www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(7): 1650-1653 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 01-05-2021

Accepted: 08-06-2021

Pandit MG

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, Collage of Food Technology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Gadhe KS

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, Collage of Food Technology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Alane ST

Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, Collage of Food Technology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Pandit MG Department of Food Chemistry and Nutrition, Collage of Food Technology, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Studies on physic-chemical and mineral evaluation of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean

Pandit MG, Gadhe KS and Alane ST

Abstract

The present work was proposed to study the physico-chemical and nutritional properties of row pearl millet, sorghum and soybean were investigated. The combination of cereals and pulses forms complete food for human beings hence these three ingredients can be used to improve specific or overall quality of diet or processed products. The study was carried out to evaluate proximate physical, chemical and mineral composition of raw pearl millet, sorghum and soybean. 1000 kernel weight, bulk density, true density, porosity and angle of repose was 14.01g, 0.62gm/cm³, 1.29gm/cm³, 37.6% and 28° in pearl millet respectively, similarly in sorghum 30.2 g, 0.67 g/cm³, 1.36 g/cm³ 61.6%, 32°30 and in soybean 110.28 g. 0.74 g/cm³, 1.46 g/cm³, 47.02% and 40°48 respectively. Chemical study of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean revealed that the cereals that is pearl millet and sorghum both are good source of carbohydrate 71.9% and 73.01% respectively. The protein content of soybean was found to be high 38.52%. Soybean and sorghum are good source of phosphorus 671.43 mg/100g and 489 mg/100g respectively. Soybean conten 3.40% fiber.

Keywords: Pearl millet, sorghum, soybean, physicochemical properties, mineral composition

Introduction

Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) is a flexible cereal fertilize for food, feed and for ages (Arora *et al.*, 2003) ^[3] mostly in African and Asian countries (Nambiar *et al.*, 2011) ^[24]. Around all the millet varieties, 29 million hectare and more area is occupied by pearl millet. Pearl millet contain higher protein, fat, fiber and ash content (Sade, 2009) ^[29]. Pearl millet is contain good protein quality in term of its tryptophan and threonine content (Elyas *et al.*, 2002) ^[9] along with higher content of calcium, iron as well as zinc (Yadav *et al.*, 2014 ^[33]; Sade, 2009 ^[29]; Lestienne *et al.*, 2007 ^[22]) makes this crop very useful for human. Energy content of pearl millet is greater than sorghum and equivalent to brown rice due to its rich unsaturated fatty acids (75 per cent) and linoleic acid (46.3 per cent) contents (Jaybhaye *et al.*, 2014).

In Maharashtra, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh sorghum (*Sorghum bicolar (L) Moench*) crop is primarily develop. Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat and Rajasthan are another state for producing sorghum (Chavan *et al.*, 2015). In rural areas like central Maharashtra, per capita annual consumption of sorghum is around 60 kg, consider for almost half of per capita consumption of all cereals (Parthasarthy *et al.*, 2010). Sorghum protein is preferable to wheat protein in biological value and digestibility. Gluten are not present in sorghum and it contains more fiber and micronutrients. Though people suffering from diabetes in India are used sorghum which is digested slowly and an excellent health food (Klopfenstein & Hoseney, 1995) ^[18]. Triglycerides lipids are mostly present in sorghum which are rich in the unsaturated fatty acids, oleic and linoleic, their percentages being 33 and 47, respectively (Salunke *et al.*, 1977; Hall, 2000 ^[13]; Kleih *et al.*, 2000 ^[17]).

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. *Merril*) is the world's most important seed legume, which arrive to 25% of the global edible oil, about two-thirds of the world's protein concentrate for livestock feeding. Soybean meal is a helpful ingredient in formulated feeds for poultry and fish. Soybean has become an important oilseed crop in India in a very short period with approximately 10-million ha area under its cultivation. Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh and Chattisgarh are the major soybean growing states (Agarwal *et al.*, 2013)^[1].

