperature, fruit quality, and yield of watermelon under tropical conditions. New Zealand J Crop Hort. Sci 1997;25:369-374.
- 15. Laxmikanth Mallikarjun, Rubeena Tarranum, Kavitha. Effect of colour plastic mulching and different levels of drip irrigation on plant growth parameters of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) crop. J Pharmacog. Phytochem 2018;SP1:3184-3188.
- 16. Masarirambi MT, Mndzebele ME, Wahome PK, Oseni TO. Effects of white plastic and sawdust mulch on 'savoy' baby cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. bullata) growth, yield and soil moisture conservation in summer in Swaziland. American-Eurasian J Agric. Environ. Sci 2013;13(2):261-268.
- Mochiah MB, Baidoo PK, Acheampong G. Effects of mulching materials on agronomic characteristics, pests of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and their natural enemies population. Agric. Biol. J N. Am 2012;3(6):253-261.
- Prajapati OP, Gupta PK, Lekhi R, Patidar J, Jatav R. Effect of different mulches on growth, yield and its attributing characters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv. Kalipeeth. Int. J Agric. Sci 2017;64(8):3599-3602.
- Rajablariani HR, Sheykhmohamady M. Growth of sweet corn and weeds in response to colored plastic mulches. J Adv. Agric. Tech 2015;2(1):42-45.
- 20. Reddy GC, Hebbar SS, Reddy GPD. Effect of plastic mulch and non-mulch on growth, yield and quality of red chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under drip fertigation. Plant Archives 2016;16(2):563-567.
- Sathiyamurthy VA, Rajashree V, Shanmugasundaram T, Arumugam T. Effect of different mulching on weed intensity, yield and economics in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2017;6(3):609-617.
- 22. Shivaraj S, Balakrishnan P, Reddy GV, Srinivas Kandpal

Kavita, Patil RP. Effect of colour plastic mulching on plant growth parameters of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) crop under different levels of drip irrigation. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;7(2):3440-3447.

- 23. Spehia RS, Phurailatpam S, Sharma S, Devi M, Negi A, Singh S *et al.* Effect of different colours of polyethylene mulch and sticky paper traps on disease incidence and yield of bellpepper under protected cultivation. J Pharmacog. Phytochem 2017;6(3):351-353.
- 24. Sutagundi RH. Effect of mulches and manures on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad 2000.
- 25. Van Derwerken JE, Wilcox-Lee D. Influence of plastic mulch and type and frequency of irrigation on growth and yield of bell pepper. Hort. Sci 1988;23:985-88.
- 26. William James Lament Jr. Plastic mulches for the production of vegetable crops. Hort. Tech 1993;3(1):35-39.