The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(6): 2055-2060

www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation

ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(6): 2055-2060

www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 01-04-2022
Accepted: 09-05-2022

Kavuri Pradeep Kumar
Department of Msc Agronomy, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

Mannepu-Venkata Sai Ganesh
Department of Msc Agronomy, Sam Higgin Bottom University of Agriculture and Technology Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Chittemsetty-Lakshmi Sowmya
Department of Msc Agronomy, Sam Higgin Bottom University of Agriculture and Technology Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Devalla-Dakshayani
Department of Msc Agronomy, Sam Higgin Bottom University of Agriculture and Technology Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Kavuri Pradeep Kumar
Department of Msc Agronomy, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

Effect of zinc on yield and growth on wheat: Material and method

Kavuri Pradeep Kumar, Mannepu-Venkata Sai Ganesh, Chittemsetty-Lakshmi Sowmya and Devalla-Dakshayani

Abstract
Zinc (Zn) lack brought about by insufficient dietary admission is a worldwide wholesome issue in human populations, particularly in emerging nations. Biofortification of wheat and other staple food varieties with Zn is, along these lines, a significant test and a high-need research task. This paper contains the techniques and results took on in various nations and results have been examined by utilizing Zn productivity, grain biofortification under various culturing changes, impact of foliar shower by Avoiding water systems at various stages, impact of zinc enhanced natural compost on wheat, impact of Zinc take-up by various sorts of soils and Zinc fertigation consequences for yield and parts of Wheat.

Keywords: Insufficient dietary, biofortification, foliar, zinc fertigation, insufficient dietary

Introduction
India possesses 329 million hectares of land and region savvy it positions seventh on the planet with 17 populace and2.5 world region. Omnibus signs are that by 2050 India will come the liveliest country on the planet. These come an incredible test to satisfy the interest by adding the food grain quality and item. Viable poison activity is genuinely significant component to improve the yield possibility. Soil richness is a significant element, which chooses the development of plant. It's dictated by the presence or nonattendance of supplements i.e., large scale and micronutrients. The vacuity of micronutrients is genuinely subject to soil landscape. The variables that influence the vacuity of micronutrients are natural matter, soil pH, lime content, ocean side, ground, and appearance substance uncovered from changed investigation preliminaries. The explanation for the decrease of soil ripeness in India are generously fierce editing framework, imbalanced utilization of poison, activity of macronutrient alone and obliviousness of micronutrients and natural coprolites

Zinc (Zn) is quite possibly the most plentiful follow fundamentals in human body, with1.5 – 2.5 g present in the normal adult (King *et al*., 2006) [12]. As a reactant and a significant primary component in an expected 3000 zinc proteins, Zn is fundamental for starch digestion, DNA and RNA conflation, and different cycles (Maret 2009) [15] (Prasad 2008) [20]. Zinc inadequacy, actually, is current in various passageway of the world and particularly in non- industrial nations (Cakmak 2008) [6]. Zinc inadequacy brings about hindered development, anorexia, and hypogeusia in youngsters (Brown *et al*., 2002) [5], and in incubation issues and a few ongoing conditions in adults (Mahomed *et al*., 2002) [14] (Prasad 2001) [21]. In farther than 22 emerging nations, something like 60 of the Zn in human weight control plans is derived from C3 grains and vegetables (Myers 2014) [17]. Wheat is one of the three driving cereal harvests worldwide and is the prevailing yield utilized for mortal food (Shewry 2009) [26]. Overall wheat item surpasses 720 million tons for each time, and most extreme of which is utilized as nourishment for people (Shewry 2009, FAO) [26]. Wheat can be reused into a rich assortment of flours relying upon the processing technique. Entire grain flour and coarse flour are valuable wellsprings of helpful fibre, and standard flour and amended flour are by and large used to make toss and surveys. Wheat has a low Zn consideration, with something like 20 – 35 mg/kg of entire grain (Cakmak 2008) [6]. The low consideration of Zn in wheat brings about part from the low Zn content of soils where wheat is developed, i.e., farther than 40 of the overall wheat crops is developed on soils with genuinely low circumstances of Zn (Alloway 2008) [2]. Similarly, a considerable possibility of the Zn in grain is lost with the trashing of the aleurone subcaste and incipient organism during processing (Ozturk *et al*.) [18]. Zinc consideration were accounted for to be lower than 15 mg/kg in wheat endosperm and in refined flour (Li *et al*., 2014) [13].

