



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(12): 4090-4097
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 16-09-2022
Accepted: 24-10-2022

RK Bairwa

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, College of agriculture Hindoli, Agriculture University Kota Rajasthan, Ph.D. Research Scholar, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

RK Narolia

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan (India)

CK Dotaniya

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Government Agriculture College Todabhim, Karauli, Agriculture University Kota, Rajasthan, Ph.D. Research Scholar, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Neetu Bairwa

PG Researcher, Department of Geography, University of Kota, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author:

RK Bairwa

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, College of agriculture Hindoli, Agriculture University Kota Rajasthan, Ph.D. Research Scholar, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Response of onion (*Nasik red*) to nitrogen, potassium and sulphur fertilization under arid western conditions of Rajasthan

RK Bairwa, RK Narolia, CK Dotaniya and Neetu Bairwa

Abstract

The onion (*Nasik Red*) field study, which comprised 10 different treatments laid out in a split plot design with three replications at ARS-SKRAU, Beechwal, Bikaner Zone Studies, clearly showed that application of inorganic fertilizer's at N, K and S levels significantly increased all the growth, yield and quality characters viz. Shelf life, TSS, Allyl propyl disulphide of onion. Similarly, N levels of @ 80 kg N ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg N ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays) produced the highest bulb yield (227.62 q ha⁻¹), closely followed by N₂ (210.45 q ha⁻¹) and N fertilization @ 80 kg N ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg N ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays), which was significantly higher than control. Application of 80 kg N and K ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg (4 foliar sprays) and 40 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ produced a significantly superior crop, and this treatment combination was recommended for maximum bulb production of onion.

Keywords: Growth, yield, shelf life, TSS, allyl propyl disulphide, Nasik red

Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important vegetable bulb crops produced in India. Growth and yield productivity of the crop has been far below the regional and national standards owing to several factors; absence of location specific fertilizer recommendation being the major among others. Onion is the most important commercial bulbous crops cultivated extensively in India and widely used as vegetables in our country (Bairwa *et al.*, 2020) [18]. It belongs to family Alliaceae. The chief component of pungency in onion is 'allyl propyl disulphide' Onion contains carbohydrates (11.0g), proteins (1.2g), fiber (0.6g), moisture (86.8g), and several vitamin and like vitamin A (0.012 mg), vitamin C (11 mg), thiamine (0.08mg), riboflavin (0.01mg), and niacin (0.2mg), and also some minerals like phosphorus (39mg), calcium (27 mg), sodium (1.0 mg), iron (0.7mg), and potassium (157 mg), per 100 g (Rahman *et al.*, 2013) [52]. India is the second largest producer of onion in the world and occupies 1.64 Million Hectares area with a production of 26.83 Million Tonnes and yield 16360 Kg./Hectare (Anonymous 2021-22).

Mineral nutrition is main that affects growth, yield and quality of onion (Chung 1989) [16]. Nitrogen and phosphorus and potassium are often referred to as the primary macronutrients because of the probability of plants being deficient in these nutrients and because of the large quantities taken up by plants from the soil relative to other essential nutrients (Marschner 1995) [43]. Nitrogen comprises 7% of total dry matter of plants and is a constituent of many fundamental cell components (Bungard *et al.*, 1999) [13]. It is one of the most complexes in behavior, occurring in soil, air and water in organic and inorganic forms. For this reason, it poses the most difficult problem in making fertilizer recommendations (Archer 2002) [5]. Dotaniya *et al.* (2022) [20] application of FYM @ 20t ha⁻¹ to maize followed by FYM @ 5t ha⁻¹ to chickpea increased the productivity and nutrient uptake in chickpea, improved soil physico-chemical properties and reflected as viable technique in improving soil nutrient availability on sustainable basis. Plant demand for N can be satisfied from a combination of soil and fertilizer to ensure optimum growth. It is one of the most complexes in behavior, occurring in soil health, air and water in organic and inorganic forms (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2019 and Dotaniya *et al.*, 2020) [22, 18]. For this reason, it poses the most difficult problem in making fertilizer recommendations (Archer 2002 and Dotaniya *et al.*, 2020) [5, 18]. Plant demand for N can be satisfied from a combination of soil and fertilizer to ensure optimum physiological growth. Phosphorus application P to legumes plays a key role in formation of energy rich bonds, phospholipids and for development for root system (Pingoliya *et al.*, 2015) [50].

Phosphorus increased chickpea grain yield and other quality parameters in legumes such as protein and amino acids (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2014) [24]. Apart from P, iron (Fe) plays an important role in chlorophyll synthesis, being a structural component of hemes, hematin and leg hemoglobin. It is also an important part of the enzyme nitrogenase, which is essential for the N₂ fixation in legumes (Kumar *et al.*, 2009) [37].

