www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(1): 926-931 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 13-11-2021 Accepted: 29-12-2021

#### Nagunuri Shruthilaya

P.G. Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, C.V. Sc., Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### Suresh Rahod

Professor, Department of Livestock Farm Complex, C.V.Sc., Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### N Rajanna

Professor, Department of Livestock Production Management, C.V.Sc., Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### P Jaya Laxmi

Professor and Head, Department of Veterinary Biotechnology, C.V.Sc., Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### DBV Ramana

Principal Scientist, Department of Livestock Production Management, ICAR- CRIDA, Santosh Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### Corresponding Author: Nagunuri Shruthilaya

P.G. Scholar, Department of Livestock Production Management, C.V. Sc., Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

## Effect of feeding cactus (*Opuntia ficus*) on growth performance of Nellore lambs

### Nagunuri Shruthilaya, Suresh Rahod, N Rajanna, P Jaya Laxmi and DBV Ramana

#### Abstract

A study was conducted for 75 days to assess the incorporation of Spineless Cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) on the growth perforance of Nellore lambs. Eighteen growing Nellore lambs of uniform body weight (20.5 kg) and age (232 days) were randomly allotted to three groups and fed with one of the three diets namely, basal diet containing super napier (T<sub>0</sub>), 20 per cent spineless cactus along with super napier (T<sub>1</sub>) and 40 per cent spineless cactus along with super napier (T<sub>2</sub>). All the lambs were supplemented with concentrate mixture @ 2 percent of the body weight. The average daily dry matter intake (kg/day) was 0.90  $\pm$ 0.01,0.87  $\pm$ 0.01 and 0.86  $\pm$ 0.01 for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups, respectively and the differences were statistically significant (*P*< 0.05). Nellore lambs in T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> group had an average daily gain (g) of 76.00 $\pm$ 1.53, 72.22 $\pm$ 2.18 and 70.00 $\pm$ 1.18 g, respectively during 75 days experimental period and the differences were non-significant. At the end of the growth trial, a five-day digestibility trial was conducted on all the lambs to assess the digestibility of nutrients in experimental diets. Higher (*P*< 0.05) digestibility of DM and OM observed in spineless cactus supplemented group lambs (T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>). Water intake was significantly (*P*< 0.05) lower in group T<sub>2</sub> compared to T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> lambs. It was concluded that spineless cactus could be a good unconventional, valuable fodder resource especially in rainfed drylands and could replace other feed stuffs like super Napier.

Keywords: Spineless cactus, super Napier, dry matter intake, average daily gain, digestibility trial, water intake

#### Introduction

Small ruminant rearing is one of the most sustainable enterprise among different livestock production systems to provide supplementary income and livelihood to small land holders and landless rural holds. The production efficiency of small ruminants in tropics has been low due to low feed resource availability and low plane of nutrition. Ensuring the availability of alternative feed of superior quality in adequate amounts is a priority to keep up the increased demand of growing animals, since continuous drought and variations in global climate affects the grain harvest and increase in prices in the international market (Lins et al., 2017) <sup>[16]</sup>. Hence, one alternative would be to use cactus pear (Opuntia ficus indica), that is easily available as an energy source of low cost. The cactus pear has high water-holding capacity. rich in nutrients and adapted to the environmental conditions of semiarid areas (Costa et al., 2013) <sup>[10]</sup>. Cactus is gaining importance as an alternate source of livestock feed in arid and semi-arid zones due to its drought resistance, high bio-mass yield, high palatability, low soil fertility, tolerance to salinity (Ben Salem et al., 1996; Barbera, 1995)<sup>[7, 4]</sup>. The succulent cladodes of spineless cactus are palatable to livestock with chemical composition of 10 percent of dry matter (DM), 4 to 10 percent crude protein (CP) and 30 to 40 percent neutral detergent fibre (NDF) (FA0, 2001) <sup>[12]</sup>. It also provides a major source of water (89.9 per cent), energy (8.4 MJ ME per kg DM), minerals and vitamin A in the diet of small ruminants (Salem et al., 2002; Abidi et al., 2009, Costa et al., 2013) [21, 1, 10]. Earlier experiments have shown that spineless cactus could be replaced in the diet as a source of roughage or concentrate ingredients in the diets of small ruminants. while, the growth performance in lambs has been ambiguous and the cactus inclusion in the diet has not been clearly defined. More over the studies on the nutritive value, digestibility and effect of feeding spineless cactus on haematobiochemical parameters is rare. Based on these, the objectives of this study was taken up to

determine the effect of feeding optimum inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets of lambs.

