



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(12): 866-868
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 28-10-2022
Accepted: 30-11-2022

Dwarka
Ph.D. Research Scholar,
Department of Entomology,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Dr. Anand Kumar Panday
Assistant Professor/Scientist,
Entomology, PC Unit (ICAR)
Sesame and Niger, College of
Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
India

Dr. AK Saxena
Professor, Department of
Entomology, Jawaharlal Nehru
Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
India

Corresponding Author:
Dwarka
Ph.D. Research Scholar,
Department of Entomology,
Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India

To study the effect of bee attractants on the attraction of giant honey bees (*Apis dorsata*) and their impact on seed yield of Niger (*Guizotia abyssinica*) crop

Dwarka, Dr. Anand Kumar Panday and Dr. AK Saxena

Abstract

An experiment was conducted at experimental farm of PC Unit Sesame and Niger, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, during *kharif* 2021 and 2022. To determine the impact of bee attractants on the attraction of giant honey bees *Apis dorsata* and their impact on seed yield in niger crop, the experiment was set up using a randomized block design with nine treatments and three replications. Research found that the both at 10% and 50% flowering stage *Apis dorsata* visit was numerically highest with treatment, mahua flower extract 10% with 50.71 and 52.46 giant honey bees/m²/5 min respectively followed by rose water spray with 43.58 and 44.96 giant honey bees/m²/5 min respectively. The population of giant honey bees was received from the open pollination without any spray (15 and 17.21 giant honey bees/m²/5 min at 10% and 50% flowering stage respectively) followed by water spray (18.67 and 19.76 giant honey bees/m²/5 min at 10% and 50% flowering stage respectively). The foliar spray of mahua flower extract and dates extract 10% were found significantly superior over others in respect to record higher seed yield and recorded 6.96 q and 6.93 q/ha seed yield per ha respectively.

Keywords: Population of giant honey bees, *Apis dorsata*, attractants and seed yield

1. Introduction

Niger [*Guizotia abyssinica* (L. f.) Cass.] is a native of Tropical Africa and belong to the family Asteraceae (Compositae), it is known as lifeline of tribal agriculture and economy in India. It is grown by tribals on marginal and submarginal lands with negligible inputs under rain fed conditions (Ranganatha *et al.*, 2009) ^[9]. Niger is produced on an area of 112.8 thousand hectares in India, with a production of 40.3 thousand tonnes and an average productivity of 357.2 kg per hectare. Madhya Pradesh supplies roughly 16.0 thousand hectares of land, with an annual yield of 4.9 thousand tonnes and a seed productivity of 308.8 kg per hectare (Anonymous, 2021-22) ^[2]. It is used as an oilseed crop in India where it provides about 3% of the edible oil requirement of the country (Getinet and Sharma, 1996) ^[4]. The Niger seed content of quality oil of 32-40% with 18 to 24% protein in the seed, Niger oil, is pale yellow with nutty taste and a pleasant odour. The oil and seeds are free from any toxin and oil taste is similar to desi ghee.

Niger is self-incompatible with 100 percent cross-pollinated crop. Insect pollination not only ensures the increase in seed yields of various cross pollinated crops including Niger but also improve their quality. It ensures uniform maturity and early harvest of crops. Provision of bee colonies during the flowering period of crop is a simple but essential input. A planned bee pollination programme on national scale significantly contributes in solving the problem of edible oil shortage in the country even at the existing level of land use of oil crops (Mohana Rao *et al.*, 1981) ^[7]. Studies on effect of honey bee pollinators in Niger crop indicated that the yield was reduced by 11-78 percent in the absence of honey bee as well as natural pollinators. An additional income of Rs. 252 to Rs. 2125 including Rs. 1015/ha from honey was estimated through beekeeping with Niger over open pollinated crops (Anonymous, 2005) ^[1]. Honey bees are considered as the most effective and ideal pollinators. Success of pollination with help of honey bees depends on their performance to the target crop over other following plants in the vicinity. Commercial and local bee attractants *viz.*, bee line, bee here, bee scent, bee scent plus, fruit boost, Bee-Q, sugar solution, sugarcane juice, jaggery solution, Molasses, etc. are being used to boost the foraging activities in pea, peach, blue berries, watermelon and apple in the United States, Spain and Canada. However, the related studies on use of bee attractants in

India are scanty. The conservation and management of insect pollinators is gaining importance day by day. In this regard, the present experiment studies on effect of different bee attractants on attraction of giant honey bees and their impact on seed yield were studied.

2. Material and Methods

The studies on effect of bee attractants on foraging activities of giant honey bees in niger crop was conducted in a randomized block design with three replication at experimental farm of PC Unit (ICAR) Sesame and Niger, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, during *khariif* 2021 and 2022.

