



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(12): 2861-2864  
© 2022 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 01-10-2022  
Accepted: 05-11-2022

**SB Bhalerao**  
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of  
Animal Husbandry and Dairy  
Science, PGI, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

**VS Lawar**  
Associate Professor, Department  
of Animal Husbandry and Dairy  
Science, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

**DK Kamble**  
Head, Department of Animal  
Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Ahmednagar,  
Maharashtra, India

**SD Mandkmale**  
Senior Scientist, AICRP on Goat  
Improvement, Department of  
AHDS, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

**MU Tanpure**  
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of  
Animal Husbandry and Dairy  
Science, PGI, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

**AK Parade**  
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of  
Animal Husbandry and Dairy  
Science, PGI, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**SB Bhalerao**  
Ph.D. Scholar, Dept. of Animal  
Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
PGI, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Ahmednagar, Maharashtra,  
India

## Effect of replacement of concentrate mixture by hydroponic maize fodder on nutrient intake and nutrient digestibility of sangamneri goats

**SB Bhalerao, VS Lawar, DK Kamble, SD Mandkmale, MU Tanpure and AK Parade**

### Abstract

The experiment was conducted to study effect of replacement of concentrate mixture by hydroponic maize fodder on nutrient intake and nutrient digestibility of Sangamneri goats. Thirty Sangamneri male goats of 6-9 month age were kept under five treatments and six replication using RBD. T<sub>0</sub> (concentrate mixture), T<sub>1+</sub> (replace 20% concentrate by hydroponic maize), T<sub>2</sub> (replace 40% concentrate by hydroponic maize), T<sub>3</sub> (replace 60% concentrate by hydroponic maize) and T<sub>4</sub> (replace 80% concentrate by hydroponic maize). (Roughages = dry + green) fed to all treatment.

It was observed that hydroponic green maize contents 18.25, 11.75, 11.43, 03.36, 3.11, 0.47 and 68.35, DM, CP, CF, EE, Total Ash, Silica and NFE respectively. Result of experiment indicate that replacing of concentrate with hydroponic maize fodder had significantly higher DMI in (T<sub>2</sub>) 719 gram/ day than other treatments. Inclusion of hydroponic maize in kid's diet reduces the water intake. FCR value had non-significant influence to all treatments. Average nutrient digestibility observed significantly higher DM, CP and NFE in T<sub>2</sub> (66.78, 83.52 and 66.27%) and higher CF observed in T<sub>0</sub> (67.10%) than other treatments. The B: C ratio indicate that the animal fed under T<sub>2</sub> give higher B:C ratio of 1:1.13. Concentrate mixture can be replaced with HMF at 40% level in the rations of growing kids increases dry matter intake and nutrient digestibility without affecting feed conversion efficiency and reduce the production cost.

**Keywords:** Concentrate mixture, hydroponic maize fodder, nutrient intake, nutrient digestibility, sangamneri goats

### Introduction

In India, livestock is crucial for the nutritional security of all farmers, but especially for small and marginal farmers. Because of their enormous economic contribution to the poor man, goats have been referred to as "Poor man's cow." Goat farming is the backbone of economy of small and landless farmers in India. About 70% of the population in India works in agriculture, either directly or indirectly.

In the Ahmednagar district of Maharashtra's "Sangamner" Tehsil, Sangamneri goats are a dual-purpose breed. The breed is also found in adjoining districts like Pune, Solapur, Nashik and Dhule. The breed is often kept in small flocks of 2 to 10 goats and is found in irrigated regions. There were also a few bigger herds of 50-100 goats.

Dr. W.F. Gerke from the University of California first looked into hydroponics in the latter part of the 1930s. The name hydroponic is derived from two Greek words, hydro, which means "water," and ponic, which means "working." This hydroponic, sprouted grain, or sprouted fodder is created by growing plants in water or nutrient solution without the use of soil. In a short period of time, hydroponics is developed in a controlled environment. This problem is solved by the hydroponic green fodder production, which produces food with adequate fodder yields and excellent value during periods of drought and scarcity. The science shows that, there is great nutritional benefit provided by hydroponic sprouted grain and it is suitable for all livestock including sheep, cattle, goat provides animals with improved growth and overall health.

Hydroponic sprouting feed offers a significant nutritional advantage that can improve the overall health and performance of young animals while lowering feed costs. As a result, it has been planned for the current study to replace hydroponic fodder for a portion of the concentrate in the goat kids' diet.

