The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(12): 5032-5034

www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation

ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(12): 5032-5034

www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 18-09-2022
Accepted: 21-10-2022

Devendra Kumar Tiwari
M.Sc. (Ag) Scholar, Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi
Head, Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rakesh Kumar
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dhyan Singh Yadav
M.Sc. (Ag) Scholar, Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Devendra Kumar Tiwari
M.Sc. (Ag) Scholar, Department of Agronomy, National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Devendra Kumar Tiwari, Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi, Rakesh Kumar and Dhyan Singh Yadav

Abstract
The present investigation entitled "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was carried out at Crop Research Farm of National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, (UP.) during rabi season on 2021 with the objective to study the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth, yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The soil of the experimental field was silty loam in texture with low, medium and high in N, P and K respectively. The experimental site is situated in subtropical zone in indo gangatic planes. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 6 treatment combinations and 3 replications. Wheat was sown on 21st November 2020 with treatment combinations viz. T1 - RDF, T2 - 75% RDF + FYM 6 ton /ha, T3 - 50% RDF + FYM 12 ton /ha, T4 - 75% RDF + Vermicompost 1.875 ton/ha, T5 - 50% RDF + Vermi compost 3.750 ton / ha, T6 - 50% RDF + FYM 6 ton + Vermicompost 1.875 ton /ha. respectively. The crop was harvested on 30th April 2021. The result indicated that the treatment combination T6 - 50% RDF + FYM 6 ton /ha + 1.875 ton / ha. was registered significantly superior in terms of plant height, number of tillers, dry weight, leaf area index, test weight, grain yield, straw yield, gross return (Rs/ ha) and net return (Rs / ha.) respectively over rest of the treatments. Integrated use of organic manures along with optimum doses of chemical fertilizers increased the growth parameters and yield of wheat.

Keywords: Wheat, inorganic fertilizer, organic fertilizer, FYM, compost, yield attributing parameters, yield, stover yield

Introduction
Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an imperative staple food around the world. In India, Wheat is a major cereal crop, belongs to family poaceae and firmly occupying the second position among the wheat producing countries in the world after China. The foundation of our country's food security system is wheat, a significant pre-historic crop. In India, 33.64 m ha of wheat are cultivated, producing 86 m ton and yielding 1206.30 kg per ha in 2019-20. In India, Uttar Pradesh is leading wheat growing state with an area of 9.65 m ha (36.6%), production of 26.87 m ton (39.3), productivity of 2785 kg /ha. Wheat productivity in the state is however far lower than that in Punjab (4.3 t/ha) and Haryana (4 t /ha) accounted to late sowing after long duration rice varieties and harvest of sugarcane, poor seed replacement rate, lack of quality seed, imbalanced fertilization, unscientific water management and poor mechanization etc. In eastern U.P. wheat sowing is delayed up to end of December and sometimes even to 1st week of January leading to severe yield reduction. Delayed sowing enforces maturity under the influence of high temperature and farmers attempt to make amend it by excessive application of nutrients particularly nitrogen ignoring yield physiology in constrained environment.
The use of inorganic fertilizers for the past 50 years without any addition of organic manures resulted in large scale deficiency of micro nutrients which play an important role in enhancing the quality and quantity of the agriculture produce. Further, nutrient losses in inorganic fertilizer are very high and loss of nutrient like NO3 sometime leads to water pollution. Looking at all the above facts it is very much essential to find out the alternative to the chemical fertilizers which maintain the soil fertility and enhance the productivity of crops. Under such situation the use of organic manures in agriculture plays an important role. Due to depletion of soil fertility the demand for organic manure is growing day by day. In India, sufficient amount of organic manure like crop residues (603.5 mt), animal dung manure (791.6 mt), rural compost (148.3 mt), green manure (4.50 m ha^{-1}), city compost (12.2 mt) and bio-fertilizer (0.41mt) are available (Bhattacharya and Chakraborthy, 2005) [2]. Therefore for maintaining soil fertility, producing healthy food, keeping the environment clean and

sustaining crop productivity these organic wastes can be a good substitute for chemical fertilizers. Joshi and Prabhakarasetty (2006) [3] reported that application of farm yard manure results in improved crop yields, microbial activity, soil physical properties, nutrient availability, and had direct residual effects to succeeding crops.

The Vermicompost is bio-oxidation and stabilization of organic material involving the joint action of earthworm and micro-organisms. Although microbes are the responsible for the biological degradation of the organic matter, earthworms are the important drivers of the process, conditioning the substrate and alerting biological activity (Aira *et al*. 2002) [1]. Suthar (2008) [6] reported that Vermicompost may be potential sources of nutrients for field crops if applied in suitable ratios with synthetic fertilizers.

