www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(7): 1152-1156 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 03-04-2021 Accepted: 09-06-2021

E Sathyanarayana

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultuiral College, Palem, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

G Padmaja

Senior Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

S Harish Kumar Sharma

Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

GE Ch Vidya Sagar

Professor, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

S Triveni

Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology and Bioenergy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

J Bharghavi

Assistant Professor, Department of Crop Physiology Agricultural College, Warangal PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

M Rajashekhar

Scientist, Department of Entomology KVK, Palem PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author: E Sathyanarayana Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Palem, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Effect of different sources and levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc on soil fertility, nutrient content, uptake and yield of soybean

E Sathyanarayana, G Padmaja, S Harish Kumar Sharma, GE Ch. Vidya Sagar, S Triveni, J Bharghavi and M Rajashekhar

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of different sources and levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc on soil fertility status, nutrient uptake, yield and quality of soybean. The results revealed that, with increasing levels of nitrogen (60, 90 and 120 kg ha⁻¹) and phosphorus (40, 60 and 80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) there was increase in soil available N and P_2O_5 with application of N:P₂O₅:K₂O:ZnSO₄ @ 120:80:40:25 kg ha⁻¹ (T₅). The nutrient uptake by soybean was also significantly higher at T₅. The N, P, K and Zn uptake (seed + haulm) found to be 200.94, 27.89, 54.04 kg ha⁻¹ and 195.45 g ha⁻¹, respectively. The soybean seed yield (2159 kg ha⁻¹) was also highest with T₅. However, the yield was on par with T₄ (2115 kg ha⁻¹). With regard to quality parameters the crude protein (41.94%), oil per cent (18.17%) and oil yield (392.18 kg ha⁻¹) also followed the same trend as that of seed yield.

Keywords: Soybean, Fertility status, Nutrient uptake, Yield, Quality.

Introduction

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)] is the second largest oilseed crop in India after groundnut. It is the cheapest and richest source of high-quality protein. It belongs to family Leguminosae and sub-family Papillionaceae. Soybean is also called "Gold of soil" as it builds up the soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen through nodules. Symbiotically soybean fixes nitrogen and leaves about 25 per cent for succeeding crop (Nutan Lal *et al.*, 2019)^[11].

In India soybean is grown in 11.33 million hectares with a production of 13.79 million tonnes and productivity of 1217 kg ha⁻¹ (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2020). The major soybean growing states are Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Karnataka, Telangana and Gujarat. In Telangana the soybean crop is grown in an area of 0.15 million hectares with 0.23 million tonnes of production and a productivity of 1584 kg ha⁻¹. The cultivation of soybean crop is increasing at a faster rate and is extensively grown in Adilabad, Nizamabad, Medak and Karimnagar districts in Telangana state.

Soybean being a legume crop, is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen. However, this nitrogen alone is not sufficient to meet the crop demand for nitrogen. Phosphorus is essential for nodulation in legumes and zinc is an essential micronutrient and plays a key role in chlorophyll formation, growth hormone stimulation, enzymatic activity and reproductive processes (Thenua *et al.*, 2014) ^[15]. Though the consumption of chemical fertilizers in India increased over the years, the use efficiency of nutrients is very low for N (40-50%), P (10-15%) and Zn (2-5%).

To improve the use efficiency of phosphorus and minimize the P-fixation in soil, foliar application through different sources, especially nano P was found effective in groundnut (Swetha Kumari *et al.*, 2018) ^[14]. Studies related to use of nano Zn and nano P in rice & maize were carried out and found that the foliar application of these nutrients was effective (Apoorva *et al.*, 2019) ^[1]. However, studies need to be carried out on crops like soybean to know the nutrient use efficiency using nano fertilizers. Imbalanced and excess use of chemical fertilizers need to be discouraged to reduce the input cost and also to increase the nutrient use efficiency through proper methods of application. Hence, the present study was conducted, to know the effect of different sources and levels of N, P and Zn on soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake, yield and quality of soybean.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during kharif, 2017 at College Farm, Agricultural College, Polasa, Jagtial, Professor Javashankar Telangana State Agricultural University. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 14 treatments replicated thrice. Among the different treatments, three levels of nitrogen (60, 90 and 120 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorus (40, 60 and 80 kg ha⁻¹) along with ZnSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ were applied to soil. Soybean variety JS-335 was used for the study. Nitrogen and phosphorus were supplied as per the treatments. Entire dose of phosphorus was applied as basal while nitrogen and potassium were applied in split doses viz., half as basal and the rest in two splits at vegetative and pod formation stages. Recommended dose of K (40 kg ha⁻¹) was applied to all treatments (T_2 to T_{14}) except control. The N, P and K were applied through urea, diammonium phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. ZnSO₄ was applied @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as basal in T_2 to T_5 treatments. Foliar spraying of nano Zn (1.5 ml/L), biophos (3 ml/L) and nano P (2 ml/L) were done at flowering and pod formation stages of soybean in T_6 to T_{14} treatments.

