www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(5): 1809-1816 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 23-03-2022 Accepted: 31-04-2022

#### Kumawat Sarla

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

#### Kumawat Ravi

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

#### Kumawat Kana Ram

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

#### **Gothwal DK**

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

#### **Banjarey** Prabha

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Kumawat Sarla Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

### Genotypic basis response of fenugreek genotype grown under different levels of salinity stress

## Kumawat Sarla, Kumawat Ravi, Kumawat Kana Ram, Gothwal DK and Banjarey Prabha

#### Abstract

Salinity is one of the main factors responsible for deterioration and poor agricultural productivity in semi-arid and arid regions due to reducing seed germination and seedling establishment. An in vitro investigation was carried out at the Laboratory of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra College of Agriculture, Jobner (Rajasthan). This experiment was carried out to assess the genotypic response of ten fenugreek genotypes under different salinity levels using five levels of NaCl salinity viz. 0.0 mM, 40 mM, 80 mM, 120 mM and 160 mM. Fifteen seeds of each genotype were sown in sterilized Petridishes and seedling observations were recorded. The genotypes showed significant differences for most of the traits in each of the salinity level and pooled ANOVA exhibited significant differences among genotypes, both salinity levels as well as the interactions between genotype x salinity levels, indicating differential response of genotypes along the salinity gradients for most of the characters. Fenugreek showed moderately tolerant to salinity up to 40 mM NaCl, but varied in degree of salt tolerance as salinity increased, it affected by their seedling traits. The highest GCV and PCV values were observed in radicle fresh weight followed by plumule dry weight and radicle dry weight indicating that variation was high for these characters. Heritability in board sense was generally high for plumule and radicle fresh weight, plumule dry weight, radicle length, plumule to radicle length ratio and seedling length across the salinity levels. Hence, these high heritable traits would be rewarding for selection for better crop improvement programme. Mean value was recorded higher in the control and higher reduction at higher salinity level for most of traits viz., plumule length, radicle length, seedling length, plumule to radicle length ratio, plumule fresh weight, radicle fresh weight, plumule dry weight, radicle dry weight and seedling vigour index. Over different salinity levels S1, S2, S3 and S4 (Sm) and characters, RMt-303, UM-385, RMt-143 and RMt-305 would be found desirable used for better seedling enhancement under salinity. These lines would be used for further crop breeding programme under this climate changing era.

Keywords: Salinity, fenugreek, genetic variability, seedling parameters

#### Introduction

Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) is a self pollinated, small seeded, annual legume which belongs to family *fabaceae*. It has chromosome number 2n = 2x = 16. This crop is native to an area extending from Iran to Northern India and widely cultivated in China, India, Egypt, Ethiopia, Morocco, Ukraine, Greece, Turkey, etc. In India, the major fenugreek growing states Rajasthan, Gujrat, Madhya Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Haryana and Punjab. It is the third largest seed spice in India after coriander and cumin. India occupies a prime position among the fenugreek growing countries in the world. More than 70 percent area and production of the country is contributed by Rajasthan state alone. In India, the seeds are used in curries as spice for adding nutritive value and flavour, dyes and medicines. Fenugreek leaves and seeds are consumed for different purposes such as, medicinal uses (antidiabetic, lowering blood sugar and cholesterol level, anti-cancer, anti-microbial, etc.), making food (stew with rice, flavour cheese, syrup and bitter run, mixed seed powder with flour for making flat bread, curries, dyes, young seedlings eaten as a vegetable etc.), roasted grain as coffee-substitute, controlling insects in grain storages, food and forage for cattle and in perfume industries. it is moderately tolerant to salinity which makes it suitable for cultivation in major parts of the state. Salinity is one of the most abiotic factors in arid and semi - arid regions in the world which adversely affected the crop yield due to limits the growth and production of crop. Seedling establishment is a most critical stage that determined the crop production at later stages. Phenotypic variability changes under different environmental conditions while genetic variability remains unchanged and more useful to a plant breeder for

exploitation in selection or hybridization. Heritability is a suitable measure for assessing the magnitude of genetic portion of total variability and genetic advance aids to make improvement in crop by selection for various characters. It is an index of transmissibility of a character from parents to their off springs. Thus, study of heritability coupled with genetic advance is more useful in predicting the resultant effect of selection.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment including 10 genotypes and 5 NaCl concentrations was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Five treatments were used in experiment viz., S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> (0, 40, 80, 120 & 160 mM NaCl). The present experiment was conducted to assess the genetic variability on seed germination and subsequent seedling growth of ten genotypes of fenugreek under salinity and effect of salinity on fenugreek. The germination was completed within 7 days of planting and germination was monitored 8<sup>th</sup> day from the day of seed planting. Observations were recorded on 8th day of planting on different morphological early seedling characters and parameters. Five seedlings were randomly selected from each petridish to record the data on plumule length, radicle length, seedling length, plumule to radicle length ratio, plumule fresh weight, radicle fresh weight, plumule dry weight, radicle dry weight and seedling vigour index.

