www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(12): 418-422 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 06-09-2022 Accepted: 14-10-2022

### Motapalukula Jyothi

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Tambe TB

Head, Department of Horticulture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Khandare VS

Senior Research Officer, Horticulture Research Scheme (Veg.), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Shinde VN

Assistant Professor, College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Syed Ismail

Associate Dean & Principal, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

#### Shinde GU

Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering and Principal Investigator, NAHEP, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Motapalukula Jyothi Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Effect of different salinity levels on growth, flowering and yield characteristics of brinjal varieties under Marathwada conditions

## Motapalukula Jyothi, Tambe TB, Khandare VS, Shinde VN, Syed Ismail and Shinde GU

### Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Department of Horticulture, VNMKV, Parbhani during the year 2020-2021. The pot experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design which was replicated twice with two factors, *i.e.*, varieties and salinity levels. In varieties, there were 4 brinjal varieties *i.e.*, Phule Arjun, Phule Harit, Krishna and Manjari Gota and there were 5 salinity levels i.e., 0, 2, 3, 4 and 5 dSm<sup>-1</sup> which was imposed with the help of Sodium chloride (NaCl) salt to assess the response of brinjal varieties towards growth, flowering and yield characteristics under various salinity stress conditions. The results revealed that among the varieties, significantly the maximum plant height, stem diameter, leaf area, average fruit length, average fruit diameter, average fruit volume and average fruit weight was observed in Phule Harit whereas, for number of branches, plant spread, number of leaves, early flowering was found in Phule Arjun, in case of number of flowers, number fruits and fruit yield per plant was maximum for Manjri Gota. Phule Harit was proved to be maximum for plant height, stem diameter, leaf area, average fruit length, average fruit diameter, average fruit volume and average fruit weight at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. Phule Arjun was proved to be maximum for number of branches per plant, early flowering at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS  $m^{-1}$  but number of leaves found maximum at 3.00 and 4.00 dS  $m^{-1}$ and plant spread at 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. It was Krishna was proved to be maximum for plant spread at 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and number of leaves at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. Manjri Gota was proved to be maximum for number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>.

Keywords: Eggplant, grafting, yield, brinjal, solanum torvum, salinity levels, growth

### Introduction

Brinjal (Solanum melongena L., (2n = 2x = 24)) is one of the widely distributed and cultivated species of Solanaceae family. Native of India and China is its secondary centre of origin. It is known as poor man's vegetable. It occupies an area of 0.73 million hectares with production of 12.98 million metric tons and productivity of 19.10 tons per hectare in India (Anon, 2022)<sup>[1]</sup>. It is well distributed in Orissa, Bihar, Karnataka, West Bengal, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh. Brinjal is highly productive, nutritious and used as a raw material in pickle making and dehydration industries. Cooked in curry and sambhar, mashed, fried or stuffed with spices and then fried, especially long fruits. Eggplant is a rich source of nutrients containing carbohydrates (6.4%), protein (1.3%), fat (0.3%), calcium (0.02%), phosphorus (0.02%), iron (0.0013%), 34 mg  $\beta$ -carotene, 0.05 mg riboflavin, 0.05 mg thiamine, 0.5 mg niacin and 0.9 mg ascorbic acid per 100 grams of fruit. It is low in calories and fats, contains mostly water, fiber, good source of minerals and vitamins and rich in total water-soluble sugars, free reducing sugars, amide proteins among other nutrients. Brinjal is valued for its medicinal properties, beneficial for diabetic patients. Salinity in soil or water is one of the major abiotic stresses that reduce plant growth and crop productivity worldwide (Arzani, 2008)<sup>[2]</sup>. More than 800 million hectares of land throughout the world are salt affected due to saline and sodic soils, corresponding to more than 6 per cent of the world total land area of which salinity is detrimental in limiting plant growth and crop productivity. Salinity in soil or water is a serious threat to plant growth that prevents plants in achieving their genetic potential. Its annual damage is about 20 per cent of the world's crops grown under irrigation. Salinity occurs when there is an excessive accumulation of salts (especially high Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub>) in the soil (Parida and Das, 2005)<sup>[3]</sup> or irrigation water (Colla et al., 2010)<sup>[4]</sup>. Continuous irrigation with saline water could also lead to deterioration of physical properties of the soil and decrease of crop production.

Therefore, it is imperative to have more knowledge on salt stress to develop new technologies. Brinjal is moderately sensitive to salinity, consequently more attention is required in agricultural production of this crop with important varieties.

