



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2021; 10(9): 361-364
© 2021 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 18-06-2021
Accepted: 30-07-2021

Vighnesh
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru,
Karnataka, India

Seenappa C
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru,
Karnataka, India

Harisha S
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru,
Karnataka, India

Kalyanamurthy KN
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru,
Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
Vighnesh
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru,
Karnataka, India

Effect of foliar nutrition on yield and nutrient uptake of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*)

Vighnesh, Seenappa C, Harisha S and Kalyanamurthy KN

Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra (GKVK), University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), Bangalore. To evaluate the effect of foliar nutrition on growth and yield of cowpea under changing climate. Experiment consists of application of three foliar nutrients and their concentrations (DAP, Pulse magic and Ampoxcilin) at 40 DAS the experiment consist of thirteen treatments replicated thrice in RCBD. The yield attributes were significantly varied with foliar application of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin. Higher number of pod plant⁻¹ (27.8), Pod length (18.1 cm) and number of seeds pod⁻¹ (17.5) were recorded. Whereas, lower number of pod plant⁻¹ (20.6), pod length (14.7 cm) and number of seeds pod⁻¹ (13.5) were recorded with 75% RDF + Water spray. Higher seed yield, net returns and BC ratio (1357 kg ha⁻¹, Rs. 60534 ha⁻¹ and 2.91, respectively) recorded with application of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin and lower seed yield, net returns and BC ratio (Rs. 24556 ha⁻¹ and 1.71, respectively) were obtained with 100% RDF + Water spray.

Keywords: Cowpea, DAP, pulse magic, Ampoxcilin, yield and nutrient uptake

Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) is one of the most important pulse crop among the various green legumes. It is also called as vegetable meat due to high amount of protein in grain and better biological value on dry weight basis. Besides being a rich source of protein, they maintain soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation in soil and thus play a vital role in furthering sustainable (Salih, 2013) [10]. India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world accounting for 33.6 percent of the world area and 24 per cent of the world production of pulses. The area under pulse crop is increasing continuously but productivity is decreasing year by year. The reasons for decreasing productivity are due to decreasing soil fertility especially macro and micronutrients, imbalanced use of fertilizer and occurrences of physiological disorders factors such as inefficient partitioning of assimilates, poor pod setting, excessive flower abscission and lack of nutrients during the critical stages of crop growth leads to nutrient stress, poor growth and productivity were found to be some of the yield barriers of pulse crop. These nutrients are more important because in pulse crop to synchronized flowering altered the source-sink relationship due to rapid translocation of nutrients from leaves to the developing pods (Chandrasekhar and Bangarusamy, 2003) [11]. To overcome these constraints, additional nutrition through foliar feeding is play a vital role in pulse production by stimulating root development, nodulation, energy transformation, various metabolic processes and increasing pod setting and thereby increasing the yield.

Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra (GKVK), University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), Bangalore. The center is situated in the agro-climatic zone V: Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka at 12°58' North latitude and 77°35' East longitude with an altitude of 930 m above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site was red sandy loam in texture, classified under the order *Alfisols*. The composite soil samples from 0 to 30 cm depth were collected randomly in experimental area before sowing from each replication. The moisture content at field capacity was 18.63 per cent with a bulk density of 1.43 g cc⁻¹. The soil of the site is slightly acidic in reaction (pH 6.05) with medium electrical conductivity (0.30 dS m⁻¹) and organic carbon content (0.43%). It has low available nitrogen (242.04 kg ha⁻¹), medium phosphorus (26.13 kg ha⁻¹) and medium potassium (281.31 kg ha⁻¹), respectively.

The experiment included of thirteen treatments laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. Treatments involved of application of foliar nutrients. T₁ 100% RDF (Control), T₂ 100% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS, T₃ 100% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS, T₄ 100% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, T₅ 75% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS, T₆ 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS, T₇ 75% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, T₈ 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS, T₉ 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, T₁₀ 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, T₁₁ 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, T₁₂ 100% RDF + Water spray and T₁₃ 75% RDF + Water spray. The cowpea variety KBC-2 seeds were sown in lines at the rate of 25 kg ha⁻¹ at a depth of 2-3 cm, maintaining 45 cm row to row and 10 cm plant to plant spacing.

The crop was fertilized with 25 kg N, 50 kg P₂O₅ and 25 kg K₂O through urea, single super phosphate and murate of potash respectively, and labour input for all the operations. Samples were dehydrated in hot air oven at 70 °C and powdered by using Willey mill to permit through 40 mm mesh sieve.

