



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2022; 11(3): 797-800

© 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 02-12-2021

Accepted: 08-02-2022

Jayveer Singh

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, C.S. Azad University of Agric. & Tech., Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

SG Rajput

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BNPG College, Rath, Hamirpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Jitendra Singh

Department of Agricultural Economics, BNPG College, Rath, Hamirpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

UC Mishra

Department of Chemistry, BNPG College, Rath, Hamirpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Reena

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chem., C.S. Azad University of Agric. & Tech., Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Jayveer Singh

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, C.S. Azad University of Agric. & Tech., Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Use of sodic waters for sustainable crop productivity

Jayveer Singh, SG Rajput, Jitendra Singh, UC Mishra and Reena

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during Rabi & Kharif on Dalip Nagar crop research station, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur 208002, to find out the effect of " Use of sodic waters for sustainable crop Productivity "on Rice & Wheat crop with seven treatments i.e. (i) Control (Sodic water) (ii) Gypsum Beds Treatment of sodic waters (iii) Soil Application of gypsum (25% gypsum Requirement) (iv) Soil application of gypsum (25% GR) + GBT of Sodic water. (v) Soil application of gypsum (50%GR) (vi) Soil Application of gypsum (50% GR) + GBT of Sodic water. (vii) Soil application of gypsum (100% GR) in Randomized Block design (RBD) with four replications. The results showed higher grain production in wheat & paddy was recorded 193.91%, 121.4% and straw yield was recorded 109.34% and 72.98% at (T₆) respectively with the application of (SA of gypsum (50% GR) + GBT) of Sodic water. In comparison to other treatments (T₁) found both. Application of these recommendation in Sodic water dominant area to gating maximum yield and maintain soil health it is also sustain crop production very profitable for marginal farmers.

Keywords: Gypsum bed treatment (GBT), Sodic, Residual sodium carbonate (RSC)

Introduction

India has been blessed with two major natural resources, relatively productive land and good reservoir of water resources. At the same time, India has one of the highest population density (382 people per km²) and population growth rate (2% per year). An increased population (India 1200 million and UP 200 million as per census 2011) pressure is expected to shrink per capita cultivable land further in the years to come. Most of the land area in our country shows evidence of degradation, affecting thereby the productive resource base. Out of the total geographical area of 329 million hectare (mha), 175 mha is considered as affected, in which sodic soils and saline soils including coastal areas account for 3.6 and 5.5 mha, respectively (Abrol and Bhumla, 1971) ^[1]. The sodic soils are largely predominant in the Indo-Gangetic plains encompassing the states of Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, parts of Bihar and Rajasthan, parts of black soil areas of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu (Anon, 2000) ^[2]. Isolated patches of sodic soils also occur in some other states. In addition, with the advent of canal irrigation, salinity and sodicity is extending over large areas of fertile cultivated lands. Demand for finite water resources is increasing and with increase in population, contamination of water resources is on the rise. Also, increase in population means land reclamation of poor and salt affected soil and then intensification of agricultural production systems to feed the growing population. This means demand for irrigation water and agricultural chemicals will increase to produce more food resulting in the pollution of soil, water, air and other natural environments even further. Intensification of agriculture in India has increased soil salinity/sodicity due to poor water management practices, water logging due to poorly managed irrigation system, and pollution of drinking water supplies. All these factors have added enormous stress on available land and water resources. Unless best irrigation and cropping management system including use of poor quality water are developed in agricultural watersheds to protect degrading land and water resources in India, social and food security is at very much risk.

Present investigation falls under the preview of second aspect mentioned above and effort has been made to utilize sodic underground water for irrigation with suitable amendment techniques to boost crop production under sodic soil condition. Keeping policy decision aside, sustainable production, should emphasized on, (i) Increase in production using scientific techniques without deteriorating the quality of natural resources as well as produce. (ii) Restoration and/ or make use of degraded natural resources for increasing production.

