www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2022; 11(12): 6401-6406

 $@\ 2022\ TPI$

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 26-10-2022 Accepted: 28-11-2022

Pawankar KN

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Udgir. Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University (MAFSU), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Samanta R

Department of Livestock Production Management, West Bengal University of Animal & Fishery Sciences (WBUAFS), Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Ghosh N

Department of Livestock Production Management, West Bengal University of Animal & Fishery Sciences (WBUAFS), Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Bera S

Department of Livestock Production Management, West Bengal University of Animal & Fishery Sciences (WBUAFS), Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Channa GR

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Udgir. Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University (MAFSU), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Ramteke SS

Department of Veterinary Clinical Complex, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Udgir. MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Pawankar KN

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Udgir. Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University (MAFSU), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Upliftment of socio-economic status of farmers through rearing of Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp birds under free-range, semi-intensive and intensive system of housing in Maharashtra state of India

Pawankar KN, Samanta R, Ghosh N, Bera S, Channa GR and Ramteke SS

Abstract

The present study was conducted in 240 Poultry farmers of Maharashtra state, India. Selection of poultry farmers were on the basis of well-structured interview schedule with the criteria of Age, Education, Family size, Land holding, Occupation, Flock size, Poultry farming experience and Annual income of the farmer. The experimental parameters studied during the 16^{th} week of age were growth performance and economics of three breeds of birds Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp in freerange, semi-intensive and intensive system of housing. All the birds from three breeds showed highly significant (p<0.01) differences in studied parameters. But, the performance of Giriraja birds were superior followed by Black Australorp and Kadaknath. It is concluded that Giriraja and Black Australorpseems to be most economical breeds to be reared under intensive and semi-intensive systems of housing.

Keywords: Black Australorp, economics, free range, Giriraja, intensive, Kadaknath, Maharashtra, proximate analysis, semi-intensive

Introduction

India with a population of 1.35 billion people is highly focusing on "Development" i.e. Good Food, Better Health and Living conditions for everyone. With the increase in the incomes, people can now afford better nutrition. Hence, since last two decades the demand for eggs and chicken meat is growing (Bujarbaruah and Gupta, 2005) [3]. India is the home for many breeds of native chicken like Aseel, Kadaknath, Tellicherry, Haringhata Black, Nicobari, Danki etc., which are still popular among the rural and tribal areas for backyard or free range farming (Chatterjee and Rajkumar, 2015) [25]. For the commercial farming, high yielding crosses developed under the public sector like Giriraja, Vanraja, Krishilayer, Krishibro (multicolored broiler etc.) are popular in certain areas (Chatterjee and Rajkumar, 2015) [5] for their meat. Also, The Black Australorp is a dual purpose chicken breed of Australian origin which was developed with the intension of increased eggs production. The breed achieved world-wide popularity in the 1920s after the breed broke numerous world records for number of eggs laid and has been a popular breed in the western world [25].

Livestock and poultry sector provide a major contribution to India's economy (Nath *et al.*, 2012) [13]. It carries a pivotal position in current Indian economy and has evolved as an extremely business oriented enterprise (Sreenivas *et al.*, 2013) [24]. Poultry industry has been transformed into a strong, dynamic agro-based farming activity (Islam *et al.* 2016) [8]. Rural poultry farming involves rearing ofimproved chicken varieties under free range, semi-intensive or intensive conditions (Buragohain *et al.*, 2007) [4]. The rural poultry production system especially in delta areas is typically a smallholder free ranging system. Under free-range conditions the necessity of supplementary feed/feed ingredients mostly depends on the free area available in the backyards, intensity of vegetation and availability of waste grains, insects, grass seeds etc (Pathak and Nath, 2013) [16]. Backyard poultry rearing requires hardly any infrastructure setup and is a liquid asset that can be sold quickly to meet any emergency cash needs of poorer's families (Sarap, 2017) [18]. Also, Intensive system of housing the poultry birds includes rearing of birds under confined housing system with proper managemental and feeding regime with feeds and water under one roof only. Among the available rearing systems for birds, the semi-intensive system consists in keeping the birds in an area with a shed and

