



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; SP-11(2): 1207-1211
© 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 16-12-2021

Accepted: 18-01-2022

JS Shekhawat

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture Bikaner,
Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan
Agriculture University, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

IM Verma

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture Bikaner,
Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan
Agriculture University, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

PK Yadav

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture Bikaner,
Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan
Agriculture University, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

RK Narolia

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture Bikaner,
Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan
Agriculture University, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author

JS Shekhawat

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture Bikaner,
Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan
Agriculture University, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

Survey of primary nutrient status of kinnow orchard in irrigated area of Sri Ganganagar district of Rajasthan

JS Shekhawat, IM Verma, PK Yadav and RK Narolia

Abstract

The experiment was conducted on “Survey of primary nutrient status of kinnow orchard in irrigated area of Sri Ganganagar district of Rajasthan” during April, 2016 to April, 2017. The ninety soil samples with three depths *i.e.*, 0-30, 30-60 and 60-90 cm were collected from thirty kinnow orchards from different five tehsil (Suratgarh, Raisinghnagar, Sri Vijaynagar, Sri Karanpur and Sriganaganagar) of Sri Ganganagar district. The soil samples were analyzed for nutritional status of kinnow orchards being grown at farmers field. The kinnow orchard soils in this investigation were found low in organic carbon and available Nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus, medium to high in available potassium low to high in available, respectively.

Keywords: primary nutrient status, kinnow orchard, irrigated area

Introduction

India has large arid zones covering an area of 317090 sq km mainly located in the North-West parts of the country Rajasthan alone covers 62 per cent area under arid zone. Fruit cultivation in India is spread over an area of 6.8 million hectares with 92.84 MT fruit production (NHB 2016-17) ^[1]. In Rajasthan, fruit crops cover an area of 46.5 thousand hectares, out of which area under kinnow cultivation is 8821 hectare and production is 189483 tonnes (Rajasthan Agricultural Statistics at a glance) indicated that still there is a scope to increase the area and productivity level of kinnow fruits cultivation in the state. Kinnow mandarin is one of the introduced citrus varieties, occupies a predominant place in the citrus industry of India. Most of the kinnow growing areas are confined to north western arid zones of India. Introduction of kinnow to this area changed the landscape of arid Thar Desert. North western India is characterized by low rainfall with temperature ranging from 5^oC in winter to 48^oC in summer having soil type of old alluvium to sandy. Soils are mainly alkaline with pH ranging from 7.5 to 9.0. The production of high quality fruits of kinnow requires semi-arid and subtropical climate with less than 300 mm rainfall. It can grow successfully in almost all types of soils, but deep sandy loam soils are best suitable for kinnow orchard.

Materials and Methods

The investigation entitled “fertility status of primary nutrient in kinnow orchard in irrigated area of Sri Ganganagar district of Rajasthan” was under taken during April 2016 to April 2017. The materials used and the methods followed the course of investigation are described in this chapter.

Location

Kinnow orchards under study are located in different villages/chaks of Sri Ganganagar district comprising a part of Agro climate zone I b (Irrigated north-western plain) of Rajasthan. It is situated between 28^o4¹ to 30^o6¹ north latitude and 72^o31¹ 'to 75^o east longitude. It is surrounded by Firozpur district of Punjab in north; Hanumangarh district in east; Bikaner district in south and the international border of the Pakistan in north and north-west. The details of kinnow fruit growers given in table 1 and soil.

Characteristics of soil

The soils have developed from alluvial deposit of river Gaggar in the of flood plains of Sri Ganganagar district and are yellowish brown to light grey, well drained medium soil texture which varies from sandy loam to loam. In the upper layer in some pockets is calcareous but the lower horizons are calcareous in nature with accumulation of concentrations.

Soil sampling and analysis**Collection of soil samples**

Soil samples were collected from 30 Kinnow orchards located at different locations of Sri Ganganagar district. In all one hundred twenty representative of composite soil samples from

different depths viz; 0-30, 30-60, 60-90 cm were collected. Samples were air dried, ground and passed through 2 mm sieve and stored properly labeled polythene bags for analysis. The methods of soil analysis are given in table 2.