Material and Method

Principles of experimentation the “Use of Sodic waters for sustainable crop productivity” was carried out during 2009-11. This is an ongoing experiment initiated in the year 2005 under All India coordinated Research Project on Management of Salt Affected soils & Use of Saline water in Agriculture (ICAR) and concluded after five years. The district Kanpur is part of doab lying sandwiched between the river Ganga and Yamuna falling between the parallels of 25° 25' to 26° 58' N latitude and 79° 31' to 80° 34' E longitude. It slopes gently from North-West to South-East and it is located at an elevation of 125.9 meters above mean sea level.

A field experiment was carried out in Crop Research Station, Daleep Nagar, Kanpur of C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and technology, for the attainment of different objectives of the present investigation were taken seven treatment *viz.* T₁ - Control (Sodic water), T₂ - Gypsum bed (15 cm) treatment (GBT) of sodic water, T₃ - Soil application (SA) of gypsum (25% GR), T₄ - Soil application (SA) of gypsum (25% GR) + gypsum bed (15 cm) treatment (GBT) of sodic water, T₅ - Soil application (SA) of gypsum (50% GR), T₆ - Soil application (SA) of gypsum (50% GR) + gypsum bed (15 cm) treatment (GBT) of sodic water, T₇ - Soil application (SA) of gypsum (100% GR).

Use “Gypsum bed technology” conventionally, developed by CSSRI, Karnal, and further studies the Physio-chemical characteristics of soil, representative soil samples from a depth of 0-20 cm were collected. A composite sample was prepared from these primary soil samples. It was air dried and then oven dried at 105°C for estimation of moisture content. Soil samples were air dried ground to pass through 2.0 mm sieve and analysed for its Physico-chemical characteristics as presented. Sodic water samples both gypsum treated and untreated were collected and analysed for pH, EC, ionic composition and RSC.

Soil and water samples were analysed following standard procedure as described by Chopra and Kanwar (1976)^[3]. Soil texture (International pipette method), CEC (Neutral normal ammonium acetate method), gypsum requirement (EDTA or Versenate method using Erichrom black T indicator), organic carbon (Wakley and Black method), pH (digital pH meter), EC (digital conductivity meter), carbonate and bicarbonate (titrating with standard N/10 H₂SO₄ using phenolphthalein and methyl red indicators), Chloride (titrating with standard solution of AgNO₃ using potassium chromate. K₂CrO₄ as indicator), sulphate (turbidimetric method), Calcium and Magnesium (versenate method using Erichrome black T indicator), Calcium (versenate method using murexide indicator), Sodium and potassium (Flame photometer) were determined following standard procedures. Residual sodium carbonate (RSC) was determined by subtracting milliequivalents (meq) of (Ca+Mg) from milliequivalents of (CO₃ + HCO₃) and expressed as meq l⁻¹.

Result and Discussion

The soil belongs to Typic Natrustalf having loam texture, low in organic carbon content (0.1%), high pH (9.65), EC (4.96 dSm⁻¹) and ESP (64.1) with gypsum requirement of 16 t ha⁻¹. Result of studies on gypsum dissolution by sodic irrigation water through 15 cm gypsum bed (Table 1) indicated higher average rate of gypsum dissolution during kharif (0.84 t ha⁻¹) in comparison to *rabi* (0.71 t ha⁻¹) season.

Table 1: Gypsum dissolutions (t/ha) by sodic irrigation water through gypsum bed (15 cm)

Year	Kharif	Rabi	Total	Cumulative
2005-06	-	0.70	0.70 (4.4)	0.70 (4.4)
2006-07	0.53	0.72	1.25 (7.8)	1.95 (12.1)
2007-08	0.90	0.88	1.78 (11.1)	3.73 (23.3)
2008-09	0.88	0.89	1.77 (11.1)	5.50 (34.4)
2009-10	1.04	0.35	1.39 (8.6)	6.89 (43.1)
2010	0.85	-	0.85 (5.3)	7.74 (48.4)
Total (5 years)	4.20	3.54	7.74 (48.4)	-

Figure in parenthesis indicate % GR

Annual average gypsum dissolution through sodic irrigation water found to be 1.55 t ha⁻¹ which correspond to 9.7% gypsum requirement, and studies on Ionic composition of untreated and gypsum bed treated sodic irrigation water (table 2) revealed absence of carbonate in sodic irrigation water but rich in bicarbonate (10.6 meq l⁻¹) (Eaton, 1979) with relatively very less amount of chloride (0.77 meq l⁻¹) and sulfate (0.46 meq l⁻¹) anions.