pasture i.e., the birds are kept in a poultry house and have free access to a pasture area. An alternatives to confinement housingand cages for sustainable poultry production, such as access to range which is considered an environmentally sound and economically viable approach (Appleby et al., 1992) [2]. The system has been increasingly used in the last years, mainly due to aspects related to the greater concern with food quality by consumers. Besides, production costs might also be reduced, since the birds will have access to a pasture area and the costs with diets would be decreased (Silva and Nakano, 1998; Silva, 2001) [20-21]. The utilization of semi-intensive systems minimizes the effects of stressing factors and contributes to bird welfare (Barbosa Filho et al., 2005). Considering all this facts of housing systems and variety of birds, the present research work was designed with the aim of Upliftment of Socio-Economic status of farmers through rearing of Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp birds under Free-range, Semi-Intensive and Intensive system of housing in Maharashtra state of India.

Materials and Method

The present study was conducted in 240 Poultry farmers in 24 villages of four districts; Kolhapur, Solapur, Sangali and

Satara of Maharashtra state, India over the period of 15 months (April 2019 to July 2020). Selection of poultry farmers were on the basis of well-structured interview schedule with the criteria of Age, Education, Family size, Land holding, Occupation, Flock size, Poultry farming experience and Annual income. The experimental parameters studied during the 16 week duration were growth performance and economics of rearing three types of birds Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorpunder the free range, semiintensive and intensive system of housing, respectively. All the birds of these three breeds were maintained under common feeding and water regime in three systems. The data of the birds was collected and recorded from 0th day to 16th week of age at biweekly interval and the results were expressed as Mean \pm S.E. The statistically analysis of the data was done using Complete randomized design as per method described by Panse and Sukhatme, (1967) [15] using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, version-26, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results and Discussion

Criteria's of scoring and categorization for the selection of poultry farmers is presented in Table no. 1.

Table 1: Criteria's of scoring and categorization for the selection of poultry farmers.

| | gorization for the selection of poultry farm | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Particulars | poultry farmers | Percentage |
| | ltry farmers according to age. | |
| Young (<30 years) | 68 | 28.33 |
| Middle (31-50 years) | 124 | 51.67 |
| Old (> 50 years) | 48 | 20.00 |
| 2) Distribution of poultry fa | rmers according to education level. | |
| Illiterate | 87 | 36.25 |
| Primary level | 39 | 16.25 |
| High School | 65 | 27.08 |
| Graduate and above | 49 | 20.42 |
| 3) Distribution of poultry f | armers according to size of family. | |
| Small (< 5 members) | 79 | 32.92 |
| Medium (6-7 members) | 106 | 44.16 |
| Large (> 8) | 55 | 22.92 |
| 4) Distribution of poultry f | farmers according to land holding. | |
| Poultry farmers (< 2.5 acre) | 120 | 50.00 |
| Poultry farmers (land 2.5 – 5 acre) | 120 | 50.00 |
| 5) Distribution of poultry | farmers according to occupation. | |
| Labour | 32 | 13.33 |
| Agriculture | 157 | 65.42 |
| Animal Husbandry | 33 | 13.75 |
| Business | 12 | 5.00 |
| Service | 6 | 2.50 |
| 6) Distribution of poultry fa | armers according to annual income. | |
| Low (up to Rs. 50K) | 72 | 30.00 |
| Medium (Between Rs. 50- 100K) | 136 | 56.67 |
| High more than Rs. 100K) | 32 | 13.33 |
| 7) Distribution of poultry farme | ers according to type of poultry housing. | |
| Free- range | 83 | 34.58 |
| Semi - intensive | 96 | 40.00 |
| Intensive | 61 | 25.42 |
| 8) Distribution of poultry farmers | according to poultry farming experience | |
| Low (< 2 years) | 78 | 32.50 |
| Medium (2 - 5 years) | 107 | 44.58 |
| High (>5 Years) | 55 | 22.92 |
| <u> </u> | y farmers according to flock size. | |
| Free range 5 - 10 | 83 | 34.58 |
| Semi-intensive 10 - 25 | 96 | 40.00 |
| Intensive More than 25 | 61 | 25.42 |

| 10) Distribution of poultry farmers according to information source. | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Low (No contact) | 32 | 13.33 | | | | |
| Medium (Some contact) | 144 | 60.00 | | | | |
| High (Always) | 64 | 26.67 | | | | |