#### **Germination percentage**

A seed was considered to be germinated at the emergence of both radicle and plumule up to 2 mm length (Chartzoulakis and Klapaki, 2000)<sup>[5]</sup>. The number of germinated seeds was recorded on  $8^{\text{th}}$  day of planting and the germination percentage was determined by using the following formula (Aniat *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[2]</sup>:

Germination Percentage =  $\frac{\text{Number of seeds germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds sown}} \times 100$ 

#### Plumule length (cm)

The plumule length of germinated seeds was recorded on  $8^{th}$  day of planting. Five seedlings from each petridish were randomly selected from each replication in each salinity level and each genotype. Then they were divided into two parts *viz.*, plumule and radicle. The plumule length (the distance from crown to leaf tip) was measured by using a measuring scale in centimeter and average was recorded. The hypocotyl length was also included in plumule length.

#### Radicle length (cm)

The radicle length (the distance from crown to root tip) was measured by using a measuring scale in centimeter from the same five seedlings which were selected previously from each replication and in each genotype and averaged.

#### Seedling length (cm)

The seedling length was derived by adding plumule length and radicle length of the same five seedlings which were selected previously from each replication and in each genotype and averaged.

#### Plumule to radicle length ratio

The plumule to radicle length ratio of seedling was calculated

by the following formula (Kagan et al., 2010)<sup>[10]</sup>.

Plumula to Padiala Langth Patia -	Plumule length
I fullule to Radicle Length Ratio –	Radicle length

#### Plumule fresh weight (mg)

The fresh weight of plumule from the same five seedlings from each replication and from each genotype was measured in milligram by using a sensitive electronic balance and averaged.

#### Radicle fresh weight (mg)

The fresh weight of radicle from the same five seedlings from each replication and from each genotype was measured in milligram by using a sensitive electronic balance and averaged.

#### Plumule dry weight (mg)

The plumules which were taken for fresh weight were kept into paper bags. The name of varieties and levels of salt solutions were written on paper bags by marker for further identification. After taking fresh weight of plumules these were kept in oven at  $65^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours for drying. After drying, the dried plumules were weighed by sensitive electronic balance in milligram and averaged.

#### Radicle dry weight (mg)

The radicles which were taken for fresh weight were kept into paper bags. The name of varieties and levels of salt solutions were written on paper bags by marker for further identification. After taking fresh weight of radicles these were kept in oven at  $65^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours for drying. After drying, the dried radicles were weighed by sensitive electronic balance in milligram and averaged.

#### Seedling vigour index

The seedling vigour index was determined by multiplying the sum total of mean length of plumule and radicle of a seedling with germination percentage of the respective seedling by the following formula (Iqbal and Rahmati, 1992)<sup>[9]</sup>:

Seedling Vigour Index  $(SVI) = (RL+PL) \times (GP)$ 

#### Where

RL= Mean radicle length PL= Mean plumule length GP= Germination percentage

#### Statistical analysis

The data obtained from this study were subjected to analysis of variance following standard statistical methods (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985)<sup>[13]</sup>.

#### Pooled analysis of variance

Pooled analysis of variance was carried out to know the genotype x salinity level interaction.

#### Results

In this experiment analysis of variance was studied for each character separately in each salinity level and the results are presented in Table 1. Perusal of tables indicated that the genotypes indicated significant differences for all the characters in all the salinity levels (except germination

#### The Pharma Innovation Journal

percentage in salinity level  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ ), this indicated inherent differences among the genotypes with the salinity levels.

The pooled analysis of variance exhibited significant differences among the genotypes and salinity levels for all the characters (Table 2). This showed that the genotypes responded linearly to the salinity levels. The genotype x salinity interaction indicated significant mean sum of squares for all the traits except for germination percentage. This significant mean sum of square indicated existence of differential response of genotypes under salinity levels.

#### **Genetic Variability parameters**

As the genotype x salinity interaction was significant for all the traits, the range, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, heritability in broad sense and genetic advance as percentage of mean were estimated in each salinity level separately and are presented in Table 3.

#### Germination percentage

Perusal of Table 3 showed that the germination percentage ranged from 91.11% (RMt-354) to 100% (RMt-1, RMt-303 and UM-385) in S<sub>0</sub>, 88.89% (RMt-1) to 97.78% (RMt-303) in S<sub>1</sub>, 82.22% (RMt-351) to 95.55% (RMt-305 and RMt-303) in S<sub>2</sub> and 80.00% (RMt-351) to 95.55% (RMt-305 and RMt-303) in S<sub>3</sub>, 75.55% (RMt-365 and UM-385) to 91.11% in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level. The range was wider in S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> as compared to S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> salinity levels.

The estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 4.37%, 4.90% and 5.57% in S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 6.83%, 7.51% and 8.18% in S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The GCV, PCV,  $h^2$  and GA was not determined for salinity level S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> due to non-significant difference between these genotypes. GCV and PCV increased with increasing salinity level. The GCV and PCV was maximum in S<sub>4</sub> (5.57 and 8.18, respectively).

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability increased with increasing salinity level. It was 40.88%, 42.54% and 46.40%, in  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was highest in  $S_4$  salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an increasing trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 5.75%, 6.58% and 7.82% in  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was highest in  $S_4$  salinity level.

#### Plumule length (cm)

This investigation revealed that the plumule length ranged from 5.71 cm (RMt-361) to 7.79 cm (UM-383) in  $S_0$ , 6.51 cm (RMt-351) to 7.87 cm (UM-385) in  $S_1$ , 5.07 cm (RMt-361) to 6.61 cm (UM-385) in  $S_2$ , 3.40 cm (RMt-305) to 5.19 cm (RMt-143) in  $S_3$  and 2.05 cm (RMt-305) to 3.20 cm (UM-383) in  $S_4$  salinity level. The maximum range was observed in  $S_0$  and minimum in  $S_4$  salinity level.

The estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 7.68%, 4.82%, 5.61%, 15.33% and 10.59% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 9.59%, 7.53%, 9.44%, 16.52% and 12.52% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>3</sub> and minimum in S<sub>1</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability decreased with increasing salinity levels in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_4$  whereas it increased in  $S_3$ . It was 64.10%, 40.89%, 35.28%, 86.10% and 71.56% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_2$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 12.66%, 6.34%, 6.86%, 29.31% and 18.46% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_1$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

#### Radicle length (cm)

This experiment revealed that the radicle length ranged from 3.67 cm (RMt-305) to 5.39 cm (UM-385) in  $S_0$ , 3.47 cm (RMt-365) to 5.38 cm (RMt-143) in  $S_1$ , 3.11 cm (UM-383) to 4.79 cm (RMt-143) in  $S_2$ , 3.17 cm (RMt-365) to 4.51 cm (RMt-303) in  $S_3$  and 2.29 cm (RMt-143) to 3.16 cm (RMt-303) in  $S_4$  salinity level. The range was maximum in  $S_1$  and minimum in  $S_4$  salinity level.

The estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 13.07%, 13.72%, 11.24%, 10.86% and 11.61% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 14.07%, 14.99%, 13.59%, 12.51% and 13.48% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>1</sub> and minimum in S<sub>3</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity levels. It was 86.32%, 83.74%, 68.36%, 75.32% and 74.24% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>2</sub> and highest in S<sub>0</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 25.01%, 25.86%, 19.14%, 19.41% and 20.61% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>2</sub> and highest in S<sub>1</sub> salinity level.

#### Seedling length (cm)

The seedling length ranged from 9.40 cm (RMt-361) to 13.12 cm (UM-385) in S<sub>0</sub>, 10.06 cm (RMt-361) to 12.21 cm (RMt-143) in S<sub>1</sub>, 8.49 cm (UM-383) to 10.93 cm (UM-385) in S<sub>2</sub>, 6.85 cm (RMt-305) to 9.67 cm (RMt-143 and RMt-303) in S<sub>3</sub> and 4.35 cm (RMt-305) to 6.01 cm (RMt-303) in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level. The range was maximum in S<sub>0</sub> and minimum in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level (Table 3).

The estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 9.19%, 6.24%, 6.83%, 11.66% and 9.32% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. The PCV was 10.04%, 7.48%, 8.97%, 12.37% and 10.59% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. The variation was maximum in  $S_3$  and minimum in  $S_1$  (Table 3).

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity levels. It was 83.79%, 69.58%, 58.01%, 88.83% and 77.46% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>2</sub> and highest in S<sub>3</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It

was 17.32%, 10.73%, 10.72%, 22.64% and 16.90% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_2$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

#### Plumule to radicle length ratio

According to Table 3 the plumule to radicle length ratio ranged from 1.44 (UM-385) to 2.00 (RMt-305) in  $S_0$ , 1.27 (RMt-143) to 2.00 (UM-385 and RMt-365) in  $S_1$ , 1.25 (RMt-361) to 1.73 (UM-383) in  $S_2$ , 0.85 (UM-385) to 1.32 (RMt-365) in  $S_3$  and 0.88 (RMt-354) to 1.32 (UM-383) IN  $S_4$  salinity level. The range was maximum in  $S_1$  and minimum in  $S_4$  salinity level.

