



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(4): 1834-1841
© 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 13-01-2022

Accepted: 22-03-2022

Madhukar Singh
Research Scholar, Department of
Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

OP Singh
Assistant Professor, Department
of Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Amit Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of
Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Subhash Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of
Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Sanjay Kumar Tripathi
Research Scholar, Department of
Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Madhukar Singh
Research Scholar, Department of
Crop Physiology, C. S. Azad
University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

To determine the effect of foliar spray of Zinc and Iron on quality of wheat grain under normal and late sown conditions

Madhukar Singh, OP Singh, Amit Kumar, Subhash Kumar and Sanjay Kumar Tripathi

Abstract

The field experiments were conducted at Student's Instructional Farm, of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) India, during Rabi seasons *i.e.* 2017-18 and 2018-19. The objectives of investigation were to "Studies of foliar application with zinc and iron on growth, yield and quality of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Under normal and late sown conditions". It was designed in split plot design with three replications. The two date of sowing *i.e.* timely (D₁) and late sown (D₂) conditions were allocated in the main plots and in sub plot that significantly higher yield (kg/ha), (4951 and 5110) significant higher with normal sown condition with both years, Foliar spray application of zinc 200 ppm showed significantly better response both years *i.e.* (4852 and 5008) and iron level 200 ppm given statistically best response *i.e.* (4823 and 4978) compare to control.

Traits like grain growth rate, number of grain per spike, test weight, harvest index, zinc content in grain, iron content in grain and protein content exhibit same results enhancing to get higher grain yield of wheat with both concerning experimental years.

Keywords: Condition, growth, experiment, protein content, seasons

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops of the world. The importance of Wheat at global level can be realized from the fact that the FAO symbol is a breaded wheat spike with the Latin motto 'Flat pains' meaning 'let there be bread' bread made from wheat has been the stuff of life for millions of millions human being, right from the dawn of civilization when man first turned from arboreal or nomadic life to settled agriculture based on cultivation. Wheat is the most widely cultivated crop, which provides food and nutrition to two-third population of the world. India ranks second in wheat production in the world next to China.

Zinc (Zn) is one of the important elements for plant growth and plays an important role as a metal component or as a functional, structural, or regulatory cofactor of many enzymes and also required for various metabolic functions. It is obvious for both animals and plants. Zinc is responsible for protein synthesis, gene expression, proper growth and immune system. Physically Zn deficiency is manifested as stunting, common health problem in children like diarrhea, low birth weight, high rate of infection, skin lesions and impaired wound healing. Similarly, Fe is important for the production of Red blood corpuscles (RBC) in blood and carries oxygen to every tissue where O₂ is used for combustion of food to produce energy.

Zn and Fe nutrition can affect the susceptibility of plants to drought stress. Foliar application of zinc greatly affects plant growth and crop production. It is, therefore, important to study the efficiency of foliar application of zinc on yield of wheat under water stressed condition at different growth stages of the crop.

Location and Climatic Conditions

All facilities related to study were available at the Experimental Research Farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. Geographically Kanpur is located at 26.30° North Longitude and 80.16° East Longitude and is above 127 meters at above sea level. It lies in the sub-tropical regions where Wheat is grown in Rabi seasons. During experimentations, temperature was cool during vegetative growth while it was cool during grain filling stages in both years of experimentations.

Weather conditions prevailing during study are presented in Table No. 1. Which was noted from Department of Meteorology, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology Kanpur.

Material and Experimental technique: Treatments and design

Table 1: The following treatments are to be applied in Rabi sown variety of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) K-307

| Location | : | Student Instructional Farm Kanpur |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Statistical Design | : | Split Plot Design Main plot: Date of sowing (D) Sub plot: Treatment (T) |
| Replication | : | Three (3) |
| Area | : | 42×25 =1050 m ² |
| Single Plot Size | : | 4×3 m ² |
| Total No. of Plots | : | 54 |
| Date of Sowing | : | 2 |
| Variety | : | (K-307) Shatabdi |
| Plant to Plant Distance | : | 20 |
| Row to Row Distance | : | 15 |

Main plot treatment (02)

D₁: Normal sown condition: Last week of November

D₂: Late sown condition: First week of December

Sub plot (Zn) 03 Sub plot (Fe) 03 Date of sowing (02)

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|----------------|
| 0 ppm Zn ₀ | × | 0 ppm Fe ₀ | × | D ₁ |
| 200 ppm Zn ₁ | × | 200 ppm Fe ₁ | × | D ₂ |
| 400 ppm Zn ₂ | × | 400 ppm Fe ₂ | × | D ₂ |

Table 2: Treatment Combinations: Total combination of treatment will be 18 these are below following-

| S. No. | Treatment combinations | S. No. | Treatment combinations |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | T ₁ D ₁ Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 10. | T ₁₀ D ₂ Zn ₀ Fe ₀ |
| 2. | T ₂ D ₁ Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 11. | T ₁₁ D ₂ Zn ₀ Fe ₁ |
| 3. | T ₃ D ₁ Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 12. | T ₁₂ D ₂ Zn ₀ Fe ₂ |
| 4. | T ₄ D ₁ Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 13. | T ₁₃ D ₂ Zn ₁ Fe ₀ |
| 5. | T ₅ D ₁ Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 14. | T ₁₄ D ₁ Zn ₁ Fe ₁ |
| 6. | T ₆ D ₁ Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 15. | T ₁₅ D ₂ Zn ₁ Fe ₂ |
| 7. | T ₇ D ₁ Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 16. | T ₁₆ D ₂ Zn ₂ Fe ₀ |
| 8. | T ₈ D ₁ Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 17. | T ₁₇ D ₂ Zn ₂ Fe ₁ |
| 9. | T ₉ D ₁ Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 18. | T ₁₈ D ₂ Zn ₂ Fe ₂ |

Stages of foliar application of zinc and iron

1. Tillering stages
2. Booting stages

Source of seed material

The seeds of Wheat variety K-307 were obtained from department of seed science of the CSA University of agriculture & technology Kanpur during each year of experimentation.