Potassium (K) is a major plant nutrient, which is needed by the plants in large amount and is supplied by the K fertilizers (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2020) [18]. It is available to the plants in the form of cation (K⁺). Actually potassium is essential for a variety of process *i.e.*, photosynthesis, fruit formation, winter hardiness and disease resistance. It stiffens straw and thus reduces lodging, and plays an important role in protein formation especially in grain filling (El-Tohamy *et al.*, 2011) [28]. It was reported by many researchers that they increased the plant growth, nutrient uptake and plant yield as well as quality (Karakurt *et al.*, 2009) [35]. Sulphur is an essential plant nutrient and plays a vital role in biosynthesis of certain amino acids (Cysteine, cystine and methionine) and also helps in the synthesis of co-enzyme and formation of chlorophyll and nitrogenases enzyme. Sulphur is reported from two natural growth regulators *viz.*, thiamine and biotin. Sulphur plays an important role in chlorophyll formation because it has been observed that sulphur deficient soil and plants contain as 40 to 60% in comparison with those receiving normal amounts of these elements (Dharwe *et al.*, 2019 and Malvi *et al.*, 2019) [17, 41]. Sulphur occurs in glutathione that is important to oxidation reduction reaction. Sulphur has been recognized as an important nutrient for higher yield and quality of onion bulbs. Sulphur is essential for building up sulphur containing amino acids and also for a good vegetative growth and bulb development in onion (Gondane *et al.*, 2018) [29]. The largest changing trends in agricultural, yield target concept and fertilizer recommendation for maximum profit per hectare become more promising. Yield target concept has the added advantage in which target can be fixed by taking into consideration the resources available. Therefore, it is essential to find out the best and optimum level of sulphur for soil application and its effect on yield and quality of onion (Gondane *et al.*, 2018) [29].

Method and Materials

A present field experiment was carried out at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, S.K. Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, during *Rabi* season for the 2016–17 and 2017-18 years to find out the effects of inorganic fertilizer, organic manure and fertilizers on the nutrient content, growth and yield attributes of the Nasik red variety of onion crop. The treatment details and their symbols is N₁- (control), N₂ - 100 Kg N ha⁻¹ (soil application), N₃- 80 kg N ha⁻¹(soil application) +20 kg N ha⁻¹(4 foliar sprays), N₄- 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg N ha⁻¹(4 foliar sprays), K₁- (control), K₂ - 100 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application), K₃- 80 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹(4 foliar sprays), K₄- 60 kg K ha⁻¹(soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays) and S₁- (control), S₂- 40 kg S ha⁻¹ (soil application) was applied. N and K fertilization control levels (100, 80 (20-4 foliar sprays), 60 (20-4 foliar sprays), and S fertilization control (40 kg ha¹) were used. The geographically, is climate of this zone is typically arid characterized by acidity of the atmosphere and salinity in the rhizosphere with extreme temperature both in

summer and winters and their transitions are characterized with abrupt fall and rise in temperature. The annual average rainfall of this region is about 200-300 mm which is mostly received from the south-west monsoon during the crop period of July to September. The mechanical composition of the composite soil sample was determined by Piper (1950) [51], physico-chemical properties such as bulk density (Jackson 1973) [32], soil pH and EC (Meena *et al.*, 2020) [44], organic carbon by the Walkley and Black's method (Kumar *et al.*, 2018) [38], calcium carbonate by hut chins on the rapid titration method (Piper 1950) [51], estimation of available N, P, and K (Jackson 1973) [32], and The experimentally recorded data was analysed statistically using the analysis of variance technique of the split plot design suggested by (Panse and Sukhatme 1985) [49].

Total soluble solids (%)

Total soluble solids (TSS) was determined with the help of hand refract meter at the time of harvesting of bulb and final TSS measured after adding and / or substrate the correction values from table at 20 °C.

Allyl propyl disulphide (mg g⁻¹)

Allyl propyl disulphide content in onion bulb was determined as pyruvic acid (μ mol/g) by the procedure followed by (25).

Ally-propyl disulphide

$$= \frac{\text{Pyruvate content from standard curve } (\mu \text{ mol})}{\text{Alliquate of test control solution taken colour development (ml)}} \times \frac{\text{Total volume of solution of sample made (g)}}{\text{Wt. of sample taken for assay (g)}}$$

Shelf life

Onions are stored at room temperature in a dark and dry place with plenty of air circulation to determine shelf life. A hanging wire mesh net (mesh bags and paper bags) are used.

Results and Discussions

Growth attributes

Different N fertilization increased like number of leaves plant¹ at 30, 60 and 90 DAT (Table 1) (days after transplanting), plant height (Table 2) and fresh and dry weight (Table 3) of leaves at 90 DAT and showed that application of N₃ increased the growth attributes which was significantly higher over control, N₁ and N₂. The maximum plant height at 30, 60 and 90 DAT (19.24, 37.01 and 47.28 cm, respectively) was recorded with treatments N₃, while minimum was under control *i.e.* N₁ (16.01, 30.76 and 39.18 cm, respectively). The corresponding increase in plant height under N₃ was to the tune of 20.17, 5.39 and 4.56 per cent at 30 DAT, 20.32, 2.54 and 3.17 per cent at 60 DAT and 20.67, 3.96 and 4.16 per cent at 90 DAT over N₁ (control), N₂ and N₃, respectively. The maximum plant height at 30, 60 and 90 DAT was 18.91, 36.03 and 46.01 cm, respectively with K₃ treatment, while minimum (17.06, 32.90 and 41.63 cm) was under control (N₁) respectively. Maximum plant height of (18.63, 35.86 and 45.22 cm) was recorded at 30, 60 and 90 DAT under S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹ soil application) which was significantly superior to S₁ (control) on pooled basis. The increment of onion plant vegetative parameters with the addition of higher level of N might be attributed to more availability of nutrients, especially N, which enhanced the number of leaves by its stimulative effect on cell division and cell enlargement that in

turn might increase number of leaves and leaf dimensions. Also enhanced protein synthesis leading to an increase in building up of carbohydrates and this in turn result in increased in plant growth characters. Bungard, *et al.* (1999) [13] stated that N is a constituent of many fundamental cell components and it plays a vital role in all living tissues of the plant. No other element has such an effect on promoting vigorous plant growth. Also, the improvement of fresh and dry weight of whole onion plant could be attributed to an increased photosynthetic area in response to N fertilization that enhanced assimilates production and partitioning in the plants. The obtained results are in conformity with the findings of (Nasik red) onion (Abdissa *et al.*, 2011 and Shaheen *et al.*, 2011) [1, 55].