Judicious use of FYM and Vermicompost with chemical fertilizers improves soil physical, chemical and biological properties and improves the crop productivity (Sharma *et al*. 2007) [4]. In this endeavour proper blend of organic and inorganic fertilizer is important not only for increasing yield but also for resulting soil health (Weber *et al*. 2007) [8]. To build ecologically sound and economically viable farming systems integrated nutrient management (INM) is a viable option for wheat production as it utilizes available organic and inorganic nutrients. Keeping this in view of above facts, an attempt was made to study the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield attributes, yield and quality of wheat.

Material and Methods

The field experiment was carried out at the Crop Research Farm of National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, U.P. during Rabi season 2021. The experimental site is situated in subtropical zone in Indo - gangetic plains and lies between 260471 North latitude, 820101 East longitude and 1130m above sea level. The soil of the experimental field was silty loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction with PH, 7.6, EC 0.20 dsm^{-1}, organic carbon 0.40% and available Nitrogen 196 kg ha^{-1}, Phosphorus 18.9 kg ha^{-1} and Potassium 260.50 kg ha^{-1} at 0 -15 Cm soil depth. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, keeping 6 treatment combinations viz. viz. T1 - RDF, T2 - 75% RDF + FYM 6 ton /ha, T3 - 50% RDF + FYM 12 ton /ha, T4 - 75% RDF + Vermicompost 1.875 ton/ha, T5 - 50% RDF + Vermicompost 3.750 ton / ha, T6 - 50% RDF + FYM 6 ton + Vermicompost 1.875 ton /ha, respectively with 3 replications. The sowing was done on the 14th December 2020. The crop was sown by using seed rate of 120 kg ha^{-1} and Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potash were applied to the crops as per treatment of the experimental crops. The other agronomical cultural practices such as irrigation, weeding and plant protection measures have been performed as per requisite. The crop was harvested manually at the maturity dated on 30th April 2021 and grain and straw were recorded.

Result and Discussion

Growth Parameters

As experiment was conducted to observe the influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth and yield of Wheat. The data pertaining to growth, yield and quality along with statistical interpretations are presented and discussed. Different combinations of Integrated Nutrient Management had a significant effect on plant growth characters viz. plant height, number of tillers $plant^{-1}$, dry weight and leaf area index during the year of study given in Table 1 clearly indicates that the maximum plant height, number of tillers $plant^{-1}$, dry weight and leaf area index (83.12, 15.55, 19.05 and 6.80, respectively) were recorded with the Treatment T6 i. e. 50% RDF + FYM 6 ton /ha + Vermicompost 1.875 ton /ha which were significantly superior over the rest of the treatment, while the lowest values were observed (plant height - 45.47 cm, number of tillers $plant^{-1}$ 10.66, dry weight - 13.71 and leaf area index 5.28, respectively) with the Treatment T1 i.e. R.D.F. The reason for higher values of growth parameter can be discussed in the light of fact that crop under these treatments had comparatively make easily extractable and more availability of nutrients than other treatments which resulted in better crop growth like plant height, number of tillers per plant, leaf area index and ultimately more dry matter accumulation it might due to application of organic and inorganic fertilizer help in higher nutrient mobility and therefore, plant uptake more nutrients by reducing nutrient losses through leaching, runoff etc. Application of various organic manures stimulated the plant growth, microbial activity and higher activity of soil enzymes. The higher plant height and dry matter accumulation with the application of FYM may also be due to the fact that in FYM mineralization is rapid, large portion of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in FYM is inorganic fractions. The addition of organic manure significantly influenced the beneficial micro-organisms to colonize in rhizosphere and stimulate plant growth by providing necessary nutrients besides synthesis some plant hormone (Ventkatasalam, *et al*. 2012) [7].

Table 1: Growth attributes of Wheat as affected by different combinations of Integrated Nutrient Management

Treatment	Plant Height (cm)	No. of Tillers $hills^{-1}$	Dry weight (g)	Leaf area index
T1	45.47	10.66	13.71	5.28
T2	78.53	12.05	16.88	6.15
T3	79.38	12.88	17.20	6.10
T4	80.03	13.66	17.88	6.01
T5	82.51	13.93	18.55	6.21
T6	83.12	15.55	19.05	6.80
S.Em	1.33	0.65	0.11	0.26
CD at 5%	3.00	1.41	0.67	0.57