#### **Material and Methods**

The present study was conducted at Animal Experimental Farm, Hayathnagar Research Farm (17°27'N latitude and 78°35'E longitude and about 515 m above sea level), ICAR-CRIDA (ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture), Hyderabad.

#### Selection of the experimental animals

Eighteen growing Nellore lambs of uniform body weight  $(20.5\pm0.90 \text{ kg})$  and age  $(232\pm9.4 \text{ days})$  were selected and randomly allotted to three treatment groups i.e., control T<sub>0</sub>, treatment T<sub>1</sub> and treatment T<sub>2</sub> with six lambs in each group, these lambs reared in intensive farming at Animal experimental farm, HRF. The feeding trial was performed for a period of 75 days. The experimental animals were maintained on standard management practices in the farm with following feeding regimen.

#### The dietary treatments included

 $T_0$  Basal concentrate mixture + chopped super Napier without spineless cactus

T<sub>1</sub> Basal concentrate mixture +chopped super Napier in which 20% of super Napier replaced by spineless cactus.

T<sub>2</sub> Basal concentrate mixture +chopped super Napier in which 40% of super Napier replaced by spineless cactus.

#### Nutrient digestibility

At the end of the growth trial, a five-day digestibility trial was conducted on all the lambs to assess the digestibility of nutrients in experimental diets. The animals were kept on clean, well aired individual metabolic cages with feeding and watering arrangement.

The animals were kept for adaptation period of 4 days followed by collection period of five days. During this collection period daily feed consumption, left over feed as well as faeces voided were recorded. Dry matter intake and digestibility coefficient (%) for the nutrients were estimated as per the formula.

#### **Proximate analysis**

Samples of concentrate mixture, super napier and spineless cactus were collected and dried in a hot air oven at  $100\pm5$  °C till constant weight and then grounded in a laboratory Willey mill and preserved in air tight containers for further chemical analysis. The proximate analysis of feeds was performed as per the procedures described by AOAC (2005) <sup>[3]</sup>.

#### **Blood collection**

Blood samples were collected fortnightly from the jugular vein of all the experimental animals, prior to the morning feeding with the help of sterilized needles. Each time two blood samples were collected from each animal, one sample of 5 ml in heparinized vacutainer, for haematology and the other 5 ml in the vacutainer coated with clot activator for serum separation. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min and transferred to 5 ml eppendorf tubes which were stored at -20 °C till use.

The blood samples were labelled, stored and transported in cooling box maintained at 2-8 °C to CRIDA for further investigation. Haemoglobin concentration (Hb, g/dl), haematocrit value (PCV,%), total erythrocyte count (TEC,  $x10^6$ /mm<sup>3</sup>), total leucocyte count (TLC,  $x10^3$ /mm<sup>3</sup>), leukocyte cell types (%), platelet count and erythrocyte indices *viz.*,

Mean corpuscular volume (MCV,%), Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH,%) and Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC,%) were analyzed using Automatic haemoanalyser. (ABX-MICROS-60). Concentration of glucose, total proteins, albumin, triglycerides, SGOT (Aspartate Amino Transferase), SGPT (Alanine Amino Transferase) were estimated in serum.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS version 21 software one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's test as post hoc analysis. The value of P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

