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# Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.) 

Bhargav B Nariya and Kiran Kumari


#### Abstract

This investigation was conducted with the aim to find out the effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with sixteen treatments including various plant growth regulators with different concentration viz. GA3, NAA, SA (100, 150 and 200 ppm each), Triacontanol (10, 20 and 30 ppm ) and CCC (500, 1000 and 2000 ppm ) along with control (water spray). The results revealed that among various treatments, maximum plant height at 60 and 90 days after transplanting was observed with treatment $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}$ ( 32.51 cm and 63.37 cm , respectively). Whereas, maximum number of branches per plant (8.07) and plant spread ( 36.25 cm and 35.14 cm in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$, respectively) were recorded in treatment CCC @ 2000 ppm . Significantly earliest bud appearance ( 58.07 days), first flower opening ( 83.73 days) and full blooming ( 100.67 days) was recorded with GA3 @ 200 ppm which was at par with treatment $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 150 \mathrm{ppm}$ for all these characters ( 60.13 days, 88.73 days and 102.13 days for bud appearance, first flower opening and full blooming, respectively). Significantly maximum number of flowers per plant (87.60) were recorded with the application of treatment CCC @ 2000 ppm. Significantly maximum weight of flowers per plant $(279.49 \mathrm{~g})$, flower yield per plot $(2.38 \mathrm{~kg})$ and per hectare ( 293.27 q) was obtained with application of $200 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{GA}_{3}$. Moreover, quality parameters like flower diameter $(6.04 \mathrm{~cm})$ and weight of individual flower ( 3.40 g ) were also recorded maximum with the application of $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}$. Based on economics, among all the treatments maximum benefit cost ratio (3.70) was found with GA3 @ 200 ppm.
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## Introduction

Chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.) belongs to the family Asteraceae and ranks second in popularity next only to the rose. Chrysanthemum crop getting popularity day by day in Gujarat due to its ease in cultivation, high returns and market demand. Due to its diverse flower shape, chrysanthemum can be used as pot plants for beautifying indoors and outdoors, as cut flowers for making bouquets and vase decoration, loose flowers are generally used for making garland and wreaths which are used in marriages, festivals, religious offerings and death rituals. Loose flowers comprise an important part in Indian floriculture trade. Chrysanthemum is one of the most important loose flower crops which is being cultivated and traded throughout country. The growth and yield of plant is mainly influenced by two major factors viz., genetic factors and management factors, however regulating plant growth by the application of PGRs constitute the third most important advances in agro technology for improving growth, flowering and quality parameters in flower crops (Navale et al., 2010) ${ }^{[16]}$. The plant growth regulators are the compounds that in minor amount modify the physiological processes of plants and ultimately alter the yield and quality of plants. $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ influences a range of developmental process in plants life like germination, breaking dormancy, stem elongation, flowering, sex expression, enzyme induction and flower senescence (Mujadidi et al., 2019) ${ }^{[15]}$. NAA stimulates the cell division, cell enlargement and cell elongation in apical region of the plant. Triacontanol increases cell division rates, which produces large root and shoot mass, activates secondary messengers leading to enhance enzymatic activities, improve protein synthesis, promotes flowering and earlier crop maturity. SA plays an important role in photosynthesis, transpiration, ion uptake and transport in plants. The term 'growth retardant' is used for cycocel (CCC) because it slows the cell division and cell elongation in shoot tissues and regulates plant height physiologically without formative effect.

Determining increasing the crop productivity and quality with prudent use of available inputs without affecting the soil health is considered important goal and thus, it is an obligatory to find out the response of this crop to different plant growth regulators. Keeping this in mind the present investigation was carried out to find out the Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.).

## Materials and Methods

A field experiment on chrysanthemum var. Ratlam Selection was conducted during August 2021 to January 2022 at College Farm, College of Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural University, Jagudan, Gujarat, (India). Rooted cuttings of chrysanthemum variety Ratlam Selection were transplanted on the raised beds ( $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) at a spacing of $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30$ cm . The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with total sixteen treatments viz. control (water spray) ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ), GA $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ @ $100 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right), \mathbf{G A}_{3} @ 150 \mathbf{p p m}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3}\right), \mathrm{GA}_{3}$ @ $200 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}\right)$, NAA @ $100 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$, NAA @ 150 ppm ( $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ ), NAA @ $200 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{7}\right)$, Triacontanol @ $10 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{8}\right)$, Triacontanol @ $20 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{9}\right)$, Triacontanol @ $30 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{10}\right)$, SA @ $100 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{11}\right)$, SA @ $150 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{12}\right)$, SA @ 200 ppm $\left(\mathrm{T}_{13}\right)$, CCC @ $500 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{14}\right)$, CCC @ $1000 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{15}\right)$ and CCC @ $2000 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{T}_{16}\right)$. Foliar application of plant growth regulators was done at 40 days after transplanting. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design and replicated thrice in open field condition. Observations of different parameters viz. growth, flowering, yield and quality parameters were recorded and analyzed statistically. Net return and benefit: cost ratio were calculated by using the formulas given below.