1. **Field Preparation:** To obtain better and uniform germination, pre-sowing irrigation was given and field was prepared to five tillage by one deep ploughing and four cultivator followed by planking the field.
2. **Application of Fertilizers:** A total dose of 150 kg/ha Nitrogen, 80 kg/ha phosphorus and 60 kg/ha potash, through urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and murate of potash (MOP) were used in the experiment. Half dose of nitrogen, total phosphorus and potash were given as basal dose before sowing of seed; remaining half dose of

nitrogen was given in two equal split doses, one at tillering and other at the time of spike initiation.

3. **Seed Material and Seed Rate:** The seeds of Wheat variety K-307 were obtained from department of seed science of Csa University of agriculture & technology Kanpur during each year of experimentation. Seed require for timely 100-110 kg ha⁻¹ and late sown condition 120-125 kg ha⁻¹.
4. **Method of sowing and weeding:** Sowing was done at 15 cm row spacing by drill method behind the deshi plough in furrows at 3-4 cm depth. Plant to plant distance was maintained 20 cm.
5. **Inter culture:** For better growth and utilization of different fertilizers and nutrients by the plant, field was kept free from all kinds of weed during experimentation. Two weeding were done one at 30 days after sowing and second at 60 days after sowing.
6. **Irrigation:** First irrigation at crown root initiation (CRI), second irrigation at tillering, third irrigation at booting stage and fourth irrigation at milking stage, so total number of four irrigation were done in field during crop season.

Observations recorded

1. **Chlorophyll Intensity (%):** Chlorophyll intensity was measured by SPAD- 502 (Minolta Japan) meter. This instrument gives the SPAD value, which is directly proportional to the greenness or chlorophyll intensity of leaves. The chlorophyll intensity in leaves was measured in between 9:30 to 10:30 a.m. hour on clear sky day. The SPAD values were recorded from the top most fully development four (4) randomly leaf from net area of the plot.
2. **Protein content of (%):** The total soluble protein content was estimated by using method of Lowery *et al.*, (1951) Procedure: 0.5 ml aliquot was mixed with 5 ml of reagent c and allowed to stand for 10 minutes. Thereafter 0.5 ml of folin reagent (reagent D) was added with instant mixing. After 30 minutes, change in absorbance was recorded at 750 nm in spectronic – 20 against reagent blank. A standard curve was prepared with graded concentration of bovine serum albumin (BSA).
3. **Grain weight plant⁻¹:** Firstly collect three plant of tagged main shoot. After threshing these and weighing and total value is divided by three for calculation of one plant grain weight.
4. **Test weight (g):** Random samples were collected from threshed plants of each plot and 1,000-seeds were counted separately from these samples and weighed in gram.

Result and Discussion

Chlorophyll intensity (%) at pre-anthesis

The data presented in table 3 revealed that chlorophyll intensity (%) at pre-anthesis influenced by foliar application of Zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition-

1. **Effect of sowing date:** The mean value of chlorophyll intensity at pre-anthesis was significantly influenced by sowing during in both years timely sowing date D₁ *i.e.* 34.37 and 35.82 recorded significantly maximum chlorophyll intensity during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively.
2. **Effect of zinc level:** The effect of zinc level was to chlorophyll intensity (%) and late sowing date

chlorophyll intensity at pre-anthesis found to be maximum Zn₂ i.e. 34.72 and 36.89 over control during both years showing statistically significant and given good response while minimum in Zn₀ with both year of experimentation respectively.

3. **Effect of Iron level:** Among iron level to chlorophyll intensity (%) at post-anthesis were found to be significant Fe₂ i.e. 33.92 followed by Fe₁ 33.81 in 2017-18 and Fe₂ 35.81 followed by Fe₁ 35.58 over control in year 2018-19 but Fe₂ and Fe₁ were showed same significant response.
4. **Interaction effect of sowing date and zinc level:** It is proved that interaction effect between sowing date and zinc level to chlorophyll intensity (%) evolved was non-significant both years however in higher value combination recorded D₁Zn₂ i.e. 36.07 following by D₁Zn₁ 35.26 in 2017-18 and D₁Zn₂ i.e. 338.01 and D₁Zn₁ 36.32 in year 2018-19 while lowest combination D₂Zn₀ was found to be during both years.
5. **Interaction effect of sowing date and Iron level:** Interaction effect combination was i.e. D₁Fe₂ 34.99 and

36.77 highest during both years and lowest combination found to be D₂Fe₀ i.e.31.68 and 32.45 in both years respectively to chlorophyll intensity at pre-anthesis.

6. **Interaction effect between zinc level and iron level:** It is observed that the value of both experimental years chlorophyll intensity (%) noted non significant but numerically maximum value of chlorophyll intensity (%) measured in combination Zn₂Fe₂ i.e. 34.89 and 38.46 during both years as compared to other combination as minimum in combination Zn₀Fe₀ both concerning years respectively.
7. **Interaction effect among of sowing date, Zinc level and Iron level:** The value of interaction effect among sowing date, zinc level and iron level to chlorophyll intensity (%) was obtained maximum from combination D₁Zn₂Fe₂ i.e. 36.78 and 39.98 while minimum in combination D₂Zn₀Fe₀ i.e. 29.85 and 29.25 with both years of experimentation 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively.