Table 2: Change in ionic composition of sodic waters as a result of gypsum bed (15 cm) treatment (Average of kharif and Rabi seasons)

Treatment	Anion (meq l ⁻¹)				Cation (meq l ⁻¹)	
	CO ₃	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄	Ca + Mg	Na + K
Untreated	Nil	10.60	0.77	0.46	1.87	9.72
Treated	Nil	10.10	0.96	3.65	6.10	8.39
Change						
(+)	-	-	0.19	3.19	4.20	-
(-)	-	0.50	-	-	-	1.33

Sodium and potassium were the dominant cations (9.72 meq l⁻¹) in untreated sodic irrigation water that contains relatively less amount of Ca and Mg ion (1.87 meq l⁻¹), finding supported Sharma *et al.*, (2004).

Gypsum bed treatment of sodic irrigation water reduced bicarbonate and sodium ions content by 0.50 and 1.33 meq l⁻¹ respectively with considerable increase in sulfate (3.19 meq l⁻¹) and Calcium (4.2 meq l⁻¹) ions. The RSC and pH of sodic irrigation water reduced from 8.73 to 4.0 meq l⁻¹ (46%) and 8.07 to 7.82, respectively whereas EC increased from 1.17 to 1.48 dS m⁻¹ (table 3) for the reason that Gypsum bed treatment of sodic water reduced its pH, RSC to safer limit (<5 meq l⁻¹) besides increase in both available Ca and the salinity which reduce the negative effects of ESP on soil micro structure and clay dispersion to maintain soil permeability and tilth. Similar observations were also reported by Murtaza *et al.*, (2010)^[11], and Gupta (2004)^[6].

Table 3: Change in pH, EC and RSC values of sodic waters as a result of gypsum bed (15 cm) treatment (Average of kharif and rabi seasons)

Treatment	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	RSC (meq l ⁻¹)
Untreated	8.07	1.17	8.73
Treated	7.82	1.48	4.00
Change			
(+)	-	0.31	-
(-)	0.25	-	4.73

Treatments effect as evident from the graded doses of soil applied gypsum alone and in combination with gypsum dissolution through gypsum bed on yield of wheat (Table 4) and rice (Table 5) revealed that gypsum application either

through dissolution or soil enhanced yield of wheat (72%) and rice (69%) significantly over control yield of wheat (1.5 t ha⁻¹)

and rice 2.0 t ha⁻¹. These yields are also conformity with the findings of Minhas *et al.*, (2007)^[10].

Table 4: Treatment effect on grain and straw yield (t ha⁻¹) of wheat

Treatment	Grain yield			Straw yield		
	2009	2010	Mean (2 year)	2009	2010	Mean (2 year)
T ₁ Control (Sodic water)	1.52	1.52	1.52	1.82	1.88	1.85
T ₂ GBT of sodic water	2.33	2.45	2.39	2.69	2.80	2.74
T ₃ SA of gypsum (25% GR)	2.15	2.19	2.17	2.52	2.61	2.55
T ₄ SA of gypsum (25% GR) + GBT of sodic water	3.11	3.09	3.10	3.51	4.04	3.77
T ₅ SA of gypsum (50% GR)	3.00	2.90	2.95	3.44	3.82	3.63
T ₆ SA of gypsum (50% GR) + GBT of sodic water	3.82	3.85	3.83	4.30	4.42	4.36
T ₇ SA of gypsum (100% GR)	3.60	3.76	3.68	4.06	4.26	4.16
CD (5%)	0.21	0.19	-	0.32	0.28	-