Net returns $(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{ha})=$ Gross returns $(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{ha})-$ Total cost of cultivation ( $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{ha}$ )

Benefit Cost ratio $=\frac{\text { Net returns }(₹ / \text { ha) }}{\text { Total cost of cultivation }(₹ / \text { ha })}$

## Results and discussion

## Growth parameters

The growth chracters of chrysanthemum viz. plant height (cm) (60 and 90 days after transplanting), number of branches per plant and plant spread ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ and E-W) (cm) were influenced by various treatments and number of suckers per plant found non- significant (Table 1). Among the different treatments significantly maximum plant height at 60 and 90 DAT ( 32.51 cm and 63.37 cm , respectively) was observed with the treatment $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ i.e. $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}$. Increase in the concentration of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ has increased the plant height as evident from the data recorded at various stages of plant growth and highest concentration ( $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ @ 200 ppm ) resulted in maximum plant height. This might be attributed to the capacity of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ to induce mRNA synthesis pertaining to hydrolytic enzymes and to increase cell enlargement, eventually leading to increased length of internodes. Exogenous $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ promotes mitotic activity in the apical zone, thereby increasing both the rate of cataphyll formation and giving rise to a higher and wider apical meristem (McDonald and Little, 2006) ${ }^{[12]}$. Therefore,
the increase in plant height might be due to the rapid elongation of internodes by both cell division and cell elongation. The present findings are in line with earlier findings of Kudmate et al. (2016) ${ }^{[7]}$ in annual chrysanthemum, Muhammad et al. (2016) ${ }^{[14]}$ in chrysanthemum and Imandi and Reddy (2017) ${ }^{[5]}$ in marigold. Whereas, significantly maximum number of branches per plant (8.07) was recorded with the foliar application of 2000 ppm CCC $\left(\mathrm{T}_{16}\right)$ plant growth retardants are regularly applied in commercial ornamental plant production to improve ornamental and aesthetic characteristics of plants, including stem girth and branching. Plant growth retardants affect the plant height by suppression of apical dominance which induce the lateral vegetative bud growth which leads to increase in the number of branches. Increase in the number of branches per plant with application of CCC might be due to check in apical dominance which could be due to lower level of endogenous production of auxin which in turn induces the sprouting of vegetative buds (Cathey and Stuart, 1961) ${ }^{[4]}$. The diversion of photosynthates towards the axillary buds might have increased the number of branches. Qureshi et al. (2018) ${ }^{[19]}$ also reported increase in number of branches with foliar application of CCC in chrysanthemum. These results are also in close conformity with earlier findings of Rajyalakshmi and Rajsekhar (2014) ${ }^{[20]}$ in marigold, Badge et al. (2017) ${ }^{[2]}$ in annual chrysanthemum and Anuradha et al. (2017) ${ }^{[1]}$ in marigold. The maximum plant spread $(36.25 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 35.14 cm in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ direction, respectively) was obtained with the application of $2000 \mathrm{ppm} \operatorname{CCC}\left(\mathrm{T}_{16}\right)$. Significant improvement was noticed in plant spread after foliar application of plant growth regulators as compared to control. Maximum plant spread was noticed with higher concentration of plant growth retardant cycocel. Plant growth retardants are commonly used to retard the shoot length of the plant without inducing phytotoxic effects. Suppression of apical dominance by cycocel reduces plant height and induces the lateral vegetative bud growth (Cathey and Stuart, 1961) ${ }^{[4]}$, which might have ultimately resulted in increased plant spread. These results corroborate the findings of Kudmate et al. (2016) ${ }^{[7]}$ and Badge et al. (2017) ${ }^{[2]}$ in annual chrysanthemum. The effect of foliar application of different plant growth regulators on number of suckers per plant was found non-significant. However, maximum number of suckers per plant (4.93) was recorded with the treatment $\mathrm{T}_{16}$ i.e. CCC @ 2000 ppm.

