



ISSN (E): 2277-7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2022; 11(12): 511-515

© 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 02-09-2022

Accepted: 06-10-2022

Pavan Dhopre

P.G. Students, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RAK College of Agriculture, Sehore, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Shubham Tiwari

P.G. Students, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RAK College of Agriculture, Sehore, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Shivangi Tare

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Pooja Puri

Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Pankaj Rathore

P.G. Students, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RAK College of Agriculture, Sehore, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Ghanshyam Bamaniya

P.G. Students, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RAK College of Agriculture, Sehore, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Pavan Dhopre

P.G. Students, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RAK College of Agriculture, Sehore, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Genetic variability and correlation studies for yields and its component traits in chickpea under three sown environments

Pavan Dhopre, Shubham Tiwari, Shivangi Tare, Pooja Puri, Pankaj Rathore and Ghanshyam Bamaniya

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi, 2019-20 at Sehore, Madhya Pradesh to investigate the impact of G×E interaction on seed yield of chickpea and identify variability using twenty-five chickpea genotypes in three environments. High variability was observed for majority of the traits in three environments. In late sown condition high GCV and PCV was recorded for number of seeds per plants, number of pods per plants, biological yield per plants, seed yield per plant, empty pods per plant, hundred seed weight. Ample variability available for these traits can be exploited by direct selection. In generally, the late and very- sown crop matured early due to restricted reproductive period and hastened maturity. Characters showing high heritability coupled and high genetic advance as percentage of mean were, plant height, total number of seeds per plants, total number of pods per plants, number of empty pods per plant, 100 seed weight per plants, harvest index, seed yield per plants under late sown environments. Occurrence of high estimates of heritability coupled with genetic advance as per cent of mean for these traits suggest that these traits can be considered as favourable attributes for improvement through selection. Seed yield per plant exhibited a positively and significantly association with number of pods per plant, Biological yield per plant and harvest index. These three traits were followed similar significant trend in all the environmental conditions and plant height, number of seeds per plants in mid-late and very-late sown conditions indicating that results suggested that improvement in these positive correlated traits will accelerate the improve a seed yield per plant

Keywords: Chickpea, genetic variability, correlation, seed yield, heat tolerance

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is widely cultivated in over 50 countries across Asia, Africa, Europe, Australia, and North and South America. It is grown in India in all regions of the country. In 2017-18, the area and production of chickpeas in India were 105.73 lakh ha and 111.58 lakh tonnes, respectively. The Central and Southern states of Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, and Chhattisgarh account for the majority of area and production and have benefited greatly from the country's chickpea revolution. Over the last few decades, its annual production has fluctuated due to climatic change. (FAO Stat, 2018) [7]. Heat stress coupled with terminal drought is a major constraint in chickpea under warmer short season environments. A drastic reduction in yield of chickpea occurs when the crop is exposed to day temperatures of 35 °C and above during reproductive phase (Devasirvatham *et al.* 2012) [5]. It is essential to study the effect of high temperature during reproductive phase. So, there is an urgent need to search the gene bank for diverse sources of heat tolerance.

Heat stress adversely affects photosynthesis, respiration, membrane stability, fertilization, fruit maturation, quality of seed, nutrient absorption etc. (Basu *et al.* 2011) [1]. Heat tolerance is greatly needed in chickpea cultivars for realizing higher yields in all growing conditions that expose chickpea to high temperature, particularly during the reproductive stage. As a result, heat tolerant varieties are required to improve chickpea yields in late sown conditions. The genetic variability and correlation present in the base population for desired characters play an important role in development of desirable plant type. Less information is present in the cultivated chickpea lines grown under heat stress conditions. Therefore, the identification of heat tolerant genotypes is essential for development of high yielding chickpea variety under heat stress condition, considering this, the present investigation was carried out to assess the variability and correlation with yield and its contributing traits among the selected chickpea genotypes grown in three different environments.