Perusal of Table 3 for trait plumule to radicle length ratio revealed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 9.68%, 13.16%, 10.43%, 13.55% and 13.13% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 12.41%, 16.00%, 14.17%, 16.51% and 16.34% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>3</sub> and minimum in S<sub>0</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity levels. It was 60.94%, 67.61%, 54.17%, 67.36% and 64.64% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>2</sub> and highest in S<sub>1</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 15.17%, 22.29%, 15.82%, 22.90% and 21.75% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_0$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

#### Plumule fresh weight (mg)

The plumule fresh weight ranged from 95.53 mg (UM-383) to 138.83 mg (RMt-303) in S<sub>0</sub>, 78.91 mg (RMt-1) to 138.76 mg (RMt-303) in S<sub>1</sub>, 70.93 mg (RMt-365) to 119.41 mg (RMt-303) in S<sub>2</sub>, 60.22 mg (RMt-1) to 81.13 mg (RMt-143) in S<sub>3</sub> and 45.40 mg (RMt-305) to 68.56 mg (RMt-303) in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level. The range was maximum in S<sub>1</sub> and minimum in S<sub>3</sub> salinity level (Table 3).

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 12.35%, 13.89%, 14.94%, 8.03% and 14.19% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 12.41%, 13.99%, 15.09%, 8.33% and 14.45% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>2</sub> and minimum in S<sub>3</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability decreased with increasing salinity level, though higher in  $S_4$  as compared to  $S_3$ . It was 98.94%, 98.65%, 98.06%, 92.93% and 96.45% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_3$  and highest in  $S_0$ salinity level.

An irregular trend was observed in genetic advance as percentage of mean with increase in salinity level. It was 25.30%, 28.43%, 30.48%, 15.95% and 28.70% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_3$  and highest in  $S_4$  salinity level.

#### Radicle fresh weight (mg)

Perusal of Table 3 showed that the radicle fresh weight ranged from 20.91 mg (RMt-303) to 39.56 mg (RMt-305) in  $S_0$ , 23.17 mg (RMt-351) to 42.14 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_1$ , 22.60 mg (RMt-365) to 39.38 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_2$ , 24.15 mg (RMt-1) to 34.69 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_3$  and 13.94 mg (RMt-365) to 17.86 mg (RMt-354) in  $S_4$  salinity level. The range was wider in  $S_1$  and shorter in  $S_4$  salinity level.

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 16.22%, 17.84%, 17.00%, 10.86% and 8.83% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 17.28%, 18.44%, 17.51%, 11.73% and 9.72% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>2</sub> and minimum in S<sub>4</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity level. It was 88.08%, 93.59%, 94.21%, 85.74% and 82.38% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>4</sub>, and highest in S<sub>2</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 31.36%, 35.56%, 33.98%, 20.71% and 16.50% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>4</sub> (16.50%) and highest in S<sub>1</sub> (35.56%).

#### Plumule dry weight (mg)

Perusal of Table 3 exhibited that the plumule dry weight ranged from 5.92 mg (RMt-365) to 10.25 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_0$ , 5.87 mg (RMt-1) to 10.33 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_1$ , 6.10 mg (RMt-1) to 10.45 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_2$ , 5.48 mg (RMt-351) to 8.58 mg (UM-385) in  $S_3$  and 5.34 mg (RMt-365) to 9.33 mg (RMt-303) in  $S_4$  salinity level. The range was maximum in  $S_1$  and minimum in  $S_3$  salinity level.

Perusal of Table 3 showed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 15.76%, 18.13%, 18.08%, 15.99% and 15.48% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 17.21%, 18.59%, 18.69%, 16.16% and 15.67% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>2</sub> and minimum in S<sub>4</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity level. It was 83.93%, 95.13%, 93.53%, 97.90% and 97.70% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_0$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 29.75%, 36.44%, 36.01%, 32.60% and 31.53% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_0$  and highest in  $S_1$  salinity level.

#### Radicle dry weight (mg)

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the radicle dry weight ranged from 0.90 mg (RMt-354) to 1.69 mg (RMt-361) in  $S_0$ , 0.91 mg (RMt-1) to 1.35 mg (RMt-361) in  $S_1$ , 0.99 mg (RMt-1) to 1.36 mg (UM-385) in  $S_2$ , 0.84 mg (RMt-365) to 1.22 mg

The Pharma Innovation Journal

(RMt-361) in  $S_3$  and 0.74 mg (RMt-365) to 1.17 mg (UM-385) in  $S_4$  salinity level. The range was maximum in  $S_0$  and minimum in  $S_2$  salinity level.