The plant height, number of leaves per plant at 30, 60 and 90 DAT and fresh and dry weight of leaves at 90 DAT. Application of 80 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays) recorded highest plant growth attributes which was significantly higher over control, K₂ and K₄ soil and foliar application. Vegetative growth parameters *viz.* plant height (18.91, 36.03 and 46.01 cm), number of leaves per plant (4.88, 6.16 and 7.12) at 30, 60 and 90 DAT and fresh and dry weight of leaves (12.77 and 3.00 g) at 90 DAT were recorded of K₃, while as compared to control. Role of K which took active rate in metabolism and many processes needed to sustain and promote plant vegetative growth and development. Linking potassium (K) balance to soil fertility creates a valuable indicator for sustainability assessment in agricultural land-use systems. It is crucial for the efficient use of K resources and resource sustainability to realize soil K balance status in India (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2022a) [23]. Moreover, K plays a major role in many physiological and biochemical processes such as cell division and elongation and metabolism of carbohydrates and protein compounds (Marschner 1995) [43]. Potassium foliar application increases the outward translocation of photosynthetic from the leaf (Ashley and Goodson 1972) [6]. The higher number of leaves per plant (11.56, 11.68), weight of bulb (50.42 from 51.83 g) and bulb yield (226.66 from 227.66 q ha⁻¹) with increasing levels of K application from 100 to 150 kg ha⁻¹. Nandi, *et al.* (2002) [46] also reported that as there is a significant difference among the fertilizer doses with respect to onion plant height. Plants were the tallest when 90 kg N and 120 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ were applied.

Plant growth parameters *i.e.* plant height, number of leaves per plant, at 30, 60 and 90 DAT and fresh and dry weight of leaves at 90 DAT and showed that application of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ (soil application) increased the plant growth parameters which was significantly higher over control. However, the highest vegetative growth parameters *viz.* the plant height (18.63, 35.86 and 45.22 cm), number of leaves per plant (5.06, 6.41 and 7.26) at 30, 60 and 90 DAT and fresh and dry weight of leaves (12.05 and 2.83 g) at 90 DAT were recorded under 40 kg S ha⁻¹ (soil application), whereas minimum in control. The obtained results are in conformity with those of Abou-El-Nasr and Ibrahim (2011) [2] found that increasing K levels increased growth attributes weight basis. This might be ascribed to adequate supply of sulphur that resulted in higher production of photosynthesis and their translocation to sink, which ultimately increased the fresh yield of onion. Increasing sulphur availability has been associated with increasing bulb weight (Lancaster *et al.*, 2001) [39]. Similarly report has been reported by (Josephine *et al.*, 2006) [33].

Yield Parameters

The nitrogen fertilization of @ 80 kg N ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg N ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays), recorded highest bulb yield (227.62 q ha⁻¹), which were closely followed by N₂ (210.45 q ha⁻¹) which was significantly higher over control (Table 2). Similarly, there is a reported that an application of 125 kg N ha⁻¹ showed higher yield when as compared with control. The N application of *i.e.* N₃ significantly increased the total bulb yield as compared to other N levels as well as control. Balanced nutrient application of macro and secondary nutrient application gave significant higher marketable yield and yield attributing characters. Different potassium fertilizations significantly maximum bulb yield (218.21 q ha⁻¹) was obtained when onion was grown with K₃ 80 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays) followed by K₂ *i.e.* 100 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application) and K₄ *i.e.* 60 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays), while minimum under K₁ (151.47 q ha⁻¹). The increase in bulb yield of onion was found to be 44.06 per cent higher with K₃ over control followed by 4.89 and 6.99 per cent with K₂ and K₃ on pooled basis. Sulphur S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹ (soil application)) significantly increased bulb yield (208.61 q ha⁻¹) which was significantly higher (182.23 q ha⁻¹) over S₁ (control) on pooled basis. The percent increase in bulb yield ha⁻¹ with treatment S₂ was to the tune of 14.47 percent over S₁ (control). Maximum bulb yields with increase in N application from 100 to 150 kg ha⁻¹ (Vachhani and Patel 1993) [58]. Similarly, a study (Kumar *et al.*, 2001) [36] observed that the increase in N application significantly increased the dry weight of tops and bulbs, bulb diameter, 100 bulb weight and bulb yield up to 80 kg ha⁻¹ urea. Application of vermicompost (300 gm/pot) resulted in significantly higher nitrogen phosphorus and potassium growth and yield of fenugreek than FYM, Rhizobium treatment and control (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2019) [22]. Application of increased levels of sulphur up to 30 kg S/ha recorded significantly highest seed yield of mungbean and sesame (Dotaniya *et al.*, 2021) [26]. Similarly, there is a report that potassium application of 125 kg ha⁻¹ showed higher fresh and dry weight of bulb, volume of bulb, number of scales per bulb and bulb diameter when as compared with control (Hariyappa 2003). This finding are in line with the results that the higher weight of bulb and bulb yield with increase in potassium application from 100 to 150 kg ha⁻¹ (Vachhani and Patel 1993) [58]. The combined application of 40 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ and 30 x 30 cm spacing was found suitable in terms of yield, net return 1,93,239 (Rs ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio (2.21) over control with 45 x 45 cm spacing, respectively and resulted in saving of 20 kg sulphur ha⁻¹. Thus, application of sulphur 40 kg ha⁻¹ along with 45 x 30 cm spacing recommended for knol-khol crop (Bairwa *et al.*, 2017 & 2017a) [9-10]. The above research findings are close in conformity with the earlier findings given by (Mishu *et al.*, 2013) [45]. Malvi *et al.* (2021) [42] application of 40 kg S/ha significantly influenced by protein and total uptake of fodder berseem over control. The improvement in yield may be due to higher uptake of N, P, K and S by the onion crop resulting higher chlorophyll, increased enzymatic and protein synthesis, proper root proliferation, and enhancing the translocation of assimilates research findings are close in conformity with the earlier findings given by onion and others crops (Yadav *et al.*, 2015 and Salame *et al.*, 2020) [59, 54].