Materials and Methods

Twenty-five chickpea genotypes were grown in three environments (Normal 28 Nov. mid-late 28 Dec. and very-late 28 Jan.2020) during Rabi season 2019-20 under all India coordinated research project in chickpea in the experiment field of Rafi Ahmad Kidwai College of agriculture, Sehore (M.P.). Data were accumulated on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of pods per plant, number of empty pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, biological yield per plant, hundred seed weight, harvest index and seed yield per plant were recorded each of three environments. These were estimated from five randomly selected plants. The genotypes were organized in randomized complete block design with two replications. According to, (R.A. Fisher, 1918) were suggested estimating the genetic parameter and broad -sense heritability. Phenotypic correlation coefficients among characters will be computed utilizing variance and co-variance, by (Miller *et al.* 1958) [16].

Result and Discussion

The present investigation aimed to assess genotype variability and correlation with yield and its contributing traits in three environments (normal, mid-late, very-late), respectively. The analysis of variance for ten quantitative characters of twenty-five chickpea genotypes derived from three environments and evaluated under normal, mid-late, very-late sown conditions are shown in Table 1. The mean sum of squares due to various sources of variation for quantitative characters revealed that all three environments obtained significant differences, indicating that the variability among the selected genotypes was significant. The presence of high variability in the material indicates that through appropriate amelioration of variability of yield contributing traits it would be possible to harness the benefits of higher yield under diverse environments. The estimations of the genetic variability parameters for various quantitative traits are shown in Table 2. The mean and range of values for the 10 quantitative characters showed that all the traits' values were higher in the normal season than in the case of late sown conditions. Reduced days were reported during late sowing for the characters' days to 50% flowering and days to maturity. These differences in the mean values of the reproductive traits may be attributed to restricted reproductive period and hastened maturity due to higher temperatures coinciding during a reproductive phase of the very-late sown crop. Heat stress accompanying the flowering period decreases the duration of developmental phases due to higher temperatures (Upadhyaya *et al.* 2011, Devasirvatham *et al.* 2015) [14, 6]. Chickpeas is cool season crop, its need an average temperature of 15-25 °C as well as a consistent night and day temperature to induce normal flowering, pod development. Seed Yield per plant (g) under heat stress conditions ranged from 1.27 g/plant to 7.43 g/plant, compared to 3.70 g/plant to 14.43 g/plant under normal sown conditions, indicating a 15-47 percent reduction in yield per plant. Heat stress are known to adversely affect pollen viability, fertilization and seed development leading to reduced harvest index (Gaur *et al.* 2018) [8]. Heritability helps to know the extent of genetic expression in a given environment. Higher heritability for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of pods per plants, number of seeds per plants, hundred seed weight, biological yield per plant and seed yield per plants was noticed under three sown environments which suggested that these traits are least

influenced by environmental factors and also indicates dependency of phenotypic expression which reflects the genotypic ability of cultivars to transmit the genes to their progenies. These results similar to shown by Bicer and Sarkar, (2008) [4]. The estimate of PCV were higher than an estimate of GCV for all most the traits, that suggested the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes but also due to the influence of environment. Higher estimates of heritability and genetic advance as per of mean were noticed for plant height, total number of seeds per plants, total number of pods per plants, number of empty pods per plant, 100 seed weight per plants, harvest index, seed yield per plants in late sown conditions. These finding match with the results of earlier researchers Yadav *et al.* (2003) [15]. Occurrence of high estimates of heritability coupled with genetic advance as per cent of mean for these traits suggest that these traits can be considered as favourable attributes for improvement through selection as additive gene action may be pre-dominant in expression of these traits (Anand Kumar *et al.* 2017) [9], whereas, plant height, harvest index had moderate phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation while, other traits *viz.* days to maturity, days to 50% flowering exhibited low phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation. The higher GCV and PCV under stress environments and moderate GCV and PCV under non-stress environments indicate that heat stress has large effect on the progenies for creating variation in them (Paul *et al.* 2018) [11].