Table 5: Treatment effect on grain and straw yield (t/ha) of rice

Treatment	Grain yield			Straw yield		
	2009	2010	Mean (2yrs)	2009	2010	Mean (2yrs)
T ₁ Control (Sodic water)	2.26	2.27	2.26	2.26	2.95	2.60
T ₂ GBT of sodic water	3.00	3.03	3.01	3.00	3.60	3.30
T ₃ SA of gypsum (25% GR)	2.80	2.85	2.82	2.60	3.43	3.01
T ₄ SA of gypsum (25% GR) + GBT of sodic water	3.22	3.44	3.33	3.22	3.91	3.56
T ₅ SA of gypsum (50% GR)	3.39	3.26	3.32	3.39	3.82	3.60
T ₆ SA of gypsum (50% GR)+GBT of sodic water	4.69	4.83	4.76	4.69	5.46	5.07
T ₇ SA of gypsum (100% GR)	4.58	4.59	4.58	4.58	5.15	4.86
CD (5%)	0.27	0.26	-	0.26	0.19	-

Sodic irrigation water could be use efficiently for increasing crop productivity, with the application of suitable amendments like gypsum. Critical analysis of yield data also revealed that one time soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR is beneficial and most efficient than one time soil application of gypsum @ 100% GR. Among the treatment combinations,

one time soil application (50%GR) along with gypsum dissolution through sodic irrigation water recorded highest yield of both wheat (3.40 t ha⁻¹) and rice (4.42 t ha⁻¹), finding supported by (Choudhary *et al.*, 2003, Sharma *et al.*, 2008)^[4, 14].

Table 6: Changes in chemical characteristics of soil (0-20 cm) as affected by the treatment after 2 years

Treatments	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	ESP
T ₁ Control (Sodic water)	10.01	5.46	75.15
T ₂ GBT of sodic water	8.40	4.35	33.50
T ₃ SA of gypsum (25% GR)	8.65	4.48	49.30
T ₄ SA of gypsum (25% GR) + GBT of sodic water	8.55	4.15	34.00
T ₅ SA of gypsum (50% GR)	8.25	3.80	23.20
T ₆ SA of gypsum (50% GR) + GBT of sodic water	8.00	1.96	18.50
T ₇ SA of gypsum (100% GR)	8.15	3.65	24.30
Initial values	9.65	4.96	64.10

Changes in chemical characteristic of surface soil (0-20 cm) due to implementation of treatments for five years (Table 6) revealed that sodic water irrigation (control) considerably raise the value of pH, EC and ESP of soil to 10.01, 5.46 dS m⁻¹ and 75.15 respectively from the corresponding initial values of 9.65, 4.96 dS m⁻¹ and 64.10. These results corroborate the finding of Gypta, (2004).

Application of gypsum either through gypsum bed or soil significantly reduced soil pH, EC and ESP. Sodic water irrigation through gypsum dissolution for five years reduced surface soil pH, EC and ESP to 8.40, 4.35 dS m⁻¹ and 33.50 from corresponding initial values of 9.65, 4.96 dS m⁻¹ and 64.10, respectively. One time soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR along with gypsum bed treatment of sodic irrigation water was found to be most effective in reducing soil pH (from 9.65 to 8.0), EC (from 4.96 to 3.35 dS m⁻¹) and ESP (from 64.10 to 18.50) in comparison to the sole soil application of gypsum @ 50 or 100% GR and any other treatment combinations, similar

result also reported by).

The technologies for safe and efficient use of sodic water include the optimal use of both the chemical and organic amendments with their time and mode of application to maintain soil permeability and tilth. There is no single technology or approach that can ensure safe use of poor quality waters. Higher gypsum dissolution recorded during kharif season in comparison to rabi due to (1) higher solubility of gypsum because of relatively higher atmospheric temperature during kharif; and (2) Uneven rainfall distribution during kharif as evident from the meteorological data that bulk of the monsoon rain received in the month of July (97.5%) and October (92.7%) in the year 2009 and 2010, respectively, result in more frequent irrigations.

Response of rice-wheat system to sodic water irrigation (Choudhary *et al.*, 2008, Minhas *et al.*, 2003)^[4, 9] revealed that the rainfall dilution coupled with greater dissolution of calcium from CaCO₃ owing to high leaching fraction and high

PCO₂ attained during rice growth appear to be the dominant processes leading to the development of low pH and sodicity level in the irrigated soil, and minimum yield of 60% both in rice and wheat of their respective maximum (6, 5 t ha⁻¹) could be sustained with the use of sodic water when 1.25 t ha⁻¹ gypsum was applied each year in a relatively high rainfall (500-900 mm) semi arid region. Result of present investigation also corroborates the above findings by Murtaza *et al.*, 2009 [11].