#### **Results And Discussion**

#### Chemical composition of experimental diets

The chemical composition of spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus indica) fed to the experimental lambs presented in Table 1. The DM content of spineless cactus was low (9.2 per cent) and moisture content was high (90.8 percent), hence it had an advantage of meeting the water requirements of the livestock. Significant decrease in the voluntary intake of water of sheep with increased levels of feeding cactus in their diets (Ben salem et al., 1996; Gebremariam et al., 2006 and Viera et al., 2008) <sup>[7, 13]</sup>. The CP content of spineless cactus was 5.62 percent. The CP value for spineless cactus obtained in this experiment was almost similar to the report of Ajith et al. (2017)<sup>[2]</sup>, but lower than those reported by Salem *et al.* (2002) <sup>[21]</sup>, Tegenge *et al.* (2005) <sup>[25]</sup>, Tien and Beynen (2005) <sup>[23]</sup>, Gebremariam *et al.* (2006) <sup>[13]</sup> and Misra *et al.* (2006) <sup>[17]</sup>. Such variation in CP content has been attributed to the fertility of the soil, since De Kock et al. (1980) [11] and Karim et al. (1996) <sup>[7]</sup> observed increased CP content in spineless cactus with nitrogen fertilization of soil.

The NDF and ADF content in spineless cactus were 38.7 and 27.2 respectively. Similar values were previously reported by Costa et al. (2012), Gebremariam et al. (2006) <sup>[13]</sup>, Misra et al. (2006) <sup>[17]</sup> and Viera et al. (2008) <sup>[27]</sup>. A range of 31 to 41 percent NDF has been reported (Costa et al., 2016; Misra et al., 2006 and Vieira et al., 2008)<sup>[8, 17, 27]</sup>, while Tegenge et al. (2007) <sup>[24]</sup> and Ajith et al. (2017) <sup>[2]</sup> reported lower values. Variation in NDF and ADF could be due to the age of the cladode, soil type and season. The TA content of spineless cactus was 29.2 percent. The TA content of spineless cactus was higher than the findings of Batisa *et al.* (2003)<sup>[5]</sup>. The higher TA content could be due to the age of the cladode (young cladode contain higher TA compared to middle-aged or old cladodes), and the soil content as reported by Retamal et al. (1987)<sup>[20]</sup>. The calcium content of spineless cactus was high 41.4 g/kg DM. Nobel et al. (1977)<sup>[19]</sup> and De Kock (1980)<sup>[11]</sup> also reported higher calcium content in cactus. In the present study, the spineless cactus contained (g/kg DM) 13.8 magnesium, 16.7 zinc, 34.6 manganese and 87.1 ferrous, all these values being much higher than the earlier findings of Batisa et al. (2009)<sup>[6]</sup>. Variation in mineral content could be due to the age of the cladode, soil type and season.

The chemical composition of DM, OM, CP, NDF and ADF were similar to the values reported by Vikram, (2014) who used the same composition of ingredients in the concentrate mixture in their experiment. The CP, CF and NDF content of super napier values similar to the values reported by Jamsawat (2020) <sup>[14]</sup> presented in Table 2.

#### Dry matter intake

Average DMI (g per day) was 905, 879, 861 g per day for  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  groups, respectively and a significant (P < 0.05) difference was observed between the treatment groups (Table 3). The dry matter intake of treatment groups were more than the values reported by Ajith *et al.* (2017) <sup>[2]</sup> and it could be due to the higher palatability of offered feeds and feed ingredients along with healthy lambs.

The mean daily dry matter intake per day from spineless cactus was 73.6 g in  $T_1$  group that is equivalent to a 0.8 kg of fresh cactus, whereas it was 147.2 g in  $T_2$  group that is equivalent to 1.6 kg of fresh cactus. The intake of spineless cactus depends on age, body weight, dietary regime and adaptation of the animals for feeding spineless cactus (Ben Salem *et al.*, 1996)<sup>[7]</sup>.

#### Body weight gain

The lambs fed diets with control ( $T_0$ ), 20 per cent ( $T_1$ ) and 40 per cent ( $T_2$ ) spineless cactus along with concentrate mixture had an initial average body weight of  $20.5\pm 0.88$ ,  $20.5\pm 1.11$  and  $20.5\pm 0.88$  kg respectively with no significant difference among the groups, which grew linearly and attained a body weight of  $26.2\pm 0.79$ ,  $25.9\pm 1.02$  and  $25.8\pm 0.80$  kg, respectively by the end of experiment (Table 4). Statistical analysis of the data revealed that there was no significant difference in the fortnightly body weights of the lambs from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> fortnight among three dietary groups. Relatively higher body weight was observed in control ( $T_0$  group) when compare to  $T_1$  (20% cactus) and  $T_2$  (40% cactus) group lambs. Among the spineless cactus fed lambs,  $T_1$  had relatively higher body weight than  $T_2$  group, however the differences were non-significant (Table 4).

