www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(5): 1992-1994 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 07-02-2022 Accepted: 16-04-2022

### Jetti Harika

Fifth Professional Year, BV. Sc., Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Yerru Bhavya

Fifth Professional Year, BV. Sc., Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Dr. R Sai Dilip Gupta

Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Dr. D Maheswara Reddy

Veterinary Assistant Sourgeon, Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### Dr. K Yogeswari

MV.Sc., Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Jetti Harika

Fifth Professional Year, BV.Sc., Department of Livestock Products Technology, SVVU, CVSc, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh, India

## Comparative study of paneer cutlets prepared with potato and mushroom

### Jetti Harika, Yerru Bhavya, Dr. R Sai Dilip Gupta, Dr. D Maheswara Reddy and Dr. K Yogeswari

### Abstract

The present study was intended to standardize and compare the effect of potato and mushroom in the preparation of paneer cutlet. Paneer with shredded potato (T1) as a base (1:1) and paneer with mushroom (T2) as a base (1:1) was taken for the preparation of paneer cutlet. Paneer cutlets were subjected to physico-chemical properties, proximate estimation and sensory evaluation. Results revealed that, cooking yield of paneer cutlets prepared with potato is significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than the paneer cutlet prepared with mushroom. Rusk powder pickup, pH, moisture, crude protein, crude fibre were significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher in paneer cutlet prepared with mushroom than the paneer cutlet prepared with potato. Mean sensory scores *viz*. Flavour, juiciness, tenderness, mouth coating of paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom were significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher when compared to that of paneer cutlets prepared with potato.

Keywords: Paneer cutlets, shredded potato, mushroom, quality characteristics

### Introduction

Paneer is a delicacy that vegetarians as well as non vegetarians love alike. One very simple yet tasty way to eat it is making paneer cutlets. Paneer cutlets are ready to eat/food provides suitable option for consumers in today's busy lifestyle. It has a mouth melting texture with a perfect crunch. Paneer is one of the popular and good source of high quality protein and iron, omega 3, calcium, magnesium, which makes it an even healthier choice. Potatoes are a good source of tiber, carbohydrates, also contains dietary antioxidants, phytonutrients. It is good source of vitamins B1, B3, B6 and several micronutrients, vitamin-C, calcium, iron and minerals. Mushrooms are basically fungi, which have a fleshy and spore bearing fruiting body. They have been in use not only for consumption purposes but also for medicinal purposes. Mushroom contains proteins, vitamins, minerals, antioxidants and consists of all essential amino acids including lysine required in human diet. Mushrooms also contain vitamin-C, D, K, folic Acid, riboflavin, thiamine, pantothenic acid, niacin minerals like sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, iron. The present study was carried out to standardize and compare the effect of potato and mushroom in the preparation of paneer cutlet.

### **Materials and Methods**

Basic formulation of ingredients for the preparation of products are presented as percentages in table-1.

Table 1: Basic formulation of ingredients for the preparation of products

Paneer potato cutlets (T1) Paneer mushroom cutlets (		
Paneer- 40.52%	Paneer- 40.52%	
Potato-40.52%	Mushroom-40.52%	
Onions-10.29%	Onions-10.29%	
Green chillies-1.01%	Green chillies-1.01%	
Ginger-1.01%	Ginger-1.01%	
Chicken masala- 0.60%	Chicken masala- 0.60%	
Red chilli powder-0.14%	Red chilli powder-0.14%	
Pepper-0.10%	Pepper-0.10%	
Salt-0.92%	Salt-0.92%	
Vegetable oil-4.06%	Vegetable oil-4.06%	
Bread crumbs-0.83%	Bread crumbs-0.83%	
Total-100%	Total-100%	

### The Pharma Innovation Journal

### Source of raw materials

Potato (Solanum Tuberosum), Mushroom (Agaricus Bisporus), Milk, spices, eggs, table salt (Tata Chemicals Ltd), condiments (onions, ginger, green chillies), vegetable oil (Freedom Refined Sunflower Oil-Reliance Retail), bread crumbs were purchased from the local s-mart. Condiments mix was prepared by peeling of onion, green chilli, ginger, cutting them into fine pieces and mixing with the help of mixer