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 17.89%, 11.10%, 11.10%, 12.83% and 13.85% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 18.96%, 12.81%, 12.81%, 14.02% and 14.96% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>0</sub> and minimum in S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity level. It was 89.05%, 75.13%, 75.13%, 83.75% and 85.70% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>1</sub> and S2 and highest in S<sub>0</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 34.78%, 19.83%, 19.83%, 24.19% and 26.41% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> and highest in S<sub>0</sub> salinity level.

**Seedling vigour index:** Perusal of Table 3 exhibited that the seedling vigour index ranged from 917.94 (RMt-361) to 1312.00 (UM-385) in S<sub>0</sub>, 933.10 (RMt-351) to 1141.20 (RMt-143) in S<sub>1</sub>, 716.24 (UM-383) to 996.61 (UM-385) in S<sub>2</sub>, 647.90 (RMt-361) to 925.22 (RMt-303) in S<sub>3</sub> and 369.72 (UM-385) to 548.31 (RMt-303) in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level. The range was wider in S<sub>0</sub> and shorter in S<sub>4</sub> salinity level.

Perusal of Table 3 revealed that the estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) in general were higher than estimates of genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) in all the salinity levels indicating predominant influence of salinity. The GCV was 9.48%, 6.56%, 8.82%, 12.89% and 10.53% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The PCV was 11.46%, 8.90%, 11.34%, 14.46% and 12.12% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. The variation was maximum in S<sub>3</sub> and minimum in S<sub>1</sub>.

Broad sense heritability estimates vary from one salinity level to the other. Heritability exhibited irregular response with increasing salinity levels. It was 68.38%, 54.35%, 60.49%, 79.37% and 75.47% in S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> level, respectively. It was lowest in S<sub>1</sub> and highest in S<sub>3</sub> salinity level.

Regarding genetic advance as percentage of mean, an irregular trend was observed with increase in salinity level. It was 16.14%, 9.96%, 14.13%, 23.65% and 18.85% in  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  level, respectively. It was lowest in  $S_1$  and highest in  $S_3$  salinity level.

The comparison between mean of different genotypes in control ( $S_0$ ) versus  $S_m$  (mean of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ ) for each character is presented in table 4 Perusal of this table revealed that overall mean was highest in control as compared to the overall mean of salinity levels for all the characters. Mean performance of most of the genotypes was also observed to be high in  $S_0$  as compared to  $S_m$  for all the characters. Based upon the rank totals of a genotype over different salinity levels  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  ( $S_m$ ) and characters, the genotype RMt-303 was found to be most desirable as it had a desirable rank total followed by UM-385, RMt-143 and RMt-305.

**Table 1:** ANOVA for Ten various traits at Five salinity level S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub>(0, 40, 80, 120 & 160 mM NaCl)

		d.f.	Mean sum of squares (M.S.S.)											
Source of variation	Salinity levels		Germination (%)	Plumule length (cm)	Radicle length (cm)	Seedling length (cm)	Plumule/ Radicle length ratio	Plumule fresh weight (mg)	Radicle fresh weight (mg)	Plumule dry weight (mg)	Radicle dry weight (mg)	Seedling vigour index		
Replications		2	28.159	0.205	0.087	0.386	0.015	6.467	9.455	0.051	0.003	8062.11		
Genotypes	$S_0$	9	23.712	1.076**	1.092**	3.657**	0.089**	533.002**	81.540**	4.874**	0.144**	39665.02**		
Error			18	13.334	0.169	0.055	0.222	0.016	1.896	3.521	0.292	0.006	5296.905	
Replications		2	57.716	0.029	0.072	0.072	0.029	1.269	5.959	0.133	0.017	10326.971		
Genotypes	$S_1$	9	23.034	0.502*	1.065**	1.677**	0.172**	712.536**	96.570**	6.199**	0.054**	18029.065**		
Error		18	16.621	0.163	0.065	0.213	0.024	3.247	2.155	0.104	0.005	3943.818		
Replications		2	10.359	0.684	0.040	0.641	0.038	8.428	0.386	0.021	0.004	6219.661		
Genotypes	$S_2$	9	67.285*	0.508*	0.714**	1.675**	0.088**	609.980**	85.868**	6.039**	0.048**	21769.984**		
Error		18	21.888	0.193	0.095	0.326	0.019	3.997	1.724	0.136	0.005	3892.960		
Replications		2	23.683	0.054	0.001	0.070	0.002	0.185	0.052	0.032	0.011	352.402		
Genotypes	$S_3$	9	81.620*	1.336**	0.625**	2.879**	0.073**	98.907**	30.601**	3.820**	0.062**	28599.746**		
Error		18	25.343	0.068	0.062	0.116	0.010	2.447	1.607	0.027	0.004	2280.498		
Replications		2	31.122	0.040	0.063	0.047	0.022	2.389	0.503	0.085	0.008	670.173		
Genotypes	<b>S</b> 4	9	88.245**	0.280**	0.334**	0.844**	0.062**	181.193**	6.701**	3.532**	0.047**	7395.051**		
Error		18	24.531	0.033	0.035	0.075	0.010	2.197	0.446	0.028	0.002	722.880		

