



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(12): 2045-2048
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 09-09-2022
Accepted: 14-10-2022

SD Kulthe

P.G. Student (Plant Physiology),
Department of Agricultural
Botany, College of Agriculture,
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

GS Pawar

Seed Research Officer, Seed
Technology Research Unit,
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

PR Thombre

P.G. Student (Plant Physiology),
Department of Agricultural
Botany, College of Agriculture,
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Dr. VS Pawar

Head of the Department, Food
Processing Technology (FPT),
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:

SD Kulthe

P.G. Student (Plant Physiology),
Department of Agricultural
Botany, College of Agriculture,
VNMKV, Parbhani,
Maharashtra, India

Effects of micronutrients on growth, yield & storage of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.)

SD Kulthe, GS Pawar, PR Thombre and Dr. VS Pawar

Abstract

The research work entitled “Effects of Micronutrients Application on Growth, Yield and Storage Quality of Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.)” was conducted at Farm of STRU, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, during rabi 2019-2020 season. The experiment was laid out in RBD with four replications & five treatments of micronutrients i.e., T₀ – Control, T₁ – Soil application of ZnSO₄ @ 10 kg/ha at planting, T₂ – Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP, T₃ – Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha at planting and T₄ – Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP.

Treatment T₂ exhibited maximum growth parameters like plant height (54.78 cm) at 60 DAP and (61.30 cm) at 75 DAP, number of leaves (10.35) at 60 DAP and (12.6) at 75 DAP, plant fresh weight (27.38 gm) at 60 DAP & (52.17 gm) at 75 DAP, plant dry weight (5.50 gm) at 60 DAP and (9.20 gm) at 75 DAP and chlorophyll values of SPAD meter (66.37) at 60 DAP and (61.97) at 75 DAP followed by T₁. Yield parameters like bulb diameter (5.20 cm), number of cloves/bulbs (19.41), bulb weight (33.63 gm), clove diameter (1.34 cm), clove length (3.06 cm), clove weight (3.54 gm), yield per plot (32.63 kg) and yield per hectare (129.10 q) were found significant in T₂. The maximum ascorbic acid (10.92 mg/100 g) and Minimum storage loss also found in treatment T₂ but the days required for maturity was not influenced by micronutrients application.

Keywords: Micronutrients, yield, storage quality, garlic

Introduction

Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) belongs to the *Allium* genus of onions. Onion, Shallot, Leek, Chive, and Chinese onion are all near relatives. It's native to Central Asia and northeastern Iran, and it's been used as a seasoning for thousands of years over the world. It was known to ancient Egyptians and has been used as both a food flavoring and a traditional medicine. Garlic is well-known for its ability to enhance flavour and also has a variety of medical applications. Garlic is a carminative and a stomach stimulant, so it aids digestion and absorption of food, according to the ayurveda philosophy. Allicin, a component of garlic aqueous extract, lowers cholesterol levels in the blood. While garlic is a strong source of selenium, phosphorus, vitamin B1, and calcium, it is the sulphur compounds in garlic that serve as its showcase ingredients in terms of general health benefits. The sulfur-containing compounds found in this allium vegetable have been shown to benefit our cardiovascular system, immune system, inflammatory system, digestive system, endocrine system, and detoxification system, among other body systems.

The relative relevance of micronutrients in field crop development, particularly in bulbous spice production, is constantly a topic of debate, yet it is a well known truth that optimal yields are not achievable without N, P, K fertilizers and secondary nutrients. High and balanced nutrient application is required to achieve increased crop yields. Micronutrients are important for increasing agricultural productivity. In addition to enhancing yields, micronutrient administration, particularly Zn and Boron, can improve crop quality by increasing protein and carbohydrate content. Most planters use the recommended fertilizer doses to increase output, but they may not realize that one or more micronutrients are also limiting their yields.

Keeping the view of above points on experiment was conducted to assess the efficacy of micronutrients in growth and yield of garlic.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Farm of Seed Technology Research Unit, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra State, during rabi 2019-2020 season.