Salinity inhibits the crop growth and productivity via limiting photosynthesis and energy conservation inhibition (Zhu, 2016)<sup>[5]</sup>. An increase in photosystem I activity and a decrease in photosystem II mediated oxygen evolution activity as a result of salt stress can cause some modifications in the thylakoid membrane proteins, leading to a decline in the electron transfer from light harvesting antenna to photosystem II (Sudhir *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[6]</sup>. Overall negative effect of soil salinity on chlorophyll related parameters were clearly evident in past findings. The important alternative to overcome the problem of soil salinity is by adopting salt tolerant crops for growing. That was the major objective the present investigation in which different varieties of brinjal were assessed under salinity imposed conditions and identification of relatively efficient cultivars.

### **Material and Methods**

The present investigation was undertaken at the College of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (Maharashtra) during the year 2020-21. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with two replications and it consisted of two factors, *i.e.* varieties and salinity levels. There were 4 brinjal varieties and 5 levels of salinity. Salinity stress has been imposed by using solution of sodium chloride (NaCl). Salt was applied in four different electrical conductivity (EC) values of 2, 3, 4 and 5 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in weekly intervals starting from 20 days after transplanting and non-salt plants were kept as control. treated The brinjal varieties/hybrids adopted and released for Maharashtra region has been selected viz., Phule Arjun (F<sub>1</sub>), Phule Harit, Krishna (F<sub>1</sub>) and Manjari Gota were obtained from Senior Vegetable Breeder, All India Co-ordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Vegetable Crops, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth (MPKV), Rahuri, Maharashtra.

The popular varieties of brinjal viz., Phule Arjun, Phule Harit, Krishna and Manjari Gota were sown in February. Seeds were sown in portrays containing coco peat and vermicompost in 3:1 ratio and watered regularly. Protrays were covered with polythene sheets for four days. After emergence of seedlings, trays were kept under a shade net. Foliar sprays of 19:19:19 @ 0.5% were provided weekly once to get quality seedlings. The seedlings were ready for transplanting in 35 days (three to four true leaves). Before the transplanting, seedlings were grown under natural light conditions for two to three days for hardening. The healthy and vigorous seedlings from protrays were transplanted to plastic pot in March month. Each of pot size was 28 cm diameter and 30 cm height which is having 20 kg soil capacity filled with 18 kg media containing pot mixture of soil, vermicompost, FYM, digested coir pith, compost and sand at the ratio of 1:1:1:1:1. One seedling was transplanted per pot and irrigation was given immediately after transplanting. The plants after transplanting in pots were supported by bamboo sticks to avoid lodging.

### **Result and Discussion**

The data pertaining to growth, flowering, fruit and yield parameters of brinjal as significantly influenced by different grafts, salinity levels and interactions were furnished in Table 1, Table 3 and Table 3.

Among the varieties, data revealed that under salt stress

conditions Phule Harit found significantly the maximum plant height (85.64 cm), stem diameter (10.47 cm), leaf area (101.97 cm<sup>2</sup>), average fruit length (11.61 cm), average fruit diameter (8.98 cm), average fruit volume (141.80 ml) and average fruit weight (126.18 g) followed by Krishna for plant height (74.75 cm), stem diameter (9.69 cm), average fruit length (8.60 cm), average fruit diameter (7.36 cm), average fruit volume (102.96 cm) and average fruit weight. While, significantly the minimum plant height (61.98 cm), stem diameter (8.32 cm) and leaf area (37.97 cm<sup>2</sup>) was observed in Manjri Gota (61.98 cm). Phule Arjun found significantly minimum average fruit length (6.08 cm), average fruit diameter (6.66 cm), average fruit volume (85.11 ml) and average fruit weight (62.28 g). Number of branches per plant (8.99), plant spread, number of leaves per plant (80.77) and early 50% flowering (29.68 days) was reported significantly maximum in Phule Arjun followed by Krishna for number of branches per plant (7.44), plant sprad (82.22 cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves (75.82) and early 50% flowering (33.02 days) whereas, significantly minimum number of branches per plant (6.02), number of leaves (64.84) and late 50% flowering (37.78 days) was reported in Phule Harit. Manjri Gota was found significantly maximum for number of flowers per plant (16.89), number of fruits per plant (13.51) and fruit yield per plant (1094.29 g) followed by Phule Arjun for number of flowers per plant (15.10) and number of fruits per plant (12.08) which is even in Krishna (12.08) and the same followed value for fruit yield per plant was recorded in Krishna. Significantly minimum number of leaves (13.26) and number of fruits were reported in Phule Harit and for fruit yield per plant in Phule Arjun (740.28 g). Similar results were also reported in previous findings of Ahire and Nikam (2011) <sup>[7]</sup>, Petropoulos et al. (2012) <sup>[8]</sup>, Tamilselvi et al. (2015) <sup>[9]</sup>, Sarswat et al. (2020))<sup>[10]</sup> and Bayoumi et al. (2021)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Different salt concentrations studied under investigation vielded maximum values for plant height (47.63 cm), stem diameter (10.72 cm), number of branches per plant (9.60), plant spread (94.49 cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves (94.49), leaf area (108.15 cm<sup>2</sup>), late 50% flowering (37.43 days), number of flowers per plant (19.74), average fruit length (9.44 cm), average fruit diameter (7.77 cm), average fruit volume (118.68 ml), average fruit weight (97.58 g), number of fruits (15.19) and fruit yield per plant (1386.62 g) was reported in the control treatment *i.e.*  $0 \text{ dS} \text{ m}^{-1}$ . The minimum values were found at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for same parameters (61.28 cm, 8.35 cm, 5.81, 64.43 cm<sup>2</sup>, 46.65, 52.47, 30.51 days, 10.29, 7.91 cm, 7.26 cm, 96.20 ml, 81.21 g, 7.95 and 581.79 g). Increased salinity suppressed growth, flowering and yield characters in past findings of Akinci et al. (2004) <sup>[12]</sup>, Uddin et al. (2016) <sup>[13]</sup> and Quamruzzaman *et al.*  $(2018)^{[14]}$ .