## Flowering parameters

The observations on the different flowering parameters viz., days to first bud initiation, days to first flower opening, days to full bloom and number of flowers per plant were recorded and analyzed to evaluate the treatments (Table 1). Among all the treatments, earliest bud appearance ( 58.07 days), first flower opening ( 83.73 days) and full blooming (100.67 days) was recorded with treatment $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ which was at par with treatment $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ i.e. $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 150 \mathrm{ppm}$ for all these characters ( 60.13 days, 88.73 days, 102.13 days for bud appearance, first flower opening and full blooming, respectively).

Table 1: Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering parameters of chrysanthemum

| Notation | Treatments | Plant <br> height 60 <br> DAT <br> (cm) | Plant height 90 DAT (cm) | Number of branches per plant | Plant spread N-S (cm) | Plant spread E-W (cm) | Number of suckers per plant | Days to first bud initiation | Days to first flower opening | Days to full bloom | Number of flowers per plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | Control (water spray) | 20.83 | 42.64 | 3.27 | 22.37 | 21.26 | 4.74 | 78.27 | 104.33 | 121.07 | 56.60 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 28.85 | 57.71 | 6.37 | 29.82 | 28.69 | 5.00 | 63.60 | 91.27 | 107.13 | 76.67 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 150 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 29.58 | 58.77 | 6.53 | 31.24 | 30.07 | 4.53 | 60.13 | 88.73 | 102.13 | 80.47 |
| T4 | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 200 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 32.51 | 63.37 | 6.73 | 32.17 | 31.01 | 4.67 | 58.07 | 83.73 | 100.67 | 81.53 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | NAA 100 ppm | 25.04 | 51.47 | 4.27 | 26.10 | 24.94 | 4.42 | 69.07 | 96.13 | 114.00 | 70.27 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | NAA 150 ppm | 25.56 | 53.37 | 4.53 | 26.24 | 25.12 | 4.60 | 68.00 | 95.53 | 113.13 | 71.13 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | NAA 200 ppm | 26.06 | 53.96 | 4.80 | 27.11 | 26.00 | 4.96 | 67.40 | 95.00 | 112.60 | 73.27 |
| T8 | Triacontanol 10 ppm | 22.91 | 48.91 | 3.40 | 23.70 | 22.56 | 4.95 | 76.93 | 103.20 | 120.13 | 62.73 |
| T9 | Triacontanol 20 ppm | 24.41 | 50.44 | 4.00 | 25.75 | 24.61 | 4.45 | 74.20 | 101.87 | 119.20 | 63.67 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | Triacontanol 30 ppm | 25.17 | 51.44 | 4.20 | 26.12 | 25.00 | 4.95 | 73.67 | 100.07 | 117.67 | 67.00 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | SA 100 ppm | 28.45 | 57.03 | 6.00 | 29.71 | 28.57 | 4.61 | 66.53 | 91.47 | 110.00 | 76.00 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | SA 150 ppm | 27.72 | 56.69 | 5.87 | 28.64 | 27.50 | 4.97 | 67.00 | 93.00 | 111.20 | 75.60 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ | SA 200 ppm | 26.11 | 54.76 | 5.73 | 27.42 | 26.25 | 4.94 | 68.13 | 94.07 | 112.07 | 73.80 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}$ | CCC 500 ppm | 22.51 | 47.53 | 7.02 | 32.63 | 31.51 | 4.27 | 72.93 | 99.53 | 117.00 | 81.87 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}$ | CCC 1000 ppm | 21.84 | 46.56 | 7.20 | 33.62 | 32.51 | 4.51 | 70.60 | 98.80 | 115.27 | 83.00 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}$ | CCC 2000 ppm | 21.04 | 44.24 | 8.07 | 36.25 | 35.14 | 4.92 | 69.53 | 96.93 | 114.13 | 87.60 |
|  | S.Em. $\pm$ | 0.82 | 1.54 | 0.17 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.22 | 1.85 | 1.93 | 2.22 | 1.42 |
|  | C.D. at 5\% | 2.37 | 4.45 | 0.49 | 2.48 | 2.50 | NS | 5.35 | 5.57 | 6.40 | 4.11 |
|  | C.V. (\%) | 5.57 | 5.09 | 5.40 | 5.19 | 5.44 | 5.38 | 4.65 | 3.49 | 3.40 | 3.34 |