\* and \*\* represent significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively

Table 2: Pooled ANOVA for various traits of fenugreek seedlings under salinity conditions

			Mean sum of squares (M.S.S.)											
Source of variation	d.f.	Germina- tion (%)	Plumule length (cm)	Radicle length (cm)	Seedling length (cm)	Plumule/Radicle length ratio	Plumule fresh weight (mg)	Radicle fresh weight (mg)	Plumule dry weight (mg)	Radicle dry weight (mg)	Seedling vigour index			
Genotypes	9	167.217**	1.417**	1.399**	4.957**	0.071**	1056.196**	84.874**	15.756**	0.193**	53086.070**			
Salinity	4	866.278**	107.260**	14.140**4**	191.53**	2.893**	17887.158**	1270.848**	6.065**	0.505**	2201315.825**			
Replication/ Salinity	10	30.207	0.203	0.053	0.243	0.022	3.747	3.271	0.065	0.008	5126.265			

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Genotype x Salinity	36	29.169	0.572**	0.608**	1.444**	0.103**	269.856**	54.101**	2.177**	0.041**	15593.198**
Error	90	20.343	0.125	0.062	0.190	0.016	2.757	1.891	0.117	0.004	3227.412

### Table 3: The general mean, range, genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficient of variation, heritability (in broad sense) and genetic advance (GA) over different salinity levels for various seedling traits

<b>X</b> 7 <sup>1</sup> - 1-1114	Salinity levels													
variability		Germin	ation perce	ent (%)			Plu	mule length(	em)					
parameters	<b>S0</b>	S1	S2	S3	S4	<b>S0</b>	S1	S2	<b>S</b> 3	S4				
mean	96.89	93.33	89.11	88.44	82.67	7.16	6.98	5.78	4.24	2.71				
range	91.11 - 100.00	88.89 - 97.78	82.22 - 95.55	80 - 95.55	75.55 - 91.11	5.71-7.79	6.51-7.87	5.07-6.61	3.40-5.19	2.05-3.20				
GCV	-	-	4.37	4.90	5.57	7.68	4.82	5.61	15.33	10.59				
PCV	-	-	6.83	7.51	8.18	9.59	7.53	9.44	16.52	12.52				
$h^2$ (in bs)	-	-	40.88	42.54	46.40	64.10	40.89	35.28	86.10	71.56				
GA	-	-	5.75	6.58	7.82	12.66	6.34	6.86	29.31	18.46				
Variability		Radi	cle length(	cm)			See	dling length(c	cm)					
parameters	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4				
mean	4.50	4.21	4.05	3.99	2.72	11.65	11.19	9.83	8.23	5.43				
range	3.67-5.39	3.47-5.38	3.11-4.79	3.17-4.51	2.29-3.16	9.40 - 13.12	10.06 - 12.21	8.49 - 10.93	6.85 - 9.67	4.35 - 6.01				
GCV	13.07	13.72	11.24	10.86	11.61	9.19	6.24	6.83	11.66	9.32				
PCV	14.07	14.99	13.59	12.51	13.48	10.04	7.48	8.97	12.37	10.59				
$h^2$ (in bs)	86.32	83.74	68.36	75.32	74.24	83.79	69.58	58.01	88.83	77.46				
GA	25.01	25.86	19.14	19.41	20.61	17.32	10.73	10.72	22.64	16.9				
Variability		Plumu	le to radicle	e ratio			Plumu	le fresh weigh	nt(gm)					
parameters	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4				
mean	1.61	1.69	1.45	1.07	1.01	107.78	110.68	95.12	70.58	54.45				
	1 44 2 00	1 27 2 00	1 05 1 72	0.95 1.22	0.00 1.22	95.53 -	78.91 -	70.93 -	60.22 -	45.40 -				
range	1.44 - 2.00	1.27 - 2.00	1.25 - 1.75	0.85 - 1.52	0.88 - 1.32	138.83	138.76	119.41	81.13	68.56				
GCV	9.68	13.16	10.43	13.55	13.13	12.35	13.89	14.94	8.03	14.19				
PCV	12.41	16	14.17	16.51	16.34	12.41	13.99	15.09	8.33	14.45				
h <sup>2</sup> (in bs)	60.94	67.61	54.17	67.36	64.64	98.94	98.65	98.06	92.93	96.45				
GA	15.57	22.29	15.82	22.9	21.75	25.3	28.43	30.48	15.95	28.7				
Variability		Radicle	fresh weig	ht(gm)		Plumule dry weight(gm)								
parameters	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4				
mean	31.44	31.44	31.16	28.63	16.36	7.84	7.86	7.76	7.03	6.98				
<b>r</b> o <b>n c</b> o	20.91 -	23.17 -	22.60 -	24.15 -	13.94 -	5 02 10 25	5 97 10 22	6 10 10 45	5 10 0 50	5 24 0 22				
Talige	39.56	42.14	39.38	34.69	17.86	5.92 - 10.25	5.87 - 10.55	0.10 - 10.45	5.48 - 8.58	5.54 - 9.55				
GCV	16.22	17.84	17	10.86	8.83	15.76	18.13	18.08	15.99	15.48				
PCV	17.28	18.44	17.51	11.73	9.72	17.21	18.59	18.69	16.16	15.67				
$h^2$ (in bs)	88.08	93.59	94.21	85.74	82.38	83.93	95.13	93.53	97.9	97.7				
GA	31.36	35.56	33.98	20.71	16.5	29.75	36.44	36.01	32.6	31.53				
Variability		Radicl	e dry weigh	t(gm)			Seed	ling vigour ir	ndex					
parameters	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4	SO	S1	S2	S3	S4				
mean	1.2	1.15	1.16	1.09	0.88	1129.49	1044.68	875.46	726.85	447.75				
range	0.90 - 1.69	0.91 - 1.35	0 99 - 1 36	0 84 - 1 22	0 74 - 1 17	917.94 -	933.10 -	716.24 -	647.90 -	369.72 -				
Tunge	0.90 1.09	0.71 1.55	0.77 1.50	0.04 1.22	0.74 1.17	1312	1141.20	996.61	925.22	548.31				
GCV	17.89	11.1	11.1	12.83	13.85	9.48	6.56	8.82	12.89	10.53				
PCV	18.96	12.81	12.81	14.02	14.96	11.46	8.9	11.34	14.46	12.12				
$h^2$ (in bs)	89.05	75.13	75.13	83.75	85.7	68.38	54.35	60.49	79.37	75.47				
GA	34.78	19.83	19.83	24.19	26.41	16.14	9.96	14.13	23.65	18.85				