(7.60) in pH, non-saline (0.18 dSm⁻¹) and have low organic carbon content (0.19%). With regard to available nutrient status, it is low in nitrogen (226 kg ha⁻¹), high in phosphorus (45.20 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (328 kg ha⁻¹), sufficient in sulphur (11.28 mg kg⁻¹) and deficient in zinc (0.52 mg kg⁻¹). The soil samples collected at harvest were analysed for available nutrients viz., N, P2O5, K2O and Zn and the plant samples were analysed for nutrient contents (N, P, K and Zn) following standard procedures and the nutrient uptake was calculated. The soybean yield (kg ha⁻¹) and quality parameters viz., crude protein, oil per cent and oil yield were recorded at harvest. The crude protein content in seed was estimated by multiplying per cent N content in seed with the factor 6.25. Oil content was determined by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectrophotometer and expressed as per centage (Sambunatham *et al.*, 1985) ^[12]. Oil yield was calculated by multiplying the oil content (%) in each treatment with corresponding seed yield as given below:

Oil yield (kg/ha) = $\frac{\text{Oil per cent (\%) x Seed yield (kg/ha)}}{100}$

Treatment Details

The experimental site is sandy clay loam in texture, neutral

| Treatments | Treatment Details |
|-----------------------|---|
| T ₁ | Absolute control |
| T ₂ | Soil application of ZnSO4 @ 25 kg/ha |
| T 3 | 60 kg N + 40 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha + ZnSO ₄ soil application @ 25 kg/ha |
| T 4 | 90 kg N + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha + ZnSO ₄ soil application @ 25 kg/ha |
| T 5 | 120 kg N + 80 kg P2O5 /ha+ ZnSO4 soil application @ 25 kg/ha |
| T ₆ | 60 kg N + 40 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha + Foliar application of nano Zn @ 1.5 ml/L |
| T ₇ | 90 kg N + 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ /ha + Foliar application of nano Zn @ 1.5 ml/L |
| T ₈ | 120 kg N + 80 kg P2O5/ha + Foliar application of nano Zn @ 1.5 ml/L |
| Т9 | 60 kg N/ha + Foliar application of biophos @ 3 ml/L |
| T ₁₀ | 90 kg N/ha + Foliar application of biophos @ 3 ml/L |
| T ₁₁ | 120 kg N/ha + Foliar application of biophos @ 3 ml/L |
| T ₁₂ | 60 kg N/ha + Foliar application of nano P @ 2ml/L |
| T 13 | 90 kg N/ha + Foliar application of nano P @ 2ml/L |
| T ₁₄ | 120 kg N/ha + Foliar application of nano P @ 2ml/L |

Results and Discussion Available Nutrient Status

The data pertaining to available nutrient status at harvesting stage of soybean was presented in table 1.

Among the treatments, it was found that with increasing levels of nitrogen and phosphorus there was significant increase in available nitrogen and phosphorus at harvesting stage of soybean. The highest available nitrogen and phosphorus were found with the treatments T₅ (262 kg N and 52 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) and T_8 (252 kg N and 58 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), respectively. There was no significant variation in soil available phosphorus with foliar application of biophos @ 3 ml/L and nano P @ 2 ml/L in the treatments T_9 to T_{14} . The results also revealed that, there was no significant variation among the treatments with regard to available potassium as uniform recommended dose of 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ was applied to soybean for all the treatments except T_1 (control). Further, higher values of available zinc were recorded with T₂ (0.60 mg kg⁻¹), T_3 (0.55 mg kg⁻¹) and T_4 (0.52 mg kg⁻¹) as compared to other treatments (T_6 to T_8) where foliar application of nano

zinc @ 1.5 ml/L was done.