Sodic soils of the Indo-Gangetic plains (Minhas and Sharma, 2003) [9] invariably contain free CaCO₃ concretions throughout the soil profile which plays an important role in sodic soil reclamation. The quantity of an amendment required for a sodic soil depends on the amount of exchangeable Na to be replaced, exchange efficiency and depth of soil to be reclaimed. Experimental results showed that gypsum applied @ 50% GR is sufficient to initiate reclamation process in rice based cropping systems.

Results were concluded that the present investigation clearly revealed that the sodic waters can be used safely for irrigation with suitable amendment techniques to boost crop production under sodic soil condition. (i) Gypsum bed treatment of sodic irrigation water. (ii) Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR at an interval of 5 years (iii) Use of recommended dose of NPK and Zn fertilizers. (iv) Use of organic manure like FYM, compost, rice straw and green manuring. (v) Adoption of rice-wheat-green manure rotations during initial years.

Reference

1. Abrol IP, Bhumbra AR. Saline and alkali soil in Indian. Their occurrence and management world soil resources. FAO Report. 1971;4:42-51.
2. Anonymous. Indian Agriculture in Brief, 27th edition, agricultural statistics division Directorate of Economics and statistics Department of Agriculture and co-operation ministry of Agriculture, GOI, New Delhi, 2000.
3. Chopra SL, Kanwar JS. Analytical Agricultural Chemistry. Kalyani Publication, New Delhi, 1976, 518 pp.
4. Choudhary OP. Management of poor quality waters for rice-wheat cropping system, in: Yadvinder-Singh *et al.* (Eds.) Nutrient management for sustainable rice-wheat cropping system, National Agricultural Technology Project, ICAR, New Delhi, and Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India, 2003, pp. 330-343
5. Eaton FM. Significance of carbonate in irrigation water. Soil Science. 1979;69:123-133.
6. Gupta SK. Usar Bhumi Mein Jal Vikas K Mahtar Paper prepared for special publication of kheti for release at the International Conference on sustainable management of sodic lands, Lucknow (India), 2004.
7. Gharaiyen MA, Eltaif G. Reclamation of a calcareous saline-sodic soil using phosphoric acid and product. Gypsum. 2010;26:141-148.
8. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1973.
9. Minhas PS, Shamra JS. Quality Assessment of Water Resources in the Indo-Gangetic basin part in India, Technical Bulletin No. 2/2003, Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, India, 2003, p. 68
10. Minhas PS, Dubey SK, Sharma DR. Effects of soil and paddy-wheat crops irrigated with waters containing residual alkalinity. Soil Use Manage. 2007;23:254-261.
11. Murtaza G, Ghafoor A, Owens G, Qadir M, Kahlon UZ. Environmental and economic benefit of saline-sodic reclamation using low quality water and soil amendments in conjunction with rice-wheat cropping system. J Agron Crop Sci, 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00350.x
12. Kelley WP, Brown SM, Liebig GF. Jr. Chemical effects of saline irrigation waters on soils, Soil Sci. 1940;49:95-107.
13. Richards LA. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. Hand Book No. 60, Oxford and IBH pub. Co., Calcutta-16, 1954.
14. Sharma DR. Technologies for efficient use of saline water for sustainable crop production. In: Yaduvanshi NPS, Yadav RK, Bundela DS, Kulshreshta N, Singh G (eds) Chemical changes and nutrient transformations in sodic/poor quality water irrigated soils. Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, India, 2008, pp. 123-128
15. Shainberg Use of gypsum on soils. A review Adv. Soil Science, 1989, 91-112.
16. USSL. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. US Salinity Laboratory Staff, Agricultural Handbook No. 60, USDA, 1954, pp. 160.
17. Wilcox LV. Classification and Use of Irrigation Water, US Department of Agricultural Circular, Washington, DC. US Department of Agriculture. Technical Bulletin No, 1995, 969.