Zinc-Fertilization on Wheat Yield and Yield

A field starter was driven in Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, BAU, Ranchi during rabi season 2012-13 and 2013-14. The articles were to check the effect of zinc (Zn) on the yield and yield contributing characters of wheat and to find the best fix and course of action of Zn action for yield development. The prescriptions comported of control (T0), 5 kg Zn ha-1 (T1), 10 kg Zn ha-1 (T2) and 5 kg Zn ha-1 2 foliar sprinkles (T3). The basic fix of NPK at the speed of 120 60 40 kg ha-1 independently, was applied to all prescriptions. The drugs were coordinated in randomized absolute square arrangement with three replications. Results showed that effect of Zn movement was enormous on the grain yield (q/ha), straw yield (q/ha), and sterility probability anyway no affected shaft length, thousand grain weight and reap Indicator. Ordinary grain yield of two times is loftiest (3.93 t/ha) under the blend of soil and foliar action of Zn and smallest yield (3.36 t/ha) in control is taken note. Most prominent decline in sterility chance was plant in soil close by foliar action of Zn. Grounded on the revelations of study united action of straightforward and foliar Zn on wheat may fabricate the grain yield of shops with the extra Zn suitably curing the practicality of different mixtures, chlorophyll content, IAA substance and improvement in nitrate change to soluble base in plant provoking advanced yield (Hacisalihoglu *et al*., 2003; Abbas *et al*., 2010) [9, 1]. Ranjbar and Bahmaniar (2007) [22] reported that soil and foliar movement of Zn poison alone weren't exactly just about as reasonable as soil along with foliar Yield and gather marker Grain yield (pooled data) uncovered basically prevalent grain yield considering the present situation of zinc action in T3 over the T0, T1, and T2. The response of reap to different conditions of zinc movement, to the extent grain yield is apparently certain. Boorboori *et al*., 2012 [4] conveyed that foliar movement of zinc extended grain wheat yield. The extension in the grain yield is inferable from the bettered physiology undertakings to extend yield. The straw yield accomplished under T3 were through and through better over T0 and T1 while at standard with T2. Straw yield were recorded to be 6.34, 6.78, 6.98 and 7.01 t ha-1 under T0, T1, T2 and T3 independently. The straw yield under T3 was on a very basic level better over T0 and T1 and at standard with T2. Keram *et al*., (2013) [11] moreover uncovered that the straw yield of wheat, was by and large extended with the movement of zinc. The collect marker a rundown of dividing showed a startling example in contrast with that checked whether there ought to emerge an event of grain yield. The loftiest gather marker was recorded in case of T0. Taking everything into account, the differentiations in crop pointer were on-basic. Yield part the yield limits having an overall reflection into the last grain yield acknowledge unbelievable significance and thusly the impact of different spot of Zn action moreover is apparently worth as saying the yield attributing limits like hard and fast number of grains, number of unfilled grains, sterility plausibility, shaft length, and test weight recorded under different conditions of Zn action have been presented in and objected then under. Shaft length was recorded to be 9.4, 9.9, 10.0 and 10.2 cm under T0, T1, T2 and T3 independently. The impact of different conditions of Zn methodology on panicle length was non-tremendous. The test weight a record of the force of individual grain was plant to be bettered non basically in both the long stretches of starter. Boorboori *et al*., (2012) [4] and Moghadam *et al*. (2012) [16] uncovered that surveyed foliar showering of Zinc part on wheat, articulatedly affect 1000 grain weight. Full scale number of grains per panicle was plant to augment non essentially under all of the conditions of Zn movement. Ziaeyan *et al*., (2009) [30] was represented that either foliar or soil action of Zn could grow number of full-scale grains per tail. As per the sterility viewpoint the conditions of Zn action contributed towards lessening the sterility plausibility, the characteristics were recorded as 16.1, 14.2, 13.5 and 12.7 under T0, T1, T2 and T3 independently. To the extent sterility percent decline T3 was by and large better over control. The loftiest abatement recorded if there ought to emerge an event of T3. Zn content in grain and straw the wheat grain Zn content was 40.9, 46.0, 48.3 and 52.6 mg kg-1 under T0, T1, T2 and T3, openly. The position T3, reflected into loftiest gift towards grain Zn. The position T3 being the better over control similarly as over the rest of the position. Phattarakul *et al*., (2011) [19] uncovered that foliar action of Zn at panicle commencement was suitable in adding whole grain Zn substance two cross-over. As per the pooled examination, Zn substance in straw at improvement were 21.9, 22.9, 23.9 and 25.1 mg kg-1 T0, T1, T2 and T3, independently. The position T3 and T2 were through and through better over control and the position T1 being at standard with control. Foliar movement of zinc extended grain zinc thought (Jiang *et al*., 2008; Stomph *et al*., 2011 and Zhang *et al*., 2012) [29] Ranjbar and Bahmaniar, (2007) [22, 27] uncovered that the lower ampleness of soil and foliar exercises in relationship with soil notwithstanding foliar undertakings of Zn toxic substance may be credited to cut down conditions of Zn in wheat shoot. Soil Zn content the soil Zn content was 2.15, 2.99, 3.66 and 4.31 mg kg-1 independently under T0, T1, T2 and T3. All of the conditions of Zn action (T1 to T3) were in a general sense better over the control. Level T3 was plant generally better over T2 and over T1 however T2 was basically better over T1.