Among the treatment combinations, Phule Harit with 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> was recorded significantly the maximum plant height (96.79 cm), stem diameter (11.90 cm), leaf area (131.92 cm<sup>2</sup>), average fruit length (12.54 cm), average fruit diameter (9.32 cm), average fruit volume (160.04 ml) and average fruit weight (138.08 g). It was followed by values were also recorded in Phule Harit with 2.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> for plant height (92.78 cm and 89.94 cm, respectively), average fruit length (11.89 cm and 11.59 cm, respectively), average fruit diameter (9.16 cm and 9.01 cm, respectively), average fruit volume (154.05 ml and 127.08 ml, respectively) and average fruit weight (130.08 g and 127.08 g, respectively) and for stem diameter at 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> it was Phule Harit (11.45 cm) Phule Arjun at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (10.89 cm) for leaf area at 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> it was Phule Harit (119.11 cm<sup>2</sup>) Phule Arjun at 0.00 dS m<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> (126.07 cm<sup>2</sup>). Whereas, significantly minimum plant height (51.62 cm), stem diameter (6.83 cm), plant spread (59.55 cm<sup>2</sup>) and leaf area (23.90 cm<sup>2</sup>) was reported in Manjri Gota at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and for average fruit length (5.42 cm), average fruit diameter (6.48 cm), average fruit volume (77.96 ml) and average fruit weight (55.08 g) was reported in Phule Arjun at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. The findings of Colla *et al.* (2006) <sup>[15]</sup>, Unlukara *et al.* (2008) <sup>[16]</sup>, Uddin *et al.* (2016) <sup>[13]</sup> and Al-Zubaidi (2018) <sup>[17]</sup> were closely supporting the present findings.

Phule Arjun at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> was yielded significantly highest number of branches (11.60), plant spread (99.27 cm<sup>2</sup>) and number of leaves (103.25) followed by Krishna at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (9.69), Phule Arjun at 2.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (9.45) for number of branches, Krishna at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (94.48 cm<sup>2</sup>), 2.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (93.71 cm<sup>2</sup>) for plant spread, Krishna at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (99.94), Manjri Gota at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (91.62) for number of leaves. Phule Harit at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> was proved to be minimum number of branches (4.94) and number of leaves (23.90)and for plant spread it was Manjri Gota atat 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (59.55 cm<sup>2</sup>). Early 50% flowering was reported in Phule Arjun at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (25.49 days) followed by 4.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (27.29 days) and 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (30.22 days) whereas, maximum days for 50% flowering was observed in Phule Harit at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (40.03 days). In case of number of fruits (17.29) and fruit yield per plant (1568.17 g) was recorded maximum in Krishna at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, Manjri Gota at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> found at par with Krishna for number of fruits per plant (16.98) followed by Phule Arjun 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and Krishana at 2.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in case of number of fruits (16.30 and 14.78, respectively) and Manjri