Soybean protein contain reliable amount of essential amino acid such as histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, threonine, tryptophan and valine which is recommended for daily intake as a balanced diet (Erdman & Fordyce, 1989)^[10]. Soybean protein are useful in diabetes because of its contian glycine and arginine, which acts to reduce blood insulin levels. Soybean fibre may be helpful due to its insulin-moderated effect. Soybean also helps to reduce CVD chances soybean protein as well as reducing cholesterol and triglycerides levels, may produce an increase of lipoprotein A, which is potentially detrimental in antiatherogenic therapy (Inmaculada *et al.*, 2008)^[14], improvement in bone mineral density (Kreijkamp *et al.*, 2004)^[20].

Materials and methods

The good quality of flaxseed was procured from Parbhani local market. The seeds were cleaned manually. The moisture content of seed was determined using the hot air oven method (AOAC, 2000).

Analytical Methods

The pearl millet, sorghum and soybean were analyzed for chemical composition namely moisture, protein, fat, ash, crude fiber and minerals composition includes calcium, phosphorus, iron and magnesium was carried out as per the method given by (AOAC, 2005)^[2]. Nutrient were analyzed in duplicate and result were expressed on dry weight basis.

Proximate analysis

Different chemical properties of samples were analyzed for moisture content, ash, fat, protein and total carbohydrate. All the determinations were done in triplicate and the results were expressed as the average value.

Moisture content

Moisture content was determined as per the method given by (AOAC, 2005)^[2]. It was calculated using following formula.

Ash: Drying the sample at 100°C and churned over an electric heater. It was then ashes in muffle furnace at 550 °C for 5 hrs. It was calculated using the following formula:

Weight of crucible with ash - weight of empty crucible

Fat

AOAC (2005)^[2] method using soxhlet apparatus was used to determined crude fat content of the sample. The percent of crude fat was expressed as follows:

Protein

Protein content was determined using AOAC (2005) ^[2] method. Percentage of nitrogen and protein calculated by the following equation:

Total Protein = % Nitrogen \times 6.25

Total carbohydrate

Total carbohydrate content of the samples was determined as total carbohydrate by difference, calculated by subtracting the measured protein, fat, ash and moisture from 100.

Determination of minerals

Two grams of defatted sample was weighed and heated at 550 °C. Then, the obtained ash were digested with concentrated Hydrochloric acid (HCL) on hot plate. The digested material was then filtered using what man No. 42 filter paper and the final volume made to 100ml with distilled water that was further used for analysis with respects to iron, calcium, potassium, contents by using methods (Ranganna S. 1986)^[27].

Results and Discussion

Physical properties of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean

Different physical properties such as thousand kernel weight, bulk density, true density, porosity and angle of repose of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean were evaluated, and results obtained are presented in table

Parameters	Mean value			
	Peral millet	Sorghum	Soybean	
1000 kernel weight (g)	14.01	30.2	110.28	
Bulk density (g/cm ³)	0.62	0.67	0.74	
True density (g/cm ³)	1.29	1.36	1.46	
Porosity (%)	37.6	61.6	47.02	
Angle of repose (°)	28	32°30	40°48	

Table 1: Physical properties of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean

Each value is average of three determinations

Data from tables 1 showed that the average 1000 kernel weight of pearl millet was recorded 14.01 g, bulk density of millets was found to be 0.62 gm/cm³, True density was 1.29 g/cm³, porosity was calculated and recorded 37.6% whereas pearl millet showed the angle of repose of 28°. Engineering properties of pearl millet grain were calculated and it was

found that result of pearl millet grain were similar with (Chhabra, 2017)^[6].

Data from tables 1 showed that the physical properties of sorghum were evaluated 1000 kernel weight, bulk density, true density and angle of repose was 30.2 g/cm^3 , 0.67 g/cm^3 , 1.36 g/cm^3 , 32^030 respectively. The resistance to air flow of

bulk grain is the function of porosity. The highest value of porosity was recorded in sorghum was 61.6%. The similar results were obtained by (Butti *et al.*, 2017)^[4].