Parbhani comes under subtropical and is situated at 408.5 cm above the sea level Geographically it is situated between 19°16'N latitude 76°47' longitude. Soil type of experimental plot was fairly uniform medium black cotton type, with uniform texture and well drained, the plot was ploughed and then harrowed to bring the soil to fine tilth. The chemical properties of soil were determined by taking soil sample from 0 to 25 cm deep strata of soil at random all over the experimental area before layout of the experiment. The soil chemical properties were organic carbon (0.53%), soil pH (7.55), CaCO₃ (1.2%), available nitrogen (225.18 kg/ha), available phosphorous (10.82 kg/ha), available potassium (795.76 kg/ha), electrical conductivity (0.26 dSm⁻¹), copper (3.466 ppm), ferrous (8.450 ppm), manganese (13.098 ppm) and zinc (1.294 ppm). The experiment was laid out in RBD with four replications and five treatments which are T₀ (Control), T₁ (Soil application of ZnSO₄ @ 10 kg/ha), T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP), T₃ (Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha), T₄ (Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP). The variety used for planting is Agrifound white (G-41). Experimental land was ploughed and harrowed and bring the soil to fine tilth. Layout was made as per required dimension and the individual plot consisting ridges and furrows were made in size 5m × 5m by leaving 1m gap between two replications and 0.5m between each plot.

Healthy, uniform cloves were planted at a spacing of 15cm x 10 cm. Immediately after planting light irrigation was given and followed after three days. Later on, irrigation was given as per the requirement. The irrigation was stopped 20 days before harvesting. Gap filling was carried out after 8 days of sowing to maintain required plant population per plot. A sample of five plants from each plot were drawn randomly. Also, in each plot five plants were tagged to record on farm observations like plant height, number of leaves, fresh weight, dry weight and chlorophyll content. The observation of plant was recorded at regular intervals throughout their life cycle to measure the relationship between growth attributes and finally yield. Such measurements were made at successive intervals of plant growth 45, 60, 75 DAP. The yield characters like bulb diameter, number of cloves, bulb weight, diameter of clove, length of clove and weight of clove are measured after harvesting by selecting random 5 bulbs from each plot. The days required for maturity are counted from date of planting to 60% top fall. The estimation of ascorbic acid in fresh bulb was carried out by direct visual titration with 2, 6 dichlorophenol indophenol. (A.O.A.C., 1975) [22]. After harvesting the bulb were analyzed for storage quality for that purpose 5 kg of bulbs from each plot was collected and kept for storage separately for 3 months and monthly observations of weight loss were taken to calculate weight loss percentage.

Weight loss was calculated monthly according to the following formula

$$\text{Weight loss (\%)} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{weight of bulbs for sampling dates}}{\text{Initial weight of bulb}} \times 100$$

The projected yield per hectare was calculated on the basis of yield per plot. The statistical analysis of data collected was done by following standard procedure described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) [10]. The analysis of variance was carried out according to simple Randomized Block Design.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

In case of plant height the treatment T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP) exhibited increasing plant height (54.78 cm & 61.30 cm) and found significantly superior over rest of the treatments at 60 and 75 DAP. Treatment T₁ (Soil application of ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha at planting) exhibited increasing plant height (48 cm) and found significantly superior over rest of the treatments under study at 45 DAP and significantly lowest height (40.88 cm, 47.00 cm & 52.68 cm) was found in treatment T₀ (Control) at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. Similar trend was seen in Number of leaves the treatment T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP) exhibited maximum number of leaves (10.35 & 12.60) and found significantly superior over rest of the treatments at 60 and 75 DAP. But at 45 DAP the treatment T₁ (Soil application of ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha at planting) exhibited maximum number of leaves (7.6) and found significantly superior over rest of the treatments and lowest number of leaves (6.35, 9.15 & 10.55) was found in treatment T₀ (Control) at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. The days required for maturity was not influenced by any treatments significantly.

Biochemical parameters

Significantly highest ascorbic acid content was observed in T₂ (10.92) than rest of the treatments while lowest ascorbic acid (8.51) content was found in treatment T₀ (Control). At 45 DAP, the treatment T₁ (Soil application of ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha at planting) exhibited maximum chlorophyll SPAD value (67.95) and found significantly superior over rest of the treatments under study and the lowest chlorophyll SPAD value (60.34) was observed in the treatment T₀ (Control). At 60 DAP and 75 DAP, the treatment T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP) exhibited maximum chlorophyll SPAD value (66.37, 61.97) and the lowest chlorophyll SPAD value (58.54, 52.77) was found in T₀ (Control).