Table 3: Chlorophyll intensity (%) at pre-anthesis as influenced by foliar application of Zinc and Iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Chlorophyll intensity (%) at pre-anthesis | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | 2017-18 | | | 2018-19 | | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 30.75 | 29.85 | 30.30 | 31.95 | 29.25 | 30.60 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 32.07 | 31.09 | 31.58 | 33.50 | 31.85 | 32.67 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 32.57 | 31.68 | 32.12 | 33.98 | 32.66 | 33.32 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 33.65 | 32.47 | 33.06 | 34.85 | 33.64 | 34.24 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 36.53 | 33.40 | 34.96 | 37.75 | 35.80 | 36.77 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 35.62 | 33.07 | 34.34 | 36.37 | 34.94 | 35.65 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 35.21 | 32.74 | 33.97 | 35.42 | 34.46 | 34.94 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 36.22 | 33.57 | 34.89 | 38.65 | 35.95 | 37.30 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 36.78 | 33.81 | 35.29 | 39.98 | 36.95 | 38.46 | | |
| Mean | 34.37 | 32.40 | 33.39 | 35.82 | 33.94 | 34.88 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ | 31.79 | 30.87 | 31.33 | 33.14 | 31.25 | 32.19 | | |
| Zn ₁ | 35.26 | 32.98 | 34.12 | 36.32 | 34.79 | 35.55 | | |
| Zn ₂ | 36.07 | 33.37 | 34.72 | 38.01 | 35.78 | 36.89 | | |
| Mean | 34.37 | 32.40 | 33.39 | 35.82 | 33.94 | 34.88 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe ₀ | 33.20 | 31.68 | 32.44 | 34.07 | 32.45 | 33.26 | | |
| Fe ₁ | 34.94 | 32.68 | 33.81 | 36.63 | 34.53 | 35.58 | | |
| Fe ₂ | 34.99 | 32.85 | 33.92 | 36.77 | 34.85 | 35.81 | | |
| Mean | 34.37 | 32.40 | 33.39 | 35.82 | 33.94 | 34.88 | | |
| Treat. | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean |
| Zn ₀ | 30.30 | 31.58 | 32.12 | 31.33 | 30.60 | 32.67 | 33.32 | 32.19 |
| Zn ₁ | 33.06 | 34.96 | 34.34 | 34.12 | 34.24 | 36.77 | 35.65 | 35.55 |
| Zn ₂ | 33.97 | 34.89 | 34.89 | 34.71 | 34.94 | 37.30 | 38.46 | 36.90 |
| Mean | 32.44 | 33.81 | 33.81 | 33.39 | 33.26 | 35.58 | 36.90 | 34.88 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | | |
| D | 0.32 | | 1.40 | 0.38 | | 1.67 | | |
| Zn | 0.34 | | 0.79 | 0.47 | | 1.10 | | |
| Fe | 0.37 | | 0.76 | 0.44 | | 0.92 | | |
| D x Zn | 0.48 | | N.S. | 0.67 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Fe | 0.52 | | N.S. | 0.63 | | N.S. | | |
| Zn x Fe | 0.64 | | N.S. | 0.77 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Zn x Fe | 0.90 | | N.S. | 1.09 | | N.S. | | |

Chlorophyll Intensity (%) at post-anthesis

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that chlorophyll intensity (%) at post-anthesis influenced by foliar application of Zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition-

1. **Effect of sowing date:** The mean value of chlorophyll intensity at post-anthesis was significantly influenced by sowing date in both years timely sowing date D₁ i.e.

36.60 and 37.82 recorded significantly maximum chlorophyll intensity during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively

2. **Effect of zinc level:** The zinc level to chlorophyll intensity (%) was found to be maximum Zn₂ i.e. 36.90 and 38.92 over control in both years showing statistically significant response with Zn₁ i.e. 35.89 and 37.97 during

2017-18 and 2018-19.

- Effect of Iron level:** Among iron level to chlorophyll intensity (%) at post-anthesis were found to be significant Fe₂ 36.17 followed by Fe₁ 35.95 in 2017-18 and Fe₂ 38.05 followed by Fe₁ 37.46 over control in year 2018-19 but in both years Fe₂ and Fe₁ showed significant same response.
- Interaction effect of sowing date and zinc level:** It is proved that interaction effect between sowing date and zinc level to chlorophyll intensity (%) evolved was non-significant both years however maximum value in combination D₁Zn₂ *i.e.* 38.22 followed by D₁Zn₁ 37.14 in 2017-18 followed by D₁Zn₂ *i.e.* 39.78 and D₁Zn₁ 38.69 in year 2018-19 while lowest combination D₂Zn₀ was found to be during in both years.
- Interaction effect of sowing date and Iron level:** Interaction effect combination was D₁Fe₂ *i.e.* 37.35 and 38.74 highest in both years and lowest combination found

to be D₂Fe₀ *i.e.* 33.82 and 35.40 in both years respectively to chlorophyll intensity at post-anthesis.

- Interaction effect between zinc level and iron level:** It is visualized that the value of both experimental chlorophyll intensity (%) noted non significant but numerically maximum value of chlorophyll intensity (%) measured in combination Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 37.64 and 39.65 both years as compared to other combination as minimum in combination Zn₀Fe₀ both concerning years respectively.
- Interaction effect among of sowing date, Zinc level and Iron level:** The mean value of interaction effect among sowing date, zinc level and iron level to chlorophyll intensity (%) was obtained maximum from combination D₁Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 39.22 and 40.56 while minimum in combination D₂Zn₀Fe₀ *i.e.* 31.83 and 32.65 during both years of experimentation 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively.