Further average daily gain (g) was 76.00, 72.22 and 70.00 for  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  group lambs respectively and no significant difference was observed among the three treatment group lambs (Table 5). However, lambs in  $T_0$  had relatively higher average daily gain and weight gain when compared to  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The results of the present study indicating that the incorporation of cactus in the diet will not affect much of average daily gain and body weight gain in lambs as it was nutritionally comparable with any roughages. Similar findings were reported earlier by Venkatesh *et al.* (2014) <sup>[26]</sup> and Shashi kumar *et al.* (2017) <sup>[22]</sup> in Mandya lambs. However, Gebremaram *et al.* (2006) <sup>[13]</sup> observed an increase in body weight gain with increased levels of cactus intake in growing lambs.

#### Water intake

The mean daily water intake (kg) was 1.50, 1.32 and 0.98 for  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  group lambs, respectively (Table 6). Water intake was significantly (*P*< 0.05) lower in group  $T_2$  compared to  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ . The average intake of water in control group was almost similar to that reported by Tegenge *et al.* (2007) <sup>[24]</sup>. Significantly (*P*< 0.05) lower intake of water in group  $T_2$  lambs compared to groups  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  lambs indicates that the spineless cactus was a potential source of water to

meet the requirements of the sheep.

#### Nutrient digestibility

Higher (P< 0.05) digestibility of DM, OM, CP, CF, NDF and ADF in the spineless cactus supplemented diets of group T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> lambs indicating higher digestibility and availability of nutrients from spineless cactus (Table 7). A similar results reported by Ajith *et al.* (2017) <sup>[2]</sup>. Costa *et al.* (2012) <sup>[9]</sup>. They noticed higher digestibility of OM with higher levels of cactus inclusion in the diet of growing Santa lambs, where in, the cactus replaced 50 percent of the corn in the diet.

#### Haematological parameters

At the end of the experiment all the experimental  $(T_0, T_1)$  and T<sub>2</sub>) group lambs had normal haematological (RBC, WBC, Hb) values which are with in the physiological range. Similarly, MCH and MCHC values among three experimental group lambs were comparable. The values of all agranulocyte indices were statistically non-significant among the three experimental group lambs at the end of experiment. Granulocyte indices were differed significantly (P < 0.05) among three experimental group lambs at the end of experiment and highest Granulocytes were found in T<sub>2</sub> than  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  group lambs, however all are with in normal physiological limits. Small differences in haematological parameter values among the spineless cactus incorporated and non-incorporated lambs may not reflect any pathology or toxicity on blood-forming organs, hence spineless cactus could be useful as safe as other feed stuffs for ruminant livestock. A similar non-significant difference was reported by Morshedy (2020) <sup>[18]</sup> with RBC, WBC, Hb and all other Haemotological parameters in lambs suckling from ewes fed diets supplemented with prickly pear cactus peels.

#### **Blood biochemical parameters**

Statistically non-significant differences were observed in the mean serum glucose (mg/dl), serum albumin (g/dl) and triglycerides (mg/dl) values in lambs among three groups ( $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ) both before start of the experiment and at the end of the experiment. However, significantly higher (P < 0.05) serum total proteins (g/dl) were observed in  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  group lambs fed with spineless cactus compared to the control lambs fed without cactus. The mean serum triglycerides levels (g/dl) were relatively higher in  $T_2$  group lambs at the end of the experiment. Inclusion of spineless cactus in the diets seems to increase serum total proteins and triglycerides, however liver function tests (SGPT and SGOT concentrations) before start of the experiment and at the end of the experiment were comparable among the three experimental group lambs. All these indicating, spineless cactus does not contain any toxic substances which can harm liver. Morshedy (2020) [18] observed a similar Triglycerides, SGOT and SGPT values, whereas contrary in glucose and total protein concentration when lambs fed diets supplemented with two levels of prickly pear cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) peels.