Based on the various selection criteria's, 240 farmers were selected from 24 villages of four districts; Kolhapur, Solapur, Sangali and Satara of Maharashtra state, India which might be a landmark foot print for the future further socio-economic studies in the urban and rural areas on various species and breeds of livestock and poultry.

Growth performances

The results on individual and comparative study on growth performance in Kadaknath (KD), Giriraja (GR) and Black

Australorp (BA) under free range(FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) systems of housing is discussed as follows

In Kadaknath

The data of comparative growth performances at biweekly interval on body weight of Kadaknath birds upto16th week of age in the free range, semi-intensive and intensive housing systems were 827.49, 907.25 and 1014.53g, respectively and is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparative biweekly body weight (g) of Kadaknath (KD) in Free range (FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) systems of housing.

| KD | | Age (In weeks) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| KD | Day old | 2 nd | 4 th | 6 th | 8 th | 10 th | 12 th | 14 th | 16 th | | |
| FR | 27.63±0.20 | 71.25 ^b ±1.06 | 138.86 ^b ±2.12 | 227.51°±2.72 | 299.03°±3.59 | 416.77°±3.32 | 542.20°±3.50 | 689.33°±3.57 | 827.49°±3.90 | | |
| SI | 28.48±0.24 | 74.06 ^b ±2.03 | 144.23 ^b ±4.05 | 288.52b±3.76 | 330.24 ^b ±5.04 | 447.19 ^b ±4.79 | 602.42 ^b ±5.14 | 762.96 ^b ±5.00 | 907.25 ^b ±5.16 | | |
| IN | 28.64±0.18 | 84.41a±1.80 | 186.27 ^a ±3.59 | 316.86a±2.79 | 458.21a±3.61 | 582.47a±3.94 | 717.98 ^a ±4.95 | 851.96 ^a ±4.90 | 1014.53a±5.45 | | |
| 'F' Cal. Value | 6.59 | 17.29** | 57.95** | 198.16** | 326.55** | 391.38** | 366.01** | 322.94** | 364.85** | | |

Means bearing different superscripts (a,b) differ significantly (**p<0.01) in a column.

It was observed that the highly significant (p<0.01) differences in body weight in Kadaknath birds being highest in intensive, followed by semi-intensive and lowest in free range system continuously up to 16^{th} weeks of the age.

The findings of the study regarding the body weight gain in Kadaknath was lesser at 16th week of rearing in free range housing system is in agreement with earlier reports of Singh *et al* (2004) ^[22] observed highest body weight at 15th week of age in both Kadaknath and Aseel. The reason for lower weight gain in indigenous breeds from same breeding stock can be the non-selected origin of Kadaknath (Shanmathy *et al.*, 2018) ^[19]. The lower Average body weight gain in free range as compare to semi intensive and intensive system of

housing in the present study is might be due to the metabolic rate of breed (Shanmathy *et al.*, 2018) ^[19] and mainly due the management conditions (Gondwe and Wollny, 2003) ^[6] specially space, water and feed availability which is deeply related with the variation in body weight gain in three different types of housing.