Correlation analysis provides a good measure of the linear association between characters (s) and helps to identify the most important character (s) to be considered to be effective in selection for yield increment. The knowledge of genetic association among seed yield and its component helps in improving the efficiency of selection for yield components for maximum genetic gain. The phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis for quantitative traits was shown in table 2. Where, seed yield per plant exhibited a positively and significantly with Number of pods per plant, Biological yield per plant and harvest index. These three traits were followed similar significant trend in all the environmental conditions and plant height, number of seeds per plants in mid-late and very-late sown conditions indicating that results suggested that improvement in these positive correlated traits will accelerate the improve a seed yield per plant. These results were in accordance with those reported by Babbar *et al.*, (2012) [2] for total number of pods per plant, biological yield. Shanmugam and Kalaimagal, (2019) [12] for positively significant correlation with biological yield per plant and harvest index with seed yield per plant. Under very-late sown conditions, among the yield traits number of pods per plant was positively and significantly associated with number of seeds per plant, seed yield per plant. When increase in seed size under heat stress leads to reduction in number of seeds as well as seed yield. These signifies that early maturing genotypes with better canopy spread are capable of high yield under very-late sown environment. Number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant showed significant negative correlation with hundred seed weight under very-late sown condition. This is because adverse effect of temperature stress on the membrane leads to disruption of cellular activity. Injury to membranes from a sudden heat stress event results from either denaturation of the membrane proteins or from melting of membrane lipids, which leads to membrane rupture and loss of cellular contents (Pouresmaela *et al.*, 2013) [10].

Early maturing varieties can escape the adverse effects of heat stress on yield. (Paul *et al.*, 2018) [11] opined that higher yield under heat stress could be achieved through higher number of filled pods per plant and seeds rather than seed mass. Plant height, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, biological yield per plant, harvest index was identified as

important traits positive associations with seed yield per plants under very-late sown environment which suggested that these characters are least influenced by the environment factors and also indicated as favourable attributes for improvement through selection

Table 1: Analysis of variance for yield and its contributing traits in chickpea genotypes over three environmental conditions.

| Source of variations | Env. | d.f. | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height | Number of Pods per Plant | Number of Empty pods per plant | Number of Seeds per plant | Biological yield per plant | Hundred seed weight | Harvest index | Seed yield per plant |
|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Replication | E1 | 1 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 4.71 | 17.25 | 2.41 | 4.3 | 0.29 | 1.8 | 30.37 | 1.61 |
| | E2 | 1 | 0.98 | 1.28 | 0.046 | 25.92 | 1.5 | 31.49 | 1.87 | 0.051 | 13.65 | 1.43 |
| | E3 | 1 | 0.98 | 3.38 | 8.29 | 16.42 | 0.49 | 7.47 | 0.03 | 0.071 | 43.64 | 0.29 |
| Genotypes | E1 | 24 | 53.78** | 27.45** | 69.07** | 283.14** | 6.15** | 351.7** | 25.81** | 229.98** | 91.71** | 9.25** |
| | E2 | 24 | 52.18** | 19.33** | 57.47** | 168.38** | 5.02** | 224.36** | 17.98** | 188.94** | 109.8** | 9.24** |
| | E3 | 24 | 32.95** | 33.87** | 36.95** | 192.54** | 6.03** | 187.51** | 8.65** | 212.14** | 107.11** | 4.34** |
| Error | E1 | 24 | 1.25 | 1.62 | 3.31 | 5.78 | 1.43 | 9.32 | 4.28 | 0.96 | 19.78 | 1.06 |
| | E2 | 24 | 1.06 | 1.11 | 2.78 | 8.58 | 0.97 | 8.33 | 1.08 | 0.62 | 16.24 | 0.4 |
| | E3 | 24 | 1.02 | 2.17 | 4.78 | 4.96 | 0.89 | 4.62 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 17.14 | 0.16 |

Table 2: Genetic parameters of variation for seed yield and its contributing traits over three environmental conditions.