Table 1: Crop response to different levels of Zn application in wheat yield and yield components (pooled data)

Level of Zn application	Grain yield (t ha^{-1})	Straw yield (t ha^{-1})	Harvest Index (%)	Sterility (%)	Spike length (cm)	1000 grain Weight (gm)	Zn Content (mg kg^{-1}) Straw	Grain	Soil
T0	3.36	6.34	34	16.1	9.5	39.7	21.9	40.9	2.25
T1	3.47	6.78	33.2	14.1	9.9	40.4	22.9	46	2.99
T2	3.68	6.98	34.2	13.4	10	42.5	23.8	48.2	3.66
T3	3.98	7.01	35.4	12.7	10.2	43	25.1	52.6	4.31
CD at 5%	2.2	3.3	NS	0.7	NS	NS	1.5	1.5	0.1
CV%	7.3	5.8	4.7	6.2	7.2	7.6	7.7	3.7	3.52

Effect of Zinc on Yield and Zinc Uptake in Wheat on Some Soils of Bangladesh

To concentrate on the yield and yield contributing characters, zinc consideration and its take-up by wheat, face soils of six distinct regions of Bangladesh were gathered. The preliminary was acted in pots in net house and compound examination in

the Laboratory of the Department of Agricultural Chemistry BAU and Soil Science Division of BINA Mymensingh. The outcomes accomplished showed the quantity of ranchers per slope, grain and straw yield of wheat, zinc consideration and zinc take-up both in grain and straw and zinc consideration of pre-planting and post — crop soils were essentially expanded with the activity of zinc. Yet, the impact of applied zinc was more articulated in Khulna, BAU Farm, Maskanda and Modhupur soils than in the to a great extent acidic Sylhet soil or calcareous soil of Ishurdi. It's evident that for conveying expanded yield of wheat, zinc status of the dirt's ought to be bettered and for this zinc treatment and appears to be objective and mind ought to be taken while a zinc poison to the dirt. Progressed paces of zinc might be required for corrosive and calcareous soils. Zinc medicines Two paces of zinc zero (0) Kg per hectare (Zn0) and 10 Kg zinc for every hectare (Zn10) as ZnS04 were applied in bring about each pot. The infections were totally blended in with the dirt in individual pot. A sub-test of around 100 g was gathered from each pot for synthetic examination. Number of ranchers per slope the figures of ranchers per slope were loftiest (13.83) in S2 and littlest (9.5) in S5. The merchandise of S1, S3, S4 and S6 on number of ranchers per slope were plant indistinguishable from one another and vary fundamentally from both S2 and S5. Industrial facility tallness the loftiest production line stature (74.83) was seen in S3 as against the littlest (54.17) in S 5. Results achieved as to industrial facility tallness in S4 are genuinely indistinguishable from S5 Also S2 and S6 created indistinguishable processing plant stature. S1 created halfway industrial facility tallness, which was indistinguishable from S3. Panicle length the longest panicle length was created by S2 the most limited panicle length was delivered by S4, S5 and S6 created indistinguishable panicle length as S5 and S3 created indistinguishable panicle length as S2. Weight of 1000 grains the most extreme load of 1000 grains was achieved from S2 and least from S5. S1 created indistinguishable grain weight to S2 and other delivered various loads of 1000 grains. From the beneath discoveries, it has been seen that the loftiest number of ranchers per slope, panicle length and weight of 1000 grains were delivered in S2 and littlest in S5. S3 and S1 delivered all the underneath characters almost indistinguishable from S2 while S4 created indistinguishable from S5. The explanation of better execution of the dirt's of BAU Farm, Maskanda and Khulna than that of Modhupur, Ishurdi and Syihet might be because of the presence of genuinely high amounts of natural matter and other processing plant supplements present in that dirt. Soil of Ishurdi is calcareous in nature and has a pH around7.7 which is, to an impressive degree fabulously from fairness and along these lines, almost contains an abundance of Ca particles. These Ca particles endure reactions with the applied zinc and different supplements and make them aloof for production line take-up. In this way, shops experience the ill effects of supplement feasibility, which thusly brings about helpless number of ranchers per slope. Then again, the sleek presentation of the BAU Farm soil might be credited to such a variable as the presence of a genuinely high quantum of local zinc and other plant supplements. Modhupur and Sylhet soils are truth be told acidic in nature and wealthy in oxides and hydroxides of free Fe (iron) and Al (aluminium). These oxides fix the accessible zinc and different supplements in soil and make it inaccessible to the shops.

