



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2021; 10(9): 387-390

© 2021 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 08-06-2021

Accepted: 19-08-2021

K Bhavitha

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural University, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Dr. K Suresh

Professor, Department of Agronomy, O/o COE, Examination Center, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Dr. M Madhavi

Senior Professor, Department of Agronomy, Associate Dean, Agricultural College, Aswaraopeta, PJTSAU, Kothagudem, Telangana, India

Dr. T Ram Prakash

Principal Scientist and Head, AICRP on Weed Management, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author:

K Bhavitha

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agronomy, Agricultural University, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Growth parameters of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and weed control efficiency of post-emergence herbicides as influenced by quality of spray fluid

K Bhavitha, Dr. K Suresh, Dr. M Madhavi and Dr. T Ram Prakash

Abstract

The effect of post-emergence herbicides + adjuvants and quality of spray fluid combinations on growth parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and weed control efficiency (WCE) was studied in an field experiment conducted during *rabi* 2020-21 at College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad. The results revealed that there is a negative influence on weed control efficiency and growth parameters of maize when saline waters (C₃S₁ and C₃S₂) were used as spray fluid in combination with herbicides + adjuvant (ammonium sulphate). Among chemical treatments, halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ with AMS @ 2% with distilled water as spray fluid followed by halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ alone with distilled water recorded higher growth parameters and WCE. Whereas, the lowest WCE and growth parameters were recorded with 2,4-D-Dimethyl amine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ alone with C₃S₂ water as spray fluid. Significantly higher WCE (%) and growth parameters were recorded in HW at 20 and 40 DAS. Distilled water as spray fluid with all the herbicide combinations recorded higher WCE and growth parameters in maize as compared to class C₃S₁ and C₃S₂ water as spray fluids.

Keywords: Maize, growth parameters, halosulfuron + atrazine, ammonium sulphate, distilled water, C₃S₁, C₃S₂ class spray fluid

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays*) is one of the major cereal crops and stands first in production among cereals in the world. It is also known as “queen of cereals”. The global consumption pattern of maize is feed-61%, industry-22% and food-17%. In India, maize ranks third after rice and wheat and is grown for grain as well as fodder purpose. In India about 15 million farmers are engaged in maize cultivation. To meet the demand according to growing national consumption pattern, India would require about 45 M Mt of maize by 2022 (Source: Maize Vision, 2022) [4]. For the purpose of achieving the above scenario by 2022, there is need for increase in productivity of maize in India. There are several agronomic constraints for low productivity of maize. Among all other constraints, weeds constitute one of the major economic important problem for maize growers and it can reduce yield upto 65-83% if weeding is done after critical period of crop weed competition (Anwesh Rai *et al.*, 2018) [1]. Although farmers use herbicides for efficient control of weeds, its efficiency is achieved only if quality of spray carrier is superior. Water is the primary herbicide carrier solvent and is a critical component for herbicide applications. Quality of water plays an important role for optimum performance of the herbicides. Herbicide performance has been inconsistent with variation of carrier water quality (Nalewaja and Matsyjak, 1991) [6].

Hard water is caused by the presence of calcium and magnesium bicarbonates, sulphates, chlorides and nitrates. These ions can interfere with chemicals by the process of inactivation, breakdown or precipitation. The neutralization or inactivation of hard water cations is possible by addition of a suitable adjuvant to the spray tank. An adjuvant is any compound that is added to a herbicide formulation or tank mix to facilitate the mixing, application, or effectiveness of that herbicide. Ammonium sulphate (AMS) is most popularly used which reduces the antagonist effect of hard water cations and improve efficacy of herbicides. It conditions water by reacting with the dissolved cations to form insoluble sulfates that will not react with the herbicide and is recommended in most areas with hard water (Hartzler, 2001) [3].

Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2020-21 at College farm, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad with twenty treatments, laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The soil of the research field was sandy loam in texture with low in available nitrogen (220.77 kg ha⁻¹) and phosphorus (9.38 kg ha⁻¹), and high in available potassium (351.18 kg ha⁻¹). Total rainfall received during crop growth period was 18.8 mm with weekly maximum temperature ranging from 26.4°C to 35.1°C and minimum temperature ranging from 10.9°C to 17.6°C.