Table 1: Chemical composition (% DM) of spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus -indica) fed to the Nellore lambs

S. No	Chemical composition	Cactus			
1	DM	9.2			
2	OM	70.8			
Proximate composition					
3	СР	5.62			

#### http://www.thepharmajournal.com

4	EE	1.08		
5	CF	10.86		
6	TA	29.20		
7	NFE	53.24		
	Van Soest fibre fractions			
8	NDF	38.7		
9	ADF	27.2		
Mineral composition				
10	Ca	41.4		
11	Р	0.72		
12	Mg	13.8		
13	K	51.3		
14	Zn	16.7		
15	Fe	87.1		
16	Cu	1.65		
17	Mn	34.6		

Table 2: Chemical composition (% DM) of concentrate mixture and super napier fed to the Nellore lambs

S. No	Chemical composition	<b>Concentrate mixture</b>	Super napier				
1	DM	89.60	19.40				
2	OM	90.15	90.52				
	Proximate composition						
3	СР	17.88	8.91				
4	EE	5.94	1.88				
5	CF	9.84	28.20				
6	TA	9.85	9.48				
7	NFE	56.49	51.53				
Van Soest fibre fractions							
8	NDF	34.8	54.8				
9	ADF	15.8	38.2				

Table 3: Effect of feeding spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) on dry matter intake (DMI) in Nellore lambs

Experimental diets	BW (kg)	DMI (kg/Day)
To	26.20±0.79	0.90±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
$T_1$	25.90±1.02	0.87±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	25.75±0.80	0.86±0.01 <sup>b</sup>
N	6	6
SEM	0.479	0.007
P Value	0.935	0.055

<sup>ab</sup> Means with different superscript in a column differ significantly P < 0.05P- value: Probability value; N: Number of animals; SEM: Standard Error Mean;

Exp-Diet	Initial hady waight (kg)	Fortnight body weights (kg)				Increase in hady weight (kg)	
	finitial body weight (kg)	1	2	3	4	5	Increase in body weight (kg)
T <sub>0</sub>	20.50±0.88	21.8±0.81	23.15±0.85	24.12±0.81	25.18±0.74	26.23±0.79	5.73±0.11
$T_1$	20.52±1.11	21.95±1.06	22.96±0.90	24.00±0.91	25.00±0.94	25.92±1.02	5.41±0.16
T <sub>2</sub>	20.54±0.88	21.38±0.86	22.66±0.79	23.93±0.90	24.93±0.82	25.75±0.80	5.25±0.08
Ν	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
SEM	0.523	0.502	0.466	0.474	0.456	0.479	0.082
P value	1.00	0.904	0.922	0.988	0.977	0.935	0.068

Exp-Diet: Experimental Diet;

P-value: Probability value; N: Number of animals; SEM: Standard Error Mean;

Table 5: Effect of feeding spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) on
average daily gain (g) in Nellore lambs

Experimental diet	Average daily gain (g)		
T <sub>0</sub>	76.00±1.53		
$T_1$	72.22±2.18		
$T_2$	70.00±1.18		
N	6		
SEM	1.095		
P Value	0.068		

P- value: Probability value; N: Number of animals; SEM: Standard Error Mean;

 Table 6: Effect of feeding spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) on water intake (kg/day) of Nellore lambs

Experimental diet	Water intake (l/d)
T <sub>0</sub>	$1.50\pm0.10^{a}$
T1	1.32±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	0.98±0.01 <sup>b</sup>
N	6
SEM	0.101
P Value	0.027

<sup>ab</sup> Means with different superscript in a column differ significantly *P*<0.05 P-value: Probability value; N: Number of animals; SEM: Standard Error Mean;

Acid detergent fibre	43.73±1.64 <sup>b</sup>	55.19±0.69 <sup>a</sup>	57.06±0.87 <sup>a</sup>	6	1.185	0.000
Neutral detergent fibre	50.63±1.59 <sup>b</sup>	56.66±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	58.51±0.99 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.860	0.000
Nitrogen free extract	61.72±1.21 <sup>b</sup>	66.71±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	67.42±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.765	0.002
Ether extract	70.67±1.04°	73.02±1.37 <sup>ab</sup>	75.87±0.38 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.674	0.004
Crude fibre	64.28±1.01°	67.47±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	70.42±0.79 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.680	0.000
Crude protein	73.87±0.89°	76.79±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	79.81±0.39 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.560	0.000
Organic matter	64.65±1.10 <sup>b</sup>	69.03±0.94 <sup>a</sup>	71.01±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.667	0.000
Dry matter	63.22±1.15 <sup>b</sup>	67.32±0.92 <sup>a</sup>	69.01±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.649	0.000
Treatments	T <sub>0</sub>	$T_1$	$T_2$	Ν	SEM	P Value