Table 1: Effects of micronutrients on growth and biochemical parameters

| Sr. No. | Treatments | Plant Height | | | Number of Leaves | | | Days required for maturity | Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) |
|---------|---|--------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 45 (DAP) | 60 (DAP) | 75 (DAP) | 45 (DAP) | 60 (DAP) | 75 (DAP) | | |
| 1 | T ₀ – Control | 40.88 | 47.00 | 52.68 | 6.35 | 9.15 | 10.55 | 116.50 | 8.51 |
| 2 | T ₁ – Soil application of ZnSO ₄ @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 48.00 | 50.65 | 57.30 | 7.6 | 9.40 | 11.65 | 113.25 | 9.53 |
| 3 | T ₂ – Foliar application of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 41.85 | 54.78 | 61.30 | 6.8 | 10.35 | 12.60 | 111.75 | 10.92 |
| 4 | T ₃ – Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 44.45 | 49.53 | 53.38 | 6.88 | 9.35 | 11.15 | 116.75 | 9.30 |
| 5 | T ₄ – Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% | 41.83 | 50.04 | 55.40 | 6.45 | 9.39 | 11.21 | 115.50 | 9.46 |

| at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| S.E.± | | 1.15 | 1.44 | 1.49 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 2.93 | 0.38 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 3.54 | 4.44 | 4.58 | 0.71 | 0.77 | 0.91 | NS | 1.19 |

Table 2: Effects of micronutrients on Fresh weight and Dry weight

| Sr. No. | Treatments | Fresh Weight of Plant (gm) | | | Dry Weight of Plant (gm) | | | Chlorophyll SCMR Values (SPAD) | | |
|------------|---|----------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | 45 (DAP) | 60 (DAP) | 75 (DAP) | 45 (DAP) | 60 (DAP) | 75 (DAP) | 45 (DAP) | 60 (DAP) | 75 (DAP) |
| 1 | T ₀ – Control | 9.05 | 17.7 | 32.87 | 1.00 | 2.83 | 5.21 | 60.34 | 58.54 | 52.77 |
| 2 | T ₁ – Soil application of ZnSO ₄ @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 14.62 | 25.49 | 47.27 | 2.10 | 3.92 | 7.87 | 67.95 | 61.32 | 57.29 |
| 3 | T ₂ – Foliar application of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 10.03 | 27.38 | 52.17 | 1.11 | 4.50 | 9.20 | 61.02 | 66.37 | 61.97 |
| 4 | T ₃ – Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 12.59 | 19.79 | 38.44 | 1.82 | 3.00 | 6.47 | 62.63 | 59.04 | 54.52 |
| 5 | T ₄ – Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 10.23 | 22.97 | 42.18 | 1.10 | 3.39 | 7.04 | 60.28 | 60.89 | 56.89 |
| S.E.± | | 0.65 | 1.16 | 1.55 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 1.70 | 1.62 | 1.47 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 1.99 | 3.56 | 4.75 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 1.14 | 5.23 | 4.98 | 4.51 |

Yield parameters

The maximum bulb diameter (5.20 cm), maximum number of cloves/bulb (15.33), maximum weight of bulb (33.63 g), maximum diameter of clove (1.34 cm), maximum length of clove (3.06 cm), maximum weight of clove (3.54 gm), highest

bulb yield per plot (32.63 kg) and yield per hectare (129.10 q) were recorded in the treatment T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP) which was superior over all other treatments under study and while lowest values for parameters was recorded in T₀(Control).