### Methodology for preparation of paneer potato cutlets and paneer mushroom cutlets

Paneer was prepared using fresh buffalo milk on the day of each trail. Fresh potatoes were shredded after boiling. Mushrooms were boiled for 15 minutes. The condiments, spices were fried in the oil till the appearance of golden brown colour. Paneer with shredded potato as a base and paneer with mushroom as a base was taken 1:1 ratio for the preparation of paneer potato cutlets and paneer mushroom cutlets respectively. After uniform mixing of all the ingredients, the batter was moulded into cutlets. The cutlets were dipped in the whole egg liquid and rolled in rusk powder till uniform coating was formed on the surface. The cutlets were deep fried in sunflower oil for 3 minutes till the appearance of golden brown colour and the measure the internal core temperature (80°C) and excess fat was removed from the fresh cutlet with the help of tissue paper.

### PH

The Product's pH was determined as per (Keller *et al.*, 1992)<sup>[16]</sup>.

### **Cooking yield**

The cooking yield of products were estimated as per (Murphy *et al.*, 1975)<sup>[20]</sup>.

Cooking yield  $\% = \frac{\text{Weight of product after frying}}{\text{Weight of product after breading}} \times 100$ 

### Rusk powder pick up

The rusk pick up percent was determined as per (Hsia *et al.*, 1992)<sup>[15]</sup>.

Rusk powder pick up% =  $\frac{\text{Weight of product after breading - Weight of cutlets before dusting}}{\text{Weight of the cutlets before dusting}} \times 100$ 

### **Proximate Composition**

Moisture, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber, total ash of products were determined by standard procedure of Association of Official Analytical Chemist 1995 methods

### **Sensory Evaluation**

Sensory evaluation of the products were determined with the help of panellists of 5 members of CVSc. proddatur using 8 point descriptive scale.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The experiment is repeated for three trails. The data obtained from each experiment was analyzed for mean Standard Errors, t value and P value and is summarized in the table

### **Results and Discussion**

Mean values of the physico-chemical characteristics of products are presented in the table-2, 3. Compared to paneer potato cutlets, paneer cutlets incorporated with mushroom showed a decrease ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in cooking yield. In fact, the high cooking loss was from the mushroom-based cutlets. This could be attributed due to high loss of moisture and fat during cooking (Wan Rosli. et al. 2011)<sup>[26]</sup>. Rusk powder pick up of paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom is significantly ( $P \le$ (0.05) higher than the paneer cutlets prepared with potato. This might be due to the highest moisture content in the mushroom which facilitates the large pick up of the rusk materials (Essien, 2000)<sup>[9]</sup>. Moisture content in the paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom is significantly higher ( $P \le 0.05$ ) than the paneer cutlets prepared with potato. This might be due to higher moisture content in mushroom as Gosh et al. (1991) <sup>[12]</sup>, Mattila *et al.* (2001) <sup>[18]</sup>, Yang *et al.* (2001) <sup>[27]</sup>, Hassan  $(2002)^{[14]}$ , Medany  $(2004)^{[19]}$  and Bernas *et al.* (2006) <sup>[5]</sup> noted that the moisture content in (Agaricus bisporus) ranged from (88.6%) to (92.5%) per 100g fresh weight, while moisture content in potatoes ranges from 75-85% (R. j. Norell et al., 2016) <sup>[23]</sup>. Crude protein is significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher in paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom than the

paneer cutlets prepared with potato. As per Ahmed (1995)<sup>[1]</sup>, Madbouli and El Husseini (1996)<sup>[17]</sup>, Hassan (2002)<sup>[14]</sup> and Medany (2004)<sup>[19]</sup> reported that the protein content in (Agaricus bisporus) is 24.0%, while potato contains 9.5% (Rust *et al.*, 1997; USDA)<sup>[24]</sup> of crude protein. The crude fiber of paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom is significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than the paneer cutlets prepared with potato. Generally, in Agaricus bisporus crude fibres ranges from (13.07%) to (15.02%) as reported by Chang (1972)<sup>[7]</sup>, Hassan (2002)<sup>[14]</sup> and Medany (2004)<sup>[19]</sup>, crude fibre of potato ranges 1.49 ± 0.02 as reported by Arshad, A.*et al.* 2021. PH of the paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom is significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than the paneer cutlets prepared with potato. This could be due to higher PH of mushroom and present findings are in agreement with Anon. 1962<sup>[2]</sup>.