Quality parameters

Demonstrated that the quality and nutritional values of onion bulb, *i.e.* TSS (⁰B) and allyl-propyl disulphide content was significantly influenced by N fertilization Shelf life of onion bulb at ambient the room temperature was not influenced significantly by nitrogen levels in both the seasons (Table 4). Quality and nutrient content in onion bulb tissues significantly improved when onion plants received a nitrogen levels N₃ when compared with the control, N₂ and N₄ soil application +foliar sprays in both seasons of study. Application of N fertilization of N₃ gave significantly highest values of TSS (10.57 ⁰B) and allyl-propyl disulphide content (7.59 mg g⁻¹) while, the lowest values were obtained with control. The maximum shelf life of onion bulb at ambient a room temperature (167.70 days) was recorded with control treatment, followed by N₄ (167.53 days) and N₃ (164.73 days) treatment. Whereas, minimum (164.06 days) was found in *i.e.* 100 kg N ha⁻¹(soil application).

Quality parameters of onion bulb like TSS (⁰B) and allyl-propyl disulphide content was significantly influenced by the application of different levels of K fertilizers. Shelf life of onion bulb at ambient a room temperature was not influenced significantly due to potassium levels in both seasons. Quality and nutrient contents in onion bulb tissues were significantly increased when onion plants received a potassium fertilization *i.e.* K₃ when compared with the control, K₂ and K₄ soil and foliar application in both seasons of study (Table 4). Application of K₃ fertilization of 80 kg K ha⁻¹(soil application) + 20 kg K ha⁻¹ (4 foliar sprays) gave significantly the highest values of TSS (10.48⁰B) and allyl-propyl disulphide content (7.47 mg g⁻¹). While, the lowest values (9.93 ⁰B and 6.96 mg g⁻¹) were obtained with control. The maximum shelf life of onion bulb at ambient room temperature (168.74 days) was recorded at *i.e.* 100 K kg ha⁻¹(soil application) treatment, followed by K₄ (165.68 days) and N₄ (164.76 days) treatment. Whereas minimum (164.85 days) was found in control. The no or lower application of K fertilizers increased the percentage of size rejects as compared to the plots that received higher levels of K (120 kg K ha⁻¹).

Total soluble solids and allyl- propyl disulphide content were significantly influenced by different sulphur levels. But shelf life was found non- significantly due to sulphur levels. The maximum quality parameters *viz.* TSS, allyl-propyl disulphide content and shelf life of onion bulb at ambient a room temperature (10.44⁰B, 7.78mg g⁻¹ and 166.4 days) was

recorded with 40 S kg ha⁻¹ (soil application) Whereas, minimum was registered under control (S₁) Similarly, TSS and allyl propyl disulphide content in bulb increased with increasing level of sulphur (Table 4). The increased sulphur and allyl propyl disulphide content in bulb might be due to increased concentration of sulphur in soil with increasing level of sulphur fertilization.

Quality changes of the dried product were evaluated by analysis of colour, pyruvate, chemical and sensory parameters. The obtained results are in conformity with (Nasreen *et al.*, 2007 and Shaheen *et al.*, 2011) [47, 55]. The better root growth, particularly development of lateral roots and fibrous rootlets which is responsible for higher nutrients uptake from the soil that is positively encouraged by nitrogen (Barker, 2007) [11] on the bulb size of onion as affected by mineral nutrients (Pandey *et al.*, 1991) [48]. Nitrogen help in vigorous vegetative growth and imparted deep green colour to the foliage which favored photosynthetic activity of the plants so there was greater accumulation of food *i.e.* carbohydrates in bulb which synthesized to saccharides and there was increase in TSS content also been reported by (Singh *et al.*, 1989 and Thabet *et al.*, 1994) [56, 57]. Similar sulphur levels findings have also been reported by better quality, higher nutrient uptake and sustainable nutrient bulb up in the soil (Dudhat *et al.*, 2011) [27]. Similarly, TSS and allyl propyl disulphide content in bulb increased with increasing level of sulphur. The increased sulphur and allyl propyl disulphide content in bulb might be due to increased concentration of sulphur in soil with increasing level of sulphur fertilization. Similar results were also found by Mishu *et al.* (2013) [45] in onion. Application of graded levels of S from 0 to 45 kg S/ha significantly influenced the seed yield, of nitrogen and sulphur, protein content in seed of mung bean (Dharwe *et al.*, 2019) [17]. Rathore (1985) [53] concluded that nutrient content and uptake of nutrients increased significantly with increase in S level up to 80 kg ha⁻¹ in groundnut. That S application (45 kg ha⁻¹) recorded an uptake of 94.44, 23.89, 78.65 and 32.54 kg ha⁻¹ for N, P, K and sulphur were found significantly superior the values recorded with rest of the levels (Bekele *et al.*, 2018 and Chattoo *et al.*, 2012) [12, 14]. Studied that the better quality, higher nutrient uptake and sustainable nutrient bulb up in the soil (Dudhat *et al.*, 2011). These results are also in accordance with the findings of (Mahla, 2015 and Bairwa *et al.*, 2017) [40, 9].