Table 4: Chlorophyll intensity (%) at post-anthesis as influenced by foliar application of Zinc and Iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Chlorophyll intensity (%) at post-anthesis | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| | 2017-18 | | | | 2018-19 | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 33.86 | 31.83 | 32.84 | 33.85 | 32.65 | 33.25 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 34.20 | 33.16 | 33.68 | 34.25 | 34.56 | 34.40 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 35.28 | 33.68 | 34.48 | 36.89 | 35.90 | 36.39 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 35.89 | 34.73 | 35.31 | 37.75 | 36.76 | 37.25 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 37.99 | 35.62 | 36.80 | 39.55 | 37.55 | 38.55 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 37.55 | 35.27 | 36.41 | 38.77 | 37.45 | 38.11 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 36.49 | 34.92 | 35.70 | 38.53 | 36.80 | 37.66 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 38.96 | 35.80 | 37.38 | 40.25 | 38.66 | 39.45 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 39.22 | 36.06 | 37.64 | 40.56 | 38.75 | 39.65 | | |
| Mean | 36.60 | 34.56 | 35.58 | 37.82 | 36.56 | 37.19 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ | 34.44 | 32.89 | 33.95 | 34.99 | 34.37 | 34.68 | | |
| Zn ₁ | 37.14 | 35.20 | 35.89 | 38.69 | 37.25 | 37.97 | | |
| Zn ₂ | 38.22 | 35.59 | 36.90 | 39.78 | 38.07 | 38.92 | | |
| Mean | 36.60 | 34.56 | 35.58 | 37.82 | 36.56 | 37.19 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe ₀ | 35.41 | 33.82 | 34.61 | 36.71 | 35.40 | 36.05 | | |
| Fe ₁ | 37.05 | 34.86 | 35.95 | 38.01 | 36.92 | 37.46 | | |
| Fe ₂ | 37.35 | 35.00 | 36.17 | 38.74 | 37.36 | 38.05 | | |
| Mean | 36.60 | 34.56 | 35.58 | 37.82 | 36.56 | 37.19 | | |
| Treat. | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean |
| Zn ₀ | 32.84 | 33.68 | 34.48 | 33.66 | 33.25 | 34.40 | 36.39 | 34.68 |
| Zn ₁ | 35.31 | 36.80 | 36.41 | 36.17 | 37.25 | 38.55 | 38.11 | 37.97 |
| Zn ₂ | 35.70 | 37.38 | 37.64 | 36.90 | 37.66 | 39.45 | 39.65 | 38.92 |
| Mean | 34.61 | 35.95 | 36.17 | 35.58 | 36.05 | 37.46 | 38.05 | 37.19 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | | CD at 5% |
| D | 0.45 | | | 1.96 | 0.25 | | | 1.10 |
| Zn | 0.45 | | | 1.96 | 0.44 | | | 1.02 |
| Fe | 0.51 | | | 1.06 | 0.42 | | | 0.87 |
| D x Zn | 0.65 | | | N.S. | 0.63 | | | N.S. |
| D x Fe | 0.72 | | | N.S. | 0.59 | | | N.S. |
| Zn x Fe | 0.89 | | | N.S. | 0.73 | | | N.S. |
| D x Zn x Fe | 1.26 | | | N.S. | 1.03 | | | N.S. |

Protein content (%)

The data presented in table no. 5. Revealed that protein content (%) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition.

- Effect of sowing date:** The mean value of protein content to sowing date evolved that late sowing date D₂ statistically decreased protein content (%) of wheat *i.e.* 11.78 and 11.84 over normal and late sowing date D₁

10.85 and 11.02 during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively hence normal sowing date showing statistically good response over late sown condition.

- Effect of zinc level:** The mean value of protein content (%) was statistically influenced by zinc 400ppm showed maximum *i.e.* 11.62 and 11.79 during both experimental year followed by zinc level 200ppm over control 2017-18 and 2018-19.

- 3. Effect of iron level:** The mean value of protein content (%) statistically influenced by iron level in both years among the iron level 400 ppm and 200 ppm statistically showed same response *i.e.* 11.43 and 11.42 in 2017-18 and during 2018-19 *i.e.* 11.60 and 11.53 respectively.
- 4. Interaction effect of date of sowing and zinc level-** It is visualized that D₁Zn₂ combination showing highest protein content (%) *i.e.* 11.15 and minimum D₂Zn₀ *i.e.* 11.33 in 2017-18 and 2018-19 *i.e.* 11.42 and 11.38 respectively.
- 5. Interaction effect of date of sowing and iron level:** During first year and second year interaction effect showed non-significant effect but maximum combination of protein content (%) was found to be D₁Fe₂ *i.e.* 10.96 and 11.24 during both years and minimum value D₂Fe₀ *i.e.* 11.54 and 11.60 both experimental years.
- 6. Interaction effect of zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of zinc level and iron level on protein content (%) more value of the combination Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 11.85 and 12.16 during both years and minimum value was recorded in control Zn₀Fe₀ *i.e.* 10.69 and 10.72 respectively.
- 7. Interaction effect of sowing date, zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of sowing date, zinc level and iron level was found to be non significant but numerically more value of the combination D₁Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 11.35 and 11.91 followed by D₁Zn₂Fe₁ *i.e.* 11.25 and 11.41 and least in combination D₂Zn₀Fe₀ *i.e.* 11.12 and 11.16 for both corresponding years of experimentation.