Table 1: Methods of soil analysis

S. No.	Properties	Procedure	Reference
Soil available nutrients			
1	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Alkaline permanganate method	Subbiah and Asija (1956) ^[2]
2	Phosphorus (kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹)	Extract by 0.5 M NaHCO ₃ at pH 8.5 and development of colour for colorimetric measurement	Olsen <i>et al.</i> (1956) ^[3]
3	Potassium (kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹)	Extract with neutral normal ammonium acetate and estimation by flame photometer	Metson (1956) ^[4]

Table 2: Details of the kinnow fruit orchards surveyed in Sriganganagr district of Rajasthan

S. No.	Farmer's Name	Symbol	Village/Chack	Tehsil
1.	Sh. Hajur Baba Deva Singh	L ₁	9GB	Suratgarh
2.	Sh. Meghraj Singh	L ₂	9GB	Suratgarh
3.	Sh. Sudesh Choudhary	L ₃	9GB	Suratgarh
4.	Sh. Ashok Kumar	L ₄	9GB	Suratgarh
5.	Sh. Hanuman Bishnoi	L ₅	9GB	Suratgarh
6.	Sh. Prithvi Singh	L ₆	9GB	Suratgarh
7.	Sh. Shinghara Singh	L ₇	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
8.	Sh. Mangilal	L ₈	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
9.	Sh. Vishnudutt	L ₉	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
10.	Sh. Indra Godara	L ₁₀	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
11.	Sh. Baldev Singh	L ₁₁	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
12.	Sh. Kartar Singh	L ₁₂	3BLM	Raisinghnagar
13.	Sh. Budharam	L ₁₃	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
14.	Sh. Om Prakash	L ₁₄	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
15.	Sh. Ram Goplal	L ₁₅	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
16.	Sh. Parmendra Singh	L ₁₆	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
17.	Sh. Ram Singh Saharan	L ₁₇	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
18.	Sh. Jugal Kishore Sharma	L ₁₈	1BGM	Sri Vijaynagar
19.	Sh. Dhudhaar Singh	L ₁₉	6OB	Sri Karanpur
20.	Sh. Lakhvindra Singh	L ₂₀	6OB	Sri Karanpur
21.	Sh. Sadul Singh	L ₂₁	6OB	Sri Karanpur
22.	Sh. Balvindra Singh	L ₂₂	6OB	Sri Karanpur
23.	Sh. Balihaar Singh	L ₂₃	6OB	Sri Karanpur
24.	Sh. Natha Singh	L ₂₄	6OB	Sri Karanpur
25.	Sh. Dwarka Prasad	L ₂₅	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar
26.	Sh. Kishan Lal	L ₂₆	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar
27.	Sh. Mohan Lal	L ₂₇	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar
28.	Sh. Tara Chand	L ₂₈	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar
29.	Sh. Dileep Kumar	L ₂₉	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar
30.	Sh. Ram Kumar	L ₃₀	2M (Pussewala)	Sri Ganganagar

Results and Discussion**Fertility status**

In all, 90 soil samples were collected from 30 different orchards from the area under study at various depths and analyzed for soil fertility parameters viz., organic carbon,

nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. These parameters contribute to great deal both directly and indirectly to the growth and development of the plants of kinnow. Data regarding these essential nutrients are presented in Table 3 to 5.

Table 3: Available nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹) in Kinnow orchards of Sri Ganganagar district at different soil depths