## Material and Methodology

The hydroponic green maize was cultivated in unit setup at AICRP on Goat Improvement unit using 75 percent green shed net cover for maintaining optimum temperature (22-27 °C) and humidity (70%) equipped with semi-automated sprayer irrigation. The trays size 2X1.5 ft. with hole at the base to allow drainage of excess water. Daily requirement of maize hydroponic fodder is obtained by rotational soaking and sprouting of maize seed. Average 6-7 kg hydroponic maize fodder was produced from 1 kg maize seeds on 8th day. Thirty Sangamneri male goats of 6-9 month age were kept under five treatments and six replication using RBD. T<sub>0</sub> (concentrate mixture), T<sub>1+</sub> (replace 20% concentrate by hydroponic maize), T<sub>2</sub> (replace 40% concentrate by hydroponic maize), T<sub>3</sub> (replace 60% concentrate by hydroponic maize) and T<sub>4</sub> (replace 80% concentrate by hydroponic maize). (Roughages = dry + green) fed to all treatment.

The concentrate mixtures replaced with hydroponic green maize supplied to balance energy and protein to all the groups. Goats were dewormed before starting the feeding trial and fed similar ration for 90 days. After the completion of feeding trial a digestion trial of seven days duration was conducted to estimate the digestibility of proximate nutrients. Daily dry matter and water consumption were recorded. Goats were weighted using weighing balance. The biometric measurements *viz.*, body length, chest girth, and wither height of all goats were recorded weekly before access to feed and water. The sample of feed and fodder for dry matter, crude protein, crude fibre, ether extract, Silica and total ash were analyzed (AOAC 1995)<sup>[1]</sup>. The cost of feeding was calculated by taking the prevailing ration and feed ingredients. Randomized Block Design used for statistical analysis with six goats per treatment. Thirty goat kids aged between 6-9 months with average weight of 15 kg were used for the study. The ANOVA comprising of replication, treatment error, sampling errors and total were considered in the analysis as suggested by Rangaswamy (2000)<sup>[10]</sup>.

## Result and Discussion

### 1. Proximate principles

The proximate compositions of Soybean straw, Lucerne, green maize, Hydroponic green maize, Maize grain, GNC, Wheat bran and Rice bran are presented in Table 1. It was observed that the CP, EE and NFE content in hydroponic green maize fodder was more than green maize and lower CF content indicates higher palatability. The present findings are agreeable with previous reports (Thadchanamoorthy *et al.* (2012)<sup>[12]</sup>, Weldegerima, 2015<sup>[14, 15, 16]</sup>, Naik *et al.* 2014<sup>[9]</sup>, Muthuramalingam *et al.* 2015) and Naik *et al.* (2016)<sup>[6, 7, 8]</sup>

### 2. Dry matter intake (DM basis), feed conversion ratio and water intake (lit)

The data on DMI, growth performance, water intake and feed conversion efficiency are illustrated in Table 2. The average daily DMI (kg/d) of kids was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher in T<sub>2</sub> than other treatment groups followed by treatment groups T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>0</sub> rations, whereas T<sub>0</sub> was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower intake. Intake per 100 kg body weight (kg) of kids was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher in T<sub>2</sub> ration and found at par with T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, whereas T<sub>0</sub> was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower intake and found at par with T<sub>1</sub>. It might be due to encouraged faster passage rateout of the rumen leading

to increased intake. Similar results were found by Verma *et al.* (2015)<sup>[13]</sup> that DMI was higher in Haryana male calves fed hydroponic barley fodder at 50 percent replacement of concentrate mixture.

The differences in average water intake and total water intake were statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ), treatment T<sub>0</sub> & T<sub>1</sub> is found at par with each other and treatment T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are at par with each other and water intake per 100 kg body weight were also found significant. Treatment T<sub>0</sub> shows highest water intake and being significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) with rest of the treatments. The water intake was decreased in groups under successive period of investigation. It is further noticed that there was significant differences in water intake when Sangamneri goats were supplemented with hydroponic maize fodder. Feeding of hydroponic maize decreases water intake in experimental goats.

The FCR was not significantly different among the experimental rations. Goats fed with replacing 40% hydroponic maize fodder with concentrate mixture to treatment group T<sub>2</sub> consume lowest dry matter per kg gain than treatment group T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. It was low probably due to the enhance digestibility of nutrient and more nutritive value under this treatment, Hence it is indicated that replacing 40% maize fodder with concentrate mixture was efficient for growth of animal as compared to rest of treatments.