Similarly the data in table 1 revealed that 1000 kernel weight of soybean seed was found highest 110.28 g. Due to size difference large deviation was recorded in 1000 kernel weight. The bulk density and true density of soybean were 0.74 g/cm^3 and 1.46 g/cm^3 respectively. The porosity of soybean was 47.02%. The angle of repose of soybean was $40^{\circ}48$. The results of soybean were close agreement to the results obtained by (Tavakoli *et al.*, 2009) ^[31]. The bulk density and angle of repose founded similar with result of

(Chigbo, 2016)^[7].

Chemical composition of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean

Chemical composition generally represents the nutritional quality of product. It is necessary to determine the proximate composition of pearl millet so as to judge its effect on final product after utilization as a novel ingredient. The chemical parameters like moisture content, protein, fat, carbohydrate, crude fiber and ash content of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean were estimated and obtained data was noted in the table 2 as shown below.

Composition	Mean value		
Composition	Peral millet	Sorghum	Soybean
Moisture (%)	9.59±0.25	9.9±0.31	7.8±0.2
Fat (%)	2.2±0.21	1.58±0.21	19.5±0.54
Carbohydrates (%)	71.9±0.50	73.01±0.41	27.88±0.75
Protein (%)	12.8±0.30	11.5±0.34	38.52±0.38
Ash (%)	1.53±0.12	1.51±0.11	2.90±0.22
Fiber	2.0±0.11	2.5±0.14	3.4±0.31

Table 2: Chemical composition of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean

Each value is average of three determinations

It is observed that the moisture content of pearl millet found to be 9.59 per cent. The fat content of pearl millet was noted to be 2.2 percent. The carbohydrate content was calculated and recorded to be 71.9 percent in pearl millet. Protein content in pearl millet was noted to be 12.8 percent. Pearl millet has reported to have crude fiber and ash conten 2.0 and 1.53 percent respectively. The result obtained is similar to the study of (Malik, 2015) and (Taylor *et al.*, 2010) ^[23, 32].

The proximate composition of the sorghum flour was analyzed. Table 4.2 data show that compare to pearl millet and soybean, sorghum contain more amount of carbohydrate. The moisture, total fat, carbohydrates, total protein, crude fiber and total ash of sorghum are 9.9 percent, 1.58 percent, 73.01 percent, 11.5 percent, 2.5 per cent and 1.51 per cent respectively. The similar results were obtained by that (Patekar *et al.*, 2017), (Chavan *et al.*, 2009) ^[5] and (Jambamma *et al.*, 2011) ^[15].

It was observed from the data presented in table 4.2 that the soybean flour contained 7.8 percent of moisture. Crude fat, carbohydrate, crude protein and crude fiber of soybean flour

were observed 19.5 percent, 27.88 percent, 38.52 percent and 3.40 percent respectively. Compare to pearl millet and sorghum, soybean contain more amount of protein, carbohydrates and fiber. Ash content of full fat soybean flour contained about 2.9 percent. Ash content is an indication of the level of minerals present in food material this suggests that soybean can help in boosting the mineral content of prepared product. The obtained results for the proximate composition of full fat soybean flour were found similar to that of results of (Kokani & Ranganathan 2018), (Chinma & Gernah 2007) ^[19, 8]. The results for the ash and crude fat are comparable to the results obtained by the (Kuzniar *et al.*, 2016) ^[21].

Mineral composition of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean Mineral know as the micro components and inorganic elements needed by the body as structural component and regulators of body processes. The mineral like phosphorus, calcium, iron, magnesium of pearl millet, sorghum and soybean were estimated and results presented in the table 3.

