www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# **The Pharma Innovation**



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(12): 3335-3342 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 14-10-2022 Accepted: 18-11-2022

### Shourathunnisa Begum

Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

### KT Ramappa

Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

### Udaykumar Nidoni

Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

### Sharanagouda Hiregoudar

Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

#### **G** Ramesh

Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Shourathunnisa Begum Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

# Effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on shelf-life of fenugreek leafy vegetable

# Shourathunnisa Begum, KT Ramappa, Udaykumar Nidoni, Sharanagouda Hiregoudar and G Ramesh

### Abstract

The present investigation was undertaken in the Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, Raichur during 2018-19 to study the effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on shelf-life of fenugreek leafy vegetable. The treatment combination comprised of three storage conditions such as ambient storage (AS), zero energy cool chamber (ZECC) and cold storage (CS) and three different packaging materials such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polypropylene (PP) and low density polyethylene (LDPE) with three replication. The freshly harvested fenugreek samples were packaged in three different packaging materials (without vents) and stored in three different conditions. The observations on quality attributes and microbial count were recorded at regular interval up to the end of storage period. It was noticed that the quality parameters were well maintained in cold storage and zero energy cool chamber. It was found, that the least changes in the colour value ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$ ) was noticed in S<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (47.72, -12.68 and 17.93) at the end of storage life. There was maximum physiological loss in weight (4.04%) for S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on 10<sup>th</sup> day in ZECC and in CS (4.23%) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage period. The minimum decay (16.47%) was recorded for S<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage period. Better retention of total chlorophyll content (from 286.47 to 194.85 mg/100 g) and ascorbic acid (from 88.52 to 52.89 mg/100 g) was recorded for the treatment  $S_3P_3$  on  $16^{th}$  day of storage. microbial populations in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also increased from initial to 37.67, 39.67, 39.33 and 39.12 after 2, 6, 8 and 10 days of storage, respectively and found within the permissible  $(5 \times 10^6)$  the same results were obtained for CS (S<sub>3</sub>) with an increased value from initial value 28.33, 36.33, 34.33 and 31.67 after 2, 12, 14 and 16 days of storage, respectively.

Keywords: Packaging materials, storage conditions, shelf-life, fenugreek leafy vegetable

### Introduction

Vegetables play an important role in meeting the needs of human beings for vitamins and minerals. Amongst all vegetables, the leafy vegetables have a very high protective food value. They are rich in minerals and hence they are called as "Mines of Minerals". Leafy vegetables are rich source of vitamins, minerals and dietary fiber. Being an inexpensive source, these leaves can be used by a large population to meet their dietary requirements. However, leaves are prone to mechanical injury during handling and they lose water because of a high surface area to volume ratio, which make them highly perishable (Kakade *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[4]</sup>. Leafy vegetables are plant species of which the leafy parts, which may include young, succulent stems, flowers and very young fruits, are used as vegetable (Vorster *et al.*, 2005) <sup>[19]</sup>. The most widespread and debilitating nutritional disorders, including birth defects, mental and physical retardation, weakened immune systems, blindness and even death has resulted from the habit of non-consumption of fruits and vegetables (Mwangi and Mumbi, 2006) <sup>[6]</sup>.

At present the diet of an average Indian is unbalanced as it consists of mainly cereals only. On an average a normal Indian consumes 375 g of cereals, and 30 g of vegetables daily, against 328 g of cereals, and 316 g of vegetables as per the standard dietary recommendation. Out of total 316 g of vegetables the daily recommendation is 200 g for leafy vegetables (Anon., 2018) <sup>[1]</sup>. In developing countries, nearly 16 million people die every year from preventable causes and 60% of these from hunger and malnutrition. Most of poor people battle hunger and malnourishment, especially vitamin and mineral deficiencies, which result in stunted growth, weakness and heightened susceptibility to illness (Anon., 2010) <sup>[2]</sup>.

The fenugreek contains abundant amount of bioactive compounds which includes ascorbic acid, carotenoids, chlorophyll, polyphenols and other phytochemicals which provides antioxidant properties apart from health benefits. Ascorbic acid found in fenugreek leafy

### The Pharma Innovation Journal

vegetables is an effective antioxidant which protect against free radical species and also serves as an enzyme co-factor in many metabolic reactions in the human body. The flavonoids and carotenoids present in fenugreek are good for the retina and lens of the eye which prevent macular degeneration disease by quenching singlet oxygen and scavenging free radical (Simopoulos and Gopalan, 2003) <sup>[16]</sup> hence there is a necessity to recommend a suitable packaging material and storage condition for enhancing the shelf- life and to maintain nutritional qualities of fenugreek leafy vegetables. In this regard the present research work was carried out to study the effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on shelflife of fenugreek.