Table 5: Protein content (%) in grain after harvesting of wheat grain as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Protein content (%) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | 2017-18 | | | 2018-19 | | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 10.26 | 11.12 | 10.69 | 10.28 | 11.16 | 10.72 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 10.48 | 11.39 | 10.93 | 10.62 | 11.45 | 11.03 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 10.62 | 11.48 | 11.05 | 10.66 | 11.53 | 11.09 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 10.85 | 11.75 | 11.30 | 10.89 | 11.81 | 11.35 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 11.12 | 12.12 | 11.62 | 11.30 | 12.16 | 11.74 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 10.89 | 11.89 | 11.39 | 11.16 | 11.97 | 11.56 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 10.85 | 11.76 | 11.30 | 10.95 | 11.82 | 11.38 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 11.25 | 12.21 | 11.73 | 11.41 | 12.26 | 11.83 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 11.35 | 12.35 | 11.85 | 11.91 | 12.42 | 12.16 | | |
| Mean | 10.85 | 11.78 | 11.31 | 11.02 | 11.84 | 11.43 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ | 10.45 | 11.33 | 10.89 | 10.52 | 11.38 | 10.95 | | |
| Zn ₁ | 10.95 | 11.92 | 11.43 | 11.11 | 11.98 | 11.54 | | |
| Zn ₂ | 11.15 | 12.10 | 11.62 | 11.42 | 12.16 | 11.79 | | |
| Mean | 10.85 | 11.78 | 11.31 | 11.02 | 11.84 | 11.43 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe ₀ | 10.65 | 11.54 | 11.09 | 10.70 | 11.60 | 11.15 | | |
| Fe ₁ | 10.95 | 11.90 | 11.42 | 11.11 | 11.95 | 11.53 | | |
| Fe ₂ | 10.96 | 11.90 | 11.43 | 11.24 | 11.97 | 11.60 | | |
| Mean | 10.85 | 11.78 | 11.31 | 11.02 | 11.84 | 11.43 | | |
| Treat. | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean |
| Zn ₀ | 10.69 | 10.93 | 11.05 | 10.89 | 10.72 | 11.03 | 11.09 | 10.94 |
| Zn ₁ | 11.30 | 11.62 | 11.39 | 11.43 | 11.35 | 11.74 | 11.56 | 11.55 |
| Zn ₂ | 11.31 | 11.73 | 11.85 | 11.62 | 11.38 | 11.83 | 12.16 | 11.79 |
| Mean | 11.10 | 11.42 | 11.43 | 11.31 | 11.15 | 11.53 | 11.60 | 11.43 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | | |
| D | 0.08 | | 0.34 | 0.11 | | 0.47 | | |
| Zn | 0.09 | | 0.22 | 0.13 | | 0.31 | | |
| Fe | 0.06 | | 0.13 | 0.10 | | 0.22 | | |
| D x Zn | 0.14 | | N.S. | 0.19 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Fe | 0.09 | | N.S. | 0.15 | | N.S. | | |
| Zn x Fe | 0.14 | | N.S. | 0.18 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Zn x Fe | 0.16 | | N.S. | 0.26 | | N.S. | | |

Grain weight per plant (g)

The data presented in table no. 6 revealed that grain weight per plant (g) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition.

- 1. Effect of sowing date:** The mean value of sowing date observed that normal sowing date D₁ statistically significant increased grain weight per plant (g) of wheat *i.e.* 8.30 and 8.59 over late sowing date D₂ 7.69 and 7.88 during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively hence normal sowing date showing statistically better response

over late sown condition of grain weight/plant.

- 2. Effect of zinc level:** The mean value of grain weight per plant (g) was statistically influenced by zinc 400 ppm showed maximum *i.e.* 8.25 and 8.63 during both experimental year followed by zinc level 200ppm over control 2017-18 and 2018-19.
- 3. Effect of iron level:** The mean value of grain weight per plant (g) statistically significant influenced by iron level during both years among the iron level 400 ppm and 200 ppm statistically showed same response under lined by

same bar *i.e.* 8.11 and 8.12 in 2017-18 and during 2018-19 *i.e.* 8.43 and 8.32 respectively.

- 4. Interaction effect of date of sowing and zinc level:** It is visualized that interaction effect of sowing date and zinc level showed non-significant effect but D₁Zn₂ combination showing highest grain weight per plant (g) *i.e.* 8.54 and minimum D₂Zn₀ 7.29 in 2017-18 and in 2018-19 *i.e.* 8.97 and 7.36 respectively.
- 5. Interaction effect of date of sowing and iron level:** During first year and second year interaction effect showed non-significant effect but maximum combination of grain weight per plant (g) was found to be D₁Fe₂ *i.e.* 8.42 and 8.80 during both years and minimum value D₂Fe₀ *i.e.* 7.49 and 7.60 both experimental years.

- 6. Interaction effect of zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of zinc level and iron level on grain weight per plant (g) more value of the combination Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 8.40 and 8.94 during both years and minimum value was recorded in control Zn₀Fe₀ *i.e.* 7.24 and 7.45 respectively during 2017-18 and 2018-19.
- 7. Interaction effect of sowing date, zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of higher order was found to be non-significant but numerically more value of the combination D₁Zn₂Fe₂ *i.e.* 8.70 and 9.31 followed by D₁Zn₂Fe₁ *i.e.* 8.63 and 8.95 and least in combination D₂Zn₀Fe₀ *i.e.* 7.02 and 7.04 for both corresponding years of experimentation.