Yield Parameters

Length of panicle (Cm), number of grains $panicle^{-1}$, test weight (g) yield (q ha^{-1}) and stover yield (q ha^{-1}) as influenced by different combinations of Integrated Nutrient Management have been shown in Table - 2 clearly indicates that length of panicle, number of grain $panicle^{-1}$, test weight, yield, and stover yield (11.28 cm, 35.15, 52.15 g, 52.46 q ha^{-1}, and 73.14 q ha^{-1}, respectively) were recorded highest with the Treatment T6 i.e. 50% RDF + FYM 6 ton / ha + Vermi compost 1.875 ton / ha, while the lowest values were observed (5.22, 25.44, 40.25, 20.11q ha^{-1}, and 30.44 q ha^{-1}%, respectively) with the Treatment T1 i.e. RDF. When the new Plant come out of the seed, they do not have well root development and they need nutrients soon, which is obtained from chemical fertilizers instantly. Whereas, the organic manures are gradually decomposed, so that new plants do not have nutrients as needed, this is main reason that the chemical fertilizers application have higher nutrients in plants in tillering stage. Greater availability of metabolites (photosynthates) and

nutrients to developing reproductive structures seems to have resulted in increase in all the yield attributing characters which ultimately improved the yield of the crop. Similar finding was also reported by Singh *et al*. 2010 [5]. Sink capacity of a plant depend mainly on vegetative growth that is affected positively by application of Nitrogen fertilizers and supply of photosynthesis for the formation of yield components. These finding are closely conformity with Zahoor, 2014 [9].

Table 2: Yield attributes and yield of Wheat as affected by different combinations of Integrated Nutrient Management

Treatment	Length of panicle (cm)	No of grain panicle^{-1}	Test weight	Grain Yield (q ha^{-1})	Stover Yield (q ha^{-1})
T1	5.22	25.44	40.25	20.11	30.44
T2	10.18	28.45	47.22	49.88	69.88
T3	10.33	30.22	48.44	50.77	70.66
T4	10.41	33.26	47.91	49.51	71.52
T5	10.29	33.10	48.52	50.92	72.45
T6	11.28	35.15	52.15	52.46	73.14
S.Em	0.13	0.18	0.20	0.01	0.04
CD. (at 5%)	0.58	0.60	0.64	0.03	0.12

Economic Feasibility

To examine the economic feasibility and viability of different treatments under investigation, economics of Moong bean production in terms of gross return (Rs per ha), net return (Rs per ha) and B C ratio were calculated for different treatments and the outcome is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Gross return, net return and benefit: cost ratio of Wheat as affected by different combinations of Integrated Nutrient Management

Treatments	Gross return (ha^{-1})	Net Return (ha^{-1})	B:C ratio
T1	39717.25	20199.38	0.33
T2	98513.00	38594.92	0.64
T3	100270.75	40351.66	0.67
T4	97782.25	37846.80	0.63
T5	100567.00	40613.12	0.68
T6	103608.50	43671.63	0.72

It is obvious from the above Table that the Treatment T6 i.e. 50% RDF + FYM - 6 ton / ha + Vermicompost 1.875 ton /ha registered highest gross return (Rs 103608.50), net return (Rs 43671.63) and benefit cost ratio (0.72) per ha., this might be due to higher yield in the treatment compared to other treatments

Conclusion

Based on the experimental findings, it may be concluded that 50% RDF + FYM - 6 ton / ha + Vermicompost - 1.875-ton ha^{-1} has been proved to be an ideal to exploit the maximum yield.

References

1. Aira M, Monrot F, Dominguez J, Moto S. How earthworms' density affects microbial biomass and actively in pig manure. Europian J Soil Biol. 2002;38:7-10.
2. Bhattacharya P, Chakraborty G. Current status of organic farming in India and other countries. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2005;1(9):111-123
3. Joshi M, Prabhakarasetty TK. Sustainability through organic farming. Xpress Graphics, Delhi-28; c2006.
4. Sharma A, Singh H, Nanwal RK. Effect of nutrient management on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under limited and adequate irrigation supply. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007;52:120-123.
5. Singh P, Singh KN, Singh R, Aga FA, Baha F. Evaluation of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for productivity and economics under graded levels of Nitrogen in temperate Kashmir. Indian J of Agric. Sciences. 2010;(80)5:380-84.
6. Suthar S. Bioremediation of aerobically treated distillary sludge mixed with cow dung by using an epigeic earthworms Eiseniafetide. Environmentalist. 2008;28:76-84.
7. Ventkatasalam EP, Singh S, Shan S. Effect of organic manures on yield and yield attributing characters of potato. Potato Journal. 2012;39(1):84-89
8. Webar J, Karczewska A, Drozd J, Lieznar M, Lieznar S, Jamoz E. Agricultural and ecological aspects of a Sandy soil as affected by the application of municipal solid water compost. Soil. Biol. Biochem. 2007;39:1294-1302.
9. Zahoor. Influence of integrate use of chemical and organic fertilizer on yield and yield components of wheat. International J of Agricultural Crop Sciences. 2014;7:21-25.