### Materials and Methods

Fresh fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecu L.) bunches were procured after harvesting in the morning hours from Merched village located near the Raichur city. Sorting and grading were done manually to remove diseased and non-uniform fenugreek vegetables. Uniformly sized matured and cleaned fenugreek (Var. local Joara) was used for the investigation. Different packaging materials such as low density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) were procured from M/s. Ashoka Plastics, Hyderabad. Low density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) packaging pouches of 26×20.5 cm dimensions (Locally/ available size) without vents were used for packaging of vegetables. In each packaging pouch a folded tissue paper was placed at the bottom to absorb condensed water in order to avoid rotting. The pre-treated and packaged leafy vegetables were stored under different storage conditions viz., ambient storage (28-40 °C, 55-65%) (AS), zero energy cool chamber (15 to 18 °C, 85-90%) (ZECC) and walk in cold storage (15 °C, 90- 95%) (CS).

### Procedure adopted for the experiment

Leafy vegetables (Fenugreek and Coriander) were harvested at mature. The damaged and yellow leaves were removed then the cutting of root (2 cm) from the base of root tip is done. Leafy vegetables were washed in cold water (4-6 °C) with chlorine (120 mg/L) for 2 minutes and rinsed with running tap water. The leafy vegetables dipped in citric acid (0.5%) and ascorbic acid (0.5%) solutions for 2 minutes and surface dried for 15 minutes. It is packaged in different packaging materials and stored in different storage conditions.

# Physico-chemical analysis of minimally processed fenugreek leafy vegetable

The colour value of fenugreek was measured as per the procedure of Gulia *et al.*, 2009 <sup>[3]</sup> using hunters' lab colourimeter. The physiological loss in weight and decay per cent was measured by using the formula given by Tsegay *et al.* (2014) <sup>[18]</sup>. The total chlorophyll content of fenugreek was determined and quantified using the procedure proposed by Nagata and Yamashita (1992) <sup>[7]</sup>. The ascorbic acid was analyzed using 2, 6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye titrimetrically as per the method suggested by Sadasivam and Manickam (1992) <sup>[13]</sup>.

# Total microbial count (cfu/ml)

Total plate count was determined on nutrient agar pour plates and enumerated after an incubation period. The colonies were counted after the incubation period and the number of cfu/mL of sample were calculated by applying the formula given by Nirmala (2016)<sup>[10]</sup>.

### Statistical analysis

Three factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD) was used to analyze the data. The experimental design was done with the aid of Design- expert software version 7.0 (Statease Inc., Minneapolis, USA) to study according to general factorial design.

### **Results and Discussion**

# Effect of different packaging materials and storage conditions on physico-chemical composition of fenugreek leafy vegetable

# Colour values

# a) $L^*$ value

The data presented in Table 2 clearly indicated that, It was observed that, the  $L^*$  value was decreased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. The least change in  $L^*$ value (from 49.31 to 47.72) was recorded for the treatment  $S_3P_3$  on  $16^{th}$  day of storage, whereas the maximum change in  $L^*$  value (from 48.91 to 20.13) was recorded for S<sub>1</sub> P<sub>1</sub>. There was a significant difference in the  $L^*$  values among all the treatments and found superior over the ambient condition  $(S_1)$ . Fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials viz., No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the  $L^*$  value was decreased for all the treatments and shelf-life was observed to be only 2 days. The No packaging sample registered less change in  $L^*$  value (from 49.03 to 47.30) and found superior compared to samples packaged in PET, PP and LDPE. In the same way,  $L^*$  value in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also deceased among all the treatments. The least change in the  $L^*$  value was obtained in the sample packaged with LDPE (from 49.03 to 46.67) on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The same results were observed for CS  $(S_3)$  with a decreased  $L^*$  value among all the treatments. The least change in  $L^*$  value was obtained in the sample stored using LDPE (from 49.03 to 47.72) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

The results are in good agreement with the results of Kumar *et al.* (2013). The change in the value of  $L^*$  for packaged fenugreek under different storage conditions might be due to bio-chemical reactions, chlorophyll loss and water loss occurred during storage. It could be seen that the rate of change in  $L^*$  value was minimum for fenugreek stored in cold storage with different packaging materials. This might be due to better storage condition (temperature and relative humidity) and barrier properties of packaging materials.