Table 6: Grain weight per plant ear as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Grain weight per plant | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| | 2017-18 | | | 2018-19 | | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 7.46 | 7.02 | 7.24 | 7.86 | 7.04 | 7.45 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 7.88 | 7.37 | 7.62 | 8.06 | 7.50 | 7.78 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 8.08 | 7.48 | 7.78 | 8.24 | 7.56 | 7.90 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 8.27 | 7.72 | 7.99 | 8.47 | 7.80 | 8.13 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 8.92 | 7.91 | 8.41 | 8.97 | 8.17 | 8.57 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 8.49 | 7.84 | 8.16 | 8.85 | 8.06 | 8.45 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 8.30 | 7.75 | 8.02 | 8.65 | 7.98 | 8.31 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 8.63 | 8.04 | 8.33 | 8.95 | 8.31 | 8.63 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 8.70 | 8.11 | 8.40 | 9.31 | 8.58 | 8.94 | | |
| Mean | 8.30 | 7.69 | 7.99 | 8.59 | 7.88 | 8.23 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ | 7.80 | 7.29 | 7.54 | 8.05 | 7.36 | 7.70 | | |
| Zn ₁ | 8.56 | 7.82 | 8.19 | 8.76 | 8.01 | 8.38 | | |
| Zn ₂ | 8.54 | 7.96 | 8.25 | 8.97 | 8.29 | 8.63 | | |
| Mean | 8.30 | 7.69 | 7.99 | 8.59 | 7.88 | 8.23 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe ₀ | 8.01 | 7.49 | 7.75 | 8.32 | 7.60 | 7.96 | | |
| Fe ₁ | 8.47 | 7.77 | 8.12 | 8.66 | 7.99 | 8.32 | | |
| Fe ₂ | 8.42 | 7.81 | 8.11 | 8.80 | 8.06 | 8.43 | | |
| Mean | 8.30 | 7.69 | 7.99 | 8.59 | 7.88 | 8.23 | | |
| Treat. | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean |
| Zn ₀ | 7.24 | 7.62 | 7.78 | 7.54 | 7.45 | 7.78 | 7.90 | 7.71 |
| Zn ₁ | 7.99 | 8.41 | 8.16 | 8.18 | 8.13 | 8.57 | 8.45 | 8.38 |
| Zn ₂ | 8.02 | 8.33 | 8.40 | 8.25 | 8.31 | 8.63 | 8.94 | 8.62 |
| Mean | 7.75 | 8.12 | 8.11 | 7.99 | 7.96 | 8.32 | 8.43 | 8.23 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | | |
| D | 0.15 | | 0.66 | 0.13 | | 0.57 | | |
| Zn | 0.14 | | 0.33 | 0.13 | | 0.31 | | |
| Fe | 0.16 | | 0.34 | 0.14 | | 0.30 | | |
| D x Zn | 0.20 | | N.S. | 0.19 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Fe | 0.16 | | N.S. | 0.21 | | N.S. | | |
| Zn x Fe | 0.28 | | N.S. | 0.25 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Zn x Fe | 0.40 | | N.S. | 0.36 | | N.S. | | |

Test weight (g)

The data presented in table no. 7. Revealed that test weight (g) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition.

- 1. Effect of sowing date:** The mean value of test weight to sowing date was recorded that normal sowing date D₁ statistically increased test weight (g) of wheat *i.e.* 38.94 and 38.97 over late sowing date D₂ 36.78 and 36.98 during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively hence normal sowing date showing statistically significant good response over late sown condition.
- 2. Effect of zinc level:** The mean value of test weight (g)

was statistically influenced by zinc 400ppm showed significant maximum Zn₂ *i.e.* 38.51 and 38.62 during both experimental year followed by zinc level 200 ppm *i.e.* 37.91 and 38.01.

- 3. Effect of iron level:** The mean value of test weight (g) statistically influenced by iron level in both years among the iron level 400 ppm and 200 ppm showed statistically same response *i.e.* 38.09 and 37.98 in 2017-18 and during 2018-19 *i.e.* 38.29 and 37.96 respectively.
- 4. Interaction effect of date of sowing and zinc level:** It is observed that D₁Zn₂ combination showed highest test weight (g) *i.e.* 39.78 and minimum D₂Zn₀ 36.22 in 2017-

18 and 2018-19 *i.e.* 39.71 and 36.37 respectively.

5. Interaction effect of date of sowing and iron level:

During first year and second year interaction effect showed non-significant effect but maximum combination of test weight (g) was found to be D_1Fe_2 *i.e.* 39.23 and 39.38 during both years and minimum value D_2Fe_0 *i.e.* 36.55 and 36.71 both experimental years.

6. Interaction effect of zinc level and iron level: The interaction effect of zinc level and iron level on test weight (g) more value of the combination Zn_2Fe_2 39.15

and 39.48 during both years and minimum value was recorded in control Zn_0Fe_0 *i.e.* 37.00 and 37.12 respectively.

7. Interaction effect of sowing date, zinc level and iron level:

The interaction effect of higher order was found to be non-significant but numerically more value of the combination $D_1Zn_2Fe_2$ *i.e.* 40.65 and 40.82 followed by $D_1Zn_2Fe_1$ *i.e.* 39.95 and 39.41 and least in combination $D_2Zn_0Fe_0$ *i.e.* 36.05 and 36.20 for both corresponding years of experimentation 2017-18 and 2018-19.