Table 2: Effect of soil on number of tillers per hill, plant height, panicle length, weight of 100 grains

Soils	No of tillers per hill	Plant height (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	Weight of 1000 grains (g)
S1	10.17bc	66.00b	8.83b	37.47a
S2	13.83a	66.00b	12.17a	38.73a
S3	10.72bc	74.83a	12.17a	32.95b
S4	10.50bc	54.50d	6.75c	29.28c
S5	9.50c	67.50b	7.75c	25.07e
S6	11.07b	67.50b	7.50c	27.15d

Approach of Zinc Biofortification Can Increase Zinc Bioavailability in Wheat Flour

Zinc (Zn) inadequacy is a typical protest of people in non-industrial nations. The impact of Zn biofortification (through activity of six paces of Zn poison to soil) on Zn bioavailability in wheat grain and flour and its effects on human wellbeing was assessed. Zn bioavailability was assessed with a trivariate model that remembered Zn homeostasis for the human digestive tract. As the pace of Zn treatment expanded, the Zn consideration expanded in all flour parts, however the probabilities of Zn in standard flour (25) and wheat (75) comparative with all out-grain Zn were consistent. Phytic corrosive (PA) consideration in grain and flours were guiltless by Zn biofortification. Zn bioavailability and the wellbeing sway, as demonstrated by incapacity accustomed life times (DALYs) saved, expanded with the Zn activity rate and were lesser in norm and refined flour than in entire grain and coarse flour. The biofortified standard and refined flour achieved with activity of 50 kg/ha ZnSO4 · 7H2O satisfied the wellbeing need (3 mg of Zn accomplished from 300 g of wheat flour) and diminished DALYs by> 20. Despite the fact that Zn biofortification expanded Zn bioavailability in norm and refined flour, it didn't decrease the bioavailability of iron, manganese, or bobby in wheat flour. Soil Properties Tillage frameworks incomprehensibly impacted the dirt physical and regular packages (SBD, TSP, PR, SMBC, SMBN, and SOC) and supplement status (all out N, accessible P, and extractable K), though soil bundles stayed honest with Zn sustenance. Risen to across twice, SBD was 4.40 progressed at 0 – 10 cm, and3.80 progressed at 10 – 20 cm profundity under PT when contrasted with ZT. All things considered, ZT framework recorded progressed qualities for TSP (14.97 and 7.28), PR (9.30 and 15.30), SMBC (5.15 and 4.39), SMBN (4.6 and 5.11), and SOC (16.56 and 16.55) at 0 – 10 cm and 10 – 20 cm profundity, autonomously, when contrasted with ZT. Complete N was 7.70 and 8.33 progressed under ZT during the first and substitute test time, freely. Also, 3.73 and 6.02 progressed accessible P was seen under ZT than PT during 2017 – 2018 and 2018 – 2019, freely. Extractable K was measurably honest by the culturing frameworks during the initial time; still, the ZT framework showed progressed esteem (3.06) for extractable K when contrasted with PT framework during 2018 – 2019. Yield Attributes Operation of Zn fundamentally told the quantity of useful ranchers during the twice; still, the impact of culturing frameworks was non-huge for useful ranchers. Risen to across various wheat culturing frameworks (WTs), the quantity of useful ranchers was14.08 and12.44 progressed with Zn preparing during 2017 – 2018 and 2018 – 2019, freely, contrasted and control. Grains per shaft were boundlessly told by culturing frameworks and Zn nourishment; ZT delivered progressed number of grains per

