www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(12): 5021-5024 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 01-09-2022 Accepted: 05-10-2022

AN Humane

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

DB Undirwade

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

BN Choudhari

Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

GR Shamkuwar

Department of Plant Physiology, Agriculture Research Station, Sakoli, Maharashtra, India

US Kulkarni

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: AN Humane Department of Agricultural Entomology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Seasonal incidence of natural enemies predominance in the rice ecosystem

AN Humane, DB Undirwade, BN Choudhari, GR Shamkuwar and US Kulkarni

Abstract

The field experiments were carried out during *kharif* 2020 and 2021 at the Agriculture Research Station, Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara (Maharashtra) under Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. The findings of this study showed a considerable impact of climatic variables on the biology of natural enemies such as green mirid bug, brown mirid bug, spiders and coccinellids beetle. In *kharif* 2020, the highest abundance of green mirid bugs and brown mirid bugs were seen at a 45th SMW (4.13 nos./hill and 10.26 nos./hill). The maximum abundance of spider and lady bird beetle was observed at 40th SMW (0.40 nos./hill) and 38th SMW (0.70 nos./hill). In *kharif* 2021 highest abundance of green mirid bug and brown mirid bug were seen at 43rd SMW of (2.53 nos./hill) and 46th SMW (7.73 nos./hill). The highest population of spiders and coccinellid beetles was recorded at 46th SMW with a population of 0.63 nos./hill and 0.63 nos./hill, respectively.

Keywords: Brown mirid bug, coccinellid beetle, green mirid bug, natural enemy rice, spiders

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereals among the field crops, feeding more than 50% population of the world. It is an important staple food for most of the population. Many insect pests infested it. Many chemical and botanical insecticides exist to manage these pests, but many natural enemies are already present in the field that our farmer neglects. They also play an important role in the management of the pest of any crop. Terrestrial arthropods include rice pests, their natural enemies and non-rice pest insects that visit rice ecosystems for other concerns (Thongphak *et al.* 2012) ^[6]. In a rice ecosystem having natural enemies complexes such as mirid bugs, spiders, ladybird beetle, dragonfly and damsel fly, naturally occurring biological control has a potential role to play in the management of insect pests in rice fields (Mukherjee and Khan 2017) ^[4]. Climate change, especially temperature increase, affects insect physiology, behaviour, development, species distribution, and abundance, evidenced by changes in the population of natural enemies in the field. Natural enemies play an important role in scheduling the proper management practices. So, it is important to study the abundance of natural enemies to develop the best management strategies and find their highest abundance regarding the pest population in the field.

Materials and Methods

The field experiments were carried out at the research farm of Agriculture Research Station, Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara (MS) under Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, during the kharif season of 2020 and 2021. The field layout was done before planting. In the experimental plot of 400 m2, seeds of PKV HMT were treated with a 3% brine solution before being sown on raised beds. Puddling of soil was performed by tractor after it had been covered with water for one to two days for proper water absorption and to soften the soil. Using nylon string, measuring tape, and wooden pegs, seedlings were transplanted according to experimental design. 2-3 PKV HMT seedlings per hill in puddled soil were transplanted at 20 x 15 cm of spacing apart. The total number of natural enemies was recorded by counting the number of natural enemies per hill. Data on natural enemies in ten hills was recorded and reported ten days after each application.

Results and Discussion

Seasonal abundance of natural enemies during *kharif* **2020** The data presented in Table 1 and depicted in Fig 1 revealed that the population of green mirid bug and brown mirid bug were observed from 38th SMW *i.e.* fourth week of September and the highest activity were seen at 45th SMW *i.e* second week of November, with the population of 4.13 nos./hill and 10.26 nos./hill, respectively. Whereas, the population of spider and lady bird beetle was observed from 35th SMW *i.e* first week of August (0.06 nos./hill and 0.13 nos./hill) and maximum abundance (0.40 nos./hill) occurred at 40th SMW *i.e.* fourth week of September.

Table	1:	Seasonal	incidence	of pests	s of rice	during	kharif 2020
		Seasona		or peou		course.	10.000.07 =0=0

	MW	Natural enemies (No./hill)				
Data		Mirie	d Bug		Lady Bird Beetles	
Date		Green Mirid	Brown Mirid	Spider		
		Bug	Bug			
2.7.2020	26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.7.2020	27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
14.7.2020	28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
21.7.2020	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
27.7.2020	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1.8.2020	31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11.8.2020	32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
17.8.2020	33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
24.8.2020	34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1.9.2020	35	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.13	
7.9.2020	36	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.16	
14.9.2020	37	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.13	
21.9.2020	38	0.50	0.33	0.33	0.70	
29.9.2020	39	0.81	1.16	0.40	0.23	
6.10.2020	40	3.00	3.97	0.40	0.23	
12.10.2020	41	2.87	4.70	0.23	0.40	
19.10.2020	42	2.50	4.00	0.43	0.30	
27.10.2020	43	2.53	5.00	0.43	0.33	
2.11.2020	44	3.03	6.80	0.40	0.36	
9.11.2020	45	4.13	10.26	0.40	0.43	
17.11.2020	46	3.50	8.63	0.30	0.50	
24.11.2020	47	3.20	8.63	0.30	0.36	

Seasonal abundance of natural enemies during kharif 2021

The data presented in Table 2 and depicted in Fig 2 revealed that the population of green mirid bug and brown mirid bug were observed from 39^{th} SMW *i.e.* last week of September, and the highest activity was seen at 43^{rd} SMW *i.e* second week of November, with the population, of 2.53 nos./hill and at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of November with the population of 7.73 nos./hill. The population of spiders was recorded from 32^{nd} SMW *i.e.* the second week of August (0.02 nos./hill), and maximum abundance (0.63 nos./hill) occurred at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of November. While the abundance of lady bird beetle was observed from 33^{rd} SMW (0.03 nos./hill) *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.63 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of November.