The powdered material was collected in paper bags and examined for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Micro Kjeldhal method, Vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method and flame photometer method, respectively) and subsequently, the uptake per hectare was computed. Nutrient uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by crop and weed was calculated for each treatment separately using the following formula.

$$\text{Nutrient uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Nutrient concentration (\%)}}{100} \times \text{Dry matter (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$$

Results and Discussion

The experiment results were discussed in the subsequent sub-headings:

Effect on yield

Among different foliar nutrition treatments, application of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin spraying at 40 DAS, recorded significantly higher seed (1357 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (3550 kg ha⁻¹) compared to all the treatments. However, it was statistically on par with application of 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS, (1285 and 3231 kg ha⁻¹) and 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS, (1271 and 3101 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Foliar nutrition increased the synthesis and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink which in turn registered higher number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹ and hundred seed weight. The cumulative and conjunctive application of nutrients to the crop might have enjoyed with sufficient nutrient condition for a longer period of time and the nutrient uptake there by allowing the plant to perpetuate with all the yield components and yield. These result were in conformity with the findings of Subba Rami Reddy *et al.* (2011) [13], EL – Habbasha *et al.* (2012) [3] and Mishra *et al.* (2012) [7]. Whereas, the lower seed yield (859 kg ha⁻¹) and haulm yield (2153 kg ha⁻¹) was noticed in 75% RDF + Water spray (Table 1).

Table 1: Plant height, Number of branches Plant⁻¹, Seed yield and haulm yield of cowpea influenced by foliar nutrition

Treatments	Plant height (At 60 DAS)	Number of branches Plant ⁻¹ (At harvest)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 100% RDF (Control)	51.5	7.9	869	2172
T ₂ : 100% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	63.7	9.6	1135	2813
T ₃ : 100% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	64.0	9.6	1141	2820
T ₄ : 100% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS	60.7	9.4	1102	2634
T ₅ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	63.6	9.6	1126	2763
T ₆ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	64.0	9.9	1149	2823
T ₇ : 75% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS	60.1	9.3	1084	2580
T ₈ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	68.5	10.7	1271	3101
T ₉ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS	64.0	10.0	1160	2868
T ₁₀ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS	68.7	11.0	1285	3231
T ₁₁ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS	69.4	11.5	1357	3550
T ₁₂ : 100% RDF + Water spray	52.9	7.9	872	2204
T ₁₃ : 75% RDF + Water spray	50.1	7.5	859	2153
S. Em. ±	1.8	0.4	52	168
C.D. (P=0.05)	5.3	1.3	151	489

Effect on nutrient uptake

Among the different treatments foliar application with combinations of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin at 40 DAS shows significantly higher nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (115.2 N, 17.4 P₂O₅ and 128.8 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) (Table 2), and it was on par with foliar application of 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin (110.9 N, 17.0 P₂O₅ and 17.0 K₂O kg ha⁻¹). Whereas, the lower nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake was recorded in 75% RDF + Water spray (76.3 N, 12.8 P₂O₅ and 86.2 K₂O kg ha⁻¹), respectively. Foliar application with 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxcilin increased nitrogen uptake might be due to increased availability of nitrogen to the crop and higher biomass production and retarded the loss of chlorophyll and

leaf nitrogen with increased photosynthesis and increase in nitrogen supply during flowering and pod filling stages of cowpea. The increase in uptake of phosphorus and potassium has been attributed to the foliar spray of micro and macro nutrients and growth hormone increase the uptake of nutrients from soil and also increases metabolic activity in the plant cell. The increased in nutrient uptake with foliar application because of increased balance supply of nutrients and good response by the plants resulted in enhanced translocation of nutrients to reproductive structure *viz.*, pods, seeds and other plant parts. Since, foliar application of fertilizers had higher N, P and K content in seed and straw, therefore, it can be inferred that nutrient absorption mechanism also got stimulated under these treatments. The significant effect of foliar nutrition on nutrient uptake might

be due to its easy availability and absorption of nutrients under foliar spray without spending much energy for their transport and without any loss in transit. The results were in corroboration with Srivastava and Srivastava (1994) [12],

Sathyamoorthi *et al.* (2007) [11], Geetha and Velayutham (2009) [2], Venkatesh and Basu (2011) [14], and Mondal *et al.* (2011) [8].

Table 2: Seed crude protein content, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P₂O₅) and potassium (K₂O) uptake by cowpea influenced by foliar nutrition

Treatments	Crude Protein (%)	N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₂ O ₅ uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	K ₂ O uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : 100% RDF (Control)	26.1	78.1	13.1	88.2
T ₂ : 100% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	26.6	102.1	15.8	116.5
T ₃ : 100% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	26.4	102.8	15.9	116.7
T ₄ : 100% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	26.4	95.2	15.0	110.6
T ₅ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	26.5	102.1	15.7	115.3
T ₆ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	27.0	102.8	15.9	116.5
T ₇ : 75% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	26.2	94.7	14.6	108.8
T ₈ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	27.2	110.0	16.9	126.8
T ₉ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	27.0	103.2	16.0	116.9
T ₁₀ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	27.3	110.9	17.0	127.2
T ₁₁ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	27.7	115.2	17.4	128.8
T ₁₂ : 100% RDF + Water spray	26.3	79.6	13.2	90.7
T ₁₃ : 75% RDF + Water spray	25.8	76.3	12.8	86.2
F- test	NS	*	*	*
S. Em. ±	0.4	3.4	0.5	4.1
C.D. (P=0.05)	-	9.8	1.3	11.9

