www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; 10(9): 1685-1688 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 06-07-2021

Accepted: 09-08-2021

#### Mannem Rajanarasimha

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **Rajesh Singh**

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Ekta Singh

Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Mannem Rajanarasimha M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of sulphur and calcium on growth and yield of groundnut [Arachis hypogea L.]

# Mannem Rajanarasimha, Rajesh Singh and Ekta Singh

#### Abstract

A field experiment was executed during *Zaid* season of 2020-21 at crop research farm of SHUATS, Prayagraj to study about the influence of different methods of Sulphur and Calcium on growth and yield of Groundnut. The experiment was laid out in most commonly encountered Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replication of each treatment for all traits. In view of this experiment two methods are applied Sulphur and Calcium. Sulphur levels  $S_1(15 \text{ kg/ha})$ ,  $S_2(30 \text{ kg/ha})$ ,  $S_3(45 \text{ Kg/ha})$  Calcium C1(20kg/ha); C(40kg/ha),C3(60kg/ha). Results were revealed that maximum number of Plant height (73.56), dry weight (32.06 g/plant), effective nodules/plant (19.46), no of pods /plant (21.33), no. of kernels/pod(2), Seed index (42.80 gm) were found to be significantly higher with application of treatment Sulphur 45kg/ha + Calcium 60kg/ha as compared to the other treatments. Maximum values were recorded higher in the application of Sulphur 45kg/ha + Calcium 60kg/ha + Calcium 60kg/ha in plant height (73.56 cm), kernel yield (2.17 t/ha) and Haulm Yield. (5.60) harvest index (36.40%) was recorded. Therefore, I concluded that the Sulphur 45kg/ha+ Calcium 60kg/ha can produce more no of pods/plant and kernels/pod and will be economically effective.

Keywords: Sulphur, calcium and yield

#### Introduction

Groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) it belongs to Leguminoceae Family is one of the most important Edible oilseed crop in the world India occupying two third areas under oilseeds which constitute the second major agricultural crop in the country. It is premier oilseed crop of India popularly known as peanuts, monkeynuts etc. It is commercially grown in more than Hundred countries like India, China, USA, West Africa. Groundnut was introduced in India in the middle of 19th Century on east coast of the South Aricot district in Tamil Nadu. The crop grows best on Sandy loam and loamysoil and in Black soil with good Drainage. Heavy and stiff clays are unsuitable for groundnut cultivation. The percentage of oil content is about 50%, 25% to 30% protein 20 percent carbohydrate and 5% Ash and Fibre. It is valuable sources of vitamins E, K and B. It is richest source of Thiamine and also rich in niacin which is low in Cereals. Groundnut provides raw material for industrial serving as concentrated Animal Feed and organic manure. It contributed to Sustainable Agriculture being a Legume and cultivated in both Kharif and Summer season by Farmer. Globally the crop is raised on 26.4 million hectares with a total production of 37.1 million tonnes in India. It is cultivated over an area of 4596.33 in hectares with production of 6733.33MT. The average productivity is 1400 Kg per ha<sup>-1</sup> [Anonymous].

Sulphur is a constituent of protein and plays an important role in oil synthesis. Since groundnut is rich both in oils and protein, requirement of Sulphur for this crop is substantial. In addition application of Sulphur significantly increased photosynthesis rate thereby increased the haulm yield and its also increased the pod yield (Wali and Shivraj 1994). Sulphur deficiency and consequent crop response, particularly in oilseed crops like groundnut are quite ostensible (Schonhof *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[30]</sup>. Deficiency of Sulphur has been frequently observed due to a number of reasons like increased removal of Sulphur by the crop, high yielding fertilizer responsive crop varieties, increasing cropping intensity and extensive use of Sulphur free fertilizers. The positive response of Sulphur application to groundnut has been reported by Ramdevputra, 2010 and Dash *et al.*, 2013<sup>[26]</sup>.

Calcium maintains the cell integrity and membrane permeability, enhances pollen germination, activates the number of enzymes for cell division and takes part in protein synthesis and carbohydrate transfer in groundnut. In General, the calcium requirement is greater for pod filling than flowering and it is greater for flowering then vegetative growth the high calcium

is required in the 5-10 cm of Soil for Groundnut. Calcium plays an important role in Reproductive development of Groundnut. Calcium reduced the "Pops" or blackened plumule inside the seed known as "Black heart" and yielded the sound pods. This is in close agreement with the findings and recommendation of Rao and Shaktawat (2000), Devakumar and Giri (1998), Sachidanant *et al.* (1980) and Dayanand and Meena (2000)<sup>[7]</sup>.