 Table 2: Effect of potato and mushroom on the physico-chemical characteristics of paneer cutlets

Parameters	Mean ±SE	Mean ±SE	t-value	<b>P-value</b>
	T1 (Potato)	T2 (Mushroom)		
Cooking yield	$97.23 \pm 0.64$	$94.53 \pm 0.89$	4.164*	0.044
Rusk pick up	8.54 + 0.11	9.89+0.33	4.13*	0.032
PH	$5.76 \pm 0.147$	$5.99 \pm 0.172$	3.91*	0.046
Moisture	$45.11 \pm 1.21$	$48.94 \pm 0.983$	3.82*	0.042
Crude protein	$18.23\pm0.50$	$22.51 \pm 0.76$	4.826*	0.037
Crude fat	$20.12\pm0.16$	$20.16\pm0.42$	0.712	0.983
Crude fibre	$3.97 \pm 0.08$	$8.246 \pm 0.047$	5.225**	0.006
Total ash	$2.15\pm0.031$	$2.156 \pm 0.034$	1.697	0.164
and and the second seco	1:00	ч., <b>1</b>		

Mean±SE in a row differ significantly

Probability test and Two sample T-test. P-probability and t -T test

Mean sensory scores *viz*. flavour, juiciness, tenderness and mouth coating and overall acceptance of paneer cutlets prepared with mushroom were significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher when compared to that of paneer cutlets prepared with potato. This could be due to high rusk pick up and moisture contents in mushroom which makes panelists to score more for mushroom cutlets. (Rao and Delaney, 1995)<sup>[22]</sup>.

of paneer cutlets Mean +SE Mean +SE t voluo D voluo Doromotors

Table 3: Effect of potato and mushroom on sensory characteristics

rarameters	Mean ESE	Mean ESE	t-value	r-value
	T1 (Potato)	T2 (Mushroom)		
Colour	$7.83\pm0.16$	$7.83 \pm 0.16$	0	1
Flavour	$7.13{\pm}0.333$	7.68 ±0.166	2.894*	0.041
Juiciness	$7.5\pm0.288$	$8.45\pm0.24$	3.032*	0.038
Tenderness	7.5	$8.16\pm0.167$	4.0*	0.016
Mouth coating	$7.16\pm0.167$	$8.16\pm0.167$	4.24*	0.013
Overall acceptance	$7.16\pm0.167$	$8.16\pm0.167$	3.53*	0.024

Mean±SE in a row differ significantly

Probability test and Two sample T-test. P-probability and t -T test

### Conclusion

Mushroom addition into the products can be a strategy to develop products that can decrease fat and sodium consumption while increasing vegetable intake without compromising the quality and taste of consumers demand. The present study showed that paneer cutlets prepared with mushrooms could be used as a potential source of supplements by replacing regular potato addition.

### Acknowledgement

We acknowledge our sincere gratitude to the staff of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Science, proddatur for providing facilities for the present research work.

