



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(5): 2321-2323  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 08-03-2022

Accepted: 27-04-2022

**Uma Devi**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Rajesh Yadav**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Sunil Kumar**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Lakshmi Chaudhary**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Saroj Yadav**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Neelam**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Meena Sewhag**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Meena Sewhag**

Pulses Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

## Effect of fertilizer doses, organic manure and biofertilizer for yield maximization on mungbean and their residual effect on succeeding mustard crop

Uma Devi, Rajesh Yadav, Sunil Kumar, Lakshmi Chaudhary, Saroj Yadav, Neelam and Meena Sewhag

### Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar (Haryana) during kharif 2020 to study the effect of inorganic fertilizer, organic manure and biofertilizer for yield maximization of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] and their residual effect on succeeding mustard crop. The experiment was conducted with three sources of nutrients having eighteen combination viz., three fertilizers doses i.e 75%, 100% and 125% of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) with two FYM levels (no fym and 5 ton/ha. fym) and three biofertilizers i.e. *Rhizobium*, *LNm 16* and *Rhizobium + LNm 16* in Factorial Randomized Block Design replicated thrice. It was found that in 100% RDF recorded significantly higher seed yield (737.39 kg/ha), 1000 seed weight (38.92) and pods per plant (25.75) of mungbean over 75% RDF (583.11 kg/ha, 37.72 and 23.37), respectively. Seed yield in 100% RDF was higher to the tune of 26.46 percent over 75% RDF. The application of 5 ton/ha FYM recorded significantly higher seed yield (713.48 kg/ha), pods per plant (25.19) and branches per plant (3.26) over control. Seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* + PSB strain *LNm 16* recorded maximum and significantly higher seed yield (706.45 kg/ha), branches per plant (3.2) and pods per plant (25.08) over seed inoculation with PSB strain *LNm 16*. Residual effect of different fertilizers level on mustard crop yield was non significant whereas seed yield of mustard crop was significantly effected by residual effect of FYM application and it was highest (3540) at 5 ton/ha FYM over control.

**Keywords:** Mungbean, RDF, recommended dose of fertilizers, FYM and Biofertilizers

### Introduction

Pulses occupy a unique position in the Indian diet because of the constituting cheapest source of vegetable protein for the vegetarian population of India (Anonymous 2015). Green gram is second most important pulse crop in India after pigeon pea in the acreage. It is one of the important pulse crops cultivated in India ranking third having about 70% of the world area and 45% of production. Its green plants are used as fodder after removing the mature pods (Kumawat *et al.*, 2009b). The yield and nutrition quality of pulses is greatly influenced by application of nutrient elements, organic manures and biofertilizers (Kumawat *et al.*, 2010). The requirement of fertilizer for the mungbean crop is not too high as it is a leguminous crop. In mungbean, roots have symbiotic rhizobia bacteria which help in fixing atmospheric nitrogen into the soil (Anjum *et al.*, 2006) [1]. Organic manures provide a good substrate for the growth of microorganisms and maintain a favourable nutritional balance and soil physical properties (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2004) [2]. Application of FYM increased the activity of acid and alkaline phosphatase, phosphodiesterase, inorganic pycophosphatase and dehydrogenase leading to faster hydrolysis of ester-bond P to plant available P (Dinesh *et al.*, 2003) [3]. The association of *Rhizobium* and pulse plants helps in improving fertility of soil and is a cost effective method of nitrogen fertilization in legumes (Meena *et al.*, 2014) [7]. Combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* and PSB not only significantly enhanced the growth characteristics and yield attributes but also resulted significantly higher yield as compared to *Rhizobium* and PSB inoculation alone because of dual benefit of N fixation and P solubilization in greengram (Singh, 1998) [8].