Pooled seasonal abundance of natural enemies during *kharif* 2020 and *kharif* 2021

The data presented in Table 3 and depicted in Fig 3 revealed that the population of green mirid bug and brown mirid bug were observed from 38^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of September and the highest activity was seen at 45^{th} SMW *i.e.*

second week of November, with the population of 3.27 nos./hill and at 46th SMW *i.e.* third week of November with the population of 8.58 nos./hill. The population of spiders was seen from 32^{nd} SMW *i.e.* the second week of August (0.02 nos./hill), and maximum abundance (0.40 nos./hill) occurred at 43^{rd} SMW *i.e.* last week of October. The abundance of lady bird beetle was observed from 33^{rd} SMW (0.02 nos./hill) *i.e.* the third week of August and the maximum population (0.57 nos./hill) was seen at 46^{th} SMW *i.e.* the third week of November.

Table 2: Seasonal Incidence of pests of rice during kharif 2021

	MW	Natural enemies (No./hill)				
Data		Miri	d Bug		Lada Diad	
Date		Green Mirid Brown Mirid		Spider	Lady Bird Bootlos	
		Bug	Bug		Decties	
17.2021	26	0	0	0	0	
7.7.2021	27	0	0	0	0	
14.7.2021	28	0	0	0	0	
22.7.2021	29	0	0	0	0	
26.7.2021	30	0	0	0	0	
2.8.2021	31	0	0	0	0	
9.8.2021	32	0	0	0.03	0	
17.8.2021	33	0	0	0.33	0.03	
23.8.2021	34	0	0	0.06	0.06	
30.8.2021	35	0	0	0.4	0.23	
6.9.2021	36	0	0	0.3	0.14	
15.9.2021	37	0	0	0.2	0.1	
22.9.2021	38	0	0	0.46	0.26	
28.9.2021	39	1.33	2.86	0.3	0.16	
5.10.2021	40	1.4	2.87	0.36	0.26	
12.10.2021	41	1.46	2.83	0.3	0.33	
21.10.2021	42	2	4.17	0.2	0.16	
26.10.2021	43	2.53	6.43	0.36	0.3	
1.11.2021	44	2.07	7.67	0.23	0.26	
8.11.2021	45	2.4	6.9	0.23	0.4	
15.11.2021	46	1.37	7.73	0.23	0.63	

Table 3: Pooled seasonal incidence of pests of rice during kharif2020 and 2021

	мw	Natural Enemies					
Date		Green Mirid Brown Miri		G • 1	Lady Bird		
		Bug	bug	Spider	Beetles		
1.7.2021	26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
7.7.2021	27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
14.7.2021	28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
22.7.2021	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
26.7.2021	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
2.8.2021	31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
9.8.2021	32	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00		
17.8.2021	33	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.02		
23.8.2021	34	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03		
30.8.2021	35	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.18		
6.9.2021	36	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.15		
15.9.2021	37	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.12		
22.9.2021	38	0.25	0.17	0.40	0.48		
28.9.2021	39	1.07	2.01	0.35	0.20		
5.10.2021	40	2.20	3.42	0.38	0.25		
12.10.2021	41	2.17	3.77	0.27	0.37		
21.10.2021	42	2.25	4.09	0.32	0.23		
26.10.2021	43	2.53	5.72	0.40	0.32		
1.11.2021	44	2.55	7.24	0.32	0.31		
8.11.2021	45	3.27	8.58	0.32	0.42		
15.11.2021	46	2.44	8.18	0.27	0.57		
21.11.2021	47	1.60	4.32	0.25	0.18		



Fig 1: Seasonal incidence of natural enemies during kharif 2020



Fig 2: Seasonal incidence of natural enemies during kharif 2021



Fig 3: Seasonal incidence of natural enemies during kharif 2020 and kharif 2021

The findings of our study are similar to those reported by Lua (1985)^[3] that the mirids population occurred throughout the growing rice. Vijaykumar and Patil (2004)^[7], found that the spider population was directly related to growth stages of the rice plants. Kharat (2006)^[2] revealed that the population of spiders increased from 32nd SMW. Khan (2006)^[1] stated that abundant natural enemies are very reliable for suppressing pest populations. Likewise, Samrit et al. (2019)^[5] found natural enemies such as mirid bugs, spiders and lady bird beetle during the entire growing period of rice. These studies support the present finding.

References

- 1. Khan AA, Mishra DS. Abandance of arthropoda fauna in rice ecosystem. Environment and Ecology. 2006;24(1):97-100..
- Kharat SR. Influence of nutrients on incidence of insect pest complex of paddy and their management. M. Sc. (Agri.) thesis, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari; c2006.
- 3. Lua GF. Population fluctuations of rice plant hoppers in paddy fields and an analysis of correlation with their natural enemies. Insect Knowledge. 1985;22(3):101-104.
- 4. Mukherjee P, Khan MMH. Influence of rice growth stages and neighbouring plants on relative abundance and diversity indices of arthropod insect pests and natural enemies in rice ecosystem. Bangladesh journal of Entomology. 2014;24(1):75-89.
- Samrit, RM, Chaudhari BN, Gahane KD. Seasonal incidence of rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walk.) and its correlation with weather parameters and natural enemies. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(5):740-742.
- 6. Thongphak D, Promdeesan K, Hanlaoedrit C. Diversity and community structure of terrestrial invertebrates in an irrigated rice ecosystem. International Journal of Environment and Rural Development. 2012;3(1):68-71.
- Vijaykumar, Patil BV. Spider fauna of paddy ecosystem in selected areas of Tungabhadra Project in Karnataka. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2004;17(3):584-585.