# **Material and Methods**

An experiment was conducted during the Zaid season of 2021, at Crop Research Farm of Department of Agronomy at Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj which is found at 250 24'42" N latitude, 810 50' 56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level (MSL). To assess the Effect of Sulphur and Calcium on Growth and Yield of Groundnut. [Arachis hypogeal L]. The experiment was laid move into in Randomized Block Design with Ten treatments which was replicated thrice. The treatment combination has two factors. The primary comprises of three Levels S1 -15 kg/ha S2 -30Kg/ha S3 -45kg/ha while the second factor has three Calcium levels C1 - 20 kg/ha, C2- 40 kg/ha, C3- 60 kg/ha. The treatment combination are as follow (T1) Sulphur 15kg/ha+Calcium 20kg/ha (T2) Sulphur 15kg/ha + Calcium 40kg/ha (T3) Sulphur 15kg/ha +Calcium60kg/ha (T4) Sulphur30kg/ha+Calcium 20kg/ha, (T5) Sulphur30kg/ha+ Calcium40kg/ha, (T6) Sulphur30kg/ha+ Calcium60kg/ha, (T7) Sulphur 45kg/ha+Calcium 20kg/ha (T8) Sulphur45kg/ha+Calcium40kg/ha (T9) Sulphur45kg/ha+Calcium60kg/ha.(T10) Control. Ten treatments are replicated thrice within the Randomized Block Design. As fertilizers are applied as basal dose to fulfil the N and another nutrient requirement at early growth stages and rest half dose of N requirement is fulfilled through Dap as top dressing at 30 DAS. The recommended dose of fertilizer is 20-60-40 kg/ha.

# **Chemical analysis**

Composite soil samples are collected before the layout of the experiment to work out the initial soil properties. The soil samples are collected from 0-15 cm depth and were dried under shade, powdered with wooden pestle and mortar, more matured 2 mm sieve and were analysed for organic carbon by rapid titration method by Nelson (1975). The type of soil in the experiment field is sandy clay with a pH of 7.5, EC of 0.47dSm-1, organic carbon was 0.46%. The Nitrogen status of the experiment field was (219kg/ha), available Phosphorus (19.6 kg/ha) while the available potassium status was in the higher range (239.2 kg/ha). Growth parameters viz., plant height (cm), No. of tillers per plant, dry weight (g/plant) were recorded manually on five randomized selected representative plants from each plot of each replication separately as likewise as yield and yield attributing character viz., kernel yield (t/ha) and Haulm yield (t/ha) recorded as per the quality method. Soil texture by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method (Gee and Baudev, 1986). Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline permanganate method by Subbiah and Asija (1956) <sup>[35]</sup>, available phosphorous by Olsen et al., (1954) and available potash was decided by Flame photometric method (Jackson 1973)<sup>[13]</sup>.

# Statistical analysis

The data recorded were different characteristics were

subjected to stastical analysis by adopting Fishers the method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) as described by Gomez and Gomez (2010). Critical difference (CD) values were calculated the 'F' test was found significantly at 5% level.

# **Result and Discussion**

#### Effect on growth parameters

Observations regarding the plant height (cm) of groundnut are given in Table 1 and there was an increased with crop growth duration. At harvest, maximum plant height was recorded with the application of 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 60 kg/ha Ca (73.56 cm) and which was statistically at par with the treatment combination of 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 40 kg/ha Ca (72.40 cm). Increased growth components observed under gypsum might be by attributed to readily available sulphate form of Sulphur, enhanced uptake of nutrients even at initial stages of crop growth. Similar findings were earlier reported by Rao et al. (2013)<sup>[27]</sup> At harvest, maximum no. of nodules (19.46) was recorded with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium which was significantly superior over all the treatments and which was statistically at par with treatment combination of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium (18.53). Dekhane (2011) also obtained similar results and reported in garden pea; increase in number of nodules with increase in phosphorus levels. Similar results were also noticed by Nkaa et al. (2014), Baboo and Mishra (2001). At harvest, maximum dry weight (32.06 g/plant) was recorded with application of 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium which was significantly superior over of all the treatments and which was statistically at par with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium (31.70 g/plant). Increasing phosphorus rates increased dry weight/plant. These findings were also confirmed the results obtained by Dutta et al. (2004). The increase in dry matter production with P might be due to better nodulation of crop owing to better availability of P. The improvement in nodulation might have resulted in higher amount of nitrogen fixation and there by better vegetative growth and dry matter production. These results were similar with findings of Mukherjee and Rai (2000).