### b) *a*\* value

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on  $a^*$  value of packaged fenugreek leafy vegetable was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 2. It was observed that, the  $a^*$  value was increased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. The least change in  $a^*$  value (from -21.12 to -12.68) was recorded for the treatment S<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage, whereas the maximum change in  $L^*$  value (from -21.12 to -2.06) was recorded for S<sub>1</sub> P<sub>1</sub>. There was a significant difference in the  $a^*$  values among all the treatments and found superior over the ambient condition (S<sub>1</sub>).

For fenugreek, stored under ambient storage  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials *viz.*, No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the *a*\* value was increased for all the treatments and

shelf- life observed to be only 2 days. The No packaging sample registered less change in  $a^*$  value (from -21.12 to -20.23) and found superior compared to samples packaged in PET, PP and LDPE. In the same way,  $a^*$  value in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also increased among all the treatments. The least change in the  $a^*$  value was obtained in the sample packaged with LDPE (from -21.12 to -12.99) on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The same results were obtained for CS (S<sub>3</sub>) with increased  $a^*$  value among all the treatments. The least change in  $a^*$  value among all the treatments. The least change in  $a^*$  value among all the treatments. The least change in  $a^*$  value among all the treatments. The least change in  $a^*$  value was obtained in the sample stored using LDPE (from -21.12 to -12.68) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

The results are in good agreement with the results of Kumar *et al.* (2013) who conducted experiment on effect of different packaging and storage conditions on shelf- life of drumstick leaves. It was clear that  $a^*$  values for fenugreek increased during storage period irrespective of storage condition and packaging material. This might be due to high degradation of chlorophyll content and senescence of the fenugreek.

### c) *b*\* value

Fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials *viz.*, No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the *b*\* value was increased for all the treatments and shelf- life observed to be only 2 days. The data presented in Table 2 indicated that, the No packaging sample registered less change in *b*\* value (from14.15 to 16.91) and found superior compared to samples packaged in PET, PP and LDPE. In the same way, *b*\* value in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also increased among all the treatments. The least change in the *b*\* value was obtained in the sample packaged with LDPE (from 14.15 to 17.95) on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The same results were obtained for CS (S<sub>3</sub>) with an increased *a*\* value among all the treatments. The least change in *b*\* value was obtained in the sample stored using LDPE (from 14.15 to 17.93) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

The results are in good agreement with the results of Srividya and Ghoora, (2016) <sup>[17]</sup> who conducted experiment on the postharvest quality and shelf-life of fresh cut fenugreek leaves and impact of aloe vera get coating. The results of  $b^*$  values of fenugreek packaged in LDPE increased from 22.3 to 40.6 during the storage periods. The change in the values  $b^*$  for packaged fenugreek under different storage conditions might be due to senescence process which causes degradation of the dominant chlorophyll pigment that will unmask the carotenoid.

## Physiological loss in weight (%)

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on physiological loss in weight of packaged fenugreek was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 4. It was observed that, the physiological loss in weight was increased with the increase in storage period. The highest physiological loss in weight (83.46%) was recorded for the treatment ( $S_1P_0$ ) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage, whereas the minimum physiological loss in weight (3.87%) was recorded for  $S_3P_1$ . There was a non-significant difference in the physiological loss in weight per cent among all the treatments of PET, PP and LDPE for all the storage conditions.

Fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials *viz.*, No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE. The physiological loss in weight per cent was increased from initial value of 0 to 58.50, 0 to 0.97, 0 to 1.33 and 0 to 2.33% after 2 days of storage period, respectively. In

the same way, physiological loss in weight per cent a in ZECC ( $S_2$ ) storage condition also increased for all the treatment and there was maximum physiological loss in weight (4.04%) for  $S_2P_3$  on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage period. The same results were obtained for CS ( $S_3$ ) and the maximum physiological loss in weight was found for  $S_3P_3$  (4.23) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

Similar results were obtained by Narang *et al.* (2016) <sup>[8]</sup> for packaging of fresh fenugreek under refrigerated condition. Results found that the weight loss of fenugreek packaged in different packaging materials was ranged from 0.25 to 50% at the end of 49 days of storage period. The loss of water is a natural process and is attributed to the respiration and other senescence-related metabolic processes during storage. The weight loss of leafy vegetables depends upon the water vapour transmission rate (WVTR) of packaging film. The WVTR of PET film was less hence less water lost from the fenugreek packaged in PET film in all storage conditions (S<sub>1</sub> S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>). The physiological loss in weight of fenugreek packaged with LDPE was less as compared to S<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>P<sub>0</sub>.