Table 3: Effects of micronutrients on yield Parameters

| Sr. No. | Treatments | Bulb Diameter (cm) | Number of Cloves | Bulb Weight (gm) | Diameter of Clove (cm) | Length of Clove (Cm) | Weight of Clove (gm) | Yield Per Plot (kg) | Yield Per Hectare (q) |
|------------|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | T ₀ – Control | 3.91 | 15.33 | 26.37 | 1.09 | 2.28 | 2.63 | 27.80 | 111.20 |
| 2 | T ₁ – Soil application of ZnSO ₄ @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 4.59 | 17.33 | 30.89 | 1.2 | 2.74 | 2.86 | 29.75 | 119.50 |
| 3 | T ₂ – Foliar application of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 5.20 | 19.41 | 33.63 | 1.34 | 3.06 | 3.54 | 32.63 | 129.10 |
| 4 | T ₃ – Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 4.22 | 16.75 | 28.11 | 1.15 | 2.67 | 2.77 | 28.48 | 113.90 |
| 5 | T ₄ – Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 4.45 | 16.80 | 29.27 | 1.12 | 2.69 | 2.80 | 29.15 | 116.70 |
| S.E.± | | 0.16 | 0.60 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.92 | 3.10 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.49 | 1.85 | 2.64 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 2.83 | 9.52 |

Storage Parameter

Physiological Weight Loss During Storage (%)

In case of storage weight loss at 30, 60 and 90 days after harvesting the minimum physiological loss in weight was

recorded in treatment T₂ (Foliar application of ZnSO₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP) while maximum physiological loss in weight was recorded in treatment T₀ (Control).

Table 4: Effects of micronutrients on Weight loss during storage

| Sr. No. | Treatments | Weight Loss During Storage (%) | | |
|------------|---|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | 30 (DAH) | 60(DAH) | 90(DAH) |
| 1 | T ₀ – Control | 6.46 | 11.26 | 14.79 |
| 2 | T ₁ – Soil application of ZnSO ₄ @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 4.69 | 9.16 | 11.67 |
| 3 | T ₂ – Foliar application of ZnSO ₄ @ 0.5% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 3.77 | 7.71 | 10.07 |
| 4 | T ₃ – Soil application of borax @ 10 kg/ha at planting. | 5.39 | 10.17 | 12.85 |
| 5 | T ₄ – Foliar application of boron @ 0.25% at 45, 60 and 75 DAP. | 4.71 | 9.70 | 11.70 |
| S.E.± | | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.51 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.87 | 1.36 | 1.59 |

The above superior results of vegetative characters and biochemical parameters of zinc over boron as shown in Table no 1 and 2 might be due to because It is effective for the synthesis of plant hormones like auxin and carbohydrate formation (Pankaj *et al.*, 2018) [9]. It plays a fundamental role in several critical functions in the cell such as protein metabolism, gene expression, structural and functional

integrity of bio-membranes and photosynthetic metabolism (Sanju *et al.*, 2003) [12]. The above findings are in confirmation with Sharangi *et al.* (2003) [16] in garlic, Srivastava *et al.* (2005) [19] in garlic, Rohidas *et al.* (2010) [11] in garlic, Ballabh and Rana. (2012) [5] in onion, Manna (2013) [8] in onion, Choudhary *et al.* (2014) [6] in garlic, Arif *et al.* (2016) [2] in garlic.

The result presented in Table no. 3 which shows that the zinc sulphate found superior for increasing yield parameters over boron this might be due to Zinc is one of the seven micronutrients vital for the crop growth. Zinc plays a considerable role in various enzymatic and physiological activities and performs many catalytic functions in plant system besides alteration of carbohydrates, chlorophyll and protein synthesis. Zinc is also an important micronutrient concerned in metabolic processes, enzymatic system, seed production and rate of maturity in plants. It is essential for synthesis of tryptophan, which is originator of indoleacetic acid. It also plays an important role in starch metabolism in plants (Alloway, 2008) [1]. Zinc is crucial for plant growth because it controls the synthesis of indoleacetic acid, which noticeably regulates plant growth and also active many enzymatic reactions which is necessary for chlorophyll synthesis and carbohydrate formation (Vitosh, 1994) [20]. Zinc is also improving physiological activities like photosynthesis during which food manufactured by the plant and translocated in the bulb that results in larger size of bulb which in result increases weight of bulb and yield of garlic crop.

The above findings are in confirmation with Gupta *et al.* (2000) [7], Selvaraj *et al.* (2002) [14], Sharangi *et al.* (2003) [16], Rohidas *et al.* (2010) [11], Choudhary *et al.* (2014) [6], Arif *et al.* (2016) [2] in garlic and Ballabh and Rana (2012) [5], Manna (2013) [8], Shukla *et al.* (2015) [18], Sethupathi (2019) [15] in onion.