 Table 7: Effect of feeding spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica) on nutrient digestibility in Nellore lambs

 $^{abc}$  Means with different superscript in a column differ significantly P < 0.05

P- value: Probability value; N: Number of animals; SEM: Standard Error Mean

#### Conclusions

The present study indicated that spineless cactus could be a source of energy for inclusion in the diet of lambs. Inclusion of cactus in the diets significantly decrease the voluntary intake of water (per unit feed DM intake), thus spineless cactus could also be a good source of water to meet the demands of the body. Cactus also a good source of minerals like calcium, iron and manganese. Considering the overall performance of the animals in terms of feed intake, digestibility and average daily gain, it was concluded that spineless cactus could be a good unconventional, valuable fodder resource especially in rainfed drylands and could replace other feed stuffs like super napier in all the season where super napier shows dormancy in winter season.

#### References

- 1. Abidi S, Salem HB, Vasta V, Priolo A. Supplementation with barley or spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus indica f. inermis*) cladodes on digestion, growth and intramuscular fatty acid composition in sheep and goats receiving oaten hay. *Small Ruminant Research* 2009;87(1-3):9-16.
- 2. Ajith KS, Arpitha R, Madhura Y, Prabhu TM, Gloridoss RG, Narasimhamurthy HN *et al.* Effect of Feeding Spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus indica*) on Intake, Digestibility and Growth performance in Lambs. International Journal of Livestock Research 2017;7(12):101-110.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 18<sup>th</sup> Ed. Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA. 2005.
- Barbera G. History, economic and agro-ecological importance. In: Barbera G, Inglese P, Pimienta B Z, (Eds.), Agro-ecology, Cultivation and Uses of Cactus Pear. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 132, FAO Rome, Italy, 1995, 1-8.
- 5. Batista AMV, Mustafa AF, Santos GRA, De Carvalho FFR, Dubeux Jr BJC, Lira MA *et al.* Chemical composition and ruminal dry matter and crude protein degradability of spineless cactus. Journal of Agronomy and crop Science 2003;189(2):123-126.
- 6. Batista AM, Ribeironeto AC, Lucena RB, Santos DC, Dubeux Jr J, Mustafa AF. Chemical composition and ruminal degradability of spineless cactus grown in Northeastern Brazil. Rangeland Ecology and Management 2009;62(3):297-301.
- Ben Salem H, Nefzaoui A, Abdouli H, Orskov ER. Effect of increasing level of spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus indica var. inermis*) on intake and digestion by sheep given straw-based diets. Animal Science (United Kingdom) 1996;62(2):293-299.