Table 1: List of attractants

S. No.	Treatments/attractants	Dose
1.	T ₁ - Open pollination with sugar solution	10%
2.	T ₂ -Open pollination with sugarcane juice	10%
3.	T ₃ -Open pollination with jaggery solution	10%
4.	T ₄ -Open pollination with honey solution	10%
5.	T ₅ - Open pollination with dates extract	10%
6.	T ₆ - Open pollination with mahua flower extract	10%
7.	T ₇ -Open pollination with rose water	10%
8.	T ₈ -Open pollination with water spray.	-
9.	T ₉ : Open pollination without any spray.	-

The above mentioned attractants were sprayed two times, first at 10 percent and second at 50 percent flowering stages. Recommended agronomical package of practices were followed for raising good and healthy crop. From each plot one-meter square area were selected randomly and number of giant honey bees visited the flowers were recorded. The observations were recorded a day before and 1st, 3rd, 5th and 7th day after first and second spraying and seed yield obtained from different treatments were recorded separately.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of the present investigation revealed that all the bee attractants sprayed were significantly affect on foraging activities of giant honey bee in niger crop. They proved superior in recorded parameters over control *i.e.*, unsprayed and without pollinators.

The result revealed that all the treatments were differed

significantly to each other in respect to attract the population of giant honey bee. At 10% flowering stage *Apis dorsata* visit was numerically highest with treatment, mahua flower extract 10% (50.71/m²/5 min) followed by (43.58/m²/5 min) rose water spray and dates extract (40.58/m²/5 min) while it was least on open pollination without any spray (15/m²/5 min) followed by water spray (18.67/m²/5 min) and 10% sugar solution spray (26.83/m²/5 min). Present findings are corroborated with the findings of Kalmath and Sattigi (2002) ^[6] they reported that spraying of cacambe (10 percent) and jaggery (10 percent) attracted maximum number of *A. dorsata* up to 15 days after first and second spray. Present findings are also supported by the findings of Nidagundi (2004) ^[8] they reported that spraying cacambe at (10 percent), Bee-Q at (1.25 percent) and jiggery solution at (10 percent) enhanced bee visitation to the flowers of bitter gourd. At 50% flowering stage the highest population of *Apis dorsata* was attracted with the plants sprayed with mahua flower extract 10% (52.46/m²/5 min) followed by (44.96/m²/5 min) rose water spray and dates extract (41.71/m²/5 min) while it was least (17.21/m²/5 min) on open pollination without any spray followed by water spray (19.79/m²/5 min) and 10% sugar solution spray (29.33/m²/5 min).

3.3. Seed yield (q/ha)

The data of seed yield revealed that all the treatments were differed significantly to each other in respect to record the higher seed yield. The highest seed yield (6.93 q/ha) was recorded with the treatment in which foliar spray of mahua flower extract 10% was applied followed by (6.36 q/ha) dates extract and (6.04 q/ha) sugar solution spray while the least seed yield (4.24 q/ha) was recorded from the open pollination without any spray followed by (4.98 q/ha) water spray and 10% honey solution spray (4.42 q/ha). These findings corroborated with the findings of Chandrashekhar and Sattigi (2009) ^[3] they observed that spraying of bee attractant like cacambe (10%) and jaggery solution (10%) were significantly superior in enhancing both quantitative and qualitative parameters of radish seed.

Similarly Jayaramappa *et al.*, (2011) ^[5] observed that spraying of fruit boost@0.5ml/ litre enhanced yield parameters like number of fruits/plants to 19:00 and 17:00 fruits when compared to 10.66 fruits /plant in open pollinated plots.

Table 2: Effect of different attractants on the attraction of giant honey bee, *Apis dorsata*, Fabricius, and their impact of seed yield in Niger crop (Average of year 2021 & 2022)

