



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(12): 1183-1186
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 15-10-2022
Accepted: 18-11-2022

Patel Prerak M
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, N. M. College of
Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari,
Gujarat, India

RB Ardeshta
Associate Professor, Department
of Natural Resource
Management, ASPEE College of
Horticulture and Forestry
N.A.U., Navsari, Gujarat, India

JN Zala
Ph.D., Department of
Agronomy, N. M. College of
Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari,
Gujarat, India

Rutul S Patel
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, N. M. College of
Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari,
Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author:
Patel Prerak M
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, N. M. College of
Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari,
Gujarat, India

Growth and yield of fenugreek as affected by integrated nutrient management in fenugreek-fodder sorghum cropping sequence

Patel Prerak M, RB Ardeshta, JN Zala and Rutul S Patel

Abstract

A field experiment entitled “Growth and yield of fenugreek as affected by integrated nutrient management in fenugreek-fodder sorghum cropping sequence” was conducted at college farm of N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat) during the years 2019-20 and 2020-21. The field experiment consisted of integrated nutrient management treatments viz., T₁: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha, T₂: Biocompost 5 t/ha, T₃: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 50% RDF, T₄: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 75% RDF, T₅: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 100% RDF, T₆: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 50% RDF, T₇: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 75% RDF, T₈: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF to fenugreek in *rabi* season and replicated three times in randomized block design. On the basis of two year pooled results, the growth attributes viz., plant height, number of branches/plant and plant dry matter, yield attributes viz., number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, seed weight per plant and seed yield (1763 kg/ha) and stover yield (2884 kg/ha) were significantly influenced by the various INM treatments imposed on fenugreek. Among all the INM treatments, the treatment T₈ (Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF) was found to be significantly superior with respect to growth, yield attributes and yield as compared to rest of the treatments while, the lowest values were obtained under the treatment T₁ (Biocompost 2.5 t/ha). Almost all these growth, yield attributes and yield remained in the T₈ > T₇ > T₆ > T₅ > T₄ > T₃ > T₂ > T₁ order of their significance.

Keywords: Fenugreek, integrated nutrient management, biocompost

1. Introduction

Pulses constitute an important component of prevailing cropping sequences followed by across the country with region specific variations in preferences and suitability to agro-production situation. Pulses as a candidate crop, contributes immensely towards doubling farmers' income through diminishing cost of production, scaling per unit productivity, efficient marketing networks and successful technology delivery mechanisms by giving emphasis sustainable intensification and crop diversification, climate resilient production technologies backed with strong research outputs in pulses can contribute towards doubling the farmers' income (Singh, 2018) [15].

In India, pulses are grown in an area of 29.99 million hectares with total production of 25.23 million tonnes with productivity of 841 kg/ha. While in Gujarat, it is grown over an area of 0.91 million hectares with an annual production of 0.93 million tonnes with the productivity of 1022 kg/ha (Anon., 2018) [4]. Pulses occupy an important place in Indian agriculture. Indian population is predominantly vegetarian. Pulses and its products are a rich source of essential nutrients like protein, minerals and vitamins viz., carotene, thiamine, riboflavin and niacin. Pulses can easily meet the protein requirement of a vegetarian diet. It contains 22 to 25 percent protein, which is almost twice than wheat and thrice that of rice.

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) is an annual dicotyledonous plant belonging to the family Fabaceae (subfamily Papilionaceae). The genus name *Trigonella* means tri angled may be because of triangular shape of its flowers and the word *foenum graecum* means “Greek hay” indicating its use as a forage crop in the past. Fenugreek known as one of the oldest medicinal plants recognized in recorded history (Lust, 1986) [8]. Chemical analysis of fenugreek seed revealed that it contains 13.7 percent water, 26.2 percent protein, 5.8 percent fat, 3 percent mineral matter, 7.2 percent fiber, 4.41 percent carbohydrate, 0.16 percent calcium, 0.37 percent phosphorus, 14.1 mg iron, 333 calories and 160 IU carotene per 100 gm (Agrawal, 2002) [1].