Table 3: Minera	l composition	of pearl	millet,	sorghum	and soybean
-----------------	---------------	----------	---------	---------	-------------

Maranala	Mean value			
Minerals	Peral millet	Sorghum	Soybean	
Phosphrous(mg/100g)	288	489	671.43	
Calcium(mg/100g)	41	34.12	245.23	
Iron(mg/100g)	8	4.42	12.60	
Magnesium(mg/100)	131	160	150	

*Each value is average of three determinations

The data regarding calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, iron of pearl millet depicted in table pearl millet contain calcium 41 mg/100g. Phosphorus content of pearl millet was 288 mg/100g. Magnesium content in pearl millet was observed 131 mg/100g. The concentration of iron content 8 mg/100g was found in the pearl millet. The results obtained are more or less similar to the study findings of (Gopalan *et al.*, 2004) ^[11], (Nithya *et al.*, 2006) and (Gull *et al.*, 2014) ^[25, 12]

The data regarding phosphorus, calcium, iron and magnesium of sorghum and soybean was depicted in table 4.3. The concentration of phosphorus and calcium is higher in soybean as compare to sorghum was recorded to be 671 mg/100g, 245.23 mg/100g and 489 mg/100g, 34.12 mg/100g respectively.

The concentration of iron and magnesium of sorghum was found to be 4.42 mg/100g and 160 mg/100g respectively. All mineral composition of sorghum grain are comparable values were obtained by (Kayode, 2006). The concentration of iron and magnesium of soybean was found to be 12.60 mg/100g and 150 mg/100g respectively. The similar results were obtained with (Rohini *et al.*, 2015) ^[28] and (Gopalan *et al.*, 1989).

References

- 1. Agarwal DK, Billore SD, Sharma AN, Dupare BU, Srivastava SK. Soybean: Introduction, Improvement, and Utilization in India - Problems and Prospects Agricultural Research 2013.
- AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. In: Horwitz, W. (Ed.), 18th Ed. AOAC Press, and Arlington, VA, USA 2005.
- 3. Arora P, Sehgal S, Kawatra A. Content and HClextractability of minerals as affected by acid treatment of pearl millet. Journal of Food Chemistry 2003;80(1):141-144.
- Butti P, More DR, Srilatha P, Chavan RF. Screening of sorghum varities for papad preparation. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2017;6(7):1116-1124
- Chavan UD, Patil JV, Shinde MS. Nutritional and Roti quality of sorghum genotypes. Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science 2009;10(2):80-87
- 6. Chhabra N, Kaur A. Studies on physical and engineering characteristics of maize, pearl millet and soybean. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(6):1-5
- Chigbo J. Selected physical properties of soybean in relation to storage design. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications 2016;6(2):71-75
- Chinma CE, Gernah DI. Physicochemical and sensory properties of cookies produced from cassaca/soyabean/mango composite flours. Journal of Food Technology 2007;5(3):256-260
- 9. Elyas SH, Tinay AH, Yousif NE, Elsheikh EA. Effect of natural fermentation on nutritive value and *in vitro* protein digestibility of pearl millet. Journal of Food Chemistry 2002;78(1):75-79.
- 10. Erdman JW Jr, Fordyce EJ. Soy products and the human diet. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1989;49(1):725-737.
- 11. Gopalan C, Ramashastri B, Balasubramanium S. Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutrition, ICMR, Hyderabad 2004.
- Gull A, Jan R, Nayik G, Prasad K, Kumar P. Significance of Finger Millet in Nutrition, Health and Value added Products: A Review. Journal of Environmental Science, Computer Science and Engineering & Technology 2014;3(3):1601-1608
- 13. Hall AJ. Sorghum utilization and the livelihoods of the poor in India, A review of findings and recommendations, International Crops Res. Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, ICRISAT, Patancheru 41 2000.
- 14. Inmaculada Mateos-Aparicio, Araceli Redondo-Cuenca, Villanueva-Suárez MJ. Soybean, a promising health source Article in Nutricion hospitalaria: organo oficial de la Sociedad Espanola de Nutricion Parenteraly Enteral 2008.
- 15. Jambamma, Imaya Kumari A, Kailappan R. Study of Physico-Chemical Properties of food grain sorghum and product ready-to-cook mix from sorghum. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research 2011;1(3):96-99
- Kayodé APP. Diversity, Users' Perception and Food Processing of Sorghum: Implications for Dietary Iron and Zinc Supply. Ph.D. Thesis, Wageningen University, Netherlands, Wageningen 2006,151p.
- 17. Kleih U, Bala RS, Dayakar RB. Industrial utilization of sorghum India. Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics,