Gota 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and Phule Harit at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in case of fruit yield per plant (1491.04 g and 1375.60 g, respectively). Minimum number of fruits (4.93) was found in Phule Harit at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and fruit yield (375.85 g) in Phule Arjun at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum number of flowers per plant (21.22) was reported in Manjri Gota at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> followed by Phule Arjun at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (20.37) and Krishna at 0.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (20.34) whereas, minimum fruits were observed in Phule Harit at 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> (8.21). Uddin *et al.* (2016) <sup>[13]</sup> and Al-Harbi *et al.* (2017) <sup>[18]</sup> in their investigation found close results resembling the findings in this experiment. Among the treatment combinations, at higher salt concentrations *i.e.* at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> Phule Harit was reported comparatively better for plant height (89.94 cm, 75.88 cm and 72.81 cm, respectively), stem diameter (10.02 cm, 9.59 cm and 9.39 cm, respectively), leaf area (99.05 cm<sup>2</sup>, 91.39 cm<sup>2</sup> and 68.37 cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively), average fruit length (11.59 cm, 11.7 cm and 10.74 cm, respectively), average fruit diameter (9.01 cm, 8.76 cm and 8.66 cm, respectively), average fruit volume (135.06 ml, 130.73 ml and 129.13 ml, respectively) and average fruit weight (127.08 g, 120.08 g and 115.58 g, respectively). Manjri gota reported maximum in case of number of flowers (16.44, 14.96 and 13.44, respectively), number of fruits (13.15, 11.97 and 10.75, respectively) and fruit yield per plant (1025.47 g, 957.76g and 805.11 g, respectively) at higher salt concentrations *i.e.* at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 1: Effect of different salinity levels on plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm) and number of branches of brinjal varieties