Table 1: Effect of nitrogen, potassium and sulphur on Number of leaves per plant onion

| Treatments | Number of leaves per plant | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| | 30 DAT | | | 60 DAT | | | 90 DAT | | |
| | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| Nitrogen levels | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 3.41 | 4.06 | 3.73 | 4.24 | 4.88 | 4.56 | 5.27 | 5.96 | 5.62 |
| N ₂ | 4.56 | 5.20 | 4.88 | 5.95 | 6.57 | 6.26 | 6.58 | 7.72 | 7.15 |
| N ₃ | 4.82 | 5.47 | 5.14 | 6.15 | 6.84 | 6.49 | 6.88 | 8.04 | 7.46 |
| N ₄ | 4.52 | 5.16 | 4.84 | 5.92 | 6.54 | 6.23 | 6.47 | 7.62 | 7.04 |
| SEM± | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.091 | 0.047 | 0.051 |
| CD at 5% | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.316 | 0.161 | 0.158 |
| Potassium levels | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₁ | 3.72 | 4.38 | 4.05 | 4.98 | 5.66 | 5.32 | 5.60 | 6.66 | 6.13 |
| K ₂ | 4.52 | 5.16 | 4.84 | 5.73 | 6.36 | 6.04 | 6.51 | 7.58 | 7.04 |
| K ₃ | 4.56 | 5.20 | 4.88 | 5.85 | 6.48 | 6.16 | 6.59 | 7.66 | 7.12 |
| K ₄ | 4.51 | 5.15 | 4.83 | 5.71 | 6.33 | 6.02 | 6.50 | 7.45 | 6.97 |
| SEM± | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| CD at 5% | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.11 |

| Sulphur levels | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| S ₁ | 3.91 | 4.56 | 4.24 | 5.06 | 5.66 | 5.36 | 5.90 | 6.86 | 6.38 |
| S ₂ | 4.74 | 5.39 | 5.06 | 6.06 | 6.76 | 6.41 | 6.70 | 7.82 | 7.26 |
| SEM± | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| CD at 5% | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.08 |

Table 2: Response of Nitrogen, Potassium and Sulphur on Physiological Growth and Yield of onion

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | | | | | | | | Bulb Yield (q ha ⁻¹) | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | 30 DAT | | | 60 DAT | | | 90 DAT | | | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | | | |
| Nitrogen levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 15.19 | 16.82 | 16.01 | 30.07 | 31.44 | 30.76 | 38.35 | 40.02 | 39.18 | 135.38 | 138.78 | 137.08 |
| N ₂ | 17.86 | 19.06 | 18.46 | 35.14 | 37.04 | 36.09 | 44.31 | 46.66 | 45.48 | 209.21 | 211.70 | 210.45 |
| N ₃ | 18.63 | 19.84 | 19.24 | 36.18 | 37.84 | 37.01 | 46.16 | 48.40 | 47.28 | 224.77 | 230.48 | 227.62 |
| N ₄ | 17.80 | 19.00 | 18.40 | 34.92 | 36.82 | 35.87 | 44.29 | 46.50 | 45.39 | 205.26 | 207.78 | 206.52 |
| SEM± | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.36 | 2.44 | 2.61 | 1.79 |
| CD at 5% | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.45 | 1.02 | 1.45 | 0.79 | 1.68 | 1.85 | 1.11 | 8.46 | 9.03 | 5.51 |
| Potassium levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₁ | 16.34 | 17.78 | 17.06 | 32.02 | 33.78 | 32.90 | 40.63 | 42.64 | 41.63 | 149.80 | 153.14 | 151.47 |
| K ₂ | 17.58 | 18.96 | 18.27 | 34.55 | 36.37 | 35.46 | 43.94 | 45.99 | 44.97 | 206.20 | 209.87 | 208.04 |
| K ₃ | 18.24 | 19.57 | 18.91 | 35.30 | 36.77 | 36.03 | 44.90 | 47.13 | 46.01 | 216.29 | 220.12 | 218.21 |
| K ₄ | 17.32 | 18.41 | 17.87 | 34.44 | 36.23 | 35.33 | 43.63 | 45.82 | 44.72 | 202.32 | 205.60 | 203.96 |
| SEM± | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 2.24 | 2.28 | 1.60 |
| CD at 5% | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.47 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 6.35 | 6.47 | 4.48 |
| Sulphur levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 16.81 | 18.04 | 17.43 | 33.21 | 34.80 | 34.00 | 42.46 | 44.44 | 43.45 | 180.47 | 183.98 | 182.23 |
| S ₂ | 17.94 | 19.32 | 18.63 | 34.94 | 36.77 | 35.86 | 44.09 | 46.35 | 45.22 | 206.84 | 210.38 | 208.61 |
| SEM± | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 1.58 | 1.62 | 1.13 |
| CD at 5% | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.49 | 4.49 | 4.58 | 3.17 |