In Giriraja

The data of comparative growth performances at biweekly interval on body weight of Giriraja birds upto 16th week of age in the free range, semi-intensive and intensive housing systems were 1282.92, 1412.97 and 1864.90 g, respectively and is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Comparative biweekly body weight (g) of Giriraja (GR) in Free range (FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) systems of housing.

| GR | | Age (In weeks) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| GK | Day old | 2 nd | 4 th | 6 th | 8 th | 10 th | 12 th | 14 th | 16 th | |
| FR | 35.90±0.27 | 113.50°±1.42 | 238.12°±2.84 | 433.09 ^b ±4.22 | 632.76 ^b ±6.12 | 818.40°±5.95 | 958.28°±6.51 | 1131.46°±6.70 | 1282.92°±6.95 | |
| SI | 36.13±0.22 | 124.57 ^b ±2.41 | 282.54 ^b ±4.81 | 433.67 ^b ±7.63 | 636.38 ^b ±8.67 | 857.95 ^b ±9.99 | 1045.92 ^b ±11.50 | 1218.69b±12.89 | 1412.97 ^b ±14.45 | |
| IN | 36.19±0.18 | 156.81a±3.07 | 374.61a±6.14 | 610.79 ^a ±7.43 | 879.47a±9.00 | 1124.42a±11.84 | 1355.58a±14.81 | 1611.00 ^a ±17.33 | 1864.90° ±20.24 | |
| 'F' Cal. Value | 0.44 | 91.40** | 221.53** | 226.76** | 288.95** | 301.34** | 306.29** | 404.47** | 454.21** | |

Means bearing different superscripts (a,b) differ significantly (**p<0.01) in a column.

It was observed that the highly significant (*p*<0.01) differences in body weight gain of Giriraja birds being highest in intensive, followed by semi-intensive and lowest in free range system continuously up to 16th weeks of the age. Better growth potential seen in present experiment in all the housing system might be due to the fact that this breed (Giriraja) had undergone series of improvement and selection for higher body weight and growth rate (Amusan *et al.*, 2013) ^[1]. Girirraja showed highest body weight gain throughout the study is might be due to heavy and larger breed size which is correlative with the findings of Neupane *et al.*, (2014) ^[14] and Somu, (2015) ^[23]. The lower Average body weight gain in free range as compare to semi-intensive and intensive system

of housing in the present study is might be due to the metabolic rate of breed (Shanmathy *et al.*, 2018) [19] and mainly due the management conditions (Gondwe and Wollny, 2003) [6] specially space, water and feed availability which is deeply related with the variation in body weight gain in three different types of housing.

In Black Australorp

The data of comparative growth performances at biweekly interval on body weight of Black Australorp birds upto 16th week of age in the free range, semi intensive and intensive housing systems were 1238.25, 1316.07 and 1693.32 g, respectively and is presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Comparative biweekly body weight (g) of Black Australorp (BA) in Free range (FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) systems of housing.

| BA | Age (In weeks) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| DA | Day old | 2 nd | 4 th | 6 th | 8 th | 10 th | 12 th | 14 th | 16 th |
| FR | 37.12±0.24 | 102.19 ^b ±3.78 | 208.85°±7.56 | 391.65 ^b ±8.45 | 577.93 ^b ±9.55 | 773.82 ^b ±10.98 | 904.20°±12.54 | 1065.18°±14.70 | 1238.25°±17.18 |
| SI | 36.25±0.36 | 110.97 ^b ±2.85 | 263.24 ^b ±5.70 | 406.44 ^b ±7.11 | 604.27 ^b ±9.64 | 795.23 ^b ±11.34 | $1002.15^{b}\pm13.31$ | 1169.48 ^b ±15.78 | 1316.07 ^b ±18.10 |
| IN | 36.67±0.30 | 126.73°±1.95 | 356.81°±8.24 | 572.99°a±8.78 | 799.17 ^a ±9.94 | 1056.97a±9.77 | 1288.69a±9.94 | 1469.73°a±9.21 | 1693.32 ^a ±8.68 |
| 'F'Cal. Value | 2.29 | 14.83** | 102.45** | 138.97** | 142.07** | 191.97** | 247.33** | 211.54** | 213.88** |

Means bearing different superscripts (a,b) differ significantly (**p<0.01) in a column.