The experimental treatments comprised of twenty with two factors, factor 1 (herbicides + adjuvant) with 6 levels included were H₁: tembotrione 34.4% SC 120 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine 50% WP 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ without adjuvant, H₂: tembotrione 34.4% SC 120 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine 50% WP 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + ammonium sulphate @ 2% as adjuvant, H₃: 2,4- D-Dimethyl amine 58% SL 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ without adjuvant, H₄: 2,4- D-Dimethyl amine 58% SL 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + ammonium sulphate @ 2% as adjuvant, H₅: halosulfuron methyl 75% WDG 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine 50% WP 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ without adjuvant and H₆: halosulfuron methyl 75% WDG 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine 50% WP 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + ammonium sulphate @ 2% as adjuvant and factor 2 (quality of spray fluid) with 3 levels included were W₁: C₃S₁ class (EC – 0.75 to 2.25 dS m⁻¹; SAR – 0 to 10), W₂: C₃S₂ class (EC – 0.75 to 2.25 dS m⁻¹; SAR – 10 to 18) and W₃: distilled water and with two external controls, C₁: unweeded control and C₂: weed free plot (hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS).

The maize cultivar sown was 'DHM-117' with a seed rate of 20 kg ha⁻¹ at a spacing of 60 cm × 20 cm at a depth of 5-7 cm. The crop was fertilized with 180: 60: 60 kg ha⁻¹ N, P₂O₅ and K₂O in the form of urea, di- ammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP) respectively. Post-emergence herbicides was applied at 21 DAS *i. e.*, at 2-3 leaf stage of weeds using knapsack sprayer with flat fan nozzle predominantly on weeds with different qualities of spray fluids prepared in laboratory. The data of weed parameters was recorded using 1.0 m × 1.0 m quadrat at 30 and 60 DAS. Statistical significance was tested by F-value at 0.05 level of probability and critical difference was worked out where ever the effects were significant.

Weed control efficiency (%) was calculated using formula suggested by Mani *et al.* (1973) [5].

$$\text{WCE (\%)} = \frac{\text{DMc} - \text{DMt}}{\text{DMc}} \times 100$$

DMc- Dry matter of weeds in the weedy check (g m⁻²)

DMt- Dry matter of weeds in the treated plots (g m⁻²)

Results and Discussion

Weed flora

The major weed flora noticed in the experimental field were *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Cynodon dactylon* L., *Digitaria sanguinalis* L., *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* L., *Echinochloa spp* L., *Eleusine indica* L., *Euphorbia hirta* L., *Parthenium hysterophorus* L., *Commelina benghalensis* L., *Celosia argentia* L., *Trianthema portulacastrum* L., *Cleome viscosa* L. and *Digera arvensis* L. The weed flora recorded in this investigation were also reported by Swetha *et al.* (2018) [9] in their study in sandy loam soils of Rajendranagar.

Weed control efficiency (%)

The weed control efficiency indicates the efficiency of the applied herbicide or weed control practices. It expresses the percentage reduction in weed dry matter of weed control treatments in comparison to weedy check. Persual of data on WCE (%) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS (Table 1) indicated that higher WCE was recorded with hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS followed by tank mix application of halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + AMS @ 2% at 21 DAS as PoE with distilled water as spray fluid and tank mix application of halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ alone at 21 DAS as PoE with distilled water as spray fluid. The lower WCE was recorded with 2, 4- D-Dimethyl amine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ with C₃S₂ water as spray fluid which might be due to the application of herbicide with C₃S₂ spray fluid in the absence of adjuvant reduced the toxicity of herbicide to weeds because of hard water cations and increased the weed dry matter compared to herbicide application with adjuvant. These results are in accordance with findings of Geoffrey and Aaron (2019) and Roskamp *et al.* (2013) [2, 7].

Growth parameters

The data on growth parameters were recorded at 30 days interval till harvest of the crop. The difference in growth parameters were statistically non-significant at 30 DAS and significant at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest among the treatments and between treatment combinations.

At 30 DAS, there was no significant difference in growth parameters between the treatments among both the factors (herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray fluids) and two controls and this might be due to application of PoE herbicides at 21 DAS *i. e.*, 2-3 leaf stage of the weeds which did not show much influence on growth parameters of maize immediately after application (Table 2).

Highest plant height (Table 3), leaf area (Table 4) and dry matter production (Table 5) at 60 and 90 DAS was recorded with tank mix application of halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + AMS @ 2% with distilled water as spray fluid (H₆W₃) and superior to other treatment combinations and this could be attributed to broad spectrum control of weeds and reduced crop weed competition in the early stages of the crop due to application of adjuvant (AMS) and use of distilled water (hard water cations free) as spray carrier increased the herbicide efficacy by increasing the penetration of herbicide into the weed leaves and ultimately reflected in higher growth parameters. Ammonium ion of AMS increases the foliar absorption and trans-cuticular movement of the herbicides and increases herbicide efficacy and reflects in growth parameters as reported by Roskamp *et al.* (2013) [7] and lowest growth parameters were recorded with 2,4-D-Dimethyl amine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ alone with C₃S₂ class spray fluid. The similar findings of higher dry matter production in maize crop were also reported by Rasool and Khan (2016) [8].