Sample No.	Depths (cm)			Mean
	0-30	30-60	60-90	
L ₁	32.90	29.98	23.69	28.86
L ₂	34.10	30.76	22.56	29.14
L ₃	32.96	30.51	21.35	28.27
L ₄	30.80	27.87	20.78	26.49
L ₅	33.45	30.71	19.89	28.02
L ₆	32.05	28.86	22.51	27.81
L ₇	65.90	49.15	33.49	49.51
L ₈	63.00	48.15	34.39	48.51
L ₉	65.25	49.45	31.41	48.70
L ₁₀	62.35	49.30	29.96	47.20
L ₁₁	63.80	45.25	35.33	48.13
L ₁₂	64.20	44.20	28.78	45.73
L ₁₃	111.87	86.13	75.45	91.15
L ₁₄	112.26	94.65	73.15	93.35
L ₁₅	112.45	86.90	73.98	91.11
L ₁₆	108.97	85.16	70.71	88.28
L ₁₇	108.58	85.94	72.38	88.97
L ₁₈	109.36	83.81	74.82	89.33
L ₁₉	65.75	42.30	40.48	49.51
L ₂₀	65.75	39.08	42.56	49.13
L ₂₁	61.15	38.16	39.36	46.22
L ₂₂	65.29	36.32	39.32	46.98
L ₂₃	66.21	36.78	38.79	47.26
L ₂₄	72.64	38.62	37.44	49.57
L ₂₅	131.00	95.10	77.25	101.12
L ₂₆	134.60	98.75	59.98	97.78
L ₂₇	132.80	102.30	79.45	104.85
L ₂₈	114.90	86.15	69.18	90.08
L ₂₉	107.70	80.80	65.29	84.60
L ₃₀	125.65	82.60	79.26	95.84
Minimum	30.80	27.87	19.89	-
Maximum	134.60	102.30	79.45	-
Average	79.59	58.79	47.77	-
C.V.	43.17	44.45	45.49	-

Available nitrogen

Data on available soil N, status at different depths of orchard soils are presented in Table 3. Data indicates that the available N content of surface soil (0 to 30 cm) of orchards varied between 30.80 to 134.60 kg ha⁻¹ with mean value of 79.59 kg ha⁻¹. The minimum available N content (30.80 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in soil of orchard L₄ and maximum value (134.60 kg ha⁻¹) in L₂₆. The data related to the available N content of soil depths (30 to 60 cm and 60 to 90 cm) revealed that available N varied between 27.87 to 102.30 kg ha⁻¹ and 19.89 to 79.45 kg ha⁻¹ with its mean value of 58.79 and 47.77 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Distribution of available N showed a regular decreasing trend with depth. Similarly result are found Kumar (2004)^[6], Gathala *et al.* (2004)^[7].

Subbiah and Asija (1956)^[2] suggested <250 (Low), 250-500 (Medium) and >500 (High) kg N ha⁻¹ as critical limit for available N. Considering the limit given by Subbiah and Asija (1956)^[2] all the soil samples were found low in available N content in all the soil depths (0-30, 30-60 and 60-90 cm soil depth).

Available phosphorus

A close study of data in Table 4 elucidates that the available

P₂O₅ content in surface soils (0-30 cm depth) of kinnow orchards located in Sri Ganganagar district ranged between 27.74 to 43.85 kg ha⁻¹ with mean value of 34.32 kg ha⁻¹.

The lowest amount of available P₂O₅ in surface soils was recorded in L₅ and highest in soils of orchard L₁₅. Soil samples of 30 to 60 cm depth, available P₂O₅ varied from 23.09 to 40.07 kg ha⁻¹. The minimum and maximum amount of available P₂O₅ in soils at this depth was observed in soils of orchards L₅ and L₁₅ with its mean value was 31.79 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Further, the available P₂O₅ content of soils at 60 to 90 cm depth ranged between 20.46 to 31.58 kg ha⁻¹ with its mean value of 25.39 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The lowest and highest amount of available P₂O₅ was observed in soils of orchard L₂₉, L₂₃ and L₁₂, respectively. The available P₂O₅ content was found to decrease with depth in most of the soils. This might be due to low mobility of P₂O₅. The same result was recorded by Dhale and Prasad (2009)^[9] and Reddy *et al.* (2003)^[8].

Muhr *et al.* (1965)^[5] suggested <20 (Low), 20-50 (Medium) and >50 (High) kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ as critical limit for available P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹. Considering the limit given by Muhr *et al.* (1965)^[5] all the samples found in medium in available P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ at 0-30, 30-60 and 60-90 cm depth of soil.