# Yield attributes on Groundnut

Observations regarding yield attributes are given in Table 2. No of pods/plant maximum was obtained with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium (21.33), which was significantly superior over all the treatments and which was statistically at par with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium (20.56). No. of kernels/pod, maximum was obtained with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium (2) which was significantly superior over 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium (1.73) were at par with each other. Singh et al. (2005) [34] were reported that the increased the main shoot height and branches of plant. Similarly calcium maintained the cell integrity and membrane permeability activated the number of enzymes for cell division and taken part in protein synthesis and carbohydrate transfer. Results in development of kernels per pod in plants. Seed index (g) maximum was obtained with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium (42.8 g) which was superior over rest of the treatments and statistically at par with the application of 45 Kg/ha sulphur + 40 Kg/ha calcium (41.63 g).

# Yield

Data pertaining to kernel yield are recorded after harvest and embodies in Table 3. kernel yield (t/ha) maximum was obtained with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium (2.17 t/ha), which was significantly superior over all the treatments and which was statistically at par with the application of 45 Kg/ha sulphur + 40 Kg/ha calcium (2.07 t/ha). Haulm yield (t/ha) was obtained maximum with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha calcium (5.60 t/ha) which was significantly superior over all the treatments and which was statistically at par with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 40 kg/ha calcium (5.47 t/ha). Harvest index (%) was obtained maximum with application of 45 kg/ha sulphur + 60 kg/ha su

application of 45 Kg/ha sulphur + 40 Kg/ha calcium (35.97%) and minimum was obtained with control (33.27%). Watering and Patrick, 1975<sup>[37]</sup> also reported that improvement in yields was attributed to diversion of greater proportion of assimilates to the developing pods due to increased sink strength reflected through its larger demand of photosynthates. Supply of Sulphur in adequate amount also helps in the development of floral primordial i.e. reproductive parts, which results in the development of pods and kernels in plants. Similar findings have also been reported earlier by Patel *et al.*, (2009)<sup>[24]</sup>.

| Treatments                        | Growth attributes of Groundnut at harvest |                      |                       |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| I reatments                       | Plant height (cm)                         | No. of Nodules/plant | Dry weight (gm/plant) |  |  |
| Sulphur 15kg/ha+Calcium 20 kg/ha  | 70.77                                     | 4.93                 | 13.66                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 15kg/ha+ Calcium 40 kg/ha | 75.74                                     | 6.40                 | 15.01                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 15kg/ha+Calcium 60 kg/ha  | 74.77                                     | 9.13                 | 16.90                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 30kg/ha+ Calcium 20 kg/ha | 72.14                                     | 5.13                 | 13.99                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 30kg/ha +Calcium 40 kg/ha | 76.46                                     | 6.63                 | 15.36                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 30kg/ha +Calcium 60 kg/ha | 75.76                                     | 9.40                 | 17.19                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 45kg/ha +Calcium 20 kg/ha | 73.49                                     | 4.90                 | 14.31                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 45kg/ha +Calcium 40 kg/ha | 79.89                                     | 7.20                 | 15.53                 |  |  |
| Sulphur 45kg/ha +Calcium 60 kg/ha | 78.14                                     | 9.50                 | 17.46                 |  |  |
| SEm(±)                            | 0.62                                      | 0.19                 | 0.28                  |  |  |
| CD (p=0.05)                       | 1.84                                      | 0.59                 | 0.84                  |  |  |

| Table 2: Effect of Sulphur and Calcium on Yie | eld attributes of Groundnut |
|---|-----------------------------|
|---|-----------------------------|