### Material and Method

Field experiment was conducted during kharif 2020 at the Pulses Research Farm, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding of Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar which is situated at latitude of 29°10' North, longitude of 75°46' East and elevation of

215.2 m above mean sea level in the semi-arid, subtropical climate zone of India. The experiment was laid out in factorial Randomized Block design on sandy loam (64.2% sand, 16.8% silt and 19.6% clay) soil which is slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon and nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and potassium. The treatments having combination of three fertilizers doses i.e 75%, 100% and 125% of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) with two FYM levels (no FYM and 5 ton/ha. FYM) and three biofertilizers i.e. *Rhizobium*, *LNm 16* and *Rhizobium + LNm 16* replicated thrice. Fertilizers were applied through urea and diammonium phosphate. FYM was applied before 15 days of sowing as per treatments and seeds were treated with biofertilizer (*Rhizobium*, PSB strain *LNm 16* and *Rhizobium + PSB strain LNm 16*) except control. Mungbean variety "MH 421" was used as the test crop. Seeds were sown on 15<sup>th</sup> August 2020 at about 5.0 cm depth by drilling in rows using 15 kg seed ha<sup>-1</sup> and spacing of 30 cm between rows and 10 cm between plants and harvested at physiological maturity. All the cultural practices were followed as per package of practice. The data on various growth and yield attributes, seed and straws were recorded under various treatments.

### Results and Discussion

Beneficial effect of fertility levels on growth and development of mungbean has been clearly brought out in this investigation. Perusal of the data (Table 1) revealed that fertility levels significantly affect all growth as well as yield attributes where plant height (53.07cm), number of nodules per plant (25.4) and dry weight of nodules (63.3 mg) were recorded maximum with application of 125% of recommended dose of fertilizers and branches per plant was at par with 100% RDF. The application of 100% RDF recorded significantly higher seed yield (737.39 kg/ha), 1000 seed weight (38.92) and pods per plant (25.75) of mungbean over

75% RDF (583.11 kg/ha, 37.72 and 23.37), respectively. Seed yield in 100% RDF was higher to the tune of 26.46 percent over 75% RDF. Residual effect of different fertilizers level on mustard crop yield was non significant. However the yield (3525 kg/ha) was maximum with 125% RDF

For the organic manure, the application of 5 ton/ha FYM affected all growth as well as yield attributes and recorded significantly higher seed yield (713.48 kg/ha), pods per plant (25.19) and branches per plant (3.26) over control. Percent increase in seed yield of mungbean was to the tune of 12.96% over control. Seed yield of mustard crop was also significantly effected by residual effect of application of fym and it was highest (3540) at 5 ton/ha fym over control.

Seed inoculation with *Rhizobium + PSB strain LMn 16* recorded maximum and significantly higher seed yield (706.45 kg/ha), branches per plant (3.2) and pods per plant (25.08) over seed inoculation with PSB strain LMn 16. Percent increase in seed yield was to the tune of 11.1 over seed inoculation with PSB strain LMn 16. Seed yield with seed inoculation with rhizobium (675.28 kg/ha) remained at par with seed inoculation with *Rhizobium + PSB strain LMn 16*. The increase in yield due to inoculation with dual (*Rhizobium + PSB*) might be due to production of growth promoting substances such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokines which might improve plant growth and stimulate the microbial development. The cumulative effect might be due to supply of nitrogen and phosphorus to the crop and also increased solubilization of mineral phosphates and other nutrients similar observation was recorded by Tanwar, (1997)<sup>[9]</sup>, Kumar *et al.*, (2010)<sup>[6]</sup> and Kumar and Kumawat (2014)<sup>[14]</sup>. Non-significant difference was observed for all growth as well as yield attributes on application of different biofertilizers. Residual effect of different biofertilizers on yield of mustard crop was non-significant

**Table 1:** Effect of fertilizer doses, organic manure and biofertilizer on growth, yield and yield attributes of mungbean.