### **Decay percent** (%)

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on decay per cent of packaged fenugreek was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 4. It was observed that, the decay per cent was increased with the increase in storage period. The highest decay of (100%) was recorded for all the treatment of  $S_1P_0$  on  $16^{th}$  day of storage, whereas the minimum decay (16.47%) was recorded for  $S_3 P_3$ . There was a significant difference in the decay percentage among all the treatments and found superior over the ambient condition  $(S_1)$ . For fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials viz., No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the physiological loss in weight was increased with increase in storage period for all the treatment of  $S_1$  In the same way, decay percentage in ZECC  $(S_2)$  storage condition also increased for all the treatment and there was minimum decay (15.67%) for S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub> on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage period. The same results were obtained for CS  $(S_3)$  with a increased value from initial value 0 to 100% and the minimum weight loss was found for  $S_3P_3(16.47)$  on  $16^{th}$  day of storage.

It observed that the decay per cent (%) will increased during storage period irrespective of packaging and storage conditions but more in case of ambient conditions. This might be due to properties of packaging material, storage conditions, microbial count and condensation of moisture on leaves. The similar results are good agreement with Sharangi *et al.* (2015) <sup>[14]</sup> who experimented on decay per cent of coriander under different packaging materials. In this research decay per cent was reported as 23 and 39% for poly packet and control condition under refrigerated condition and 35.67 and 51%, respectively for room temperature on 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

# Total chlorophyll content

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on chlorophyll content of packaged fenugreek was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 6. Fenugreek, stored under ambient condition ( $S_1$ ) using different packaging materials *viz.*, No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the chlorophyll content was decreased for all the treatments and shelf- life observed to be only 2 days. The no packaging sample registered better retention of chlorophyll content (from 286.47 to 250.76 mg/100 g) and found superior compared to samples packaged in PET, PP and LDPE. But, the chlorophyll content of fenugreek in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition increased initially (2<sup>nd</sup> day) for PET, PP and LDPE then decreased. The better retention in the chlorophyll content was obtained in the sample packaged with LDPE (from 286.47 to 191.94 mg/100 g) on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Correspondingly, the same results were obtained for CS (S<sub>3</sub>). The chlorophyll content of fenugreek was initially increased (2<sup>th</sup> day) for PET, PP and LDPE then decreased. The better retention in the chlorophyll content was observed in samples packaged with LDPE (from 286.47 to 19.85 mg/100 g) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage period. The chlorophyll content was decreased with the increase in

The chlorophyll content was decreased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. This might be due to chlorophyll content of leafy vegetables is largely dependent on the dynamics of gaseous atmosphere within packaging materials. Low oxygen content retards the degradation rate of chlorophyll and also because of senescence and transpiration from the exposed surface area of the leaves. A slight rise in chlorophyll was observed on 2<sup>nd</sup> day of storage for S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>,  $S_2P_3$ ,  $S_3P_1$ ,  $S_3P_2$  and  $S_3P_3$  treatments because of oxygen content in packaging materials but later on the packaged environment become anaerobic and the chlorophyll content decreased. The actual reason for chlorophyll reduction using different packaging material and storage condition were not available but the results are in good agreement with the results of Shen et al. (1999)<sup>[15]</sup> who experimented on the effects of modified atmosphere packaging and blanching on quality of Bok Choy during storage. In this research degradation of chlorophyll in Bok Choy was 32% during 10 days of storage at 10 °C.

### Ascorbic acid

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on ascorbic acid of packaged fenugreek was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 7. It was observed that, the ascorbic acid values were decreased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. The initial ascorbic acid of fresh fenugreek was 88.52 mg/100 g. The maximum retention of ascorbic acid (88.52 to 52.89 mg/100 g) was recorded for the treatment S<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials viz., No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the ascorbic acid content was decreased from initial value of 88.52 to 29.24, 39.02, 39.32 and 41.78 mg/100 g after 2 days of storage period, respectively. In the same way, ascorbic acid in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also decreased for all the treatments and there was maximum retention for S<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> on 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The same results were obtained for CS  $(S_3)$  with a decreased value from initial value 88.52 to 18.59 mg/100 g. and the maximum ascorbic acid retention was found for  $S_3P_3$  (52.89 mg/100 g) on 16<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

The ascorbic acid content was decreased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. This might be due to oxidation of ascorbic acid to dehydroascorbic acid. Similar results were recorded by Negi and Roy (2004) <sup>[9]</sup> who conducted experimented on the changes in ascorbic acid of amaranths during storage periods. The result found that 65% ascorbic acid was lost on the  $6^{th}$  day of storage in polyethylene bags.