The increase in N availability at harvesting stage (compared to initial) might be due to direct addition of nitrogen through fertilizer. Further, zinc increase the nodulation in legumes and atmospheric N fixation by increasing nitrogenase enzyme activity thereby the availability of N is increased. Similar results were also reported by Gajghane et al. (2015) [5]. The antagonistic effect of soil applied zinc with higher levels of phosphorus (80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), due to formation of insoluble precipitate of zinc phosphates could be the reason for decrease in available phosphorus at T_5 as compared to the treatments where foliar application of zinc @ 1.5 ml/L (T₆ to T_8) was done. Similar results were also reported by Das *et al.* (2005)^[2]. With increase in levels of nitrogen, there was an increase in K availability due to release of exchangeable K from the surface of clay colloidal complex through its substitution by ammonium ions and vice versa (Simonson et al., 2009) ^[13]. However, there was no significant variation in available potassium.

| Treatments | Available Nitrogen | Available Phosphorus | Available Potassium | Available Zinc | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Treatments | (kg ha ⁻¹) | (kg ha ⁻¹) | (kg ha ⁻¹) | (mg kg ⁻¹) | |
| T ₁ | 175 | 28 | 220 | 0.32 | |
| T ₂ | 191 | 33 | 331 | 0.60 | |
| T 3 | 235 | 49 | 342 | 0.55 | |
| T 4 | 240 | 51 | 355 | 0.52 | |
| T 5 | 262 | 52 | 360 | 0.50 | |
| T 6 | 231 | 53 | 334 | 0.33 | |
| T 7 | 245 | 57 | 341 | 0.35 | |
| T 8 | 252 | 58 | 345 | 0.38 | |
| Т9 | 238 | 33 | 333 | 0.31 | |
| T ₁₀ | 231 | 34 | 335 | 0.34 | |
| T ₁₁ | 256 | 35 | 339 | 0.32 | |
| T ₁₂ | 240 | 31 | 333 | 0.36 | |
| T 13 | 246 | 30 | 339 | 0.39 | |
| T ₁₄ | 259 | 32 | 348 | 0.40 | |
| SEm (±) | 3.70 | 0.72 | 4.09 | 0.01 | |
| CD (0.05) | 10.57 | 2.06 | NS | NS | |

Table 1: Available nutrient status after harvest of soybean as influenced by different sources and levels of N, P and Zn

Nutrient Content and Uptake

The data pertaining to nutrient content and uptake at harvesting stage of soybean were presented in tables 2 and 3. The results revealed that, in soybean seeds with increasing levels of N and P there was an increase in per cent N (6.71%), P (0.80%), K (0.92%) and Zn (58.10 mg kg⁻¹) in T₅ treatment with soil application of N:P2O5:K2O:ZnSO4 @ 120:80:40:25 kg ha⁻¹. With regard to foliar application of nano zinc @ 1.5 ml/L (T₆ to T₈), T₈ recorded significantly higher zinc content (56.6 mg kg⁻¹) and was on par with soil application of zinc sulphate (T₅), with zinc content of 58.10 mg kg⁻¹. Foliar application with two sources of phosphorus (T_9 to T_{14}) revealed that, there was no significant variation in per cent P in soybean seed with biophos @ 3 ml/L and nano P @ 2 ml/L. However, from the foliar application it was found that these sources viz., nano zinc, biophos and nano P can also be used as alternate sources to ZnSO₄, DAP and SSP wherever P and Zn deficiencies are noticed at critical growth stages of soybean, so that the yields will not be affected.

The total nutrient uptake was computed using nutrient content, seed yield and haulm yield of soybean obtained at harvest and given in table 3.

With regard to total uptake (seed + haulm) of nutrients, the highest nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake was recorded with T_5 (200.94, 27.89 and 54.04 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T_4 (180.66, 25.73 and 52.49 kg ha⁻¹) treatments. The highest zinc uptake was noticed with T_5 followed by T_8 with the values of 195.45 and 177.26 g ha⁻¹, respectively.