ICRISAT, Patancheru 38 2000.

- Klopfenstein CF, Hoseney RC. Nutritional properties of sorghum and millets. In, Sorghum and Millets, Chemistry and Technology. American Association of Cereal Chemists, USA 1995,125-168
- 19. Kokani RC, Ranganathan TV. Processing of Thalipeeth Flour-Blend from Various Cereal-Pulse Malt Flour and Its Quality Evaluation during Storage. International Journal of Science and Research 2018;7(2):922-929.
- 20. Kreijkamp-Kaspers S, Kok L, Grobbee DE, de Haan EH, Aleman A, Lampe JW *et al.* Effect of soy protein containing isoflavones on cognitive function, bone mineral density and plasma lipids in postmenopausal women: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of American Medical Association 2004;292(1):65-74.
- Kuzniar P, Szpunar-krok E, Findura P, Buczek J, Bobrecka-jamro D. Physical and chemical properties of soybean seeds determine their susceptibility to mechanical damage. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture 2016;103(2):183-192
- 22. Lestienne I, Buisson M, Lullien-Pellerin V, Picq C, Trèche S. Losses of nutrients and anti-nutritional factors during abrasive decortication of two pearl millet cultivars. Journal of Food Chemistry 2007;100(4):1316-1323.
- 23. Malik S. Pearl millet-nutritional value and medicinal uses. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education 2015;1(3):414-418
- 24. Nambiar VS, Dhaduk JJ, Neha S, Tosha S, Rujuta D. Potential functional implications of pearl millet in health and disease. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science 2011;1(10):62-67.
- 25. Nithya K, Ramachandramurty B, Krishnamoorthy V. Assessment of antinutritional factors, mineral and enzyme activities of the traditional and hybrid pearl millet as influenced by different processing methods. Journal of applied science and research 2006;2(12):1164-1168
- 26. Parthasarathy RP, Basavaraj G, Ahmad W, Bhagavatula S. An analysis of a availability and utilization of sorghum grain in India. Journal of Semi Arid Tropical Agriculture Research 2010;8:1-8
- 27. Ranganna S. Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruit and vegetables Products. Second Edition. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Limited, New Delhi 1986.
- 28. Rohini J, Kiran G, Neerja S. Oilseed for better health. Journal of Nutrition Research 2015;3(1):50-53
- 29. Sade FO. Proximate, antinutritional factors and functional properties of processed pearl millet. Journal of Food Technology 2009;7(3):92-97.
- Salunkhe DK, Kadam SS, Chavan JK. Nutritional quality of protein in grain sorghum. Plant Foods Human Nutrition 1977;27:187-205
- 31. Tavakoli H, Rajabipour A, Mohtasebi SS. Moisturedependent some engineering properties of soybean grains. Department of Agricultural Machinery Engineering, Faculty of Biosystems Engineering, University of Tehran 2009;11(1):01-14
- Taylor J, Barrion S, Rooney L. Pearl millet New developments in ancient food grain. Cereal Foods World 2010;55(1):16-19
- 33. Yadav DN, Sharma M, Chikara N, Anand T, Bansal S. Quality characteristics of vegetable blended wheat pearl millet composite pasta. Journal of Agricultural Research 2014;3(3):263-270.