### **Microbial population**

The effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on microbial population of packaged fenugreek was analyzed and the data are presented in Table 8. It was observed that, the microbial populations were increased with the increase in storage period for all the treatments. Initial microbial population of fresh fenugreek was  $4.08 \times 10^6$ . There was a significant difference in the microbial population among all the treatments and found superior over ambient condition  $(S_1)$ . For fenugreek, stored under ambient condition  $(S_1)$  using different packaging materials viz., No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE, the microbial populations were increased from initial value to 41.00, 50.00, 49.00, 48.00 cfu×  $10^6$  ml on  $2^{nd}$  days of storage period, respectively. In the same way, microbial populations in ZECC (S<sub>2</sub>) storage condition also increased from initial to 37.67, 39.67, 39.33 and 39.12 after 2, 6, 8 and 10 days of storage, respectively and found within the permissible  $(5 \times 10^6)$  the same results were obtained for CS  $(S_3)$  with an increased value from initial value 28.33, 36.33, 34.33 and 31.67 after 2, 12, 14 and 16 days of storage, respectively.

It was observed that the microbial population increased during storage period irrespective of packaging and storage conditions. This might be due to properties of packaging materials, storage conditions, storage days and also the availability of moisture and nutrients which have tremendous impact on the growth of microbial population. The samples packaged in LDPE showed less growth of microorganism and the count was within the permissible limit up to 16<sup>th</sup> day. This might be due to lower temperature and low metabolic activity. The results are good agreement with Pirovani *et al.* (1998) <sup>[12]</sup> and Piagentini and Guemen, (2002) <sup>[11]</sup> who experimented on influence of packaging and chemical treatment on minimally processed spinach and lettuce, respectively.

# Shelf-life

From the data represented in all the tables, it is clearly seen that, fenugreek leafy vegetable could be stored up to 2 days in ambient storage (AS) in all packaging materials. Fenugreek packaged using different packaging materials *viz.*, No packaging, PET, PP and LDPE in ZECC condition could be stored for duration of 2, 6, 8 and 10 days, respectively with better quality attributes and within safe limit of microbial load. It was concluded that fenugreek packaged using different packaging, PET, PP and LDPE in CS condition could be stored for duration of 2, 12, 14 and 16 days, respectively with better quality attributes and within safe limit of 2, 12, 14 and 16 days, respectively with better quality attributes and within safe limit microbial load.

Storage conditions	Packaging materials				L* value								
Storage conditions		Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	49.03	47.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Ambiant Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	49.03	33.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SI (Amolent Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	49.03	35.47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	49.03	37.31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S <sub>2</sub> (ZECC)	No packaging(P <sub>0</sub> )	49.03	47.45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PET $(P_1)$	49.03	48.35	47.61	46.79	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	49.03	48.48	48.28	47.46	46.74	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	49.03	48.61	48.35	48.14	46.98	46.67	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	49.03	47.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Cold Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	49.03	48.90	48.45	48.04	47.6	47.07	-	-	-	-		
S3 (Cold Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	49.03	49.18	48.75	48.49	48.33	47.93	47.76	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	49.03	49.27	49.14	48.56	48.46	48.01	48.00	47.89	47.72	-		
	Mean	49.03	45.07	44.57	43.53	42.83	42.25	41.21	39.58	38.00	36.00		
	SEm±	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.24	0.17	0.25		
	CV	1.94	2.03	1.43	1.7	2.1	2.43	2.61	3.6	3.81	4.15		
	CD @ 1%	1.60	1.55	1.07	1.25	1.54	1.73	1.82	2.41	1.73	2.52		

Table 1: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials on color value  $L^*$  of fenugreek leafy vegetable

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

Table 2: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials on color value  $a^*$  of fenugreek leafy vegetable