It was observed that the highly significant (*p*<0.01) differences in body weight gain of Black Australorp birds being highest in intensive followed by semi-intensive system and lowest in free range continuously up to 16th weeks of the age. Black Australorp showed highest body weight gain throughout the study period is might be due to heavy and larger breed size which is correlative with the findings of Gondwe and Wollny, (2003) ^[6] and Kgwatalala and Segokgo, (2013) ^[11]. The highest body weight gain in BA under Intensive system of rearing is might be due to the metabolic

rate of breed and management conditions (Gondwe and Wollny, 2003) [6].

Comparative growth performance of Kadaknath, Girirraja and Black Australorp birds

The comparative growth performance of kadaknath (KD), Giriraja (GR) and Black Australorp (BA) birds in free range (FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) system of housing at 16th week performance was studied, analyzed and presented in Table no.5.

Table 5: Comparative Growth performance of Kadaknath (KD), Girirraja (GR) and Black Australorp (BA) birds at 16th week in free range (FR), Semi-intensive (SI) and Intensive (IN) system of housing

| Breed Kadaknath (g) (Mean ±S.E.) | | Giriraja (| g) (Mean ±S.E.) | Black Australorp (g) (Mean ±S.E.) | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Housing System | Day old | 16th Week | Day old | 16th Week | Day old | 16 th Week |
| FR | 27.63±0.20 | 827.49° ±3.90 | 35.90±0.27 | 1282.92° ±6.95 | 37.12±0.24 | 1238.25° ±17.18 |
| SI | 28.48 ±0.24 | 907.25 ^b ±5.16 | 36.13 ±0.22 | 1412.97 ^b ±14.45 | 36.25 ±0.36 | 1316.07 ^b ±18.10 |
| IN | 28.64±0.18 | 1014.53a ±5.45 | 36.19±0.18 | 1864.90a ±20.24 | 36.67±0.30 | 1693.32a ±8.68 |
| 'F' Cal. Value | 6.59 ^{NS} | 364.85** | 0.44 ^{NS} | 454.21** | 2.29 ^{NS} | 213.88** |

Means bearing different superscripts (a,b) differ significantly(**p<0.01) in a column.

At the 16th week, body weight gain in Kadaknath; Giriraja and Black Australorp in FR, SI and IN were 827.49±3.90, 907.25±5.16 and 1014.53±5.45; 1282.92±6.95, 1412.97±14.45 and 1864.90±20.24, and 1238.25±17.18, 1316.07±18.10and 1693.32±8.68 g, respectively. The data of comparative growth performance of Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp bird showed highly significant (p<0.001) body weightgain in Intensive system of housing as compared to semi-intensive and free range system. Highly significant (p<0.01) differences between the breeds was observed in all the system of housing management with respect to growth performance. The highest body weight gain throughout the experiment in all the three breeds was observed in intensive system of rearing followed by semi intensive and lowest in free range system It was observed that for most of the weeks, highest biweekly body weights was seen in Giriraja, followed by Black Australorp and lowest in Kadaknath birds, respectively. Difference in the body weight gain and Growth performance in the present study under intensive system of rearing may be due to difference of genetic makeup of Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp, and Giriraja and Black Australorp are being a heavy bird, the body weights of Giriraja followed by Black Australorp at different ages were higher than Kadaknath under all the three different types of housing systems.

Black Autralorp and Girirraja showed highest body weight

gain throughout the study is might be due to heavy and larger breed size which is correlative with the findings of Neupane et al., (2014) [14], Somu, (2015) [23] and Sudhir, (2021) [10], in Giriraja and Gondwe and Wollny, (2003) [6] and Kgwatalala and Segokgo, (2013) [11] in Black Australorp birds. The lower Average body weight gain in Kadaknath breed as compare to Giriraja and Black Australorp in the present study is may be due to the genetic makeup of the indigenous and cross-breeds (Singh and Singh, 2004) [22]. Significant effect (p<0.05) of breed on body weight was observed in Giriraja showing superiority over Black Autralorp and Desi birds. The Better growth potential might be due to the fact that this breed (Giriraja) had undergone series of improvement and selection for higher body weight and growth rate (Amusan et al., 2013) (1). In poultry, age and breed are some of the many important factors influencing the rate of body weight gain (Kumar et al., 2020) [12].