| Characters | Environment | MEAN | RANGE | | h ² (bs) (%) | GCA (%) | PCA (%) | Genetic advance | GA as % of mean |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | Max. | Min. | | | | | |
| Days of 50% flowering | E-I | 56.34 | 71 | 50 | 95.46 | 9.1 | 9.31 | 10.32 | 18.31 |
| | E-II | 52.02 | 68 | 45 | 96.01 | 9.72 | 9.92 | 10.21 | 19.62 |
| | E-III | 45.3 | 58 | 38 | 93.99 | 8.82 | 9.1 | 7.98 | 17.62 |
| Days to maturity | E-I | 107.96 | 120 | 101 | 88.85 | 3.33 | 3.53 | 6.98 | 6.46 |
| | E-II | 103.8 | 112 | 97 | 89.11 | 2.91 | 3.08 | 5.87 | 5.65 |
| | E-III | 90.3 | 98 | 83 | 87.95 | 4.41 | 4.7 | 7.69 | 8.52 |
| Plant height (cm) | E-I | 44.14 | 61.33 | 30 | 90.85 | 12.99 | 13.63 | 11.26 | 25.51 |
| | E-II | 39.88 | 52.33 | 28.33 | 90.74 | 13.11 | 13.76 | 10.26 | 25.73 |
| | E-III | 32.64 | 44 | 24.33 | 77.08 | 12.29 | 14 | 7.25 | 22.22 |
| Number of pods per plant | E-I | 35.61 | 57.66 | 18.33 | 96 | 33.07 | 33.75 | 23.77 | 66.75 |
| | E-II | 26.09 | 43.33 | 11 | 90.3 | 34.26 | 36.05 | 17.5 | 67.07 |
| | E-III | 19.18 | 40 | 5.66 | 94.97 | 50.48 | 51.8 | 19.44 | 101.35 |
| Number of empty pods per plant | E-I | 3.6 | 8.33 | 1 | 62.11 | 42.6 | 54.06 | 2.49 | 69.17 |
| | E-II | 3.45 | 9.66 | 1.33 | 67.41 | 41.23 | 50.22 | 2.41 | 69.73 |
| | E-III | 3.88 | 9.33 | 1.33 | 74.21 | 41.28 | 47.91 | 2.85 | 73.25 |
| Number of seeds per plant | E-I | 35.57 | 70 | 17 | 94.83 | 36.78 | 37.77 | 26.25 | 73.79 |
| | E-II | 26.34 | 46.33 | 9.33 | 92.84 | 39.46 | 40.96 | 20.63 | 78.32 |
| | E-III | 17.81 | 36.66 | 3.33 | 95.19 | 53.69 | 55.03 | 19.22 | 107.91 |
| biological yield per plant (g) | E-I | 21.27 | 28.54 | 12.54 | 71.54 | 15.42 | 18.24 | 5.72 | 26.88 |
| | E-II | 15.62 | 22.14 | 10.16 | 88.65 | 18.61 | 19.77 | 5.64 | 36.1 |
| | E-III | 7.12 | 10.62 | 3.01 | 90.79 | 28.51 | 29.92 | 3.98 | 55.96 |
| 100 seed weight (g) | E-I | 29.42 | 54.18 | 9.44 | 99.16 | 36.38 | 36.53 | 21.95 | 74.62 |
| | E-II | 28.34 | 53 | 9.78 | 99.34 | 34.48 | 34.59 | 19.92 | 70.79 |
| | E-III | 25.79 | 49 | 9.32 | 99.57 | 39.66 | 39.75 | 21.15 | 81.53 |
| Harvest index (%) | E-I | 44.44 | 60.13 | 24.75 | 64.51 | 13.49 | 16.8 | 9.92 | 22.33 |
| | E-II | 42.56 | 60.76 | 28.36 | 74.22 | 16.07 | 18.65 | 12.14 | 28.52 |
| | E-III | 51.87 | 64.82 | 38.24 | 72.4 | 12.81 | 15.05 | 11.76 | 22.45 |
| Seed yield per plant (g) | E-I | 9.47 | 14.43 | 3.7 | 79.35 | 21.36 | 23.98 | 3.71 | 39.2 |
| | E-II | 6.76 | 11.97 | 3.21 | 91.58 | 31.11 | 32.51 | 4.14 | 61.33 |
| | E-III | 3.81 | 7.41 | 1.27 | 92.67 | 37.98 | 39.45 | 2.87 | 75.31 |