The trends were similar to that of the drymatter production and nutrient contents. It was observed that with increasing levels of N and P and application of recommended doses of K and ZnSO₄ @ 25 kg ha⁻¹, there was an increase in crop growth, root proliferation and absorption of nutrients reflecting in an increase in nutrient contents of N, P, K and Zn (Mali *et al.*, 2017) ^[9].

| Fable 2. Nutrient content of s | bybean at harvest as influenced by | y different sources and levels of N, P and Zn |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|

| The state of the | Nitrogen (%) | | Phosphorus (%) | | Potassium (%) | | Zinc (mg kg ⁻¹) | |
|------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 1 reatments | Seed | Haulm | Seed | Haulm | Seed | Haulm | Seed | Haulm |
| T_1 | 2.92 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 24.15 | 12.50 |
| T_2 | 5.53 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 42.16 | 15.52 |
| T 3 | 5.90 | 1.26 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 44.62 | 18.16 |
| T_4 | 6.27 | 1.46 | 0.75 | 0.30 | 0.91 | 1.01 | 52.00 | 19.00 |
| T 5 | 6.71 | 1.69 | 0.80 | 0.32 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 58.10 | 21.10 |
| T ₆ | 5.66 | 1.01 | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 51.10 | 18.10 |
| T_7 | 6.20 | 1.38 | 0.72 | 0.29 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 49.00 | 18.78 |
| T 8 | 6.50 | 1.60 | 0.78 | 0.31 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 56.60 | 20.16 |
| Т9 | 5.80 | 1.19 | 0.64 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 26.18 | 14.10 |
| T10 | 6.17 | 1.31 | 0.67 | 0.22 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 27.20 | 13.60 |
| T11 | 6.59 | 1.66 | 0.69 | 0.24 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 28.10 | 13.00 |
| T ₁₂ | 5.68 | 1.15 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 25.68 | 13.72 |
| T ₁₃ | 6.00 | 1.27 | 0.66 | 0.21 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 25.00 | 15.10 |
| T ₁₄ | 6.40 | 1.52 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.84 | 0.91 | 26.10 | 14.00 |
| SEm (±) | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 0.05 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 2.00 | 0.13 |

| | Nitroge | n Uptake (| kg ha ⁻¹) | Phosphorus Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | Potassium Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | Zinc Uptake (g ha ⁻¹) | | |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|--|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Treatments | Seed | Haulm | Total | Seed | Haulm | Total | Seed | Haulm | Total | Seed | Haulm | Total |
| T ₁ | 34.31 | 9.34 | 43.65 | 6.82 | 2.82 | 9.64 | 8.70 | 14.10 | 22.80 | 28.38 | 22.04 | 50.42 |
| T_2 | 75.71 | 19.28 | 94.99 | 8.35 | 3.47 | 11.82 | 10.68 | 15.81 | 26.49 | 57.72 | 29.92 | 87.64 |
| T 3 | 111.63 | 37.70 | 149.33 | 13.24 | 7.78 | 21.02 | 15.51 | 26.63 | 42.14 | 84.42 | 54.33 | 138.75 |
| T 4 | 132.61 | 48.05 | 180.66 | 15.86 | 9.87 | 25.73 | 19.25 | 33.24 | 52.49 | 109.98 | 62.53 | 172.51 |
| T 5 | 144.87 | 56.07 | 200.94 | 17.27 | 10.62 | 27.89 | 19.86 | 34.18 | 54.04 | 125.44 | 70.01 | 195.45 |
| T 6 | 102.22 | 28.04 | 130.26 | 12.82 | 7.77 | 20.59 | 14.63 | 24.15 | 38.78 | 92.29 | 50.25 | 142.54 |
| T ₇ | 121.27 | 42.72 | 163.99 | 14.08 | 8.98 | 23.06 | 17.21 | 29.72 | 46.93 | 95.84 | 58.14 | 153.98 |
| T 8 | 129.48 | 51.20 | 180.68 | 15.54 | 9.92 | 25.46 | 17.93 | 31.36 | 49.29 | 112.75 | 64.51 | 177.26 |
| Т9 | 80.74 | 25.06 | 105.8 | 8.91 | 4.21 | 13.12 | 11.00 | 17.69 | 28.69 | 36.44 | 29.69 | 66.13 |
| T 10 | 107.11 | 32.72 | 139.83 | 11.63 | 5.50 | 17.13 | 14.93 | 23.23 | 38.16 | 47.22 | 33.97 | 81.19 |
| T ₁₁ | 118.09 | 43.03 | 161.12 | 12.36 | 6.22 | 18.58 | 15.59 | 24.62 | 40.21 | 50.36 | 33.70 | 84.06 |
| T ₁₂ | 78.67 | 23.01 | 101.68 | 8.73 | 3.80 | 12.53 | 11.08 | 17.01 | 28.09 | 35.57 | 27.45 | 63.02 |
| T13 | 84.60 | 28.16 | 112.76 | 9.31 | 4.66 | 13.97 | 11.70 | 19.95 | 31.65 | 35.25 | 33.48 | 68.73 |
| T 14 | 109.89 | 35.14 | 145.03 | 11.68 | 5.32 | 17.00 | 14.42 | 21.04 | 35.46 | 44.81 | 32.37 | 77.18 |
| SEm (±) | 1.81 | 0.37 | | 0.19 | 0.11 | | 0.19 | 0.33 | | 1.00 | 0.63 | |
| CD (0.05) | 5.16 | 1.06 | | 0.54 | 0.31 | | 0.54 | 0.94 | | 2.85 | 1.81 | |