			Dlam	t height	(em)			Stor	diameter (cm) Number of branches per plant							
Factors	Treatment	30	60	90	<u>(cm)</u> 120	150	30	Stem	uramete	120		INUM	<u>60 60 10 60 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</u>	90	s per pa 120	ant 150
raciors		DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	DAT	150 DAT	30 DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT
		DAI	DAI	DAI	DAI	DAI		r A: Vari	otios	DAI	DAI		DAI	DAI	DAI	DAI
A <sub>1</sub>	Phule Arjun	45.65	58.32	63.92	68.48	73.34	5.90	6.78	8.09	9.14	9.48	1.68	6.16	7.46	8.71	8.99
	Phule Harit	40.72	62.65	76.79	81.45	85.64	5.63	7.16	9.45	9.95	10.47	1.35	3.12	4.29	5.93	6.02
A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	Krishna	43.26	57.56	65.37	70.32	74.75	5.90	6.56	8.85	9.95	9.69	1.63	4.78	6.05	7.28	7.44
	Manjri Gota		45.71	52.96	57.91	61.98	5.89	6.29	7.23	7.57	8.32	1.03	4.08	5.71	6.74	6.85
	$E(m) \pm$	0.276	0.188	0.165	0.108	01.78	0.015	0.018	0.029	0.014	0.013	0.126	0.091	0.016	0.056	0.061
	D at 5%	0.270	0.562	0.492	0.321	0.529	0.013	0.010	0.027	0.042	0.013	NS	0.270	0.010	0.168	0.182
0.1	J at 570	0.024	0.502	0.472	0.521			B: Salinit		0.042	0.050	115	0.270	0.040	0.100	0.102
<b>B</b> 1	0.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	47.63	65.15	75.31	80.33	85.82	6.35	7.16	9.03	9.89	10.72	1.75	4.62	6.91	9.10	9.60
-	2.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	43.43	62.16	70.62	75.74	80.84	5.93	6.93	8.84	9.43	10.72	1.44	4.53	6.32	7.89	8.02
	3.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	41.75	56.35	65.69	70.18	74.54	5.63	6.68	8.34	8.87	9.37	1.59	4.53	5.60	6.73	6.83
-	4.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	40.00	50.60	58.39	63.37	67.14	5.35	6.46	8.00	8.51	8.67	1.72	4.61	5.36	6.32	6.37
	5.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	37.53	46.04	53.79	58.08	61.28	5.03	6.23	7.81	8.22	8.35	1.53	4.40	5.20	5.79	5.81
	E(m) ±	0.309	0.211	0.185	0.120	0.198	0.017	0.020	0.033	0.016	0.014	0.141	0.101	0.017	0.063	0.068
	D at 5%	0.921	0.628	0.550	0.359	0.591	0.050	0.059	0.097	0.047	0.043	NS	NS	0.052	0.187	0.203
								teraction	n Effect							
<b>T</b> 1	$A_1B_1$	56.00	68.13	73.63	77.88	83.05	6.67	7.18	8.53	9.93	10.89	2.00	6.75	8.31	10.56	11.60
T <sub>2</sub>	$A_1B_2$	45.75	66.13	69.51	73.88	79.91	6.00	7.01	8.60	9.71	10.17	1.38	6.12	7.98	9.26	9.45
T <sub>3</sub>	$A_1B_3$	43.88	57.64	65.75	70.01	73.35	5.72	6.87	8.10	9.02	9.20	1.75	5.92	7.19	8.51	8.60
T4	$A_1B_4$	42.38	52.06	57.00	62.83	69.69	5.67	6.50	7.70	8.67	8.68	1.75	6.13	7.05	8.17	8.22
T5	$A_1B_5$	40.25	47.64	53.73	57.84	60.69	5.45	6.31	7.52	8.38	8.46	1.50	5.89	6.79	7.08	7.08
T <sub>6</sub>	$A_2B_1$	45.13	70.48	87.79	91.63	96.79	5.99	7.60	10.32	11.14	11.90	1.50	3.27	5.28	7.85	7.97
T <sub>7</sub>	$A_2B_2$	43.58	67.51	84.29	87.98	92.78	5.85	7.40	9.89	10.54	11.45	1.00	3.21	4.45	6.45	6.52
T <sub>8</sub>	$A_2B_3$	42.00	65.63	79.88	84.93	89.94	5.74	7.08	9.21	9.54	10.02	1.50	3.20	4.02	5.40	5.59
T9	$A_2B_4$	38.88	57.76	68.88	73.75	75.88	5.67	6.96	9.98	9.34	9.59	1.63	3.08	3.88	5.06	5.11
T10	$A_2B_5$	34.00	51.88	63.13	68.95	72.81	4.91	6.77	8.86	9.21	9.39	1.13	2.88	3.85	4.91	4.94
T11	$A_3B_1$	46.63	67.63	78.84	84.03	88.83	7.01	7.04	9.32	9.64	10.54	1.75	4.71	7.28	9.19	9.69
T <sub>12</sub>	$A_3B_2$	45.00	61.75	71.00	79.88	84.88	6.25	6.78	9.25	9.55	10.40	1.75	4.99	6.56	8.08	8.21
T <sub>13</sub>	$A_3B_3$	42.50	59.00	64.05	67.75	72.75	5.99	6.49	8.90	9.43	9.56	1.88	4.86	5.95	6.86	6.92
T14	$A_3B_4$	41.85	52.55	60.05	64.04	67.27	5.18	6.33	8.44	9.01	9.22	1.50	4.78	5.28	6.28	6.38
T15	A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>5</sub>	40.30	46.88	52.88	56.91	60.02	5.06	6.13	8.33	8.69	8.72	1.25	4.58	5.20	6.00	6.02
T <sub>16</sub>	$A_4B_1$	42.75	54.38	61.00	67.79	74.63	5.74	6.81	7.97	8.84	9.57	1.75	3.75	6.79	8.82	9.15
T <sub>17</sub>	$A_4B_2$	39.38	53.25	57.69	62.23	65.81	5.62	6.55	7.63	7.91	9.34	1.63	3.80	6.29	7.76	7.89
T <sub>18</sub>	A4B3	38.63	43.13	53.08	58.02	62.13	5.07	6.30	7.14	7.48	8.68	1.25	4.12	5.27	6.17	6.22
T19	$A_4B_4$	36.88	40.04	47.63	52.88	55.74	4.90	6.07	6.89	7.02	7.19	2.00	4.48	5.23	5.76	5.80
								~ 420 ~								

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

T <sub>20</sub>	$A_4B_5$	35.56	37.75	45.43	48.63	51.62	4.71	5.72	6.51	6.62	6.83	2.25	4.26	4.97	5.19	5.20
<b>S</b> .	E(m) ±	0.618	0.421	0.369	0.241	0.397	0.033	0.040	0.065	0.031	0.029	0.282	0.202	0.035	0.126	0.136
C.I	D at 5%	1.843	1.256	1.100	0.718	0.183	0.099	0.118	0.194	0.093	0.085	NS	NS	0.104	0.375	0.407

Table 2: Effect of different salinity levels on plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) and flowering parameters of brinjal varieties