Table 4: Available phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹) in kinnow orchards of Sri Ganganagar district at different soil depths

Sample No.	Depths (cm)			Mean
	0-30	30-60	60-90	
L ₁	28.56	25.86	23.56	25.99
L ₂	28.97	25.05	23.75	25.92
L ₃	31.78	29.83	22.56	28.06
L ₄	33.59	29.49	21.55	28.21
L ₅	27.74	23.09	22.88	24.57
L ₆	28.36	24.57	21.15	24.69
L ₇	38.10	35.88	27.76	33.91
L ₈	36.94	34.72	27.12	32.93
L ₉	36.81	34.59	25.63	32.34
L ₁₀	37.07	34.85	29.14	33.69
L ₁₁	36.94	34.72	26.52	32.73
L ₁₂	38.10	35.88	31.58	35.19
L ₁₃	40.77	37.82	29.35	35.98
L ₁₄	35.38	32.50	29.38	32.42
L ₁₅	43.85	40.07	30.58	38.17
L ₁₆	36.92	35.85	28.76	33.84
L ₁₇	34.62	32.11	31.14	32.62
L ₁₈	42.31	37.85	26.57	35.58
L ₁₉	32.90	29.63	23.44	28.66
L ₂₀	34.10	27.49	25.21	28.93
L ₂₁	32.96	31.42	21.45	28.61
L ₂₂	30.80	30.78	26.22	29.27
L ₂₃	33.45	33.36	20.46	29.09
L ₂₄	32.05	31.82	24.65	29.51
L ₂₅	33.00	29.63	23.44	28.69
L ₂₆	30.00	27.49	25.21	27.57
L ₂₇	34.00	31.42	21.45	28.96
L ₂₈	33.50	30.78	26.22	30.17
L ₂₉	31.50	33.36	20.46	28.44
L ₃₀	34.50	31.82	24.65	30.32
Minimum	27.74	23.09	20.46	-
Maximum	43.85	40.07	31.58	-
Average	34.32	31.79	25.39	-
C.V.	11.60	13.03	12.87	-

Available potassium

Data pertaining to available K content of soils of kinnow orchards are presented in Table 5. Data revealed that the available K content of surface soil (0-30 cm) varied between 171.98 to 480.77 kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value of 377.56 kg ha⁻¹. The minimum available K content (171.98 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in L₃ while its maximum value (480.77 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in L₁₅ orchard with the mean value of 377.56 kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

Data related to the K content of soil depths (30-60 and 60-90 cm) indicate that the available K content ranged between 149.33 to 457.20 kg ha⁻¹ and 158.75 to 390.24 kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value in the range of 30-60 cm and 60-90 cm depth of

soil was recorded 356.00 kg ha⁻¹ and 301.76 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Muhr *et al.* (1965) [5] suggested <125 (Low), 125-300 (Medium) and >300 (High) kg K₂O ha⁻¹ as critical limit for available K₂O kg ha⁻¹. Considering the limit given by Muhr *et al.* (1965) [5] 20.00 and 80.00 per cent samples found in medium and high in available K₂O kg ha⁻¹ at 0-30 cm depth of soil, respectively. While, 80.00 and 20.00 per cent samples found in low and medium in available K₂O kg ha⁻¹ at 30-60 cm depth of soil, respectively. Further, data presented in appendix 40.00 and 60.00 per cent samples found medium and high in available K₂O kg ha⁻¹ at 60-90 cm depth of soils. Similarly results are found by Dhale and Prasad (2009) [9] and Singh and Chanda (2012).

Table 5: Available potassium (kg ha⁻¹) in kinnow orchards of Sri Ganganagar district at different soil depth

Sample No.	Depths (cm)			Mean
	0-30	30-60	60-90	
L ₁	184.91	171.77	165.46	174.05
L ₂	184.91	169.91	163.56	172.80
L ₃	171.98	149.33	162.98	161.43
L ₄	183.62	165.75	162.12	170.50
L ₅	186.21	173.65	158.75	172.87
L ₆	204.31	196.22	160.32	186.95
L ₇	419.52	380.41	345.23	381.72
L ₈	420.97	417.30	345.16	394.48
L ₉	421.69	381.49	358.75	387.31
L ₁₀	408.63	375.16	364.26	382.68
L ₁₁	407.18	388.93	369.34	388.48