### 3. Average nutrient intake (g/d) and nutrient digestibility (%)

#### 3.1 Dry Matter intake (g/d) and Digestibility (%)

Significantly highest intake recorded in treatment group T<sub>2</sub>. Treatment group T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were non-significant and treatment group T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> at par with each other. DM digestibility coefficient was higher in 40 percent replacement of concentrate mixture with HMF ration than other rations and significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) differed to control group. Treatment group T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> are non significant, treatment group T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are at par with each other and Treatment group T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are non-significant. Similar results were reported by Verma *et al.* (2015)<sup>[13]</sup> that, significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher digestibility of DM was observed in Haryana male calves fed hydroponic barley fodder based diets compared to control.

#### 3.2 Crude Protein intake (g/d) and Digestibility (%)

Significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) highest intake recorded in treatment group T<sub>2</sub>. Treatment group T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> are non-significant, treatment group T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> are non-significant and Treatment group T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>0</sub> are non-significant. CP digestibility coefficient was higher in 40 percent replacement of concentrate mixture with HMF ration than other rations and significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) differed to control group. Treatment group T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are non-significant. Higher CP digestibility might be a reflection of its sprouting activity, which may increase the enzymatic activity in the seeds and leads too many changes as seed proteins are converted to essential amino acids. Similar results were found by Naik *et al.* (2014)<sup>[9]</sup> in cows fed hydroponic fodder.

#### 3.3 Crude Fiber intake (g/d) and Digestibility (%)

Significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) highest intake recorded in treatment group T<sub>2</sub>. Treatment group T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> are non-significant. Treatment group T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are non-significant. Significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) CF digestibility was found in

goat kids T0 ration. T1 and T2 are non-significant, T3 and T4 are non-significant. Present finding agreement with Devender et. al (2020) [2] fed hydroponic Barley fodder to sheep control (58.48%) reported higher CF digestibility than replace 50% concentrate by HBF (53.92%) and replace 75% concentrate by HBF (48.00%).

### 3.4 Ether Extract intake (g/d) and Digestibility (%)

There was no significant difference in ether extract digestibility among three experimental rations. There was no significant difference in ether extract digestibility among three experimental rations. Similar results were also observed by Verma et al. (2015) [13] in Haryana male calves fed hydroponic barley fodder based diets.

### 3.5 Nitrogen Free Extract intake (g/d) and Digestibility (%)

Significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) highest intake recorded in treatment group T<sub>2</sub>. Treatment group T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are non significant and treatment group T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> are non-significant. Highest NFE ( $p < 0.05$ ) digestibility coefficient was observed in goat kids T<sub>3</sub> ration and lowered in T<sub>0</sub> ration. T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were comparable and treatment group T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> was at par. Similar results were reported by Reddy et al. (1988) [11] that, digestibility of NFE was significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) higher in cross-bred cows fed artificially grown fodder compared to NB-21 fodder.

## 4. Economics of feeding of experimental goats

T<sub>2</sub> group showed lowest production cost per kg body weight gain (i.e.174.42 Rs) and highest B:C ratio. Lower production cost per kg gain in body weight of growing kids were in agreement with the observations of Naik et al. (2014) [9] There was higher net profit of Rs. 12.67 per cow/d on feeding HMF. The present finding similar with result given by Jemimah et al (2020) [4] hydroponic maize fodder may be included in the diet of fed Tellicherry crossbred female kids replacing concentrate at 25% and 50% level for enhanced weight gain with the added advantage of the reduced cost of production/kg live weight gain. Also comparable with the reports and concept by Fazaeli et al. (2011) [3] and Naik et al. (2014) [9]

**Table 1:** Proximate principles of experimental feeds fed to goats (% DM basis)

Proximate composition	DM	CP	CF	EE	Total Ash	Silica	NFE
Soybean straw	89.66	3.03	41.61	0.94	4.37	0.68	50.05
Lucerne	30.00	18.76	24.33	1.04	8.01	0.81	47.86
Green Maize	25.10	9.91	27.59	1.69	8.92	3.03	51.89
Hydroponic Maize	18.25	11.75	11.43	3.36	3.11	0.47	68.35
Maize grain	91.76	7.84	2.86	2.45	1.54	0.12	85.31
GNC	92.59	46.77	7.22	5.37	7.18	2.74	33.46
Wheat bran	88.21	15.21	8.79	2.80	3.63	0.40	69.57
Rice bran	90.24	13.67	7.02	5.44	9.65	2.71	64.22