India is the largest seed spice producing and exporting country in the world. Indian spices have earned high reputation in the international market. India occupied 4528.176 thousand ha area with 10679.221 tonnes of spices, of which 1.20 million tonnes valued Rs. 22,062.80 crore exported in 2020-21 (Anon., 2021) [5]. In Gujarat fenugreek occupies an area of about 7000 ha, producing 13579 tons of seeds (Anonymous, 2021) [5]. Banaskantha and Mehasana district contributes 80 percent of total production of the Gujarat (Anon., 2017) [3].

Fenugreek is cultivated during *rabi* season on light textured and somewhat poorly fertile soil of North Gujarat. In South Gujarat region bean, gram and fenugreek crops are extensively grown during *rabi* after harvest of rice. Fenugreek cultivated on heavy textured soils of South Gujarat. Moreover, because of better market prices, it may be more remunerative than bean and gram crops.

The INM helps to restore and sustain soil fertility and crop productivity. It may also help to check the emerging deficiency of nutrients other than NPK. It brings economy and efficiency in fertilizer use and favorably affects the physical, chemical and biological environment of soil. adoption of appropriate integrated nutrient management strategies holds a great potential in boosting the fenugreek production. Therefore, integrated nutrient management is crucial not only for increasing the yield but also for the improvement of soil health.

2. Materials and Methods

A field experiment was carried out on "Growth and yield of fenugreek as affected by integrated nutrient management in fenugreek-fodder sorghum cropping sequence" at college farm of N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat) during the year 2019-20 and 2020-21. The data of soil analysis revealed that the soil of experimental plot was clay in texture, Low in organic carbon (0.39%) and available nitrogen (198.60 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (37.50 kg/ha) and high in available potassium (314.30 kg/ha). The soil was found slightly alkaline (pH 8.1) with normal electric conductivity (0.30 dS/m). The field experiment consisted of integrated nutrient management treatments *viz.*, T₁: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha, T₂: Biocompost 5 t/ha, T₃: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 50% RDF, T₄: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 75% RDF, T₅: Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 100% RDF, T₆: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 50% RDF, T₇: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 75% RDF, T₈: Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF to fenugreek in *rabi* season and replicated three times in randomized block design. The recommended dose of fertilizers for *rabi* fenugreek is 20 N + 40 P₂O₅ + 00 K₂O kg/ha.

The fenugreek *cv.* Gujarat Methi-2 was sown with spacing 30 cm between two rows in the month of November and harvested in the month of March during both the years. The required quantity of well decomposed biocompost was incorporated and mixed well within the soil at the time of land preparation during both the years while, nitrogen was applied through urea (46% N) as per the treatments and common dose of 40 kg P₂O₅ was applied through single super phosphate (16% P₂O₅) as well as required quantity of both the fertilizer was applied as a basal dose in previously opened shallow furrows and seeds were inoculated with biofertilizers (*Rhizobium* + PSB each of 10 ml/kg) and dried under shade and were sown as per the treatments during both the years.

The sowing was done manually in previously opened furrows

at a depth of 2 cm on 22nd and 23rd November in 2019 and 2020, respectively using seed rate 20 kg/ha. The plots were irrigated immediately after sowing to ensure uniform germination. In order to record various quantitative observations, five plants were selected from each net plot by using random table for periodical observations. The selected plants were labelled with proper notations and observations on growth and yield attributing characters were recorded. However, for recording the dry matter production per plant, samples were taken randomly from sample rows (two from either side) of each plot. Total biological yield, seed yield and stover yield were recorded from net plot area.

3. Results and Discussion

Various growth attributes (Table.1) of fenugreek *viz.*, plant height, number of branches/plant and plant dry matter recorded at various growth stages were differed significantly among each other except at 30 DAS during both the years of experimentation and in pooled results. In pooled results of two years the plant height was found to higher in the treatment T₈ (Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF) at all the stages of crop growth but it was statistically at par with the treatment T₇, T₆ and T₅ at 60 DAS and 90 DAS. Likewise, highest plant height, number of branches/plant and plant dry matter were also obtained in the same treatment i.e. treatment T₈ at 60 DAS and 90 DAS.