Comparative economics of Intensive housing in Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp birds

Considering the importance of the Intensive system of rearing, we have drawn out the comparative economics of rearing the Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp in intensive system up to the 16th week of age. The data for production economics up to the 16th week at poultry farmer's door is presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Comparative economics of Intensive housing in Kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp marketed (Sold) at farmer's doorstep.

| Sr. No. | Particulars | Kadaknath | Giriraja | Black Australorp |
|---------|--|-----------|----------|------------------|
| 1 | Cost of day old chick (Rs) | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| 2 | Cost of feed (Rs) | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| 3 | Total feed consumption (g) | 3738.23 | 4797.16 | 4898.47 |
| 4 | Cost of feed consumed per bird (Rs) | 102.80 | 131.92 | 134.70 |
| 5 | Average body weight (g) | 1014.53 | 1864.89 | 1693.32 |
| 6 | Miscellaneous cost* (Rs) | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 7 | Total cost of production (1+4+6) (Rs.) | 162.80 | 171.92 | 174.70 |
| 8 | Average price realized/bird, Kadaknath @ 350 Rs/kg live weight, Giriraja and Black Australorp @ 250 Rs/kg live weight | 355.08 | 466.22 | 423.33 |
| 9 | Net profit/bird (Rs) (8-7) | 192.28 | 294.30 | 248.63 |
| 10 | Net profit/kg live weight (Rs) | 189.53 | 157.81 | 146.83 |

The total cost of production of rearing kadaknath, Giriraja and Australorp under intensive system of housing was Rs. 162.80, 171.92 and 174.70, respectively and average sell price per bird, Kadaknath @ Rs. 350, Giriraja and Black Australorp @Rs.250/kg live weight. The Net profit per bird and Net profit per Kg of live weigh at 16th weeks of age for kadaknath, Giriraja and Black Australorp were Rs. 192.28 and 189.53, Rs. 294.30 and 157.8, and Rs. 248.63 and 146.83, respectively.

Considering the production economics of the study, it is conclusive that the Giriraja is the best breed of poultry reared under the intensive system of housing followed by Black Australorp and Kadaknath. The findings for rearing Giriraja is in concurrence with the findings of Neupaneet al., (2014) [14] who observed higher saving (Rs132.39) per bird than that of New Hampshire (Rs 67.09). Gupta et al. (2016) [7] observed in indigenous breed Kadaknath is well known for delicious black flesh, profitability was numerically higher in Kadaknath. Also, Rathod et al. (2018) [17] observed better growth performance and lowest cost of production in Kadaknath chicken from 7th to 14th week of age. Kadaknath birds sold relatively in higher price due to its black flesh which is very delicious, high protein meat and with high medicinal value (Kumar et al. 2020) [12]. Giriraja and Black Australorp birds are superior for dual purpose poultry birds, whereas Kadaknath get higher pricedue to their specific meat properties.

Conclusion

Major advantage of rearing Desi birds like Kadaknath or improved varieties of chicken like Giriraja and Black Australorp gives assured income to the poultry farmers. Furthermore, Under Indian traditional system of rearing i.e. rearing of 5-10 birds per house in rural and urban areas, Giriraja and Black Australorp may finds their way of rearing by spreading extension activities and knowledge of rearing for profitable poultry farming and upgrading sustainable socio economic status of poor and marginal farmers.

Acknowledgment

The First Author is very thankful to the Hon. Dean Dr. N. Ghosh, WBUAFS, WB, India to carry out and provide necessary facilities for the Ph. D. Research work.