Storage conditions	Packaging materials	a* value											
		Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
<b>C</b> .	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	-21.13	-20.23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
(Ambient	$PET(P_1)$	-21.56	-4.78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	-21.03	-4.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Storage)	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	-21.38	-5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	-21.17	-20.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
$S_2$	PET $(P_1)$	-20.63	-20.11	-18.22	-12.14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
(ZECC)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	-21.44	-20.07	-18.42	-17.38	-12.18	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	-20.21	-20.11	-19.18	-18.64	-16.34	-12.99	-	-	-	-		
S	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	-21.23	-20.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
D3 (Cold	$PET(P_1)$	-21.16	-20.46	-19.73	-18.58	-17.49	-15.61	-12.21	-	-	-		
(Colu Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	-21.42	-20.53	-20.27	-19.28	-17.92	-15.94	-14.24	-12.23	-	-		
Storage)	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	-20.87	-20.38	-20.45	-20.28	-18.47	-18.16	-16.87	-14.04	-12.68	-		
	Mean	-21.12	-16.10	-14.96	-13.49	-11.43	-10.01	-8.25	-7.49	-6.83	-5.69		
	SEm±	0.099	0.057	0.042	0.056	0.064	0.075	0.068	0.1	0.047	0.027		
	CV	2.81	2.09	1.62	2.38	3.14	4.26	4.57	7.57	3.83	2.564		
	CD @ 1%	0.99	0.58	0.42	0.55	0.65	0.75	0.69	1.02	0.47	0.26		

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

Table 3: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials on color value b\* of fenugreek leafy vegetable

Storage conditions	Doologing Motorials	<i>b</i> * value											
	rackaging Materials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	14.21	16.91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S1 (Ambient Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	14.16	21.29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	14.12	20.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	14.22	16.93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	14.15	15.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
$S_{\rm c}$ (7ECC)	PET $(P_1)$	14.09	14.22	14.56	18.19	-	-	-	-	-	-		
$S_2$ (ZECC)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	14.08	14.73	16.12	17.83	18.09	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	14.22	14.41	16.09	17.20	17.52	17.95	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	14.20	15.75	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		
S. (Cold Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	14.09	14.66	15.56	16.05	16.51	16.94	18.23	-	-	-		
S3 (Cold Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	14.18	14.46	14.58	15.07	15.39	16.09	17.36	18.00	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	14.13	14.38	14.48	14.83	14.98	15.49	16.64	17.11	17.93	-		
	Mean	14.15	16.15	17.22	18.28	18.84	19.66	21.17	21.88	22.54	23.51		
	SEm±	0.36	0.11	0.074	0.076	0.076	0.078	0.1	0.093	0.1	0.064		
	CV	4.11	4.12	2.59	2.5	2.42	2.38	2.901	2.53	2.711	1.631		
	CD @ 1%	0.28	1.11	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.78	1.03	0.92	1.02	0.65		

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

### The Pharma Innovation Journal

## https://www.thepharmajournal.com

Stanage conditions	Deckoging Motorials	Physiological loss in weight (%)											
Storage conditions	rackaging materials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	58.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S1 (Ambient Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	0	0.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	0	1.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	0	2.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S <sub>2</sub> (ZECC)	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	37.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PET (P1)	0	0.21	1.02	2.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	0	0.34	1.95	2.74	4.07	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	0	0.67	2.04	3.20	3.78	4.57	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	28.83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Cold Storage)	PET (P1)	0	0.05	0.60	0.98	1.17	2.17	3.17	-	-	-		
S3 (Cold Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	0	0.25	0.95	1.03	1.57	2.04	3.60	4.04	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	0	0.65	1.25	1.53	2.12	2.45	3.72	4.05	4.23	-		
	Mean	0.00	10.88	14.88	17.05	19.34	21.13	22.61	23.75	24.43	25.04		
	SEm±	0	0.12	0.042	0.066	0.076	0.047	0.042	0.049	0.064	0.068		
	CV	0	5.37	1.737	2.315	2.367	1.344	1.113	1.23	1.56	1.625		
	CD @ 1%	0	1.25	0.42	0.66	0.77	0.47	0.42	0.49	0.65	0.69		

Table 4: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials physiological loss in weight of fenugreek leafy vegetable

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

**Table 5:** Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials decay per cent of fenugreek leafy vegetable

Stanage conditions	<b>Decleoring motorials</b>		Decay per cent (%)										
Storage conditions	I ackaging materials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	67.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Ambiant Storage)	PET	0	64.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SI (Ambient Storage)	PP	0	59.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE	0	52.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	22.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S (ZECC)	PET	0	1.42	8.43	17.37	-	-	-	-	-	-		
$S_2$ (ZECC)	PP	0	1.25	1.65	7.67	16.67	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE	0	0.57	1.6	3.33	7	15.67	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	0	10.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Cold Storage)	PET	0	0	0.54	1.42	5.47	10.39	17.16	-	-	-		
53 (Cold Storage)	PP	0	0	0	0.61	1.65	5.53	10.05	16.96	-	-		
	LDPE	0	0	0	0	0.56	1.49	5.24	9.45	16.47	-		
	Mean	0.00	23.38	28.24	33.92	43.55	52.33	58.57	63.18	68.40	74.76		
	SEm±	0.0000	0.2900	0.1900	0.1600	0.1600	0.1400	0.1100	0.9900	0.9400	0.7600		
	CV	0.0000	7.4900	4.0310	2.8570	2.2100	1.6440	1.1270	9.3900	8.2230	6.0600		
	CD @ 1%	0	2.94	1.94	1.63	1.61	1.41	1.11	9.98	9.93	7.59		