Table 3: Nutrient uptake by soybean at harvest as influenced by different sources and levels of N, P and Zn

Yield and Quality Parameters

The results pertaining to seed yield, crude protein, oil per cent and oil yield were given in table 4. The seed yield recorded with T_5 (2159 kg ha⁻¹) was higher than other treatments. However, the yield was on par with that recorded at T_4 (2115 kg ha⁻¹). Hence, among the treatments T_3 to T_5 , T_4 (N:P₂O₅:K₂O:ZnSO₄ @ 90:60:40:25 kg ha⁻¹) found to be the best.

Seed and haulm yield of soybean were significantly influenced by the application of nitrogen and phosphorus. Nitrogen plays an important role in the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acids and increased cell division and cell enlargement and better root growth which finally reflected into higher dry matter production, haulm and seed yield (Morshed *et al.*, 2008) ^[10]. The supply of phosphorus to soil might have accelerated cell division and enlargement, carbohydrate, fat metabolism and respiration in plant

favouring increased growth and yield. The similar results were also reported by Dhage *et al.* (2014)^[4]. Also, zinc might have played an important role in synthesis of carbohydrates and their transport to the site of seed production (Keram *et al.*, 2012)^[7].

The results also revealed that, highest crude protein (%), oil per cent (%) and oil yield (kg ha⁻¹) were recorded with soil application of N:P₂O₅:K₂O:ZnSO₄ @ 120:80:40:25 kg ha⁻¹ with the values of 41.94, 18.17 and 392.18, respectively. Nitrogen plays an important role in improving the protein content and oil content in soybean seeds via higher production of carbohydrates in plants and transferring to seeds (Jahangir *et al.*, 2009) ^[6]. Zinc is associated with activation of enzymes like cystine desulphydrase, dihydropeptidase and glycine dipeptidase responsible for producing oil content in seed and led to more oil yield (Maharnor *et al.*, 2018) ^[8].

| Treatments | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Crude protein (%) | Oil per cent (%) | Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| T 1 | 1175 | 18.27 | 15.38 | 180.92 |
| T_2 | 1369 | 34.58 | 16.72 | 228.93 |
| T 3 | 1892 | 36.88 | 17.83 | 337.39 |
| T 4 | 2115 | 39.19 | 18.04 | 381.57 |
| T 5 | 2159 | 41.94 | 18.17 | 392.18 |
| T ₆ | 1806 | 35.38 | 17.82 | 322.05 |
| T 7 | 1956 | 38.79 | 17.85 | 349.27 |
| T ₈ | 1992 | 40.63 | 17.93 | 357.57 |
| Т9 | 1392 | 36.27 | 17.36 | 241.72 |
| T ₁₀ | 1736 | 38.60 | 17.53 | 304.27 |
| T11 | 1792 | 41.23 | 17.68 | 316.88 |
| T12 | 1385 | 35.54 | 17.22 | 238.55 |
| T13 | 1410 | 37.52 | 17.40 | 245.44 |
| T 14 | 1717 | 40.00 | 17.51 | 300.95 |
| SEm (±) | 30.23 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 7.07 |
| CD (0.05) | 86.34 | 1.80 | 0.64 | 20.20 |