**Table 2:** Average dry matter intake (DM basis), feed conversion ratio and water intake (lit) by experimental goats

Treatment	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	SE.m	CD at 5%
Initial Weight(kg)	14.65	15.43	15.68	15.63	15.37		
Final weight(kg)	19.38 <sup>d</sup>	20.69 <sup>bc</sup>	21.86 <sup>a</sup>	21.10 <sup>b</sup>	20.34 <sup>c</sup>	0.2537	0.7485
Average body weight (kg)	16.96 <sup>c</sup>	18.03 <sup>ab</sup>	18.72 <sup>a</sup>	18.32 <sup>ab</sup>	17.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.254	0.7491
Total Gain (kg)	4.73 <sup>e</sup>	5.26 <sup>c</sup>	6.18 <sup>a</sup>	5.47 <sup>b</sup>	4.97 <sup>d</sup>	0.0355	0.1047
Total daily DM Intake (kg)	0.572 <sup>d</sup>	0.650 <sup>c</sup>	0.719 <sup>a</sup>	0.692 <sup>b</sup>	0.664 <sup>c</sup>	0.0081	0.024
Intake per 100 kg body weight (kg)	3.37 <sup>b</sup>	3.60 <sup>ab</sup>	3.84 <sup>a</sup>	3.78 <sup>a</sup>	3.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.1108	0.327
Total feed consumption DM (kg)	51.45 <sup>d</sup>	58.52 <sup>c</sup>	64.70 <sup>a</sup>	62.24 <sup>b</sup>	59.75 <sup>c</sup>	0.7311	2.1585
FCR (%)	10.88	11.13	10.47	11.38	12.02	0.5033	N.S
Water intake / day	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.64 <sup>ab</sup>	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.0197	0.0581
Total water intake/kid	62.10 <sup>a</sup>	57.60 <sup>ab</sup>	55.80 <sup>b</sup>	53.10 <sup>b</sup>	54.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.7722	5.2279
Water intake /100 kg body weight	4.09 <sup>a</sup>	3.55 <sup>b</sup>	3.31 <sup>b</sup>	3.21 <sup>b</sup>	3.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.1176	0.3471
DM to water intake ratio	01:01.2	01:01.0	01:00.9	01:00.8	01:00.9		

**Table 3:** Average nutrient intake (g/d) and nutrient digestibility (%)

Attributes	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	SE.m	CD 5%
<b>DM</b>							
Intake (g/d)	571.65 <sup>b</sup>	650.39 <sup>ab</sup>	718.86 <sup>a</sup>	692.98 <sup>a</sup>	664.07 <sup>a</sup>	27.96	82.50
Digestibility (%)	56.98 <sup>c</sup>	63.13 <sup>ab</sup>	66.78 <sup>a</sup>	62.20 <sup>ab</sup>	59.73 <sup>bc</sup>	2.07	6.11
<b>CP</b>							
Intake (g/d)	33.20 <sup>c</sup>	36.20 <sup>bc</sup>	45.12 <sup>a</sup>	41.20 <sup>ab</sup>	39.51 <sup>bc</sup>	1.64	4.85
Digestibility (%)	69.90 <sup>c</sup>	79.48 <sup>ab</sup>	83.52 <sup>a</sup>	82.32 <sup>ab</sup>	82.07 <sup>ab</sup>	3.13	9.25
<b>CF</b>							
Intake (g/d)	107.49 <sup>b</sup>	105.96 <sup>b</sup>	125.16 <sup>a</sup>	118.45 <sup>ab</sup>	112.01 <sup>b</sup>	4.27	12.59
Digestibility (%)	67.10 <sup>a</sup>	64.88 <sup>ab</sup>	64.48 <sup>ab</sup>	59.08 <sup>c</sup>	59.77 <sup>bc</sup>	1.89	5.57
<b>EE</b>							
Intake (g/d)	27.51	27.12	29.17	28.79	27.34	1.19	N. S
Digestibility (%)	75.28	71.83	72.60	71.82	69.98	3.04	N. S
<b>NFE</b>							
Intake (g/d)	373.12 <sup>ab</sup>	334.41 <sup>b</sup>	410.0 <sup>a</sup>	400.94 <sup>a</sup>	384.25 <sup>ab</sup>	17.42	51.39
Digestibility (%)	57.78 <sup>b</sup>	60.78 <sup>ab</sup>	65.17 <sup>a</sup>	66.27 <sup>a</sup>	60.98 <sup>ab</sup>	2.03	6.00

**Table 4:** Economics of feeding of experimental goats

Particulars	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4
Total feed cost (Rs)	5699.39	6049.03	6467.53	6213.77	5757.57
Feed cost per Animal	949.89	1008.17	1077.92	1035.62	959.59
Initial cost of goat @ 300 Rs/kg live weight	4395	4629	4704	4689	4611
Total cost (feed cost per animal + initial cost of goat)	5344.89	5637.17	5781.92	5724.62	5570.59
Final return of goat @ 300 Rs/kg live weight	5814	6207	6558	6330	6102
Feed cost /kg body weight gain (Rs)	200.82	191.66	174.42	189.32	193.07
B:C ratio	1:1.08	1:1.10	1:1.13	1:1.10	1:1.09