Among various plant growth regulator treatments, the early bud initiation was recorded with the foliar application of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$. This may be due to the earlier shift to reproductive phase due to rapid completion of vegetative phase because of increase in endogenous level of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$. The gibberellins reduce juvenile period of the plants because of its higher capacity of cell division and cell elongation, which causes early maturity in the plants (Lockhart, 1960) [11]. Moreover, with the termination of the juvenile phase, shoot apical meristem instead of producing leaves and branches starts producing buds. These results are in line with the findings of Mounika et al. (2019) ${ }^{[13]}$ who observed minimum days to bud initiation with higher $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ concentration in chrysanthemum. These results also corroborate findings of Kumar et al. (2014) ${ }^{[8]}$ in marigold. In contrast, significantly maximum number of flowers per plant (87.60) were recorded with the application of treatment $\mathrm{T}_{16}$ i.e. CCC @ 2000 ppm . The increase in number of flowers per plant with cycocel might be attributed to the development of large number of axillary shoots as a result of cessation of the terminal growth. Plants sprayed with growth retardants have built up sufficient food reserves due to reduction in plant height with increasing plant spread and number of branches which further resulted in higher production of photosynthates. The quick mobilization of these photosynthates from leaves (source) to flower (sink) might have increased number of flowers per plant. The results are in accordance with the findings of Rajyalakshmi and Rajsekhar (2014) ${ }^{[20]}$ in marigold.

## Yield parameters

The observations on the different yield attributing characters i.e. weight of flowers per plant, flower yield per plot and flower yield per hectare are presented in Table 2.

Significantly maximum weight of flowers per plant ( 279.49 g ) was recorded with treatment $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ followed by $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 150 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. This might be due to the reason that application of gibberellic acid induces cell elongation and cell division which reflected in longer petals and larger flower size and subsequently in higher weight of flowers per plant. Kumar et al. (2020) ${ }^{[9]}$ also reported the maximum flower yield per plant with the increased concentration of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ in marigold. Increase in weight of flowers per plant by $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ has earlier also been reported by Patel et al. (2010) ${ }^{[118]}$ in chrysanthemum and Sharma and Joshi (2015) ${ }^{[21]}$ in China aster.
Significantly maximum flower yield per plot and flower yield per hectare i.e. 2.38 kg and 293.27 q , respectively was obtained with the application of $200 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{GA}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{4}\right)$. The increase in yield attributes might be due to the fact that gibberellic acid stimulated overall vegetative growth of plants facilitating more photosynthetic area and metabolic activities resulting in more transport and utilization of the photosynthetic product which ultimately resulted in higher flower yield. These results are also in same line with the earlier findings of Kudmate et al. (2016) ${ }^{[7]}$ in annual chrysanthemum and Kalaimani et al. (2017) ${ }^{[6]}$ in African marigold.

## Quality parameters

The observations on the different quality parameters such as flower diameter and weight of individual flower influenced by various treatments. While, shelf life, chlorophyll ' $a$ ', ' $b$ ' and total chlorophyll content were found non-significant (Table 2). Among different treatments, significantly larger flower diameter $(6.04 \mathrm{~cm})$ was noted in treatment $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3}\right.$ @ 200 ppm).

Table 2: Effect of plant growth regulators on yield and quality parameters of chrysanthemum variety 'Ratlam Selection'