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

Table 6: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials on chlorophyll (mg/100 g) of fenugreek leafy vegetable

Storage conditions	Dockoging Motorials		Chlorophyll (mg/100 g)											
	Packaging Materials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day			
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	286.89	250.76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
S1 (Ambient Storage)	PET (P1)	287.1	70.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	284.15	70.61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	286.08	73.55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
S <sub>2</sub> (ZECC)	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	287.53	251.34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	PET $(P_1)$	286.85	289.12	248.46	190.34	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	286.67	294.34	258.46	210.28	191.42	-	-	-	-	-			
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	287.97	296.61	268.67	225.45	210.92	191.94	-	-	-	-			
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	285.65	251.82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
S. (Cold Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	286.34	290.19	257.9	231.76	219.35	213.96	193.87	-	-	-			
53 (Cold Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	285.83	295.43	268.55	240.63	220.17	218.29	210.42	194.19	-	-			
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	286.58	298.4	278.24	251.07	228.89	220.12	215.44	209.51	194.85	-			
	Mean	286.47	227.74	188.93	141.38	126.73	103.7	83.87	68.41	53.5	40.39			
	SEm±	0.23	0.16	0.073	0.036	0.095	0.0362	0.058	0.048	0.044	0.047			
	CV	0.472	0.424	0.231	0.152	0.451	0.363	0.413	0.411	0.491	0.696			
	CD @ 1%	2.27	1.63	0.73	0.36	0.96	0.62	0.58	0.472	0.44	0.47			

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

### The Pharma Innovation Journal

Storage conditions	Packaging Matarials	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)											
Storage conditions	r ackaging waterials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	92.41	29.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Ambiant Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	88.76	39.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SI (Amolent Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	85.18	39.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	87.73	41.78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	87.29	35.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S <sub>2</sub> (ZECC)	PET $(P_1)$	89.36	70.91	67.78	50.45	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	87.17	82.14	70.58	67.68	50.52	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	90.46	84.04	73.97	71.44	68.79	52.56	-	-	-	-		
	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	87.65	38.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S. (Cold Storage)	PET $(P_1)$	89.14	80.08	70.55	69.45	57.20	51.81	49.50	-	-	-		
53 (Cold Stolage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	87.87	83.82	75.55	73.20	65.38	60.15	56.74	50.43	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	89.16	80.30	78.30	76.44	74.36	67.84	60.18	59.39	52.89	-		
	Mean	88.52	58.65	46.75	40.56	32.48	26.18	20.59	16.21	12.29	8.93		
	SEm±	0.25	0.26	0.2	0.15	0.2	0.13	0.091	0.083	0.1	0.08		
	CV	1.67	2.621	2.545	2.242	3.71	3.033	2.648	3.075	4.97	5.38		
	CD @ 1%	2.49	2.59	2.04	1.53	2.02	1.35	0.92	0.84	1.02	0.80		

Table 7: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials on ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) of fenugreek leafy vegetable

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

Table 8: Effect of storage conditions and packaging materials microbial population of fenugreek leafy vegetable

S4	Decleoring Motorials	Microbial population (cfu× 10 <sup>6</sup> / ml)											
Storage conditions	Packaging Materials	Fresh	2 <sup>nd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	10 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	18 <sup>th</sup> day		
c	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	4.08	41.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S <sub>1</sub> (Ambient	PET $(P_1)$	4.08	50.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
(Ambient Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	4.08	49.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	4.08	48.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S <sub>2</sub> (ZECC)	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	4.08	37.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PET $(P_1)$	4.08	9.33	22.33	39.67	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	4.08	8.00	20.67	27.33	39.33	-	-	-	-	-		
	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	4.08	5.33	18.33	25.33	38.67	39.12	-	-	-	-		
c	No packaging (P <sub>0</sub> )	4.08	28.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
S3 (Cald	PET $(P_1)$	4.08	8.00	10.33	13.33	19.33	29.33	36.33	-	-	-		
(Cold Storage)	PP (P <sub>2</sub> )	4.08	6.67	9.33	13.33	18.33	21.33	28.33	34.33	-	-		
Storage)	LDPE (P <sub>3</sub> )	4.08	5.67	8.33	11.67	15.67	17.67	20.67	28.67	31.67	-		
	Mean	4.08	150.53	174.03	206.11	239.11	274.14	373.67	444.58	530.36	592.75		
	SEm±	0.079	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.29	0.24	0.16	0.23	0.17	0.17		
	CV	1.54	0.626	0.598	0.524	0.724	0.53	0.252	0.313	0.196	0.173		
	CD @ 1%	0.78	1.58	1.69	1.78	2.86	2.42	1.58	2.34	1.69	1.69		