- Costa CTF, Ferreira MA, Campos JMS, Guim A, Silva J L, Siqueira MC *et al.* Intake, total and partial digestibility of nutrients, and ruminal kinetics in crossbreed steers fed with multiple supplements containing spineless cactus enriched with urea. Livestock Science 2016;188:55-60.
- 9. Costa RG, Trevino IH, De Medeiros GR, Medeiros AN, Pinto TF, De Oliveira RL. Effects of replacing corn with cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus indica Mill*) on the performance of Santa Ines lambs. Small Ruminant Research. 2012;102(1):13-17.
- Costa RG, Trevino IH, Medeiros GRD, Medeiros AND, Gonzaga Neto S, Azevedo PSD *et al.* Feeding behavior and performance of sheep fed cactus pear in substitution of corn. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. 2013;42(11):785-791.
- 11. De Kock GC. Drought resistant fodder shrub crops in South Africa. In *Browse in Africa. The current state of knowledge* International Livestock Center for Africa, Ethiopia. 1980, 399-408.
- 12. FAO. Opuntia as Forage. FAO Plant Production and Protection FAO, Rome. 2001, 169.
- 13. Gebremariam T, Melaku S, Yami A. Effect of different levels of cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) inclusion on feed intake, digestibility and body weight gain in tef (Eragrostis tef) straw-based feeding of sheep. Animal Feed Science and Technology 2006;131(1, 2):43-52.
- 14. Jamsawat V. Study on Growth Rate Performance of Sheep Fed with Super Napier Grass Silage Treated with Lactobacillus buchneri and Lactobacillus plantarum. International Journal of Science and Innovative Technology 2020;3(2):75-85.
- Karim MR, Felker P, Bingham RL. Correlations between cactus pear (Opuntia spp.) cladode nutrient concentration and fruit yield and quality. Annals of Arid Zones. 1996;37:159-171.
- 16. Lins SEB, Pessoa RA, Ferreira MA, Campos JMS, Silva JABA, Santos SA *et al.* Effect of replacing wheat bran with spineless cactus plus urea in sugarcane-based diets for sheep. South African Journal of Animal Science 2017;47(4):516-525.
- 17. Misra AK, Mishra AS, Tripathi MK, Chaturvedi OH, Vaithiyanathan S, Prasad R *et al.* Intake, digestion and microbial protein synthesis in sheep on hay supplemented with prickly pear cactus [Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) Mill.] with or without groundnut meal. Small Ruminant Research 2006;63(1-2):125-134.
- Morshedy SA, Abdal Mohsen AE, Basyony MM, Almeer R, Abdel-Daim MM, El-Gindy YM. Effect of Prickly Pear Cactus Peel Supplementation on Milk Production, Nutrient Digestibility and Rumen Fermentation of Sheep

and the Maternal Effects on Growth and Physiological Performance of Suckling Offspring. *Animals* 2020;10(9):1476.

- 19. Nobel PS. Water relations and photosynthesis of a barrel cactus, Ferocactus acanthodes, in the Colorado Desert. *Oecologia*. 1977;27(2):117-133.
- 20. Retamal N, Duran JM, Fernandez J. Seasonal variations of chemical composition in prickly pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) miller*). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 1987;38(4):303-311.
- Salem HB, Nefzaoui A, Salem LB. Supplementing spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica f. inermis*) based diets with urea-treated straw or oldman saltbush (*Atriplex nummularia*). Effects on intake, digestion and sheep growth. The Journal of Agricultural Science 2002;138(1):85.
- 22. Shashikumar MG, Prabhu TM, Gloridoss RG, Singh KC, Suresh BN, Siddalingamurthy HK *et al.* Effect of feeding maize (*Zea mays*) husk and cob based complete feed block on feed intake, nutrient utilization and growth in Mandya sheep. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition 2017;34(2):135-139.
- 23. Tien DV, Beynen AC. Growth performance of lambs in Phangrang, Vietnam: effects of a dietary supplement containing prickly-pear cactus. Tropical animal health and production 2005;37(3):237-244.
- 24. Tegegne F, Kijora C, Peters KJ. Study on the optimal level of cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) supplementation to sheep and its contribution as source of water. Small Ruminant Research 2007;72(2, 3):157-164.
- 25. Tegegne F, Kijora C, Peters KJ. October. Effects of incorporating cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) and urea-treatment of straw on the performance of sheep. In Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development. Stuttgart-Hohenheim. 2005.
- 26. Venkatesh BS, Prabhu TM, Gloridoss RG, Singh KC, Nagaraja R, Manju GU. Nutritional evaluation of maize (Zea mays) husk: a new feed resource for small ruminants. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2014;48(3):406-412.
- 27. Vieira EL, Batista AM, Mustafa AF, Araujo RFS, Soares PC, Ortolane EL *et al.* b. Effects of feeding high levels of cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica Mill) cladodes on urinary output and electrolyte excretion in goats. Livestock science 2008;114(2-3):354-357.
- Vikram C. Effect of Supplementation of two Sources of Non-Protein Nitrogen in the Diets based on Finger Millet Straw and Maize on the performance of Growing Lambs (Doctoral dissertation, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar) 2014;3:155-159.