| Notation | Treatments | Weight of flowers per plant (g) | Flower yield per plot (kg) | Flower yield per ha (q) | Shelf life | Flower <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Weight of individual flower (g) | Chlorophyll 'a' content | Chlorophyll 'b' content | Total chlorophyll content | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | Control (water spray) | 130.41 | 1.11 | 137.04 | 4.67 | 3.64 | 2.02 | 24.73 | 18.64 | 43.35 | 1.77 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 231.53 | 1.98 | 243.90 | 4.69 | 5.61 | 3.08 | 26.96 | 20.31 | 47.26 | 3.12 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 150 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 246.62 | 2.11 | 260.12 | 4.67 | 5.64 | 3.15 | 26.82 | 20.21 | 47.02 | 3.31 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{GA}_{3} 200 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 279.49 | 2.38 | 293.27 | 5.14 | 6.04 | 3.40 | 27.56 | 20.77 | 48.31 | 3.70 |
| T5 | NAA 100 ppm | 189.65 | 1.52 | 187.37 | 4.86 | 5.09 | 2.78 | 26.12 | 19.68 | 45.79 | 2.42 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | NAA150 ppm | 199.11 | 1.59 | 196.62 | 4.87 | 5.12 | 2.90 | 26.17 | 19.72 | 45.87 | 2.53 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | NAA 200 ppm | 210.31 | 1.68 | 208.00 | 4.93 | 5.30 | 2.92 | 26.38 | 19.88 | 46.25 | 2.68 |
| T8 | Triacontanol 10 ppm | 144.50 | 1.16 | 142.73 | 4.80 | 3.96 | 2.13 | 25.16 | 18.96 | 44.11 | 1.84 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}$ | Triacontanol 20 ppm | 145.81 | 1.17 | 144.25 | 4.60 | 4.45 | 2.29 | 25.66 | 19.33 | 44.98 | 1.85 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | Triacontanol 30 ppm | 147.52 | 1.18 | 145.66 | 4.99 | 4.50 | 2.48 | 26.13 | 19.69 | 45.81 | 1.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | SA 100 ppm | 230.76 | 1.85 | 228.04 | 4.46 | 5.60 | 2.98 | 26.67 | 20.10 | 46.75 | 2.94 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | SA 150 ppm | 230.01 | 1.84 | 227.14 | 4.88 | 5.56 | 2.99 | 26.65 | 20.08 | 46.72 | 2.93 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ | SA 200 ppm | 218.60 | 1.75 | 215.97 | 4.62 | 5.42 | 2.95 | 26.28 | 19.80 | 46.07 | 2.79 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}$ | CCC 500 ppm | 232.31 | 1.86 | 229.57 | 4.46 | 5.01 | 2.76 | 26.49 | 19.97 | 46.45 | 2.27 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}$ | CCC 1000 ppm | 235.89 | 1.89 | 233.06 | 4.87 | 4.72 | 2.70 | 26.34 | 19.85 | 46.18 | 1.87 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}$ | CCC 2000 ppm | 238.30 | 1.91 | 235.39 | 4.74 | 4.56 | 2.68 | 26.52 | 19.98 | 46.49 | 1.37 |
|  | S.Em. $\pm$ | 6.45 | 0.08 | 10.07 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.65 | 0.50 | 1.17 | - |
|  | C.D. at 5\% | 18.64 | 0.24 | 29.09 | NS | 0.39 | 0.24 | NS | NS | NS | - |
|  | C.V. (\%) | 5.40 | 8.38 | 8.38 | 7.36 | 4.72 | 5.25 | 4.26 | 4.33 | 4.40 | - |

Selling price ₹ $\mathbf{4 0}$ per kg loose flowers

It is evident from the results that $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ produced maximum flower diameter. The reason for enhancement of flower size and diameter might be due to the increase in length of petals. Greater diameter might also be due to better partitioning by photosynthates to reproductive sink under the control of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$. Similar findings have also been reported by Shinde et al. (2010) ${ }^{[23]}$ in chrysanthemum and Kumar et al. (2020) ${ }^{[9]}$ in African marigold. The maximum individual flower weight ( 3.40 g ) was recorded in treatment $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. The weight of individual flower was significantly influenced with the application of plant growth regulators. The foliar application of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ may be attributed to an increase in length of petals and pedicel accompanied by increased number of petals which further resulted in increase of individual flower weight. These results are in resemblance with the findings of Kumar et al. (2010) ${ }^{[10]}$ who also recorded maximum individual flower weight with foliar application of $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ in African marigold. Similar findings have also been reported by Padampriya and Chezhiyan (2002) ${ }^{[17]}$ in chrysanthemum and Kumar et al. (2020) ${ }^{[9]}$ in marigold.

## Economics

From the economic point of view, highest gross income (11, 73,080 ₹ $/ \mathrm{ha}$ ), net income ( $8,55,778$ ₹/ha) and benefit cost ratio (3.70) was observed with the application of $\mathrm{GA}_{3} @ 200$ ppm as compared to other treatments.

## Conclusions

Based on the results of the present investigation it can be concluded that foliar application of $200 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{GA}_{3}$ is beneficial for obtaining better growth, maximum yield per hectare with good quality and economic returns in 'Ratlam Selection' variety of chrysanthemum.
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