Table 4: The rank total of different genotypes based on mean (Sm) of salinity levels S1, S2, S3 and S4

Genotype	Germination (%)	Plumule length (cm)	Radicle length (cm)	Seedling length (cm)	Plumule/ Radicle length ratio	Plumule fresh weight (mg)	Radicle fresh weight (mg)	Plumule dry weight (mg)	Radicle dry weight (mg)	Seedling vigour index	Total	Rank
RMt-305	2	9	9	9	4	4	5	3	3	5	53	4
RMt-351	9	8	6	6	5	3	10	6	7	10	70	8
RMt-361	3	10	8	10	7	7	3	4	2	8	62	7
RMt-354	9	4	3	3	8	8	6	7	6	4	58	6
RMt-365	7	6	10	8	1	9	9	9	9	9	77	10
UM-383	8	5	7	7	2	6	2	8	4	7	56	5
RMt-1	6	7	5	5	5	10	7	10	10	6	71	9
RMt-143	4	1	1	1	9	5	8	5	8	2	44	3
RMt-303	1	2	2	2	10	1	1	1	4	1	25	1
UM-385	5	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	1	3	31	2



Fig 1: Effect and Response of Fenugreek seedling under different Salinity levels

#### Discussion

#### Effect of salinity on variability parameters

In general, the PCV values were higher than GCV values for all the traits. The differences were however, low for all the traits. Further, highest GCV and PCV values for plumule dry weight and radicle dry weight indicating that variation was highest for these characters among the genotypes followed by the plumule length, radicle length, seedling length, plumule to radicle length ratio, plumule fresh weight, radicle fresh weight and seedling vigour index whereas it was lowest for germination percentage indicated that these are the characters having low variability.

Comparison of GCV and PCV values for each character across the salinity levels indicated that while mean values decreased with increasing salinity, the CV values increased, this may indicated complex response mechanism which brought variations among genotypes and possibility of selection of responsive genotypes. This is particularly true for germination percentage, plumule, radicle and seedling length, plumule to radicle length ratio, plumule fresh weight, radicle fresh weight and seedling vigour index. Earlier similar observations were also reported by Ali *et al.* (2007) <sup>[1]</sup>, Ashagre *et al.* (2013) <sup>[4]</sup> and Jat *et al.* (2014).