shaft in contrast with PT and among Zn activity styles, 38.2 of grains per shaft were plant with Zn preparing in contrast with control treatment during the principal concentrate on schedule. For the other test time, the loftiest grains per shaft (34.28 over control) were plant because of Zn seed preparing under ZT framework. Culturing framework essentially affected 1000-grain weight, though Zn activity chiefly told the grain weight and Zn seed preparing redounded in 26.46 and 23.45 expansion in 1000-grain weight comparative with control during 2017 – 2018 and 2018 – 2019, autonomously. Foliar-applied Zn during the substitute time gave genuinely comparable to outcomes to seed Zn preparing. The loftiest normal yield (18.58 comparative with control) was demonstrated through soil activity of Zn that was genuinely at standard to Zn seed preparing during the initial time. All things considered, the business of WTs × Zn was critical during substitute time and the loftiest regular yield (27.40) was accomplished with soil- applied Zn in PT framework. Additionally, the loftiest grain yield (42.1 over control) was accomplished with Zn seed preparing in the ZT framework in first time that was measurably at standard with Zn seed preparing in the PT framework. All things considered, the trade of WTs × Zn was non-critical during the substitute time and the loftiest grain yield (32.8 over control) was accomplished with Zn seed preparing. For culturing frameworks, the high-level grain yield was recorded under ZT framework in contrast with PT. The loftiest yield pointer was seen because of Zn seed preparing for the twice. Grain and Straw Zn Attention Grain and straw Zn consideration were altogether told by Zn activity styles. In the PT framework, the loftiest grain Zn substance were noted with foliar-applied Zn during both test times, though in the ZT framework, soil-applied Zn redounded in the loftiest grain Zn consideration, while the littlest grain Zn consideration was seen in no Zn activity, trailed by hydro-preparing and foliar water splash in both culturing frameworks. The loftiest straw Zn substance were seen with soil-applied Zn in PT just as ZT framework and this treatment was trailed by foliar activity of Zn during the twice. Zinc Use Efficiency Indices Zinc activity styles predominantly impacted the viability pointers during the first and substitute time, while the ARE during the initial time was immeasurably impacted by WTs. Old AgE was seen with Zn-covering under PT during both the occasions. The loftiest PE was noted with Zn seed preparing for first season of study, though results were non-critical during the substitute time. Agro-physiological adequacy (AgPE) was the loftiest with Zn seed preparing during the other time; still, the aftereffects of the AgPE interestingly were non-huge. They were the loftiest with Zn seed covering during 2017 – 2018 and 2018 – 2019, and these outcomes were genuinely practically equivalent to with foliar-applied Zn. Likewise, the loftiest UE was seen with Zn seed covering during the initial time. The business of WTs × Zn was critical during the substitute time and the loftiest UE was seen when Zn covered wheat seeds were planted under PT framework. The intelligent impact of WTs × Zn for PFP was critical and the loftiest PFP was noted with Zn seed covering and ZT during the twice.

Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc on Yield of Wheat Grown in avoiding irrigation at different growth stages

A field preliminary was completed in the micronutrient trial field of Soil Science Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute situated at 23º59 ′ 26 ″ N and 90º24 ′ 52 ″E., Gray Terrace Soil of Joydebpur, Gazipur (AEZ28) on 27 November, 2013 with the end goal of concentrating on the impact of foliar activity of zinc on yield of wheat developed by skipping water system. The preliminary was spread out in a split plot plan with three replications. Water system was appointed in a primary plot and foliar activity in the activity. Wheat (Triticum aestivum var. BARI Gom 25) was utilized in the preliminary. There were sixteen treatment blends including four water system medicines i.e., T1 customary water system at crown root introduction stage, booting stage and grain stuffing stage, T2 skirting one water system at crown root initiation stage, T3 avoiding one water system at booting stage, T4 avoiding one water system at grain stuffing stage and four circumstances of foliar shower of zinc i.e., Zn0 control, Zn10.02, Zn20.04 and Zn30.06 foliar activity of Zn. Water system water was applied to the field condition in each plot according to treatment. Foliar activity of zinc was finished during the skipping water system at isolated days. Zinc Sulfate Monohydrate (ZnSO4. H2O) was utilized as wellsprings of Zn. Urea, triadic dinner phosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum and boric corrosive were utilized as wellsprings of N, P, K, S and B, freely. Infections were applied grounded on BARC Toxin Recommendation Companion-2012. All PKSB and a big part of N were applied at the last land medicine and the excess portion of N was applied prior to booting stage. Unique packages of the dirt examples of test field are introduced. Climate information during the yield development period was introduced. Wheat seeds were planted straightforwardly on 27 November, 2013 and the yields were accumulated on 21 March, 2014 at complete development. Ten shops from each plot were attempted capriciously for assortment of various plant characters and yield ascribes. Information on yield and yield contributing characters comparable as industrial facility tallness (cm), shaft length (cm), grain shaft-1, 100 grain wt., yield (t ha-1) was recorded. Shops of 1 m2 region from each plot were named for information assortment. Information on yield and yield contributing boundaries were recorded and measurably dissected with the assistance of factual bundle MSTAT-C and mean division was tried by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5 places of likelihood.