Table 2a: Correlation analysis of yield of seed and its character in genotypes of chickpea in E-I

| Traits | CH1 | CH2 | CH3 | CH4 | CH5 | CH6 | CH7 | CH8 | CH9 | CH10 |
|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| CH1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| CH2 | 0.933** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| CH3 | -0.065 | -0.053 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| CH4 | 0.336 | 0.313 | 0.048 | 1 | | | | | | |
| CH5 | -0.168 | -0.17 | -0.239 | 0.273 | 1 | | | | | |
| CH6 | 0.409* | 0.401* | 0.133 | 0.964** | 0.048 | 1 | | | | |
| CH7 | -0.452* | -0.424* | 0.465* | 0.342 | 0.233 | 0.295 | 1 | | | |
| CH8 | -0.641** | -0.654** | 0.186 | -0.642** | -0.002 | -0.688** | 0.316 | 1 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---|
| CH9 | -0.245 | -0.306 | -0.009 | 0.242 | -0.028 | 0.217 | 0.149 | 0.172 | 1 | |
| CH10 | -0.420* | -0.432* | 0.316 | 0.404* | 0.146 | 0.357 | 0.790** | 0.315 | 0.712** | 1 |

Table 2b: Correlation analysis of yield of seed and its character in genotypes of chickpea in E-II

| Traits | CH1 | CH2 | CH3 | CH4 | CH5 | CH6 | CH7 | CH8 | CH9 | CH10 |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| CH1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| CH2 | 0.765** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| CH3 | 0.188 | 0.334 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| CH4 | 0.369 | 0.433* | 0.457* | 1 | | | | | | |
| CH5 | -0.375 | -0.304 | -0.455* | 0.134 | 1 | | | | | |
| CH6 | 0.527** | 0.566** | 0.467* | 0.960** | -0.074 | 1 | | | | |
| CH7 | 0.123 | 0.205 | 0.587** | 0.729** | 0.097 | 0.640** | 1 | | | |
| CH8 | -0.580** | -0.562** | 0.034 | -0.548** | 0.064 | -0.665** | 0.007 | 1 | | |
| CH9 | 0.062 | 0.095 | 0.630** | 0.552** | -0.03 | 0.489* | 0.526** | 0.111 | 1 | |
| CH10 | 0.111 | 0.182 | 0.683** | 0.741** | 0.023 | 0.658** | 0.881** | 0.058 | 0.859** | 1 |

Table 2c: Correlation analysis of yield of seed and its character in genotypes of chickpea in E-III

| Traits | CH1 | CH2 | CH3 | CH4 | CH5 | CH6 | CH7 | CH8 | CH9 | CH10 |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------|----------|---------|--------|---------|------|
| CH1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| CH2 | 0.796** | 1 | | | | | | / | | |
| CH3 | 0.327 | 0.286 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| CH4 | 0.447* | 0.470* | 0.546** | 1 | | | | | | |
| CH5 | 0.064 | 0.077 | 0.071 | 0.574** | 1 | | | | | |
| CH6 | 0.542** | 0.536** | 0.579** | 0.959** | 0.376 | 1 | | | | |
| CH7 | 0.128 | 0.096 | 0.575** | 0.817** | 0.508* | 0.756** | 1 | | | |
| CH8 | -0.697** | -0.685** | -0.06 | -0.578 | -0.246 | -0.652** | -0.13 | 1 | | |
| CH9 | -0.099 | 0.067 | 0.482* | 0.519** | 0.212 | 0.523** | 0.533** | -0.1 | 1 | |
| CH10 | 0.087 | 0.142 | 0.630** | 0.820** | 0.373 | 0.772** | 0.934** | -0.147 | 0.783** | 1 |