Heritability in broad sense was generally very high for the plumule and radicle fresh weight, plumule and radicle dry weight and radicle length across the salinity levels. Similar result was reported by Gupta (1994)<sup>[8]</sup>, Kapoor and Pande (2015)<sup>[11]</sup> and Chauhan *et al.*, (2016)<sup>[6]</sup>.

Genetic advance as percentage of mean was highest for radicle fresh weight, plumule dry weight and radicle dry weight followed by plumule fresh weight. This was lowest for germination percentage followed by plumule length, radicle length, seedling length, plumule to radicle length ratio. The Genetic advance also showed linear increment with increase in salinity gradient in germination percentage. While, no trend was observed in the rest of the characters studied. Increase in the magnitude of genetic advance across the salinity gradient indicated increase in the inherent variation in the response of genotype to salinity and a possibility of selection of suitable genotypes at higher salinity. Earlier similar findings were also reported by Gupta (1994)<sup>[8]</sup> and Narayan (1993)<sup>[12]</sup>, Asaadi *et al.* (2009)<sup>[3]</sup> and Dutta and Bera (2014)<sup>[7]</sup>.

#### Conclusion

The early seedling parameters of genotype showed significantly variation at higher salinity in comparison to 0 to 40 mM NaCl salinity level for most of the characters. The comparison between mean of different genotypes in control  $(S_0)$  versus Sm (mean of S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>4</sub>) for each character also revealed that overall mean was highest in control as compared to the overall mean of salinity levels for all the

#### http://www.thepharmajournal.com

#### characters.

Various salt tolerance indices were shown to be useful for estimation of stress level and identification of salt tolerant elite genotypes. Based upon the rank totals of a genotype over different salinity levels  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  (Sm) and characters, the genotype RMt-303 was found to be most desirable followed by UM-385, RMt-143 and RMt-305. Such potential lines could serve for effective exploitation for further hybridization programme as a source for salt-responsive candidate genes suitable for crop improvement in saline land as viable option to ensure food security under this climate change era.

#### **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Acknowledgement

My heartfull gratitude is towards to major advisor Dr. D.K. Gothwal, Professor, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner for his engrossing guidance, and gracious patronage during the entire course of investigation. I owe my indebtedness to Dr. Dhirendra Singh, Incharge, AICRP on Seed Spices for his guidance and providing the experimental material. Towards to my end of endeavor, it's the right moment to prie-dieu to extol my profound etiquette to all of those who have directly or indirectly helped me to accomplish this research work.

#### References

- 1. Ali Z, Salam A, Azhar FM, Khan IA. Genotypic variation in salinity tolerance among spring and winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) accessions. South African Journal of Botany. 2007;73:70-75.
- Aniat UH, Vamil R, Agnihotri RK. Effect of osmotic stress (PEG) on germination and seedling survival of lentil (*Lens culinaris* M.). Research in Agricultural Science. 2012;1(3):201-202.
- 3. Asaadi AM. Investigation of salinity stress on seed germination of Trigonella foenum-graecum. Research Journal of Biological Sciences. 2009;(4):1152-1155.
- Ashagre H, Ibrahim A, Hamza, Fasika E, Temesgen F. Effect of salinity stress on germination and seedling vigour of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;1(9):161-166.
- Chartzoulakis KS, Klapaki G. Response of two greenhouse pepper hybrids to NaCl salinity during different growth stages. Scientia Horticulture. 2000;86(1):247-260.
- Chauhan A, Rajput N, Kumar D, Kumar A, Chaudhary AK. Effect of different salt concentration on seed germination and seedling growth of different varieties of Oat (*Avena sativa* L.). International Journal of Information Research and Review. 2016;3(7):2627-2632.
- 7. Dutta P, Bera AK. Effect of NaCl salinity on seed germination and seedling growth of mungbean cultivars. Legume Research. 2014;37(2):161-164.
- 8. Gupta Y. Inheritance of the salinity tolerance at the seedling stage in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Master of Science in Agriculture Thesis, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, 1994.
- 9. Iqbal MZ, Rahmati K. Tolerance of *Albizia lebbeck* to Cu and Fe application, Ekologia. 1992;1(11):427-430.

- 10. Kagan K, Karakoy T, Bakoglu A, Akcura M. Determination of salinity tolerance of some lentil (*Lens culinaris* M.) varieties. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment. 2010;8(1):140-143.
- 11. Kapoor N, Pande V. Effect of salt stress on growth parameters, moisture content, relative water content and photosynthetic pigments of fenugreek variety Rmt-1. Journal of Plant Sciences. 2015;10(6):210-221.
- Narayan S. Inheritance of seedling establishment characteristics in wheat grown under different levels of salinity. Master of Science in Agriculture Thesis, Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner, 1993.
- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi. IV Enlarged Edition, 1985.