Table 7: Test weight as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Test weight | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|--------|-------|
| | 2017-18 | | | 2018-19 | | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| $Zn_0 Fe_0$ | 37.95 | 36.05 | 37.00 | 38.05 | 36.20 | 37.12 | | |
| $Zn_0 Fe_1$ | 38.15 | 36.27 | 37.21 | 38.25 | 36.41 | 37.33 | | |
| $Zn_0 Fe_2$ | 38.25 | 36.35 | 37.30 | 38.40 | 36.50 | 37.45 | | |
| $Zn_1 Fe_0$ | 38.74 | 36.81 | 37.77 | 38.87 | 36.97 | 37.92 | | |
| $Zn_1 Fe_1$ | 39.25 | 37.01 | 38.13 | 39.11 | 37.15 | 38.13 | | |
| $Zn_1 Fe_2$ | 38.80 | 36.86 | 37.83 | 38.92 | 36.99 | 37.95 | | |
| $Zn_2 Fe_0$ | 38.76 | 36.82 | 37.79 | 38.91 | 36.97 | 37.94 | | |
| $Zn_2 Fe_1$ | 39.95 | 37.30 | 38.62 | 39.41 | 37.49 | 38.45 | | |
| $Zn_2 Fe_2$ | 40.65 | 37.65 | 39.15 | 40.82 | 38.14 | 39.48 | | |
| Mean | 38.94 | 36.78 | 37.86 | 38.97 | 36.98 | 37.97 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn_0 | 38.11 | 36.22 | 37.16 | 38.23 | 36.37 | 37.30 | | |
| Zn_1 | 38.93 | 36.89 | 37.91 | 38.96 | 37.07 | 38.01 | | |
| Zn_2 | 39.78 | 37.25 | 38.51 | 39.71 | 37.53 | 38.62 | | |
| Mean | 38.94 | 36.78 | 37.86 | 38.97 | 36.98 | 37.97 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe_0 | 38.48 | 36.55 | 37.51 | 38.61 | 36.71 | 37.66 | | |
| Fe_1 | 39.11 | 36.86 | 37.98 | 38.92 | 37.01 | 37.96 | | |
| Fe_2 | 39.23 | 36.95 | 38.09 | 39.38 | 37.21 | 38.29 | | |
| Mean | 38.94 | 36.78 | 37.86 | 38.97 | 36.98 | 37.97 | | |
| Treat. | Fe_0 | Fe_1 | Fe_2 | Mean | Fe_0 | Fe_1 | Fe_2 | Mean |
| Zn_0 | 37.00 | 37.21 | 37.30 | 37.17 | 37.12 | 37.33 | 37.45 | 37.30 |
| Zn_1 | 37.77 | 38.13 | 37.83 | 37.91 | 37.92 | 38.13 | 37.95 | 38.00 |
| Zn_2 | 37.79 | 38.62 | 39.15 | 38.52 | 37.94 | 38.45 | 39.48 | 38.62 |
| Mean | 37.52 | 37.98 | 38.09 | 37.86 | 37.66 | 37.97 | 38.29 | 37.97 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | | |
| D | 0.24 | | 1.03 | 0.27 | | 1.18 | | |
| Zn | 0.26 | | 0.60 | 0.30 | | 0.70 | | |
| Fe | 0.27 | | 0.56 | 0.30 | | 0.63 | | |
| D x Zn | 0.37 | | N.S. | 0.43 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Fe | 0.38 | | N.S. | 0.43 | | N.S. | | |
| Zn x Fe | 0.47 | | N.S. | 0.53 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Zn x Fe | 0.66 | | N.S. | 0.75 | | N.S. | | |

Harvest index (%): The data presented in Table no. 8 revealed that harvest index (%) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition.

1. Effect of sowing date: The mean value of sowing date evolved that normal sowing date D_1 statistically increased harvest index (%) of wheat *i.e.* 38.32 and 39.01 over late sowing date D_2 36.81 and 37.97 during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively hence normal sowing date showing statistically good response over late sown condition during both year.

2. Effect of zinc level: The mean value of zinc level to harvest index (%) was statistically influenced by zinc 400 ppm showed significant maximum *i.e.* 38.38 and 39.33 during both experimental year followed by zinc level 200 ppm *i.e.* 37.89 and 38.90.

3. Effect of iron level- The mean value of harvest index (%) statistically influenced by iron level in both years among the iron level 400 ppm and 200 ppm statistically showed same response *i.e.* 37.89 and 37.75 in 2017-18 and during 2018-19 *i.e.* 38.79 and 38.68 respectively.

4. Interaction effect of date of sowing and zinc level: It is visualized that D_1Zn_2 combination showing highest harvest index (%) *i.e.* 39.15 and minimum D_2Zn_0 35.80 in year 2017-18 and in 2018-19 *i.e.* 39.80 and 36.69 respectively.

5. Interaction effect of date of sowing and iron level: During first year and second year interaction effect showed non-significant effect but maximum combination of harvest index (%) was found to be D_1Fe_2 *i.e.* 38.61 and 39.27 during both years and minimum value D_2Fe_0 *i.e.* 36.28 and 37.39 both experimental years.

- 6. Interaction effect of zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of zinc level and iron level on harvest index (%) higher value of the combination was found to be Zn_2Fe_2 i.e. 38.77 and 39.72 during both years and minimum value was recorded in control Zn_0Fe_0 i.e. 35.82 and 36.54 respectively.
- 7. Interaction effect of sowing date, zinc level and iron level:** The interaction effect of higher order was found to be non-significant but numerically maximum value of the combination $D_1Zn_2Fe_2$ i.e. 39.48 and 40.14 followed by $D_1Zn_2Fe_1$ i.e. 39.43 and 39.93 and least in combination $D_2Zn_0Fe_0$ i.e. 35.12 and 35.83 for both corresponding years of experimentation.