At harvest, also highest plant height and dry matter production were recorded with tank mix application of halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + AMS @ 2% with distilled water as spray fluid (H₆W₃) and

superior to other treatment combinations and data on leaf area at harvest (Table 2) revealed that different herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray fluid have a significant influence but their interaction effects were non-significant. The leaf area at harvest was reduced compared to 90 DAS in all the treatments which might be due to devoid of physiological processes at the time of harvest makes the leaves tend to dryup resulting in lower leaf area. HW at 20 and 40 DAS recorded maximum leaf area and superior to other treatments. Whereas in different herbicides + adjuvant

treatments, tank mix application of halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + AMS @ 2% at 2-4 leaf stage of weeds as PoE recorded maximum leaf area and statistically significant over other treatments. Under quality of spray fluids, maximum leaf area was observed with use of distilled water as spray fluid and found to be superior over spray fluids of class C₃S₁ and C₃S₂. Among all the herbicidal combinations, 2,4-D-Dimethyl amine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ alone with C₃S₂class water as spray fluid recorded lowest WCE and growth parameters.

Table 1: Effect of herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray carriers on weed control efficiency (WCE %) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS in maize

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
H ₁ W ₁	65.05	47.84	39.74
H ₁ W ₂	56.01	39.96	33.03
H ₁ W ₃	83.31	64.73	57.30
H ₂ W ₁	77.57	54.96	49.82
H ₂ W ₂	74.64	52.12	47.54
H ₂ W ₃	85.86	73.33	60.55
H ₃ W ₁	47.66	29.69	31.05
H ₃ W ₂	41.61	38.10	29.24
H ₃ W ₃	65.90	50.77	46.96
H ₄ W ₁	57.21	49.53	43.03
H ₄ W ₂	51.30	45.90	41.67
H ₄ W ₃	66.90	55.73	50.11
H ₅ W ₁	75.71	62.67	54.29
H ₅ W ₂	74.17	59.02	53.61
H ₅ W ₃	89.49	79.56	74.08
H ₆ W ₁	83.72	70.26	62.80
H ₆ W ₂	81.97	69.58	61.94
H ₆ W ₃	91.45	80.42	75.16
C ₁	-	-	-
C ₂	95.49	88.42	83.53

Table 2: Effect of herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray carriers on growth parameters in maize at 30 DAS

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm ²) at 30 DAS	Leaf area (cm ²) at harvest	Dry matter production (kg ha ⁻¹)
Herbicides + Adjuvant				
H ₁	31.11	206.33	1394.88	204
H ₂	31.57	211.63	1484.07	207
H ₃	30.99	199.60	1262.65	198
H ₄	31.16	208.49	1297.66	200
H ₅	31.00	201.16	1522.38	208
H ₆	31.28	209.94	1619.08	210
S.Em±	0.91	6.25	30.24	6.49
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	86.57	NS
Water Quality				
W ₁	31.27	207.51	1411.50	204
W ₂	31.01	202.48	1391.12	203
W ₃	31.28	208.58	1487.74	207
S.Em±	0.64	4.42	21.39	4.59
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	61.21	NS
H × W				
S.Em±	1.57	10.83	52.39	11.24
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Control vs Rest				
C ₁	31.30	198.00	1141.34	194
C ₂	31.60	216.22	1702.61	212
S.Em±	1.17	8.07	39.05	8.38
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	79.03	NS

Table 3: Effect of herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray carriers on plant height (cm) in maize at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest

Herbicide + adjuvant	60 DAS				90 DAS				At harvest			
	Water Quality				Water Quality				Water Quality			
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	Mean	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	Mean	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	Mean
H ₁	120.65	113.66	136.33	123.55	194.00	181.67	221.64	199.10	198.00	185.33	225.00	202.78
H ₂	129.19	127.65	146.45	134.43	208.31	206.90	236.76	217.32	212.31	210.23	239.76	220.77

H ₃	90.85	83.94	105.79	93.53	144.94	132.61	169.48	149.01	148.27	137.27	173.15	152.90
H ₄	98.79	97.74	106.66	101.06	157.41	156.94	169.57	161.31	162.00	160.93	173.90	165.61
H ₅	139.45	138.02	155.85	144.44	224.51	222.23	250.46	232.40	228.18	226.00	254.12	236.10
H ₆	148.85	147.00	157.07	150.97	237.96	237.83	252.87	242.89	241.29	240.17	255.54	245.66
Mean	121.30	118.00	134.69		194.52	189.70	216.80		198.34	193.32	220.25	
Control 1				79.93				126.27				130.61
Control 2				161.35				259.60				262.26
	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest
S.Em±	1.27	0.89	2.19	1.63	2.34	1.65	4.05	3.02	2.25	1.59	3.90	2.91
CD (P=0.05)	3.62	2.56	6.27	3.31	6.69	4.73	11.59	6.11	6.45	4.56	11.17	5.88