Impact of water system the impact of water system on the grain yield and yield variables of wheat. The loftiest grain yield (5.29 t ha-1) was accomplished with standard water system (T1), which was indistinguishable with skipping water system at heading and unfurling stage (T4). The littlest yield (4.33 t ha-1) was accomplished from skipping water system at crown root initiation stage (T2), which was altogether lower than different medicines. This finding uncovered that crown root introduction was the most basic stage for water system and its elision at this stage decreased the grain yield of 33 to 42 which was upheld by Cheema *et al*. (1973). Crown root introduction (CRI) stage is the most basic stage for water system in wheat in light of the fact that any shortfall of moistness at this stage brings about lower tillering and incredible decrease in yield. Bajwa *et al*. (1993) detailed that number of ranchers bettered with water system at crown root stage and better grain yield was recorded with water system at crown root and booting stage.

Impact of foliar activity of zinc Foliar activity of zinc had a huge influence in the yield and yield elements of wheat. Yield factors were told altogether due to foliar activity of Zn. The grain yield of wheat expanded altogether because of added

zinc up to0.04. Kaya and Higgs (2002) and Cakmak (2008) [6] announced that zinc has a significant impact in the result of biomass. Initially, Zn is associated with detoxification of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and in this regard might have a guarded influence in blocking photooxidative harm catalysed by ROS in chloroplasts (Cakmak, 2000; Cakmak and Römheld, 1997; Ducic and Polle, 2005). Furthermore, this micronutrient may significantly add to drought stress patience by assurance against oxidative harm of layers (Cakmak, 2000; Cakmak and Romheld, 1997; Ducic and Polle, 2005). The loftiest yield (5.14 t ha-1) was plant with 0.04 foliar activity of Zn which was progressed than the remainder of the boluses. There was no huge distinction between 0.02 and 0.06 foliar activity of Zn.