Table 8: Harvest index (%) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and iron at normal and late sown condition

| Treatments | Harvest Index (%) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | 2017-18 | | | 2018-19 | | | | |
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₀ | 36.53 | 35.12 | 35.82 | 37.25 | 35.83 | 36.54 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₁ | 37.10 | 35.95 | 36.52 | 37.89 | 36.97 | 37.43 | | |
| Zn ₀ Fe ₂ | 37.60 | 36.34 | 36.97 | 38.24 | 37.27 | 37.75 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₀ | 38.44 | 36.83 | 37.63 | 39.26 | 38.11 | 38.68 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₁ | 39.03 | 37.15 | 38.09 | 39.68 | 38.62 | 39.15 | | |
| Zn ₁ Fe ₂ | 38.76 | 37.14 | 37.95 | 39.43 | 38.34 | 38.88 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₀ | 38.54 | 36.90 | 37.72 | 39.33 | 38.22 | 38.77 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₁ | 39.43 | 37.86 | 38.64 | 39.93 | 39.06 | 39.49 | | |
| Zn ₂ Fe ₂ | 39.48 | 38.07 | 38.77 | 40.14 | 39.31 | 39.72 | | |
| Mean | 38.32 | 36.81 | 37.56 | 39.01 | 37.97 | 38.49 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Zn ₀ | 37.07 | 35.80 | 36.43 | 37.79 | 36.69 | 37.24 | | |
| Zn ₁ | 38.74 | 37.04 | 37.89 | 39.45 | 38.36 | 38.90 | | |
| Zn ₂ | 39.15 | 37.61 | 38.38 | 39.80 | 38.86 | 39.33 | | |
| Mean | 38.32 | 36.81 | 37.56 | 39.01 | 37.97 | 38.49 | | |
| Treat. | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | D ₁ | D ₂ | Mean | | |
| Fe ₀ | 37.83 | 36.28 | 37.05 | 38.61 | 37.39 | 38.00 | | |
| Fe ₁ | 38.52 | 36.98 | 37.75 | 39.16 | 38.21 | 38.68 | | |
| Fe ₂ | 38.61 | 37.18 | 37.89 | 39.27 | 38.31 | 38.79 | | |
| Mean | 38.32 | 36.81 | 37.56 | 39.01 | 37.97 | 38.49 | | |
| Treat. | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean | Fe ₀ | Fe ₁ | Fe ₂ | Mean |
| Zn ₀ | 35.82 | 36.52 | 36.97 | 36.43 | 36.54 | 37.43 | 37.75 | 37.24 |
| Zn ₁ | 37.63 | 38.09 | 37.95 | 37.89 | 38.68 | 39.15 | 38.88 | 38.90 |
| Zn ₂ | 37.72 | 38.64 | 38.77 | 38.37 | 38.77 | 39.49 | 39.72 | 39.32 |
| Mean | 37.05 | 37.75 | 37.89 | 37.56 | 37.99 | 38.69 | 38.78 | 38.49 |
| Factors | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | SE (diff.) | | CD at 5% | | |
| D | 0.10 | | 0.46 | 0.12 | | 0.58 | | |
| Zn | 0.10 | | 0.26 | 0.11 | | 0.26 | | |
| Fe | 0.11 | | 0.24 | 0.13 | | 0.27 | | |
| D x Zn | 0.16 | | N.S. | 0.16 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Fe | 0.16 | | N.S. | 0.18 | | N.S. | | |
| Zn x Fe | 0.16 | | N.S. | 0.18 | | N.S. | | |
| D x Zn x Fe | 0.29 | | N.S. | 0.32 | | N.S. | | |

References

- Abid Khan, Zafar Hayat, Farhan Ahmad, Kaleem Ahmad. Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc and Boron on Growth and Yield Components of Wheat Agriculture research and technology open access journal, 2019, 2471-6774
- Ali EA. Effect of iron nutrient care sprayed on foliage at different physiological growth stages on yield and quality of some durum wheat (*Triticum du-rum* L.) varieties in sandy soil. Asian J of Crop Sci. 2012;4(4):139-149.
- Ananda N, Patil BN. Quality parameters of durum wheat as influenced by zinc, iron and time of nitrogen application. Research on Crops. 2007;8:3-5.
- Arkadiusz Stepień, Katarzyna, Wojtkowiak. Effect of foliar application of Cu, Zn and Mn on yield and quality indicators of winter wheat grain 2 University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Faculty of Technical Sciences, ul. Heweliusza Olsztyn, Poland. 2015;10:10-718.
- Arnon DI, Stout PR. The essentiality of certain element in minute quantity for plant with special reference to copper. Plant Physiology. 1949;14:371-375.
- Distelfeld A, Cakmak I, Peleg Z, Ozturk L, Yazici AM, Budak H. Multiple quality effects of wheat *Gpc-B1* locus on grain protein and micronutrient concentrations. Physiol. Plant. 2007;129:635-643.
- Etienne Niyigaba, Angelique Twizerimana, Innocent Mugenzi, Wansim Aboubakar Ngnadong. Winter Wheat Grain Quality, Zinc and Iron Concentration affected by a Combined Foliar Spray of Zinc and Iron Fertilizers Department of crop cultivation and farming system, College of Agronomy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling Shaanxi 712100, China, 2019.
- Hemantaranjan A, Grag OK. Iron and zinc fertilization with reference to the grain quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Plant Nutr. 1988;11(6-11):1439-1450.
- Jam MP, Sixit JP, Pillal PVA, Khan RA. Effect of sowing date on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties under late sown irrigated conditions. Indian d. Agric. Sci. 1992;62(10):669-770.
- Kharub AS, Gupta SP. Quality traits in durum and aestivum wheat genotypes as influenced by zinc application. Indian J Agricultural Research. 2003;37:48-51.
- Lal Babu Singh, Rajkumar Yadav, Thomas Abraham. Studies on the effect of Zinc levels and methods of boron application on growth, yield and protein content of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci, 2015, 4(2)
- Tahir M, Ali A., Nadeem MA, Khalid F. Effect of different sowing dates on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties in district Jhang, Pakistan. Pak J Life Soc. Sci. 2009;7(1):66-69,
- Majid Abdoli, Ezatollah Esfandiari, Seyed Bahman Mousavi (2014). Effects of foliar application of zinc sulfate at different phenological stages on yield formation and grain zinc content of bread wheat (cv. Kohdasht) Azarian Journal of Agriculture 2009;1:11-16, 2383-442.