			Plant	snread	$(cm^2)$	Number of leaves								
Factors	Treatment	Plant spread (cm <sup>2</sup> )       30     60     90     120     150			30	60	90	120	150		Days to 50%			
ractors	Details	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	DAT	area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	flowering	flowers/ plant
	1	20111						: Variet			20111	1	1	1
A <sub>1</sub>	Phule Arjun	55.90	65.04	73.47	80.99	83.95	24.96	44.67	61.94	75.08	80.77	91.37	29.68	15.10
A <sub>2</sub>	Phule Harit	54.06	60.95	69.32	75.41	77.28	18.11	30.63	44.45	58.09	64.84	101.97	37.78	13.26
A <sub>3</sub>	Krishna	55.31	61.50	68.26	78.08	82.22	24.48	40.24	57.60	70.10	75.82	80.23	33.02	14.21
A <sub>4</sub>	Manjri Gota	49.16	55.51	64.92	71.26	73.19	23.50	33.66	50.83	64.84	70.71	37.97	34.71	16.89
S.F	$E(m) \pm$	0.149	0.084	0.127	0.181	0.514	0.135	0.120	0.495	0.172	0.142	0.235	0.165	0.093
	D at 5%	0.444	0.251	0.379	0.540	1.534	0.401	0.357	1.476	0.513	0.423	0.701	0.492	0.278
						Fac	ctor B: S	Salinity	level					
B1	0.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	58.14	68.04	79.28	89.23	92.42	27.30	46.30	71.66	87.57	94.49	108.15	37.43	19.74
<b>B</b> <sub>2</sub>	2.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	56.63	64.88	73.74	81.50	88.19	24.16	43.29	63.15	78.94	86.58	89.28	36.13	17.11
<b>B</b> 3	3.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	54.11	60.68	69.08	77.40	79.44	22.45	37.66	53.51	68.84	76.05	73.89	33.31	14.56
$B_4$	4.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	50.43	56.92	63.93	70.31	71.31	21.07	32.93	44.33	56.38	61.60	65.63	31.62	12.64
<b>B</b> 5	5.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	48.74	53.22	58.93	63.74	64.43	18.85	26.32	35.87	43.40	46.45	52.47	30.51	10.29
S.E	$E(m) \pm$	0.167	0.094	0.142	0.203	0.575	0.150	0.134	0.553	0.192	0.159	0.263	0.184	0.104
C.E	<b>)</b> at 5%	0.497	0.280	0.424	0.604	1.715	0.449	0.399	1.650	0.574	0.473	0.783	0.550	0.310
						A x		raction	Effect					
T1	$A_1B_1$	60.98	72.05	86.09	95.96	99.27	32.59	52.80	81.96	95.99	103.25	126.07	33.27	20.37
T <sub>2</sub>	$A_1B_2$	58.12	68.93	77.95	85.12	91.31	25.88	50.29	74.21	84.16	91.02	104.77	32.14	17.29
T3	$A_1B_3$	56.97	66.55	72.41	81.88	86.16	24.11	46.42	60.00	77.99	85.04	88.21	30.22	15.09
T <sub>4</sub>	$A_1B_4$	53.19	61.78	69.23	73.11	73.88	23.04	43.94	54.25	69.87	75.12	74.30	27.29	13.12
T <sub>5</sub>	$A_1B_5$	50.26	55.93	61.67	68.88	69.12	19.21	29.92	39.27	47.39	49.43	63.52	25.49	9.64
T <sub>6</sub>	$A_2B_1$	57.87	69.00	81.67	87.75	88.52	22.09	40.30	56.60	75.37	83.16	131.92	40.03	17.03
T7	$A_2B_2$	56.37	66.00	73.72	83.65	89.24	19.38	38.12	54.29	69.15	77.22	119.11	39.02	15.36
T8	$A_2B_3$	54.30	60.26	68.72	74.36	75.95	17.93	29.43	47.98	60.10	68.89	99.05	37.56	13.64
T9	$A_2B_4$	51.70	56.40	63.67	68.10	68.99	16.27	25.31	34.23	50.00	55.96	91.39	36.23	12.07
T <sub>10</sub>	$A_2B_5$	50.07	53.09	58.81	63.21	63.69	14.92	20.00	29.14	35.82	38.97	68.37	36.06	8.21
T <sub>11</sub>	$A_3B_1$	59.87	69.34	76.34	89.91	94.48	28.73	50.03	78.50	93.94	99.94	113.69	35.76	20.34
T <sub>12</sub>	A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	58.95	65.76	74.33	81.69	93.71	26.65	46.39	67.95	83.76	91.15	86.01	34.47	17.39
T13	A3B3	56.37	60.94	68.08	79.06	80.59	23.88	40.35	56.19	69.14	75.20	79.09	32.74	13.07
T14	A3B4	51.64	57.69	63.67	75.92	76.97	22.57	34.00	46.48	55.62	60.52	68.25	31.61	10.40
T <sub>15</sub>	$A_3B_5$	49.73	53.79	58.89	63.85	65.37	20.60	30.41	38.91	48.07	52.29	54.11	30.55	9.87
T <sub>16</sub>	$A_4B_1$	53.84	61.79	73.05	83.31	87.40	25.79	42.06	69.59	84.97	91.62	60.93	40.68	21.22
T17	A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	53.07	58.86	68.97	75.55	78.52	24.73	38.36	56.15	78.72	86.95	47.24	38.89	18.40
T18	A4B3	48.82	54.98	67.12	74.30	75.06	23.89	34.46	49.88	68.14	75.06	29.22	32.72	16.44
T <sub>19</sub>	$A_4B_4$	45.22	51.83	59.14	64.14	65.41	22.40	28.48	42.38	50.04	54.80	28.59	31.35	14.96
T <sub>20</sub>	$A_4B_5$	44.89	50.08	56.36	59.04	59.55	20.70	24.97	36.18	42.34	45.11	23.90	29.93	13.44
	$E(m) \pm$	0.333	0.188	0.284	0.405	1.150	0.301	0.268	1.107	0.385	0.317	0.525	0.369	0.208
	) at 5%	0.993	0.561	0.848	1.208	3.430	0.897	0.798	3.301	1.147	0.946	1.567	1.100	0.621