Table 3: Effect of nitrogen, potassium and sulphur on weight of onion leaves per plant (g) at 90 DAT

| Treatments | Fresh weight of leaves | | | Dry weight of leaves | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| Nitrogen levels | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 8.66 | 8.92 | 8.79 | 1.75 | 2.58 | 2.17 |
| N ₂ | 12.38 | 13.36 | 12.87 | 2.75 | 3.20 | 2.98 |
| N ₃ | 12.83 | 13.84 | 13.34 | 2.94 | 3.30 | 3.12 |
| N ₄ | 12.23 | 13.23 | 12.73 | 2.70 | 3.10 | 2.90 |
| SEM± | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| CD at 5% | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| Potassium levels | | | | | | |
| K ₁ | 9.90 | 10.83 | 10.36 | 2.12 | 2.80 | 2.46 |
| K ₂ | 11.98 | 12.65 | 12.31 | 2.65 | 3.11 | 2.88 |
| K ₃ | 12.31 | 13.24 | 12.77 | 2.78 | 3.23 | 3.00 |
| K ₄ | 11.92 | 12.64 | 12.28 | 2.60 | 3.05 | 2.82 |
| SEM± | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| CD at 5% | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Sulphur levels | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 11.40 | 12.23 | 11.82 | 2.49 | 3.02 | 2.76 |
| S ₂ | 11.65 | 12.45 | 12.05 | 2.58 | 3.07 | 2.83 |
| SEM± | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CD at 5% | NS | NS | NS | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 |

Table 4: Effect of nitrogen, potassium and sulphur on Quality parameters of onion

| Treatments | Total soluble solids (°B) | | | Allyl-propyl disulphide (mg g ⁻¹) | | | Shelf life at ambient room temperature (days) | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|---|---------|--------|---|---------|--------|
| | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| Nitrogen levels | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 9.37 | 10.09 | 9.73 | 6.96 | 7.09 | 7.02 | 167.76 | 167.65 | 167.70 |
| N ₂ | 10.09 | 10.45 | 10.27 | 7.20 | 7.24 | 7.22 | 161.94 | 166.18 | 164.06 |
| N ₃ | 10.46 | 10.68 | 10.57 | 7.57 | 7.60 | 7.59 | 163.26 | 166.21 | 164.73 |
| N ₄ | 10.04 | 10.36 | 10.20 | 7.15 | 7.19 | 7.17 | 166.52 | 168.54 | 167.53 |
| SEM± | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 1.96 | 1.79 | 1.33 |
| CD at 5% | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.21 | NS | NS | NS |
| Potassium levels | | | | | | | | | |
| K ₁ | 9.79 | 10.07 | 9.93 | 6.94 | 6.98 | 6.96 | 163.70 | 166.00 | 164.85 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| K ₂ | 10.07 | 10.39 | 10.23 | 7.24 | 7.37 | 7.31 | 167.55 | 169.93 | 168.74 |
| K ₃ | 10.18 | 10.77 | 10.48 | 7.48 | 7.45 | 7.47 | 164.57 | 166.79 | 165.68 |
| K ₄ | 9.93 | 10.34 | 10.13 | 7.23 | 7.31 | 7.27 | 163.67 | 165.86 | 164.76 |
| SEM± | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 1.78 | 1.67 | 1.22 |
| CD at 5% | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.16 | NS | NS | NS |
| Sulphur levels | | | | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 9.75 | 10.14 | 9.94 | 6.61 | 6.82 | 6.72 | 163.57 | 168.39 | 165.98 |
| S ₂ | 10.23 | 10.65 | 10.44 | 7.83 | 7.74 | 7.78 | 166.17 | 165.90 | 166.04 |
| SEM± | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 1.26 | 1.18 | 0.86 |
| CD at 5% | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.11 | NS | NS | NS |

Conclusions

The experimental results showed that the main effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulphur as well as their interactions had considerable influence on different parameters. Application of N, K and S levels recorded the highest bulb yield at N (227.62 q ha⁻¹), K (218.21q ha⁻¹), S (208.61 qha⁻¹), which were closely superior to other treatments during both years on a pooled basis. Under different K applications, the shelf life of onion bulbs at room temperature was insignificant. The shelf life of an onion bulb at ambient room temperature was observed to be 168.74 days for the 100 Kg ha⁻¹ (soil application) treatment, which was closely followed by K₃ (165.68 days) but superior to the control. Maximum K application of K₃ registered the highest TSS (10.48 oB) and allyl propyl disilphide (7.47 mg g⁻¹) in onion over control, closely followed by K₂ (10.23 oB and 7.31 mg g⁻¹) but were significantly superior to K₁ and K₄ treatment during both years.

Acknowledgements

Authors are highly thankful to the S.K. Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner for providing all assistance through a university and college laboratory. We are also grateful to Dr. R.K. Narolia Assistant professor and Dr. P.K. Yadav Head and professor for help with the writing manuscript. I wish to express my humble thanks to Dr. C.K. Dotaniya Assistant Professor (Soil Science), Government Agriculture College Todabhim, Karauli, Rajasthan for moral support and suggested journal. We are also thankful to National Fellowship Disability New Delhi India for providing fund during Ph.D. course and research fund (Grant no. RGNFD-2016-17-RAJ-3333).