### References

- 1. Ahmed ME. Encyclopedia mushroom science. The first edition P. 7, El-Arabia for Publication and Distribution, Cairo, 1995.
- 2. Anon. pH values of food products. Food Eng. 1962;34(3):98-99.
- AOAC. Official Method of Analysis. 16thedn. 3. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, 1995.
- Arshad A, Iqbal H, Siddiga A, Zulfigar T, Tareen MBK, Amna D, et al. Comparative Study of Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) and Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas L.): Evaluation of Proximate Composition, Polyphenol Content, Mineral and Antioxidant Activities. Appl. Sci. 2021;11:11844.
- 5. Bernas E, Jaworska G, Lisiewska Z. Edible mushrooms as a source of valuable nutritive constituents, Acta Sci. Pol. Technol. Aliment. 2006;5(1):5-20.
- Camire ME, Kubow S, Donnelly DJ. Potatoes and Human Health. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2009;49:823-840.
- 7. Chang ST. The Chinese Mushroom, The Chinese University of Hong Kong., 1972, 113pp.
- 8. Chang ST, Miles PG. Introduction to mushroom science. In Chang, S.T., Quimio, T.H. (Eds). Tropical Mushrooms: Biological nature and cultivation methods. The Chinese University Press, 1982, 3-10pp.
- Essien E. Production of sausage. In: Sausage 9. manufacture: principle and practices. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Cambridge, England, 2000, 44pp.
- 10. Ezekiel Tagwi william, Nuhu Njamba Danladi, Nachanas Timony. Phytochemical, elemental, Proximate and anti nutritional composition of solanum tuberosum obtained in kwaja, Murbi south local government are of adamawa state.

- 11. Gamit Martina, et al. Evaluation of Chicken Cutlets Incorporated with Whole Egg Liquid. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710& E-ISSN: 0975-9107. 2017;9(6):3812-3815.
- 12. Gosh N, Mitra DK, Chakravarty DK. Composition analysis of tropical white oyster mushroom. Ann. Appl. Biol. 1991;118:527-531.
- 13. Goyal R, Grewal RB, Goyal RK. Nutritional attributes of agaricus Bisporus and Pleurotus sajor caju mushrooms. Nutr Health. 2006;18(2):179-84.
- 14. Hassan FRH. studies on the bioconversion of some agricultural wastes using pleurotus and agaricus mushrooms, Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Cairo Univ, 2002.
- 15. Hsia HY, Smith DM, Steffe JF. Rhelogical properties and adhesion Journal of Animal Research: v.4 n.2. Dec 2014 261 characteristics of flour based batters for chicken nuggets as affected by three hydrocolloids. J Food Sci. 1992;57:16-18, 2.
- 16. Keller JE, Skelley GC, Acton JC. Effect of meat particle size and casing diameter on summer sausage properties during dring. J Milk Food Tech. 1992;37:261-276.
- 17. Madbouli FH, El-Husseini MA. Mushroom Food, Medicine and Investment, Third edition, P. 6, 15, 16, 115, Library Ibn Sina Publishing, Distribution and Export, Cairo, 1996.
- 18. Mattila PK, Eurola M, Pihlava JM, Astola J, Vahteristo L, Hietaniemi V, et al. Contents of vitamins, mineral elements, and some phenolic compounds in cultivated mushrooms. J Agric. Food Chem. 2001;49(5):2343-2348.
- 19. Medany GM. Production and processing of Flammulina velutipes and lentinus edodes, Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Cairo Univ, 2004.
- 20. Murphy EW, Criner PE, Grey BC. Comparison of methods for calculating retentions of nutrients in cooked foods. J Agric Food Chem. 1975;23:1153-1157.
- 21. Pramod Kumar Singh, Sunil Kumar, Pavan Kumar, Bhat ZF. Standardization of Shredded Potato and Added Water Levels in the Development of Chevon Cutlets Journal of Animal Research. 2014 Dec;4(2):251-261.
- 22. Rao VNM, Delaney RAM. An engineering perspective on deep-fat frying of breaded chicken pieces. Food Tech. 1995;49:138-141.
- 23. Norell RJ, Glaze Jr B, Chahine M, Olsen N. Measuring potato dry matter content on the form, 2016.
- 24. Rust et al. USDA. Nutrient value of potatoes compared to other feed stuffs, 1997.
- 25. Thomas, Graeme, Giulio Sansonetti. New light on a hidden treasure: International Year of the Potato 2008, an end-of-year review. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2009.
- 26. Wan Rosli WI, Solihah MA, Aishah M, Nik Fakurudin NA, Mohsin SSJ. Colour, textural properties, cooking characteristics and fibre content of chicken patty added with ovster mushroom (Pleurotus sajor-caju) International Food Research Journal. 2011;18:612-618.
- 27. Yang JH, Lin HC, Mau JL. Non-volatile taste components of several commercial mushrooms. Food Chem. 2001;72:465-471.