CH1- Days to 50% flowering, CH2- Days to maturity, CH3- Plant height, CH4- Number of Pods per Plant, CH5- Number of Empty pods per plant, CH6- Number of Seeds per plant, CH7- Biological yield per plant, CH8- Hundred seed weight, CH9- Harvest index, CH10- Seed yield per plant

Author's contributions

Mr. Pavan Dhopre carried out this experiment under the guidance of Dr. M. Yasin (Principal Scientist) for observation, data analysis, and result interpretation.

References

- Basu PS, Ali M, Chaturvedi SK. Terminal heat stress adversely affects chickpea productivity in northern India –strategies to improve thermo tolerance in the crop under climate change. In; Pandey V. (ed.) Impact of climate change on Agriculture, ISPRS Archives XXXVIII-8/W3 Workshop Proceedings; c2011. p. 189-192.
- Babbar A, Prakash V, Prakash T, Iqbal MA. Genetic variability of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown condition. Legume Research. 2012;35(1):1-7.
- Babbar A, Tiwari A. Assessment of Genetic Variability and Yield Stability in Chickpea Genotypes under Diverse Environments. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(12):3544-3554.
- Bicer BT, Sakar D. Heritability and path analysis of some economical characteristics in lentil. Journal of Central Europe Agriculture. 2008;9(1):191-196.
- Devasirvatham V, Gaur PM, Mallikarjuna N, Tokachichu RN, Trethowan RM, Tan DKY. Effect of high temperature on the reproductive development of chickpea genotypes under controlled environments. Funct. Plant Biol. 2012;39:1009-1018.
- Devasirvatham V, Gaur PM, Raju TN, Trethowan RM, Tan DKY. Field response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to high temperature. Field Crops Research. 2015;172:59-71.
- FAO Stat. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC> (accessed on 17 April 2018).
- Gaur PM, Samineni S, Thudi M, Shailesh T, Shoban BS, Jayalakshmi V, et al. Integrated breeding approaches for improving drought and heat adaptation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Plant Breeding; c2018. p. 1-12.
- Kumar A, Agrawal T, Kumar S, Kumar A, Kumar RR, Kumar M, et al. Identification and evaluation of heat tolerant chickpea genotypes for enhancing its productivity in Rice Fallow area of Bihar and mitigating impacts of climate change. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(6S):1105-1113.
- Pouresmaela M, Ramazan Ali Khavari, Nejada C, Javad M, Farzaneh N, Foad M. Efficiency of screening criteria for drought tolerance in chickpea, Archives Agron. Soil Sci. 2013;59(12):1675-1693.
- Paul PJ, Samineni S, Sajja SB, Rathore A, Das RR, Chaturvedi SK, et al. Capturing genetic variability and selection of traits for heat tolerance in a chickpea recombinant inbred lines (RIL) population under field conditions. Euphytic. 2018;214:27.
- Shanmugam M, Kalaimagal T. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) for yield and its component traits. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(5):1801-1808.
- Usmani MG, Dubey RK, Naik KR. Genotypic, phenotypic variability and heritability of some quantitative characters in field pea. J.N.K.V.V. Res. J. 2005;40(1&2):10-104.
- Upadhaya HD, Dronavalli N, Gowda CLL, Singh S. Identification variability of oil content and agronomic characteristics in the F2 generation of soybean crosses.

- Agron. J. 2011;44:202-209.
15. Yadav JK, Singh HL, Kumar R. Determining selection components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L. Wilczek). Plant Archives. 2003;3:125-128.
 16. Miller PA, Williams Jr JC, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implications in selection 1. Agronomy journal. 1958 Mar;50(3):126-231.