Reference

- Abdissa Y, Tekalign T, Pant LM. Growth, bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on Vertisol I. Growth attribute biomass production and bulb yield. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011 Jul;6(14):3252-3258.
- Abou El-Nasr ME, Ibrahim EA. Effect of different potassium fertilizer rates and foliar application with some sources of potassium on growth, yield and quality of carrot plants (*Daucus carota* L.). Plant Production, Mansoura University. 2011 Apr 1;2(4):559-569.
- Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database. NHB, Ministry of Agri. Govt. of India, Gurgaon India; c2016. p. 15-192.
- Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon; c2018. p. 164-165.
- Archer J. Crop Nutrition and Fertilizer Use. Second Edition. Farming Press Ltd. Wharfedale Road, Ipswich; c2002.
- Ashley DA, Goodson RD. Effect of time and plant potassium status on C-labeled photosynthate movement in cotton. Crop Science. 1972;12:686-690.
- Bairwa RK, Mahawar AK, Singh SP, Gocher P. Influence of Sulphur Dose and Spacing on Quality Attributes and Economics of Knol-Khol (*Brassica oleracea* var. gongylodes L.) Variety Early White Vienna. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2017;6(22):933-938.
- Bairwa RK, Narolia RK, Bhunia SR, Yadav PK, Sharma AK, Dotaniya CK. Effect of Nitrogen, Potassium and Sulphur Fertilization on Nutrient Uptake and Bulb Yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Arid Western Rajasthan, Ind. J Pure App. Biosci. 2020;8(4):221-227.
- Bairwa RK, Singh SP, Mahawar AK, Kishore Kumar Das. Influence of Sulphur and Spacing on Growth and Yield Attributes of Knol-Khol (*Brassica oleracea* Var. *Gongylodes* L.) Var. Early White Viana. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017a;6(5):2438-2447.
- Bairwa RK, Singh SP, Dotaniya CK, Verma M. Effect of Sulphur and Spacing on Growth, Yield and Quality of Knol-Khol (*Brassica oleracea* var. gongylodes), Climate Resilient Saline Agriculture: Sustaining Livelihood Security” Indian Society of Soil Salinity and Water Quality During 21-23 January 2017 SKRAU Bikaner Rajasthan India; c2017.
- Barker AV, Pilbeam DJ. Handbook of plant nutrition. 2nd ed., CRC Press, New York, USA; c2007.
- Bekele M, Alli M, Nebiyu JA. Effects of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at Jimma, South Western Ethiopia. Journal of Natural Sciences Research. 2018;9(10):32-40.
- Bungard RA, Wingler A, Morton JD, Andrews M. Ammonium can stimulate nitrate and nitrite reductase in the absence of nitrate in *Clematis vitalba*. Plant Cell Environ. 1999;22:859-866.
- Chattoo MA, Mudasir M, Malik AA, Shah MD, Chisti JA. Effect of Sources and Levels of Sulphur on Growth, Yield and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(3):1462-1470.
- Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric Determination of Available Sulphur. Proceedings of Soil Science Society of America. 1951;15:149-151.
- Chung B. Irrigation and bulb onion quality. Acta Horticulture. 1989;247:233-237.
- Dharwe DS, Dixit HC, Dotaniya CK, Khandagle A, Mohbe S, Doutaniya RK. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on the yield and nutrient content of green gram. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(2):01-05.