L ₁₂	410.08	394.82	355.65	386.85
L ₁₃	467.95	441.64	388.45	432.68
L ₁₄	448.72	421.64	356.24	408.87
L ₁₅	480.77	450.56	390.24	440.52
L ₁₆	410.26	384.31	382.19	392.25
L ₁₇	384.62	357.38	375.68	372.56
L ₁₈	467.95	443.33	377.56	429.61
L ₁₉	339.40	323.75	269.46	310.87
L ₂₀	367.95	345.00	270.58	327.84
L ₂₁	399.50	384.45	289.30	357.75
L ₂₂	414.05	388.30	280.42	360.92
L ₂₃	400.15	384.85	267.65	350.88
L ₂₄	374.00	346.95	266.59	329.18
L ₂₅	471.00	446.40	330.50	415.97
L ₂₆	452.25	426.30	345.35	407.97
L ₂₇	472.50	456.60	329.54	419.55
L ₂₈	446.25	416.10	339.00	400.45
L ₂₉	459.75	440.40	345.26	415.14
L ₃₀	465.75	457.20	337.18	420.04
Minimum	171.98	149.33	158.75	-
Maximum	480.77	457.20	390.24	-
Average	377.56	356.00	301.76	-
C.V.	27.33	28.16	26.42	-

Conclusion

Available nitrogen

The available N content of surface soil (0 to 30 cm) of orchards varied between 30.80 (L₄) to 134.60 (L₂₆) kg ha⁻¹ with mean value of 79.59 kg ha⁻¹. The data related to the available N content of soil depths (30 to 60 and 60 to 90 cm depths of soils) revealed that available N varied between 27.87 (L₄) to 102.30 (L₂₇) kg ha⁻¹ and 19.89 (L₅) to 79.45 (L₂₇) kg ha⁻¹ with its mean value of 58.79 and 47.77 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The soils of the study area were found low in available nitrogen and it showed decreasing trend with increase in depth of soil.

Available phosphorus

The available P₂O₅ of study area varied from 27.74 (L₅) to 43.85 (L₁₅), 23.09 (L₅) to 40.07 (L₁₅) and 20.46 (L₂₉, L₂₃) to 31.58 (L₁₂) at 0-30, 30-60 and 60-90 cm soil depths with mean values of 34.32, 31.79 and 25.39 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The soils of study area were found medium in available phosphorus content. In most of soils, the available phosphorus showed decreasing trend with depth.

Available potassium

The available K₂O content of surface soil (0-30 cm) varied between 171.98 (L₃) to 480.77 (L₁₅) kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value of 377.56 kg ha⁻¹. In 30-60 and 60-90 cm soil depths the available K₂O content ranged between 149.33 (L₃) to 457.20 (L₃₀) kg ha⁻¹ and 158.75 (L₅) to 390.24 (L₁₅) kg ha⁻¹ with the mean value 356.00 and 301.76 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The available K₂O in soil is medium to high in different orchards. The available potassium showed decreasing trend with depth.

References

1. NHB. National Horticulture Board, Horticulture Information Service, 2016-2017.
2. Subbiah BV, Asija GC. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956;25(7):243-254.
3. Olsen SR, Cole CW, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with HNO₃. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. United State Department of Agriculture, Handbook,

1956, 60.

4. Metson AJ. Methods of chemical analysis for soil survey samples. Soil Bureau Bulletin - New Zealand, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 1956, 12.
5. Muhr GR, Dutta NP, Sankara Subramanoey. Soil Testing in India. USAID, New Delhi, India. 1965.
6. Kumar D. Studies on yield and qualitative attributes of ber (*Zizyphus marutiana* Lamk) and pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) as affected by nutritional status of orchards. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, 2004.
7. Gathala MK, Yadav BL, Singh SD. Mineral nutrient status of pomegranate orchards in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2004;52:206-208.
8. Reddy YTN, Kurian RM, Sujatha NT, Srinivas M. Leaf and soil nutrient status in relation to yield of mango. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2003;60(2):121-126.
9. Dhale SA, Prasad J. Characterization and classification of sweet orange growing soils of Jalna district, Maharashtra. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2009;57(1):11-17.