DAT- Days after Transplanting

Table 3: Effect of different salinity levels on fruit and yield parameters of brinjal varieties

V Factors	Гreatment DetailsAverage fruit length (сm)		Average fruit diameter (cm)	0		No. of fruits per plant	Fruit yield per plant (g)						
	Factor A: Varieties volume (ml) weight (g) per plant plant (g)												
A1	Phule Arjun	6.08	6.66	85.11	62.28	12.08	740.28						
A <sub>2</sub>	Phule Harit	11.61	8.98	141.80	126.18	7.96	978.76						
A3	Krishna	8.60	7.36	102.96	85.68	12.08	1021.58						
A4	Manjri Gota 8.10		6.98	97.60	83.28	13.51	1094.29						
	$S.E(m) \pm$	0.044	0.015	0.126	0.570	0.069	4.472						
(	C.D at 5%	0.131	0.046	0.375	1.700	0.205	13.336						
	Factor B: Salinity level												
<b>B</b> 1	0.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	9.44	7.77	118.68	97.58	15.19	1386.62						
$B_2$	2.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	9.10	7.65	115.04	92.96	13.13	1136.49						
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub>	3.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	8.39	7.48	105.72	89.21	11.13	906.84						
<b>B</b> 4	4.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	8.15	7.32	98.70	85.83	9.64	781.89						
<b>B</b> 5	5.00 dS m <sup>-1</sup>	7.91	7.26	96.20	81.21	7.95	581.79						
	$S.E(m) \pm$	0.049	0.017	0.140	0.637	0.077	5.000						
(	C.D at 5%	0.147	0.051	0.419	1.900	0.229	14.910						
A x B: Interaction Effect													
T1	$A_1B_1$	6.77	6.89	91.36	70.58	16.30	1111.69						
$T_2$	$A_1B_2$	6.59	6.76	90.10	67.08	13.83	900.45						

### https://www.thepharmajournal.com

T <sub>3</sub>	$A_1B_3$	6.02	6.65	85.94	60.58	12.07	720.29
<b>T</b> 4	$A_1B_4$	5.59	6.54	80.22	58.08	10.50	593.11
T5	A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>5</sub>	5.42	6.48	77.96	55.08	7.72	375.85
T <sub>6</sub>	$A_2B_1$	12.54	9.32	160.04	138.08	10.22	1375.60
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	$A_2B_2$	11.89	9.16	154.05	130.08	9.22	1160.55
T8	$A_2B_3$	11.59	9.01	135.06	127.08	8.18	990.85
<b>T</b> 9	$A_2B_4$	11.27	8.76	130.73	120.08	7.24	843.94
T <sub>10</sub>	$A_2B_5$	10.74	8.66	129.13	115.58	4.93	522.85
T11	$A_3B_1$	9.77	7.70	115.12	93.08	17.29	1568.17
T <sub>12</sub>	$A_3B_2$	9.44	7.52	110.77	88.58	14.78	1292.92
T <sub>13</sub>	$A_3B_3$	8.02	7.27	107.17	86.08	11.11	890.75
T14	$A_3B_4$	7.94	7.18	92.41	83.58	8.84	732.75
T15	$A_3B_5$	7.86	7.14	89.35	77.08	8.39	623.33
T <sub>16</sub>	$A_4B_1$	8.70	7.20	108.22	88.58	16.98	1491.04
T <sub>17</sub>	$A_4B_2$	8.46	7.15	105.24	86.08	14.72	1192.05
T <sub>18</sub>	$A_4B_3$	7.93	7.01	94.74	83.08	13.15	1025.47
T19	$A_4B_4$	7.79	6.79	91.44	81.58	11.97	957.76
T <sub>20</sub>	$A_4B_5$	7.62	6.75	88.37	77.08	10.75	805.11
	$S.E(m) \pm$	0.098	0.034	0.281	1.274	0.154	10.001
(	C.D at 5%	0.293	0.102	0.838	3.800	0.459	29.820