References

1. Amusan SA, Ikeobi CON, Adebambo AO, Agaviezor BO, Wheto M, Durosaro SO, *et al.* Effect of chicken genotype on growth performance and feed consumption in the development of broiler lines. Nigerian Journal of

- Animal Production. 2013;40(2):1-6.
- 2. Appleby MC, Mench JA, Hughes BO. Poultry behaviour and welfare. Cabi. publishing, Cambridge, USA; c2004.
- 3. Bujarbaruah KM, Gupta JJ. Family poultry for the development of NEH region ICAR Research Complex Umiam, Barapani, Meghalaya IPSACON; c2005.
- 4. Buragohain R, Ghosh MK, Ahmed FA, Pathak PK, Bhattacharya M. Growth performance of Vanaraja birds at high altitude areas of Arunachal Pradesh. Indian veterinary journal. 2007;84(3):302-303.
- 5. Chatterjee RN, Rajkumar U. An overview of poultry production in India. Indian Journal of Animal Health. 2015;54(2):89-108.
- 6. Gondwe TN, Wollny BA. Comparative productivity of Black Australorp and indigenous chicken under freeranging village conditions in Malawi. In Proc. Conf. Int. Agric. Res. Devel; c2003. p. 8-10.
- 7. Gupta S, Mehta M, Jain RK, Joshi SK, Aich R. Effect of Xylanase Enzyme in Diets on Performance of Kadaknath Birds. Journal of Animal Research. 2016;6(5):961-965.
- 8. Islam MM, Anjum S, Modi RJ, Wadhwani KN. Scenario of livestock and poultry in India and their contribution to national economy. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2016;5(3):956-65.
- Barbosa Filho JAD, Silva MAN, Silva IJOD, Coelho AAD, Savino VJM. Behavior and performance of broiler strains reared under semi-intensive system with shaded areas. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. 2005;7:209-213.
- Sudhir N. External and internal egg quality traits of indigenous chicken of Gulbarga division reared under field conditions. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(4):227-230
- 11. Kgwatalala PM, Segokgo P. Growth performance of Australorp x Tswana crossbred chicks under an intensive management system. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2013;12:358-361.
- 12. Kumar H, Singh G, Kumar B, Kumari S. Study on effect of genetic groups on growth performance of poultry. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(4):28-30.
- 13. Nath BG, Pathak PK, Mohanty AK. Constraints analysis of poultry production at Dzongu area of North Sikkim in India. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science. 2012;2(4):397-401.
- 14. Neupane D, Karki M, Shrestha SB. Intensive Management of New Hampshire and Giriraja Chickens for Generating Premium Cash Income. Nepal Journal of Science and Technology. 2014;15(2):23-28.

- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods of agricultural workers. 2nd Endorsement. ICAR Publication, New Delhi, India; c1967.
- 16. Pathak PK, Nath BG. Rural poultry farming with improved breed of backyard chicken. J World's Poult. Res. 2013;3(1):24-27.
- 17. Rathod P, Kadam MM, Khose KK, Patil AR, Kamble PC. Nutrient requirement of Kadaknath chicken during the finisher phase to optimize the growth and economic performance. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(6):31-35.
- 18. Sarap KW. Backyard poultry farming with improved Giriraja breed. Research Journal of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science. 2017;8(2):119-122.
- 19. Shanmathy M, Tyagi JS, Gopi M, Mohan J, Beulah P, Kumar DR. Comparative assessment on performance of Aseel and Kadaknath in hot and humid conditions in tropics. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(5):2156-2165.
- 20. Silva IJO da. Ambience in poultry production in tropical climate; c2001;[cited 2020 July. 25]
- 21. Silva RDM. Nakano M. Backyard chicken farming system; c1986. [cited 2020 July. 25]
- 22. Singh RV, Singh DP. Possibilities of exploitation of indigenous poultry germplasm. In Proceedings National symposium on livestock diversity vis-à-vis resource exploitation: An introspection. held on; c2004. p. 11-12.
- 23. Somu Y. Comparative study of Giriraja and Desi birds under backyard system of rearing in farmer's field. Veterinary Science Research Journal. 2015;6:100-102.
- 24. Sreenivas D, Prakash MG, Mahender M, Chatterjee RN, Dasari S. Genetic analysis of egg quality traits in white leghorn chicken. Veterinary world. 2013;16(5):263-266.
- 25. Weblink 1. https://livestockconservancy.org/heritage-breeds/heritage-breeds-list/australorp-chicken/ visited on dated 25 July 2020).