### Conclusion

- Upon feeding of hydroponically grown green maize fodder replace to 40% concentrate on DM basis to goat kids along with control green and dry roughages resulted highest nutrient digestibility in term of dry matter, crude protein and nitrogen free extract.
- Based on present investigation, it is concluded that concentrate mixture could replace with hydroponic maize fodder at 40% level in the ration of growing kids is beneficial for better nutrient intake, digestibility and economic profitability of Sangamneri goat kids.

### References

1. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis 12th Edn. Association of Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C; c1995.
2. Devendar R, Nalini KN, Ramana RY, Sarjan RK, Kondal RK, Raju J, *et al.* Growth performance, nutrient utilization and carcass characteristics of sheep fed hydroponic barley fodder. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology.* 2020;20:321-331. DOI: 10.5958/0974-181X.2020.00029.3.
3. Fazaeli H, Golmohammadi HA, Shoatee AA, Montajebi A, Mosharraf S. Performance of feedlot calves fed hydroponics fodder barley. *Agriculture Sci. Tech. J.* 2011;13:367-375.
4. Jemimah RE, Tensingh Gnanaraj P, Siva Kumar T, Gopinathan A, Meenakshi Sundaram S. Growth performance of tellicherry crossbred female kids supplemented with varying levels of hydroponic maize fodder *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies.* 2020;8(3):81-85.
5. Muthuramalingam T, Pothiappan P, Gnanaraj PT, Meenakshi SS, Pugazhenthir TR. Studies on Growth Performance of the Goats Fed Hydroponic Maize Fodder *Indian Vet. J.* 2015;92(4):94-96.
6. Naik PK, Dhawaskar BD, Fatarpekar DD, Karunakaran M, Dhuri RB, Swain BK, *et al.* Effect of feeding hydroponics maize fodder replacing maize of concentrate mixture partially on digestibility of nutrients and milk production in lactating cows. *Indian J Anim. Sci.* 2016;87(04):452-455.
7. Naik PK, Karunakaran M, Swain BK, Chakukar EB, Singh NP. Voluntary intake and digestibility of nutrients in heifers fed hydroponics maize (*Zea mays* L.) fodder. *Indian J Anim. Nutr.* 2016;33(2):233-235.
8. Naik PK, Dhuri RB, Swain BK, Singh NP. Nutrient changes with the growth of hydroponics fodder maize. *Indian J Anim. Nutr.* 2016;29:161-163.
9. Naik PK, Dhuri RB, Karunakaran M, Swain BK, Singh NP. Effect of feeding hydroponic maize fodder on digestibility of nutrients and milk production in lactating cows, *Indian J Anim. Sci.* 2014;84(8):830-883.
10. Rangaswamy R. A textbook of Agricultural Statistics. New Age International Publishers, New Delhi, 1<sup>st</sup> edn; c2000. p. 293-294.
11. Reddy GVN, Reddy MR, Reddy KK. Nutrient utilization by milch cattle fed on rations containing artificially grown fodder. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition.* 1988;5(1):19-22.
12. Thadchanamoorthy S, Jayawardena VP, Pramalal CGC. Evaluation of hydroponically grown maize as a feed source for rabbits. *Proceeding of the 22th Annual Student Research Session. Department of Anim. Sci. Univ. of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka;* c2012.
13. Verma S, Singh A, Kalra A, Saxena MJ. Effect of feeding hydroponics barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) fodder on nutrient utilization, growth, blood metabolites and cost effectiveness in Hariyana male calves. *Indian J Anim. Nutr.* 2015;32:10-14.
14. Weldegerima KG. Nutritional benefit and economic value of feeding hydroponically grown maize and barley fodder for Konkan Kanyal goats. *IOSRJ. Agril. and Vet. Sci.* 2015;8(7):2319-2372.
15. Weldegerima KG, Desai B, Dhekale J. Feeding effects of maize and barley hydroponic fodder on dry matter intake, nutrient digestibility and body weight gain of Konkan Kanyal goats. *Life sci. International Res. J.* 2015;2(2):96-101.
16. Weldegerima KG, Desai B, Kumar S. Nutritional improvement and economic value of hydroponically sprouted maize fodder. *Life sci. International Res. J.* 2015;2(2):76-79.