Almost all these growth attributes remained in T₈ > T₇ > T₆ > T₅ > T₄ > T₃ > T₂ > T₁ order of their significance. Significantly higher plant height due to combined application of organic and inorganic sources might be due to the application of nitrogen and phosphorous through the chemical fertilizer which enhanced its availability which resulted in increased photosynthetic activity and translocation of the photosynthates from sources to sink which help toward higher plant height and availability of the sufficient nutrients for longer periods which results to stimulate cell division in the meristematic tissue and increase in vegetative growth of plant and favour the maximum growth of branches. plant nutrients in adequate quantity leading to better root growth and enhanced nutrient uptake resulting in improved plant dry matter in fenugreek. The results lend support to the earlier findings of Patel *et al.* (2010) [10], Choudhary *et al.*, 2011, Sunanda *et al.* (2014) [6, 17], Naher *et al.* (2016) [9], Lunagariya *et al.* (2018) [7], Raiyani *et al.* (2018) [12], Amitha and Thumminkantti (2019) [2] and Sahu *et al.* (2020) [13] in fenugreek.

Various yield attributes (Table. 2) *viz.*, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, seed weight per plant and seed yield and stover yield were influenced by the various INM treatments imposed on crop. On two year pooled basis, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, seed weight per plant were found to be significantly higher with treatment T₈ (Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF) and remained at par with application of Biocompost 5 t/ha + 75% RDF (T₇), Biocompost 5 t/ha + 50% RDF (T₆) and Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 100% RDF (T₅) during both the years of pooled analysis. Despite this, treatment T₁ reported lower values in all the yield attributes.

Superiority of these treatments could be attributed to the improvement in growth parameters due to increased availability of plant nutrients throughout the life cycle of the crop. The increased and balanced supply of nitrogen to plants promotes flowering and fruiting and supply of food material

and its subsequent partitioning in the sink. The organic manures also improve the availability of phosphorus which plays a unique role in energy conservation and transfer. The balanced supply of nitrogen by integrated nutrient sources throughout the life cycle of the crop reduced leaf senescence and able to furnish the increased assimilate demand of plant sinks which resulted in higher yield attributes.

Similarly, the treatment T₈ (Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF) reported the higher values of seed and stover yield (1763 and 2884 kg/ha, respectively) and remained at par with application of Biocompost 5 t/ha + 75% RDF (T₇), Biocompost 5 t/ha + 50% RDF (T₆) and Biocompost 2.5 t/ha + 100% RDF (T₅) during both the years of pooled analysis as well as percent increase (48.19% and 40.14%, respectively) over Biocompost 2.5 t/ha (T₁) were found in two year pooled

findings (Table. 2) The results of various interacting growth factors and yield contributing characters increased consistently and significantly with combination of inorganic and organic sources. It may also due to the adequate uptake of major nutrients, which are required in greater quantities and the use of biocompost in treatment T₈ served as a reserve for macro and micro nutrients that are released during the mineralization process and finally it improved the seed and stover yield. These results were in accordance with those of Patel *et al.* (2010) [10], Choudhary *et al.* (2011) [6], Raghuvanshi (2013) [11], Shivran *et al.* (2016) [14], Lunagariya *et al.* (2018) [7], Raiyani *et al.* (2018) [12], Amitha (2019) [2], Somdutt *et al.* (2019) [16] and Thumminkantti (2019) [18] in fenugreek.

Table 1: Growth attributes of fenugreek as influenced by different INM treatments (Two year pooled results)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Number of branches/plant		Plant dry matter (g)	
	60 DAS	At harvest	60 DAS	At harvest	60 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	28.62	45.21	5.29	6.62	4.94	8.61
T ₂	31.74	49.97	5.77	7.23	5.20	9.59
T ₃	35.30	53.28	6.30	8.01	5.53	10.57
T ₄	37.97	55.82	6.51	8.27	5.66	10.94
T ₅	40.20	57.99	6.88	8.87	5.88	11.75
T ₆	40.55	60.00	7.11	9.06	6.05	11.97
T ₇	41.70	60.76	7.32	9.42	6.13	12.44
T ₈	43.74	62.82	7.43	9.72	6.23	13.04
S.Em±	1.23	1.83	0.19	0.31	0.12	0.45
CD (P=0.05)	3.56	5.32	0.56	0.89	0.35	1.30
CV (%)	8.02	8.06	7.22	8.95	5.16	9.88
General mean	37.48	55.73	6.58	8.40	5.70	11.11