18. Dotaniya CK, Lakaria BL, Aher SB, Subhash, Mohbe S, Doutaniya RK. Critical role of potassium in crop production. Agriculture and Food: E-Newsletter, Article ID. 2020;314292(9):89-92.
19. Dotaniya CK, Lakaria BL, Sharma Y, Biswas AK, Meena BP, Reager ML, *et al.* Physiological parameter of Maize as Influenced by INM Modules under Maize-Chickpea Sequence in a Vertisol of Central India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(09):2745-2753.
20. Dotaniya CK, Lakaria BL, Sharma Y, Meena BP, Aher SB, Shirale AO, *et al.*, Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in maize-chickpea sequence under various integrated nutrient modules in a Vertisol of Central India. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(2):e0262652.
21. Dotaniya CK, Yashona DS, Aher SB, Rajput PS, Doutaniya RK, Lata M, *et al.* Crop Performance and Soil Properties under Organic Nutrient Management. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(4):1055-1065.
22. Dotaniya CK, Niranjana RK, Kumar U, Lata M, Regar KL, Doutaniya RK, *et al.* Quality, yield and nutrient uptake of fenugreek as influenced by integrated nutrient management. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2019;29(3):1-7.
23. Dotaniya CK, Lakaria BL, Singh M, Sharma Y, Meena BP, Dotaniya *et al.* Long-term integrated nutrient management on potassium balance and uptake kinetics in maize-chickpea cropping system in a Vertisol. The Pharma Innovation Journal, SP. 2022a;1(1):1358-1362.
24. Dotaniya ML, Pingoliya KK, Lata M, Verma R, Regar KL, Deewan P, *et al.* Role of phosphorus in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) production. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2014;9(51):3736-3743.
25. Doutaniya RK, Dotaniya CK, Ghilotia YK, Singh N, Balwan RC, Sanwal P, *et al.* Graded application of sulphur mediated yield attributes of sesame and mungbean grown in sandy soil. The Pharma Innovation Journal, SP. 2022;11(1):1354-1357.
26. Doutaniya RK, Ghilotia YK, Dotaniya CK, Lata M, Singh N, Reager ML, *et al.* Performance of Sesame and Mungbean to Different Sulphur Levels Under Intercropping System. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2021;13(4):10742-10744.
27. Dudhat MS, Chovatia PK, Sheta BT, Thesiya NM. Effect of source and levels of sulphur fertilizers on bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). International Journal of Plant Sciences. 2011;6(1):134-136.
28. El-Tohamy WA, El-Abagy HM, Badr MA, Abou-Hussein SD, Helmy YI. The influence of foliar application of potassium on yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) plants grown under sandy soil conditions. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2011;5(3):171-174.
29. Gondane PM, Chandan, Panchal KN. Effect of Different Levels of Sulphur on Yield and Quality of Onion. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;6:2125-2132.
30. Hariyappa N. Effect of potassium and sulphur on growth, yield and quality parameters of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad; c2003.
31. Hort FL, Fisher HJ. Determination of Pyruvic acid in dehydrated onion. In: Modern Food Analysis Springer Verlag, Berlin, Neidelberg, New York; c1970. p. 433-434.
32. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; c1973.
33. Josephine VH, Haripriya K, Sekharan MV. Response of onion to sulfur fertilization in typic ustifluent soil. Journal of Interacad. 2006;10:508-516.
34. Kanwar JS, Chopra SL. Practical Agricultural Chemistry, Kalyani Publishing Co., New Delhi; c1959.
35. Karakurt YH, Unlu, Padem H. The influence of foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid on yield and quality of pepper. Acta Agricultural Scandinavica. 2009;59(3):233-237.
36. Kumar A, Ranbir S, Chilslar RK. Influence of nitrogen and potassium application on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001;46(4):742-740.
37. Kumar S, Sushant CP. Tiwari, Singh V. Bulb yield and quality of onion as affected by application rates of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers. Agricultural Sciences, Digest. 2009;26(1):11-14.
38. Kumar U, Mishra VN, Kumar N, Rathiya GR. Methods of Soil Analysis. Kalyani Publishers New Delhi; c2018. p. 58-60.
39. Lancaster JE, Farrant J, Shaw ML. Sulfur nutrition affects cellular sulfur, dry weight distribution, and bulb quality in onion. Journal of American Society Horticultural Sciences. 2001;126:164-168.
40. Mahala P. Integrated nutrient management in rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Ph.D. (Ag.) Thesis. Submitted to SKN Agriculture University, Jobner (Rajasthan); c2015.
41. Malvi V, Dotaniya CK, Dixit HC. Effect of potassium and sulphur on yield and quality of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2019;21(2):145-148.
42. Malvi V, Dotaniya CK, Reager ML, Dixit HC, Doutaniya RK, Mohbe S. Effect of Potassium and Sulphur on Yield, Quality and Nutrient Uptake of Winter Season Berseem (*Trifolium Alexandrinum* L.) In Central Part of India. J. of Soils and Crops. 2021;3(1):25-31.
43. Marschner H. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd ed. Academic press. London; c1995. p. 1-196.
44. Meena MD, Rai PK, Dotaniya ML, Meena LK, Dotaniya CK. Soil and Water Analysis Techniques, Published by. ICAR-DRMR, Bharatpur; c2020. p. 1-120.
45. Mishu HM, Ahmed F, Rafii MY, Faruq G, Latif MA. Effect of sulphur on growth, yield and yield attributes in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2013;7(9):1416-1422.
46. Nandi RK, Deb M, Maity TK. Response of onion to different levels of irrigation and fertilizer. Crop Research. 2002;23(2):317-320.
47. Nasreen S, Haque MM, Hossain MA, Farid ATM. Nutrient uptake and yield of onion as influenced by nitrogen and sulphur fertilization. Bangladesh Journal Agricultural Research. 2007;32(3):413-420.
48. Pandey UB, Singh L, Singh RK, Singh L, Kumar R, Choudhary SP. Response of different levels of N, P and K on the yield and quality of kharif onion. Recent advances in medicinal aromatic and spice crops. Vol. 1 International conference at New Delhi held on 28-31 Jan; c1991. p. 231-234.

49. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; c1985. p. 1-359.
50. Pingoliya KK, Mathur AK, Dotaniya ML, Dotaniya CK. Impact of phosphorus and iron on protein and chlorophyll content in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Legume Research - An International Journal. 2015;38(4):558-560.
51. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Intern. Service Publishers, Inc., New York; c1950.
52. Rahman MA, Mahmud JA, Islam MM. Influence of mulching practices, varieties and fertility levels on growth and productivity of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis Tetragonoloba* (L.) Taubert). Legume Research. 2013 Dec 1;4(6):903-906.
53. Rathore S. Effect of some macro and micro nutrients (S, P, Mn and Zn) on the yield and quality of groundnut. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Sukhadia University, Udaipur; c1985.
54. Salame R, Mishra US, Mohbe S, Subhash CK, Dotaniya V, Pahade RK, *et al.* Influence of Growth and Yield Attributes of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) by Sulphur and Phosphorus Different Combination Fertilizer Levels under the Rain fed Condition. Ind. J Pure App. Bio sci. 2020;8(4):115-124.
55. Shaheen AM, Fatma A, Rizk S, Faten A Abdel, Habib AM. Production of safe and economic onion bulbs. International Journal of Academic Research. 2011;3(2):527-539.
56. Singh JP, Singh MK, Singh RD. Growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) bulb as influenced by date of transplanting, nitrogen and potash fertilization. Vegetable Sciences. 1989;20(1):14-17.
57. Thabet EMA, Adballah AAG, Mohamed AR. Productivity of onion grown in reclaimed sandy, soil using tafla as affected by water regimes and nitrogen levels Annals. Agricultural Sciences, Cairo. 1994;39:337-344.
58. Vachhani MU, Patel ZG. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1993 Jan 1;38(2):333-334.
59. Yadav R, Dwivedi DH, Govind S Maji. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Crop and Weed Science. 2015;11(1):49-53.