### Conclusion

Among the varieties, results showed significantly the maximum plant height, stem diameter, leaf area, average fruit length, average fruit diameter, average fruit volume and average fruit weight was observed in Phule Harit whereas, for number of branches, plant spread, number of leaves, early flowering was found in Phule Arjun, in case of number of flowers, number fruits and fruit yield per plant was maximum for Manjri Gota. Phule Harit was proved to be maximum for plant height, stem diameter, leaf area, average fruit length, average fruit diameter, average fruit volume and average fruit weight and Phule Arjun for number of branches per plant, early flowering, whereas, Manjri Gota for number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant at 3.00, 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> but number of leaves found maximum at 3.00 and 4.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and plant spread at 3.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. It was Krishna was proved to be maximum for plant spread at 4.00 and 5.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and number of leaves at 5.00  $dS m^{-1}$ .

### References

- 1. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, Haryana, India; c2022.
- 2. Arzani A. Improving salinity tolerance in crop plants: a biotechnological review. *In vitro* Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant. 2008;44:373-383.
- Parida AK, Das AB. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. Ecotoxicology & environmental safety. 2005;60(3):324-349.
- Colla G, Rouphael Y, Leonardi C, Bie Z. Role of grafting in vegetable crops grown under saline conditions. Sci. Horic. 2010;127(2):147-155.
- 5. Zhu JK. Abiotic stress signaling and responses in plants. Cell. 2016;167(2):313-324.
- Sudhir PR, Pogoryelov D, Kovács L, Garab G, Murthy S. D. The effects of salt stress on photosynthetic electron transport and thylakoid membrane proteins in the cyano bacterium *Spirulina platensis*. Bmb Reports. 2005;38(4):481-485.
- Ahire ML, Nikam TD. Differential response of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* Linn.) varieties to salinity stress in relation to seed germination and osmolytes accumulation. Seed Sci. & Biotech. 2011;5(1):29-35.
- 8. Petropoulos SA, Khah EM, Passam HC. Evaluation of rootstocks for watermelon grafting with reference to plant development, yield and fruit quality. Int. J Plant

Production. 2012;6(4):481-490.

- 9. Tamilselvi NA, Pugalendhi L. Agronomic evaluation of grafted bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) cultivars for growth and yield. The Bio scan. 2015;10(3):1331-1334.
- Sarswat S, Kumar P, Sharma P, Thakur V. Standardization of robotic grafting in bell-pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. Var. Grossum Sendt.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(3):1410-1418.
- 11. Bayoumi Y, Abd-Alkarim E, El-Ramady H, El-Aidy F, Hamed ES, Taha N, *et al.* Grafting improves fruit yield of cucumber plants grown under combined heat and soil salinity stresses. Horticulturae. 2021;7(3):61.
- Akinci IE, Akinci S, Yilmaz K, Dikici H. Response of eggplant varieties (*Solanum melongena* L.) to salinity in germination and seedling stages. New Zealand J of Crop & Hort. Sci. 2004;32(2):193-200.
- Uddin AJ, Mutaheraa S, Mehrajb H, Momenac K, Nahiyanc ASM. Screening of brinjal lines to high salinity levels. J of Bioscience & Agriculture Research. 2016;7(02):630-637.
- Quamruzzaman AKM, Islam F, Uddin MN, Halim GMA, Chowdhury MAZ, Saha S. Effect of grafting compatibility of different rootstock and scion on yield of eggplant in bangladesh condition. J Agri. Sci. Food. Res. 2018;9(4):248.
- 15. Colla G, Roupahel Y, Cardarelli M, Rea E. Effect of salinity on yield, fruit quality, leaf gas exchange, and mineral composition of grafted watermelon plants. Hort Science. 2006;41(3):622-627.
- 16. Unlukara A, Kurunç A, Kesmez GD, Yurtseven E. Growth and evapotranspiration of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) as influenced by salinity of irrigation water. Journal of irrigation & Drainage Engineering. 2008;134(2):160-166.
- 17. Al-Zubaidi AHA. Effects of salinity stress on growth and yield of two varieties of eggplant under greenhouse conditions. Research on Crops. 2018;19(3):436-440.
- Al-Harbi A, Hejazi A, Al-Omran A. Responses of grafted tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) to abiotic stresses in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J of biological sciences. 2017;24(6):1274-1280.