Table 4: Effect of different sources and levels of N, P and Zn on seed yield and quality of soybean

Conclusion

From the results it can be concluded that, soil application of $N:P_2O_5:K_2O:ZnSO_4$ @ 90:60:40:25 kg ha⁻¹ found to be optimum in terms of fertility status, nutrient content, uptake and yield of soybean. Among the foliar treatments of phosphorus, it was found that application of biophos @ 3 ml/L found to be more effective than nano P @ 2 ml/L. Foliar application of phosphorus alone was not effective compared

to soil application of P. However, the different sources of P and Zn can be used for spraying under critical conditions where deficiency symptoms are seen on the crop at critical growth stages. So that the final yield can be protected.

References

- 1. Apoorva MR, Rao PC, Padmaja G, Reddy RS. Effect of various sources of zinc nutrition on zinc status in soil at different growth stages in rice (*Oryza sativa*. L). IJCS 2019;7(3):4557-4559.
- Das K, Dang R, Shivananda TN, Sur P. Interaction effect between phosphorus and zinc on their availability in soil in relation to their contents in stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*). The Scientific World Journal 2005;5:490-495.
- 3. DES. Statistical Reports of Directorate of Economics and Statistics, India 2020.
- 4. Dhage SJ, Patil VD, Ptange MJ. Effect of various levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield, plant nutrient content, uptake and availability of nutrients at harvest stages of soybean. International Journal of Current Microbiological and Applied Sciences 2014;3:833-844.
- Gajghane PG, Toncher SS, Raut MM. Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on soil fertility status after harvest of mustard. Plant Archives. 15-347-351. Agricultural Sciences 2015;81(4):366-370.
- Jahangir AA, Mondal RK, Nada K, Sarker MAM, Moniruzzaman M, Hossain MK. Response of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on grain yield, oil quality and nutrient uptake of soybean. Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 2009;44(2):187-192.
- Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar SD. Impact of Zn application on yield, quality, nutrients uptake and soil fertility in a medium deep black soil (Vertisol). International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology 2012;1(5):563-571.
- Maharnor RY, Indulkar BS, Lokhande PB, Jadhav LS, Padghan AD, Sonune PN. Effect of different levels of zinc on yield and quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Inceptisol. International Journal Current Microbiology Applied Science 2018;6:2843-2848.
- Mali DV, Jadhav SD, Sonune BA, Gore RP, Jadhav SM, Konde NM. Effect of various levels of potassium on yield of soybean and soil nutrient status of farmer's field in Vertisol. International Journal of Chemical Studies 2017;5(5):688-69.
- 10. Morshed RM, Rahman MM, Rahman MA. Effect of nitrogen on seed yield, protein content and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development 2008;6(1):13-17.
- Nutan Lal Dewangan, Anurag Gupta, Sharma HL. Assessment of variability of yellow sticky trap heights in soybean whitefly through standard meteorological weeks and Anova approach. Int J Recent Sci Res 2019;10(9):35662-35665. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2019.1010.4148.
- 12. Sambunatham R, Madhusudahana Raju, Subhadra PB. Analysis of oil content groundnut by nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometry. Journal of Science 1985;36:162-166.
- 13. Simonsson M, Hillier S, Oborn I. Changes in clay minerals and potassium fixation capacity as a result of release and fixation of potassium in long-term field experiments. Geoderma 2009;151(3-4):109-120.
- Swetha Kumari M, Chandrasekhar Rao P, Padmaja G, Ramulu V, Saritha JD. Effect of Different Sources of Phosphorus on Soil Enzymes Activity in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*. L). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2018;7(07):340-349.

 Thenua OVS, Kuldeep S, Vivek R, Jasbir S. Effect of sulphur and zinc application on growth and productivity of soybean [*Glycine max.* (L.) Merrill] in northern plain zone of India. Ann. Agric. Res. New Series 2014;35(2):183-187.