Table 2: Yield attributes and yield of fenugreek as influenced by different INM treatments (Two year pooled results)

Treatments	Treatments					Number of pods/plant	
	T ₁	19.77	Pod length (cm)	Number of seed /pod	Seed weight/plant (g)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)
T ₂	22.31	9.26	11.38	3.695	1190	2058	
T ₃	24.04	10.43	13.26	4.355	1378	2331	
T ₄	24.83	11.24	13.87	4.527	1440	2426	
T ₅	26.56	11.60	14.24	4.695	1510	2505	
T ₆	27.35	12.60	15.12	4.988	1608	2642	
T ₇	27.93	12.80	15.51	5.092	1657	2775	
T ₈	29.02	13.12	16.08	5.313	1717	2847	
S.Em±	0.85	13.71	16.59	5.472	1763	2884	
CD (P=0.05)	2.46	0.39	0.51	0.17	55.61	84.94	
CV (%)	8.25	1.13	1.48	0.485	161	246	
General mean		8.07	8.62	8.60	8.89	8.13	

Conclusion

In fenugreek, growth, yield attributes and yield were found highest with the application of Biocompost 5 t/ha + 100% RDF (T₈) at the end of two-year fenugreek-fodder sorghum sequence with respect to INM treatments.

References

1. Agrawal S, Divakara Sastry EV, Sharma RK. Production, Development, Quality and Export of Seed Spices, Pointer Publication, Jaipur; c2002. p. 1-315.
2. Amitha K. Effect of organic manures and bio-fertilizers on plant growth, seed yield and quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) var. Lam Selection-3 (Doctoral thesis submitted to Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad (Telangana); c2019.
3. Anonymous. District-wise area, production and yield of important food and non- food crops in Gujarat State. Directorate of Agriculture, Gujarat State, Gandhinagar; c2017.
4. Anonymous. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, DAC&FW; c2018.
5. Anonymous. Spices Statistics at a Glance 2021, Directorate of Arecanut and Spices Development Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Government of India, Kozhikode 673005, Kerala; c2021. p. 101-122.
6. Choudhary BR, Gupta AK, Parihar CM, Jat SL, Singh DK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum*) and its residual effect on fodder pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2011;56(3):189-195.
7. Lunagariya DD, Zinzala VJ, Barvaliya MM, Dubey PK.

- Effect of organics on growth, yield, quality and economics of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) grown under organic farming system. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(3):2420-2424.
8. Lust JB. The Herb Book, Bantam Books Inc., New York; c1986.
 9. Naher MS, Fahim AHF, Wadud MA. Crop productivity and nutrient uptake of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation Journal of Agriculture. 2016;14(1):71-79.
 10. Patel BS, Patel SG, Patel SP, Amin AU. Integrated nutrient management in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops, 2010, 19(1&2).
 11. Raghuvanshi OS. Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Biofertilizers on Growth and Seed Yield of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) (Doctoral thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya); c2013.
 12. Raiyani VN, Kathiriya RK, Thummer VM, Rupareliya VV. Effect of FYM and biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(4):746-748.
 13. Sahu PK, Naruka IS, Haldar A, Chundawat RS, Kumar H. Study the effect of integrated nutrient management on vegetative growth of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) L. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(3):1389-1394.
 14. Shivran AC, Jat NL, Singh D, Rajput SS, Mittal GK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and economics of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2016;39(2):279-283.
 15. Singh NP. Pulses as a candidate crops for doubling farmers' income. Indian Farming. 2018;68(01):36-43.
 16. Somdutt LN, Mundra SL, Choudhary J, Choudhary P. Effect of inorganic and organic sources of fertilization on productivity of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) under agro-climatic conditions of Southern Rajasthan. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):1886-1888.
 17. Sunanda BB, Shetty GR, Venkatesh J. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of Kasuri Methi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) under hill zone of Karnataka. International Journal of Seed Spices. 2014;4(2):62-67.
 18. Thumminkantti A. Studies on effect of integrated nutrient management on kasurimethi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) var. pusakasuri under telangana conditions (Doctoral thesis submitted to college of horticulture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad (Telangana); c2019.