| Treatments                     | No of pods/plant | No of kernels/pod | Seed index (g) | Kernel yield (t/ha) | Haulm yield (t/ha) | Harvest Index (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 15 kg/ha Sulphur + 20 kg/ha Ca | 15.17            | 1.47              | 37.40          | 1.31                | 4.27               | 33.40             |
| 15 kg/ha Sulphur + 40 kg/ha Ca | 15.87            | 2.00              | 38.60          | 1.39                | 4.67               | 33.42             |
| 15 kg/ha Sulphur + 60 kg/ha Ca | 16.97            | 2.00              | 38.73          | 1.41                | 4.93               | 33.86             |
| 30 kg/ha Sulphur + 20 kg/ha Ca | 17.23            | 1.73              | 37.10          | 1.50                | 5.10               | 33.63             |
| 30 kg/ha Sulphur + 40 kg/ha Ca | 17.70            | 2.00              | 37.37          | 1.70                | 5.27               | 33.69             |
| 30 kg/ha Sulphur + 60 kg/ha Ca | 20.57            | 2.00              | 40.40          | 1.96                | 5.33               | 35.43             |
| 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 20 kg/ha Ca | 18.63            | 2.00              | 39.73          | 1.77                | 5.10               | 34.53             |
| 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 40 kg/ha Ca | 20.56            | 2.00              | 41.63          | 2.07                | 5.47               | 35.97             |
| 45 kg/ha Sulphur + 60 kg/ha Ca | 21.33            | 2.00              | 42.80          | 2.17                | 5.60               | 36.40             |
| Control                        | 14.13            | 1.57              | 36.83          | 1.24                | 4.10               | 33.27             |
| SEm (±)                        | 0.28             | 0.09              | 1.01           | 0.04                | 0.09               | 0.19              |
| CD (5%)                        | 0.84             | 0.27              | 3.01           | 0.14                | 0.27               | 0.55              |

# Conclusion

On the basis of one season experimentation application of Sulphur45kg/ha+ Calcium60kg/ha was found to be more productive (3.37 t/ha).

# Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing us necessary facilities to undertake the studies.

# References

- 1. AICRPG. Annual Report (*Kharif*, 2014) All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut. ICAR-Directorate of Groundnut Research, Junagadh 2015.
- 2. Anonymous. Annual Report, All India Coordinated Research Project on Groundnut. Agriculture Research Station, Durgapura, Jaipur 2009.
- 3. Balasubramaniyan P. Integrated nutrient management in irrigated groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy 1997;42(4):683-687.
- 4. Chaubey AK, Singh SB, Kaushik MK. Response of

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to sorce and level of Sulphur fertilizer in midwestern plains of Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal Agronomy 2000;45(1):166-169.

- 5. Chitkala TD, Reddy MGR. Effect of sulphur on growth, yield and oil outturn in groundnut. Ind. J Agron 1991;36(2):265-266.
- Chitkala TD, Reddy MGR. Effect of Sulphur on growth, yield and oil out turn in groundnut. Indian Journals of Agronomy 1991;36(2):265-266.
- Dayanand, Meena NL. Growth, yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by intercrops and sulphur application. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2000;47(3):345-349.
- 8. DGR. Vision (2050). Directorate of Groundnut Research. DGR, Junagadh 2013, 28.
- 9. Gadgil S. Farming strategies for a variable climate. An Indian case study, in: proceedings of the international forum on climate prediction, agriculture and development. International Research institute for climate prediction New York 2000, 27-37.
- 10. Giri U, Hedayeetullah M, Saha A, Nanda MK, Bandyopadhyay P. Productivity and nutrient uptake of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) towards

different levels of irrigation and Sulphur. Journal of crop and weed 2014;10(2):248-251.

- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical producers for agricultural Research, A Willey Inter-science Publication, J Willey and Sons, New York 1984, 20-25.
- 12. Hanway JJ, Hiedel H. Soil analysis method as used in Iowa state college soil testing Laboratory. Iowa Agriculture 1952;57:1-31.
- 13. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi 1973.
- 14. Jackson NL. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi 1973.
- 15. Jairo Palta A, Ajit S, Kumari Sunita, Neil C, Turner. Foliar nitrogen applications increase the seed yield and protein content in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) subject to terminal drought, Aus. J Agric. Res 2005;56(2):105-12.
- 16. Jat RA, Ahlawat IPS. Effect of farmyard manure, source and level of Sulphur on growth attributes, yield, quality and total nutrient uptake in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) and groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) intercropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 2009;79(12):1016-1019.
- 17. Kadam UA, Pawar VS, Pardeshi HP. Influence of planting layouts, organic manure and levels of Sulphur on growth and yield of summer groundnut. Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University 2000;25(2):211-213.
- Kader ELA, Mona G. Effect of sulphur application and foliar spraying with zinc and boron on yield, yield components, and seed quality of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Research Journal of Agricultural Bio Science 2013;9(4):127-135.
- 19. Kader AE, Mona G. Effect of Sulphur application and foliar spraying with zinc and boron on yield, yield components and seed quality of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science 2013;9(4):127-135.
- Kalaiyarasan C, Vaiyapuri V, Chandrasekharan MVS. Effect of Sulphur sources and levels on the nutrient uptake, crop quality and Sulphur use efficiency in groundnut. Ann. Agric. Res. New Series 2003;24(3):478-480.
- 21. Kumar R. Assessment of technology gap and productivity gain through crop technology demonstration in chickpea. Indian Journal of Agriculture Research 2014;48(2):162-164.
- 22. Murata MR. The impact of soil acidity amelioration on groundnut production and sandy soils of India. Journal Science Indian Research 2003;41(3-4):181-188.
- Naiknaware MD, Pawar GR, Murumkar SB. Effect of varying levels of boron and Sulphur on growth, yield and quality of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). National Academic Agricultural Science 2015;33(2):471-474.
- 24. Patel GN, Patel P, Patel DK, Patel RM. Yield attributes, yield, quality and update of nutrients by summer groundnut, (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by sources levels of sulphur under varying Irrigation schedule. Journal of oilseed Research 2009;26(2):119-122.
- 25. Patel GN, Patel P, Patel DM, Patel DK, Patel RM. Yield attributes, yield, quality and uptake of nutrients by summer groundnut, (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by sources and levels of Sulphur under varying Irrigation

schedule. Journal of oilseed Research 2009;26(2):119-122.

- 26. Ramdevputra MV, Akbari KN, Sataria GS, Vora VD, Padmani DR. Effect of Sulphur application on yield of groundnut and soil fertility under rainfed conditions. Legume Research 2010;33(2):143-145.
- 27. Rao KT, Rao AU, Sekhar D. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on groundnut. Journal of Academia and Industrial Research 2013;2(5):268-270.
- 28. Rao SS, Shaktawat MS. Effect of Organic manure, phosphorus and gypsum on nutrient uptake in groundnut. Agro pedology 2005;15(2):100-106.
- 29. Rao, Tejeswara K, Rao, Upendra A, Sekhar D. Effect of sources and levels of groundnut. Journal Academic & Industrial Research 2013;2(5):268-270.
- Schonhof I, Blankenburg D, Muller S, Krumbein A. Sulphur and nitrogen supply influence growth, product appearance, and glucosinolates concentration of broccoli. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 2007;170:65-72.
- Shinde DB, Jadhav AC. Effect of Sulphur oxidizer under graded levels of Sulphur on growth of groundnut. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University 2000;25(3):239-241.
- Singh, Dilip, Singhi SM. Response of summer peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and succeeding maize (*Zea mays* L.) to Sulphur and phosphorus fertilization. Journal of Oilseeds Research 2000;17(2):315-319.
- 33. Singh YP, Mann JS. Interaction effect of Sulphur and zinc in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and their availability in Tonk district of Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2007;52(1):70-73.
- 34. Singh YP, Sharma SC, Maan JS. Effect of sulphur on yield and its uptake in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and their residual effect on succeeding wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy 2005;50(2):116-118.
- 35. Subbiah BV, Asija GI. Rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science 1956;25:259-260.
- 36. Tathe AS. Response of different levels of sulphur and zinc fertilization on yield and uptake of nutrients by groundnut. Asian Journal of soil Science 2008;3(1):133-136.
- Watering PF, Patrick J. Sources-sink relation and partitions of assimilates in the plant. (In) Photosynthates and Productivity in Different Environment (Ed. J.P. Copper) Cambridge University Press, London 1975, 481-499.
- 38. Yadav MR, Kumar R, Parihar CM, Yadav RK, Jat SL, Ram H *et al.* Strategies for improving nitrogen use efficiency: A review. Agricultural Reviews 2017c;38(1):29-41.