Table 4: Effect of herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray carriers on leaf area (cm²) in maize at 60 and 90 DAS

Herbicides + adjuvant	60 DAS				90 DAS			
	Water Quality			Mean	Water Quality			Mean
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃		W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	
H ₁	2099.52	1949.53	2405.55	2151.53	3618.14	3436.81	4068.42	3707.79
H ₂	2264.23	2240.52	2600.09	2368.28	3866.42	3823.14	4300.25	3996.60
H ₃	1506.94	1364.12	1800.96	1557.34	2813.20	2641.20	3200.23	2884.88
H ₄	1657.63	1646.61	1810.52	1704.92	3028.57	2986.53	3265.14	3093.41
H ₅	2443.43	2413.27	2783.85	2546.85	4102.24	4082.77	4555.24	4246.75
H ₆	2640.51	2619.84	2855.27	2705.21	4385.24	4313.55	4560.56	4419.79
Mean	2102.04	2038.98	2376.04		3635.63	3547.33	3991.64	
Control 1				1251.95				2542.20
Control 2				2951.42				4671.31
	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest
S.Em±	24.60	17.39	42.60	31.75	33.58	23.74	58.15	43.35
CD (P=0.05)	70.40	49.78	121.94	64.27	96.11	67.96	166.46	87.73

Table 5: Effect of herbicides + adjuvant and quality of spray carriers on dry matter production (kg ha⁻¹) in maize at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest

Herbicides + Adjuvant	60 DAS				90 DAS				At harvest			
	Water Quality			Mean	Water Quality			Mean	Water Quality			Mean
	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃		W ₁	W ₂	W ₃		W ₁	W ₂	W ₃	
H ₁	2364	2210	2712	2429	7558	7178	8177	7638	11405	10698	12655	11586
H ₂	2567	2517	2930	2671	7891	7842	8499	8077	12013	11949	13399	12454
H ₃	1666	1508	2017	1730	6330	6046	6939	6438	8729	8457	10015	9067
H ₄	1855	1826	2066	1916	6654	6610	6992	6752	9374	9365	10057	9599
H ₅	2775	2734	3174	2894	8216	8198	8847	8420	12691	12657	14033	13127
H ₆	3029	2975	3253	3086	8567	8501	8866	8644	13501	13447	14084	13677
Mean	2376	2295	2692		7536	7396	8053		11286	11095	12374	
Control 1				1383				5899				7996
Control 2				3353				9013				14175
	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest	H	W	H x W	Control vs Rest
S.Em±	24.45	17.29	42.35	31.57	54.91	38.82	95.10	70.88	111.37	78.75	192.91	143.78
CD (P=0.05)	69.99	49.49	121.22	63.89	157.16	111.13	272.21	143.47	318.79	225.42	552.17	291.02

Conclusions

Among all the treatments, tank mix application of PoE herbicides halosulfuron methyl @ 67.5 g ha⁻¹ + atrazine @ 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ + AMS @ 2% with distilled water as spray fluid proved to be effective in weed control. Distilled water as spray fluid proved to be effective in increasing the herbicide efficacy compared to class C₃S₁ and C₃S₂ water as spray fluids and showed increased growth parameters. Though hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS recorded maximum growth parameters and WCE but realized maximum cost of cultivation compared to herbicidal treatments.

References

1. Anwesh Rai, Mahata D, Lepcha E, Kousik Nandi, Pijush KM. A Review on Management of Weeds in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2018;7(8):2906-2922.
2. Geoffrey PS, Aaron JP. Weed control by 2,4-D dimethyl amine depends on mixture water hardness and adjuvant inclusion but not spray solution storage time. Weed Technology 2019;34:107-116.
3. Hartzler B. Role of AMS with glyphosate products. Iowa

state University extension Agronomy 2001, <http://www.weeds.iastate.edu/mgmt/2001/ams.htm>.

4. <https://ficci.in> Maize Vision 2022.
5. Mani VS, Malla ML, Gautam KC, Bhagwandas. Weed killing chemicals in potato cultivation. Indian Farming 1973;22:17-18.
6. Nalewaja JD, Woznica Z, Matysiak R. 2,4-D Amine Antagonism by Salts. Weed Science Society of America and Allen Press 1991;5(4):873-880.
7. Roskamp JM, Chahal GS, Johnson WG. The effect of cations and ammonium sulfate on the efficacy of dicamba and 2,4-D. Weed Technology 2013;27:72-77.
8. Shahid Rasool, Mudasir Hafiz Khan. Growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by integrated weed management under temperate conditions of north western Himalayas. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 2016;14(1):1-9.
9. Swetha K, Madhavi M, Pratibha G, Ram Prakash T. Efficacy of Herbicide Mixtures with and without Adjuvants on Weed Control and Yield of Maize. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2018;9(3):578-583.