Economics

The data pertaining to economics of cowpea cultivation influenced by foliar nutrition presented in Table 3. The higher gross returns and B: C ratio (Rs. 92276 ha⁻¹ and 2.91, respectively) in cowpea were obtained with application of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin and lowest gross returns (Rs. 58412 ha⁻¹) with 75% RDF + Water spray. The higher net returns (Rs. 60534 ha⁻¹) of cowpea was obtained with application of 75% RDF + 2%

DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin and lowest net returns and B:C ratio (Rs. 24556 and 1.71, respectively) were obtained with 100% RDF + Water spray. The gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio are higher from higher seed yield with foliar nutrition because of greater availability of essential nutrients to plant, better translocation of photosynthates leads to higher haulm and grain yield. The similar results were reported by Martin Stanley (2013) [6], Rajeshkumar *et al.* (2017) [9] and Kumar and Simaiya, (2019) [5].

Table 3: Cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and BC ratio of cowpea influenced by different foliar nutrition

Treatments	Total cost of cultivation (A)	Gross returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) (B)	Net return (Rs. ha ⁻¹) (C=B-A)	B:C Ratio (D=B/A)
T ₁ : 100% RDF (Control)	33490	59092	25602	1.76
T ₂ : 100% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	34980	77180	42200	2.21
T ₃ : 100% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	36690	77588	40898	2.11
T ₄ : 100% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	36040	74936	38896	2.08
T ₅ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP at 40 DAS	28493	76568	48076	2.69
T ₆ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	30203	78132	47930	2.59
T ₇ : 75% RDF + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	29553	73712	44160	2.49
T ₈ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic at 40 DAS	30443	86428	55986	2.84
T ₉ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	29793	78880	49088	2.65
T ₁₀ : 75% RDF + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	31503	87380	55878	2.77
T ₁₁ : 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin at 40 DAS	31743	92276	60534	2.91
T ₁₂ : 100% RDF + Water spray	34740	59296	24556	1.71
T ₁₃ : 75% RDF + Water spray	28253	58412	30160	2.07

Summary

Combined application of 75% RDF + 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin contributes higher seed yield and income. Foliar application of 2% DAP + 2% Pulse magic + 0.2% Ampoxicilin along with 75% RDF resulted into 57 per cent increased in grain yield (1357kg ha⁻¹) over 75% RDF alone (859 kg ha⁻¹) besides reduction in required fertilizers and cost of cultivation.

References

- Chandrasekhar CN, Bangarusamy U. Maximizing the yield of mungbean by foliar application of growth
- Geetha P, Velayutham A. Refinement of nutrient management techniques for growth, yield and nutrient uptake of rice fallow blackgram. *MADRAS AGRIC J* 2009;96(1-6):163-166.
- Habbasha SF, Ahmed AG, Mohamed MH. Response of some Chickpea varieties to compound foliar fertilizer under sandy soil conditions. *J Applied Sci. Res* 2012;8:1577-1583.
- Khalilzadeh R, Tajbakhsh M, Jalal J. Growth characteristics of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) affected

regulating chemicals and nutrients. *MADRAS AGRIC. J* 2003;90(1-3):142-145.

- by foliar application of urea and bio-organic fertilizers. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci* 2015;4(10):637-642.
5. Kumar D, Simaiya RSV. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on yield and economics of blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) under rainfed Vertisols of Central India. *J Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2019;8(1):2373-2376.
 6. Martin Stanley M. Effect of nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad 2013.
 7. Mishra JP, Praharaj CS, Singh KK, Narendra K. Impact of conservation practices on crop water use and productivity of chickpea under middle Indo Gangetic plains. *J Food Legume* 2012;25:310-313.
 8. Mondal MMA, Rahman MA, Akter MB, Fakir MS. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen and micronutrients on growth and yield in mungbean. *Legume Res* 2011;34(3):166-171.
 9. Rajeshkumar S, Durairaj SN, Kannan V. Effect of Crop Geometry and Foliar Nutrition on Growth and Yield of Irrigated Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci* 2017;6(11):4084-4094.
 10. Salih HO. Effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, B and Zn on nutrient concentration and seed protein of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *J. Agri. Vet. Sci* 2013;6:42-46.
 11. Sathyamoorthi K, Amanullah MM, Vaiyapuri K, Somasundaram E. Influence of increased plant density and fertilizer application on the nutrient uptake and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Res. J Agric. Bio. Sci* 2007;3(6):886-895.
 12. Srivastava GP, Srivastava VC. Effect of irrigation and foliar spray of nutrients on growth and seed yield of gram (*Cicer arietinum*). *Indian J Agric. Sci* 1994;64(4):219-222.
 13. Subba Rami Reddy AJ, Sateesh Babu, Chandra Sekhar Reddy M, Mujeeb Khan M, Murali Rao M. Integrated nutrient management in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) *Int. J, Appl. Boil and Pharmaceutical Tech* 2011;2:467-470.
 14. Venkatesh MS, Basu PS. Effect of foliar application of urea on growth, yield and quality of chickpea under rainfed conditions. *J Food legume* 2011;24(2):110-112.