This effect of zinc might be due to zinc helps to accumulate a relatively higher amount of metabolites in bulbs, thus maintaining them firm and healthy. The above results are similar with findings of Yatsenko *et al.* (2020) [21] in garlic and Aske *et al.* (2017) [3] Shrinath (2004) [17] in onion.

References

- Alloway TP, Gathercole SE, Kirkwood H, Elliott J. Evaluating the validity of the automated working memory assessment. *Educational Psychology*. 2008;28(7):725-734.
- Arif U, Hussain S, Shah SZA, Hamid A, Yaqoob A, Arif AA, *et al.* Interactive effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) variety Gulabi. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*. 2016, 4(5).
- Aske V, Jain PK, Lal N, Shiurkar G. Effect of Micronutrients on Yield, Quality, and Storability of Onion cv. Bhima Super. *Trends in Bio sciences*. 2017;10(6):1354-1358.
- Babaleshwar SB, Koppad SR, Dharmatti PR, Math KK. Impact of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa*). *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*. 2017;5:1205-1209.
- Ballabh K, Rana DK. Response of micronutrients on qualitative and quantitative parameters of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Progressive Horticulture*. 2012;44(1):40-46.
- Choudhary MK, Kavita A, Maurya IB, Singh B, Sharma MK, Hatwal PK. Effect of biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) var. 'G-282'. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2014;46(2):367.
- Gupta NK, Ganeshe RK. Response of Borax and zinc sulphate on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *Advances in Plant Sciences*. 2000;13(1):237-239.
- Manna D. Growth, yield and bulb quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in response to foliar application of boron and zinc. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*. 2013;11(1):149-153.
- Pankaj P, Kujar PK, Saravanan S. Effect of different micronutrient on plant quality of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) CV green magic. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem*. 2018;1:2825-2828.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical method for agriculture workers*. Pub. ICAR, New Delhi; c1967.
- Rohidas SB, Bharadiya PS, Jature SD, Ghate KB. Effect of micronutrient on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) var. G-41. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2010;2(5):517-519.
- Sanju UM, Dris R, Singh B. Mineral nutrition of tomato. *Food, Agriculture & Environment*. 2003;1(2):176-183.
- Sayed HEA, Morsy AH, Al Othaimen HS. The Role of sulphur and certain foliar spray levels of micro-nutrients on garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) plant. *Int. J Curr. Res. Biosci. Plant Biol*. 2015;2(6):76-87.
- Selvaraj N, Natarajan S, Selvarajan VM, Sudha Mathews, Pabitha A. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on the growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *South Indian Hort*. 2002;50(1/3):159-168.
- Sethupathi S. Effect of Zinc and Boron on Yield and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Alfisols of Tamirabarni Tract. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 2019;11(1):7725-7727.
- Sharangi AB, Pariari A, Datt S, Chatterjee R. Effect of boron and zinc on growth and yield of garlic in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal. *Crop research-Hisar*. 2003;25(1):83-85.
- Shrinath BM. Studies on effect of foliar application of calcium and zinc on yield and keeping quality of onion bulbs (*Allium cepa* L.) Cv. *S1* (Phule Samarth) (Doctoral dissertation, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri 413722, Dist: Ahmednagar Maharashtra State (India)).
- Shukla L, Bose US, Ahirwar MK. Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients on growth, yield and income from rabi onion var. Agrifound Light Red. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2015;17(3):307-310.
- Srivastava R, Agarwal A, Tiwari RS, Kumar S. Effect of micronutrients, zinc and boron on yield, quality and storability of garlic (*Allium sativum*). *Indian journal of agricultural science*. 2005;75(3):157-159.
- Vitosh ML, Silva GH. A rapid petiole sap nitrate-nitrogen test for potatoes. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*. 1994;25(3-4):183-190.
- Yatsenko V, Ulianych O, Yanowskiy Y. Effect of iron, zinc and boron on the growth, physiological state, productivity and storability of *Allium sativum* L. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology*. 2020, 10(4).
- AOAC. *Official methods of Analysis*. ed Horwitz W.. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC; c1975