References

1. Abbas G, Hassan G, Ali MUA, Aslam M, Abbas Z. Response of wheat to different doses of ZnSO4 under Thal desert environment. Pak. J Bot. 2010;42(6):4079.
2. Alloway BJ. Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition. In International Zinc Association; Brussels International Fertilizer Industry Association: Paris, France, 2008
3. Boorboori MR, Eradatmand AD, Tehrani M. The Effect of Dose and Different Methods of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and Copper Application on Yield Components, Morphological Traits and Grain Protein Percentage of Barley Plant (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in Greenhouse Conditions. J Advances in Environmental Biology. 2012;6(2):740.
4. Boorboori MRD, Eradatmand Asli M, Tehrani J. The Effect of Dose and Different Methods of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and Copper Application on Yield Components, Morphological Traits and Grain Protein Percentage of Barley Plant (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in Greenhouse Conditions. Advances in Environmental Biology. 2012;6(2):740-746.
5. Brown KH, Peerson JM, Rivera J, Allen LH. Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc concentrations of prepubertal children: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am. J Clin. Nutr. 2002;75:1062-1071.
6. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification? Plant Soil 2008;302:1-17.
7. Dunyi Liu, Yumin Liu, Wei Zhang, Xinping Chen, Chunqin Zou. Agronomic Approach of Zinc Biofortification Can Increase Zinc Bioavailability in Wheat Flour and thereby Reduce Zinc Deficiency in Humans. 2017;9:465.
8. FAO. FAOSTAT–Agriculture Database. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC
9. Hacisalihoglu G, Hart JJ, Wang YH, Cakmak I, Kochian LV. Zinc efficiency is correlated with enhanced expression and activity of zinc-requiring enzymes in wheat. Plant Physiology. 2003;131:595-602.
10. Jiang W, Struik PC, van Keulen H, Zhao M, Jin LN, Stomph TJ. Does increased zinc uptake enhance grain zinc mass concentration in rice? Ann Appl. Biol., 2008;153:135-147.
11. Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sharma GD, Thakur RKD. Impact of zinc application on its translocation into various plant parts of wheat and its effect on chemical composition and quality of grain. Scientific Research and Essays. 2013;8(45):2218-2226.
12. King J, Cousins R. Zinc. Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease, 10th ed.; Shils, M., Ed.; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2006, pp. 271-285.
13. Li M, Wang SX, Tian XH, Zhao JH, Li HY, Guo CH, *et al*. Zn distribution and bioavailability in whole grain and grain fractions of winter wheat as affected by applications of soil n and foliar Zn combined with n or p. J Cereal Sci. 2014;61:26-32.
14. Mahomed K, Bhutta Z, Middleton P. Zinc supplementation for improving pregnancy and infant outcome. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2012;7:CD000230.
15. Maret W. Molecular aspects of human cellular zinc homeostasis: Redox control of zinc potentials and zinc signals. Biometals. 2009;22:149-157.
16. Moghadam MJ, Sharifabad HH, Noormohamadi G, Sadeghian SY, Siadat SA. The Effect of Zinc, Boron and Copper Foliar Application, on Yield and Yield Components in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Annuals of Biological Research. 2012;3(8):3875-3884.
17. Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey ADB, Bloom AJ, *et al*. Increasing CO2 threatens human nutrition. Nature. 2014;510:139-142.
18. Ozturk L, Yazici MA, Yucel C, Torun A, Cekic C, Bagci A, *et al*. Concentration and localization of zinc during seed development and germination in wheat. Physiol. Plant. 2006;128:144–152.
19. Phattarakul N, Mongon J, Rerkasem B. Variation in rice grain zinc and their response to zinc fertilizer. 3rd International Zinc Symposium. Hyderabad, India, 2011.
20. Prasad AS. Clinical, immunological, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant roles of zinc. Exp. Gerontol. 2008;43:370-377.
21. Prasad AS. Discovery of human zinc deficiency: Impact on human health. Nutrition 2001;17:685-687.
22. Ranjbar, Bahmaniar. Effects of soil and foliar application of Zn fertilizer on Yield and growth characteristics of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. Asian J of Plant Science. 2007;6(6):1000-1005.
23. Riffat shaheen MK, Samim Mahmud R. Effect of zinc on yield and zinc uptake by wheat on some soils of Bangladesh. J Soil. Nature. 2007;1(1):07-14.
24. Firdous S, Agarwal BK, Chhabra V. Zinc-fertilization effects on wheat yield and yield components, 2018; 7(2): 3497-3499S Firdous, BK Agarwal and V Chhabra. Zinc-fertilization effects on wheat yield and yield component. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(2):3497-3499
25. Sultana S, Naser HM, Shil NC, Akhter S, Begum RA. Effect of foliar application of zinc on yield of wheat grown by avoiding irrigation at different growth stages, Bangladesh J Agril. Res. 2016;41(2):323-334.
26. Shewry PR. Wheat. J Exp. Bot. 2009;60:1537-1553.
27. Stomph TJ, Hoebe N, Spaans E, van der Putten PEL. The relative contribution of post- flowering uptake of zinc to rice grain zinc density. 3rd International Zinc Symposium. Hyderabad, India, 2011.
28. Usman Zulfiqar, Saddam Hussain, Muhammad Ishfaq, Amar Matloob, Nauman Ali, Muhammad Ahmad, *et al*. Zinc-Induced Effects on Productivity, Zinc Use Efficiency, and Grain Biofortification of Bread Wheat under Different Tillage Permutations. 2020;10:1566.

29. Zhang YQ, Cui ZL, Deng Y, Chen R, Chen XP, Zhang FS *et al*. The reduction in zinc concentration of wheat grain upon increased phosphorus- fertilization and its mitigation by foliar zinc application. Plant soil. 2012;361:143-152.
30. Ziaeyan AH, Rajaie M. Combined effect of Zinc and Boron on yield and nutrients accumulation in corn. International Journal of Plant Production. 2009;3(3):35-44.