| Treatment                              | Plant height (cm) | Branches/plant | No. of nodules/plant | Nodules dry wt.(mg) | Pods/plant | Seeds/pod | 1000 seed wt. (g) | Seed yield (kg/ha) Mungbean | Seed yield (kg/ha) Mustard |  |
|--|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| <b>A. Main plot: Fertilizer doses</b>  |                   |                |                      |                     |            |           |                   |                             |                            |  |
| 1. 75% RDF                             | 45.36             | 2.94           | 18.3                 | 53.2                | 23.4       | 7.5       | 37.72             | 583.11                      | 3,368                      |  |
| 2. 100% RDF                            | 48.58             | 3.33           | 23.2                 | 60.1                | 25.7       | 11.17     | 38.92             | 737.39                      | 3,450                      |  |
| 3. 125% RDF                            | 53.07             | 3.30           | 25.4                 | 63.3                | 25.7       | 10.28     | 38.68             | 697.17                      | 3,525                      |  |
| S.Em±                                  | 0.57              | 0.05           | 1.1                  | 1.1                 | 0.21       | 0.27      | 0.33              | 26.65                       | 40.4                       |  |
| CD (P=0.05)                            | 1.65              | 0.14           | 3.2                  | 3.3                 | 0.6        | 0.76      | 0.96              | 83                          | NS                         |  |
| <b>B. Sub plot: Organic manure</b>     |                   |                |                      |                     |            |           |                   |                             |                            |  |
| 1. Control                             | 47.96             | 3.12           | 17.5                 | 52.1                | 24.7       | 9.2       | 38.17             | 631.63                      | 3,355                      |  |
| 2. 5 ton/ha                            | 50.04             | 3.26           | 24.2                 | 64.2                | 25.2       | 10.1      | 38.71             | 713.48                      | 3,540                      |  |
| S.Em±                                  | 0.47              | 0.04           | 1.6                  | 2.1                 | 0.17       | 0.22      | 0.27              | 21.65                       | 32.98                      |  |
| CD (P=0.05)                            | 1.35              | 0.12           | 4.8                  | 6.3                 | 0.49       | 0.62      | NS                | 68                          | 103.9                      |  |
| <b>C. Sub Sub plot: Biofertilizers</b> |                   |                |                      |                     |            |           |                   |                             |                            |  |
| 1. <i>Rhizobium</i>                    | 49.04             | 3.18           | 20.2                 | 53.6                | 24.96      | 9.64      | 38.57             | 675.28                      | 3433                       |  |
| 2. <i>LNm 16</i>                       | 48.54             | 3.18           | 18.3                 | 51.2                | 24.8       | 9.42      | 38.32             | 635.95                      | 3389                       |  |
| 3. <i>Rhizobium + LNm 16</i>           | 49.41             | 3.20           | 24.5                 | 61.7                | 25.1       | 9.89      | 38.44             | 706.45                      | 3520                       |  |
| S.Em±                                  | 0.57              | 0.05           | 1.2                  | 1.7                 | 0.21       | 0.27      | 0.33              | 10.67                       | 57.12                      |  |
| CD (P=0.05)                            | NS                | NS             | 3.5                  | 5.3                 | NS         | NS        | NS                | 31                          | NS                         |  |

### References

- Anjum MS, Ahmed ZI, Rauf CA. Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and yield components of mungbean. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2006;8:238-240.
- Chaudhary DR, Bhandari SC, Shukla LM. Role of vermicompost in sustainable agriculture: A review. Agril Rev. 2004;25:29-39.
- Dinesh R, Ganeshamurthy AN, Choudhuri SG, Prasaad SG. Dissolution of rock phosphate as influenced by farm yard manure, fresh poultry manure and earthwarms in soil of an oilpalm plantation. J Indian Soc Soil Sci.

- 2003;51:308-312.
4. Kumar R, Kumawat N. Effect of sowing dates, seed rates and integrated nutrition on productivity, profitability and nutrient uptake of summer mungbean in Eastern Himalaya. *Archi. Agron. Soil Sci.* 2014;60(9):1207-1227.
  5. Kumawat N, Sharma OP, Kumar R. Effect of organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization on yield and economics of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Environ. Ecol.* 2009b;27(1):5-7.
  6. Kumpawat BS. Integrated nutrient management in blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) and its residual effect on succeeding mustard (*Brassica juncea*) crop. *Indian J Agril Sci.* 2010;80(1):76-79.
  7. Meena JS, Verma HP, Pincholi P. Effect of fertility levels and biofertilizers on yield, quality and economic of cowpea. *Agric. Sust. Develop.* 2014;2(2):162-164.
  8. Singh M. Efficiency of biofertilizers and weed control methods on productivity of greengram [*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek]. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Raj. Agril. Univ., Bikaner, 1998.
  9. Tanwar SPS. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and productivity of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Helper). M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Raj. Agril. Univ. Bikaner, 1997.