Treatment	Population of <i>Apis dorsata</i> /m ² /5 minutes											Seed yield (q/ha)	
	1 st spray at 10% flowering					2 nd spray at 50% flowering							
	1 DBS	Days after spray				Mean	1 DBS	Days after spray					Mean
		1 DAS	3 DAS	5 DAS	7 DAS			1 DAS	3 DAS	5 DAS	7 DAS		
T ₁ - Sugar solution 10%	9.17 (3.09)	17.50 (4.23)	33.33 (5.78)	39.83 (6.35)	16.67 (4.11)	26.83 (5.22)	10.67 (3.33)	21.00 (4.63)	35.33 (5.95)	41.67 (6.49)	19.33 (4.43)	29.33 (5.45)	6.04
T ₂ - Sugarcane juice 10%	13.33 (3.69)	34.00 (5.86)	45.00 (6.75)	47.67 (6.93)	18.00 (4.28)	36.17 (6.05)	14.50 (3.85)	36.33 (6.06)	46.50 (6.85)	51.17 (7.18)	20.50 (4.57)	38.63 (6.25)	5.91
T ₃ - Jaggery solution 10%	11.00 (3.37)	36.67 (6.06)	44.00 (6.67)	51.00 (7.17)	19.67 (4.48)	37.83 (6.19)	12.00 (3.53)	37.33 (6.13)	46.17 (6.83)	52.83 (7.30)	21.67 (4.70)	39.50 (6.32)	4.45
T ₄ - Honey solution 10%	10.83 (3.36)	40.50 (6.40)	43.33 (6.62)	49.50 (7.06)	19.00 (4.41)	38.08 (6.21)	12.33 (3.58)	42.33 (6.54)	45.33 (6.77)	50.83 (7.16)	18.17 (4.32)	39.17 (6.30)	4.42
T ₅ - Dates extract 10%	12.50 (3.60)	36.67 (6.07)	47.50 (6.92)	55.67 (7.49)	22.50 (4.78)	40.58 (6.41)	13.50 (3.74)	38.83 (6.25)	48.67 (7.01)	57.00 (7.58)	22.33 (4.76)	41.71 (6.50)	6.36
T ₆ - Mahua flower extract 10%	16.33 (4.10)	52.67 (7.29)	59.17 (7.72)	62.50 (7.94)	28.50 (5.37)	50.71 (7.15)	17.67 (4.26)	54.33 (7.40)	61.67 (7.88)	63.83 (8.02)	30.00 (5.51)	52.46 (7.27)	6.93
T ₇ - Rose water 10%	12.50 (3.60)	46.17 (6.83)	50.50 (7.14)	56.00 (7.51)	21.67 (4.68)	43.58 (6.64)	13.50 (3.74)	48.00 (6.96)	50.83 (7.16)	58.00 (7.65)	23.00 (4.82)	44.96 (6.74)	5.31
T ₈ - Open pollination with water spray	9.83 (3.20)	15.50 (3.99)	21.50 (4.69)	24.33 (4.98)	13.33 (3.71)	18.67 (4.38)	11.17 (3.41)	16.00 (4.06)	23.17 (4.86)	26.00 (5.15)	14.00 (3.80)	19.79 (4.50)	4.98
T ₉ - Open pollination without any spray	7.17 (2.76)	15.67 (4.00)	15.67 (4.02)	19.00 (4.40)	13.00 (3.67)	15.83 (4.04)	9.17 (3.11)	17.00 (4.17)	17.17 (4.20)	20.50 (4.57)	14.17 (3.82)	17.21 (4.21)	4.24
SEm±	0.19	0.27	0.23	0.19	0.25	0.14	0.15	0.24	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.12	-
CD at 5%	0.58	0.82	0.68	0.57	0.74	0.42	0.45	0.72	0.59	0.51	0.66	0.37	-

DBS= Days before spray; DAS= Days after spray

4. Conclusion

From the above result it is concluded that for the attraction of *Apis dorsata*, mahua flower extract 10% was the best when sprayed at 10% and 50% flowering stages, followed by rose water 10%, they attracted comparatively higher number of giant honey bees and simultaneously recorded higher seed yield over others.

5. References

- Anonymous. Observations made during “national seminar on strategies for enhancing production and export of sesame and Niger” and “annual workshop of AICRP on sesame and niger research workers. 2005.
- Anonymous. 4th Advance Estimate, Agriculture Statistics Division, Directorate of Economics and statistics, New Delhi. Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources. 2020-21;2:221-226.
- Chandrasekhar GS, Sattigi HN. Influence of bee attractants on bee pollination on seed setting and yield in raddish. Karnataka Journal Agric. Science. 2009;22(4):777-780.
- Getinet A, Sharma SM. Niger, *Guizotia abyssinica* (L.F.) Cass. Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome. 1996.
- Jayaramappa Kract V, Pattibhiramaiah M, Bhargava HR. Influence of bee attractants on yield parameters of Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangulus* L.) (Cucurbitaceae). World Applied Science Journal. 2011;15(4):547-462.
- Kalmath BS, Sattigi HN. Effect of different attractants on attracting the bees to onion (*Alium cepa*) crop. Indian Bee Journal. 2002;64(6):68-71.
- Mohana Rao, GM, Lazar M, Suryanarayana MC. Foraging behaviour of honeybees in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Indian Bee J. 1981;43:97-100.
- Nidagundi B. Pollination potentiability of honeybees on

yield of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). M. Sc.

- (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agril. Sci., Bangalore (India). 2004.
- Ranganatha ARG, Tripathi A, Jyotishi A, Paroha S, Deshmukh MR, Shrivastava N. Strategies to enhance the productivity of sesame, linseed and Niger. In: Proceedings of Platinum Jubilee Celebrations, UAS, Raichur. 2009.