'-'Indicates termination of treatment

### References

- 1. Anonymous. 14<sup>th</sup> Annual Report on the state of the world hunger. Are we on track to end hunger Hunger Report. Bread for the World Institute; c2010.
- 2. Anonymous. A report on dietary recomendation for Indian peoples, agriinfo.com; c2018.
- Gulia A, Sharma HK, Sarkar BC, Upadhyay A, Shitandi A. Changes in physico-chemical and functional properties during convective drying of Aloe vera (*Aloe barbadensis*) leaves. J Fd. Byproducts Processing. 2009;88(2-3):161-164.
- Kakade A, More P, Jadhav S, Bhosle V. Shelf life extension of fresh-cut spinach. International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology. 2015;8(3):609-614.
- 5. Kumar PA, Nirmala R, Bhavya EP. Effect of different packaging and storage conditions on shelf-life of processed drumstick leaves. International Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2013;6(1):28-31.
- 6. Mwangi S, Mumbi K. African leafy vegetables evolve from underutilized species to commercial cash crops. Research Workshop on Collective Action and Market Access for Smallholders. 2006;3(5):2-5.

- 7. Nagata M, Yamashita I. Simple method for simultaneous determinations of chlorophyll and carotenoids in tomato fruit. Journal of Food Chemistry. 1992;39(2):413-419.
- 8. Narang R, Sharma R, Alam MS. Shelf-life enhancement of fresh fenugreek (*Trigonellafoenum-graceum*) under refrigerated condtions. International Journal of Food Fermentation Technology. 2016;6(2):43-449.
- 9. Negi PS, Roy SK. Changes in  $\beta$ -carotene and ascorbic acid content of fresh amaranth and fenugreek leaves during storage by low cost technique. Plant Food for Human Nutrition. 2004;58(4):225-230.
- Nirmala R. Study on microbial profile of bread during storage. International Journal of Advance Research Biology and Sciences. 2016;3(9):60-63.
- 11. Piagentini AM, Guemes DR. Shelf life of fresh-cut spinach as affected by chemical treatment and type of packaging film. Brazil Journal of Chemical Engineering. 2002;19(4):383-389.
- 12. Pirovani ME, Piagentini AM, Guemes DR, Di-Pentima JH. Quality of minimally processed lettuces as influenced by packaging and chemical treatment. Journal of Food Quality. 1998;22(8):475-484.
- 13. Sadasivam S, Manickam M. Biochemical methods for

agricultural sciences. Journal of Environmental Protection. 1992;7(1):1-5.

- Sharangi AM, Sagarika G, Chakrabarty I. Effect of different materials on storage life of fresh coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) leaves. Nature and Sciences. 2015;13(6):100-108.
- 15. Shen LQ, Wang XY, Huang GR. Effects of modified atmosphere packaging and blanching on quality of Bok Choy during storage. Acta Agri Zhejiangensis. 1999;11(2):249–252.
- 16. Simopoulos AP, Gopalan C. Plants in human health and nutrition policy. Karger Medical and Scientific Publicshers, Switzerland; c2003.
- Srividya N, Ghoora MD. Postharvest quality and shelflife of fresh cut fenugreek (*Trigonellafoenum graecum* L.) leaves: Impact of packaging and aloevera gel coating. International Journal of Nutrition and Agriculture Research. 2016;3(1):1-9.
- Tsegay D, Bizuayehu T, Mohammed A, Yirga H, Bayleyegn A. Effects of harvesting stage and storage duration on postharvest quality and shelf-life of sweet bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) varieties under passive refrigeration system. International Journal of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research. 2014;4(7):98-104.
- 19. Vorster IHJ, Jansen Van Rensburg W, Van Zijl JJB, Sonja LV. The importance of traditional leafy vegetables in South Africa. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2005;7(4):2-10.