www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(6): 2162-2164 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 01-03-2022 Accepted: 06-05-2022

ED Chavan

Department of Agriculture Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

SS Vitnor

Department of Agriculture Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

VM Bharade

Department of Agriculture Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: ED Chavan Department of Agriculture Botany, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

Study on genetic parameters in some promising lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

ED Chavan, SS Vitnor and VM Bharade

Abstract

Twenty six genotypes of rice were grown in randomized block design with three replications during kharif 2015 at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Karjat (MH). A wide range of variation was observed for all the characters studied. The high genotypic coefficient of variation, high heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for the characters grain yield per plant, straw yield per plant, productive tillers per plant and grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, whereas days to initiation of flowering, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity had high heritability with low genetic advance as per cent of mean.

Keywords: GCV, PCV, heritability, GA, GAM

Introduction

Genetic variability is the prime requirement for breeding programme. An understanding of the nature and magnitude of genetic variation present in the germplasm lines and cultivated varieties is necessary before initiating a breeding programme aiming to develop high yielding varieties. Since, the effectiveness of selection depends up on the extent of genetic variability present for different characters, an attempt has been made to evaluate twenty two promising lines and four variety of rice from DBSKKV, Dapoli for eleven quantitative characters.

Materials and Methods

Twenty six genotypes of rice were grown in randomized block design with three replications during Kharif 2015 at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Karjat (Maharashtra). Each genotype had 4 rows of 4.5 m length with spacing of 20 x 15 cm. Fertilizer dose of 100 kg N + 50 kg P₂O₅ + 50 kg K₂O per hectare and other recommended package of practices were adopted to raise the crop healthy. Observations on five randomly selected plants were recorded for the characters *viz*. days to initiation of flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), grains per panicle, spikelet fertility per cent, 1000 grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g) and straw yield per plant (g). Analysis of variance was done by method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1954) ^[5]. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance were studied as per the procedure given by Burton (1952), Burton and De Vane (1953) ^[2] and Johnson *et al.* (1955) ^[3] respectively.

Results and Discussions

Analysis of variance revealed the significant difference among genotypes for all the characters studied (Table 1) indicating presence of variability in the material. Estimates of genetic parameters like GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance as per cent of mean are presented in (Table 2). It is revealed from the data, maximum variation was observed for plant height (98 to 148.33 cm), productive tillers per plant (6.2 to 12.4), panicle length (17.8 to 27.4 cm), grains per panicle (71.7 to 192.2), 1000 grain weight (19.9 to 29.3 g) and grain yield (13.8 to 25.9 g). The data suggest that wide range of variation were present in the genotypes for these characters, Similar result were also reported in rice by Karim *et al.* (2007) ^[4], Bhadru *et al.* (2012)^[1], Tuwar *et al.* (2013)^[8] and singh *et al.* (2013)^[7].

In general the phenotypic variances were higher than the genotypic variances. High PCV for the characters *viz*. productive tillers per plant, grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g) and grain yield per plant (g) indicated that these characters were influenced by environmental factors. High GCV was observed in productive tillers per plant, grains per panicle, 1000 grain

weight (g), straw yield per plant (g) and grain yield per plant (g). The results are in agreement with the findings of Yadav *et al.* (2011)^[9], Bhadru *et al.* (2012)^[1], Shukla *et al.* (2005)^[6] and Tuwar *et al.* (2013)^[8].

Highest heritability was observed in days to initiation of flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity followed by panicle length (cm), grains per panicle, spikelet fertility per cent, plant height (cm), 1000 grain weight (g), and grain yield per plant (g). The high genetic advance was observed for grains per panicle, plant hight (cm), days to maturity and days to 50% flowering. High estimates of heritability along with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for the characters, grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g), productive tillers per plant, grain yield per plant (g). Similar results were also reported by Bhadru *et al.* (2012) ^[1] and Tuwar *et al.* (2013) ^[8]. It appeared that the above mention characters might exhibit predominance of additive gene effects, hence selection for these characters would be effective for the genetic improvement of yield. High heritability was accompanied with low genetic advance for the characters, *viz* days to initiation of flowering, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity which was apparently due to low PCV. High heritability and low genetic advance for such character indicated that dominance and epistatic effects were of considerable value in the inheritance of these characters.

| Changetern | Mean sum of squares | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|--|--|
| Characters | Replication (2) | Genotypes (25) | Error (50) | | |
| Days to initiation of flowering | 0.24 | 167.31** | 0.32 | | |
| Days to 50% flowering | 0.85 | 167.02** | 0.69 | | |
| Days to maturity | 0.61 | 183.86** | 0.84 | | |
| Plant height (cm) | 6.62 | 489.29** | 3.56 | | |
| Productive tillers per plant | 0.28 | 6.83** | 0.69 | | |
| Panicle length (cm) | 1.04 | 18.04** | 0.57 | | |
| Grains per panicle | 194.56 | 3308.65** | 217.37 | | |
| Spikelet fertility (%) | 2.17 | 178.39** | 1.18 | | |
| 1000 grain weight (g) | 1.46 | 66.47** | 0.69 | | |
| Grain yield per plant (g) | 7.46 | 17.45** | 2.99 | | |
| Straw yield per plant (g) | 7.63 | 47.59** | 4.80 | | |

Table 1: Analysis of variance for different characters in rice.

** Significance at 1%.

Figures in parentheses are degrees of freedom.

Table 2: Estimates of variability parameters for different characters in rice.

| Characters | Range | Mean | GCV (%) | PCV (%) | h ² b (%) | GA | GAM(%) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|--------|
| Days to initiation of flowering | 84.33 to 112.67 | 100.17 | 7.45 | 7.47 | 99.42 | 15.32 | 15.30 |
| Days to 50% flowering | 86.67 to 115.33 | 103.29 | 7.20 | 7.25 | 98.75 | 15.24 | 14.75 |
| Days to maturity | 110.67 to 141.67 | 129.00 | 6.05 | 6.10 | 98.64 | 15.98 | 12.39 |
| Plant height (cm) | 98 to 148.33 | 116.61 | 10.91 | 11.03 | 97.85 | 25.93 | 22.23 |
| Productive tillers per plant | 6.27 to 12.47 | 8.87 | 16.11 | 18.65 | 74.66 | 2.54 | 28.69 |
| Panicle length (cm) | 17.80 to 27.40 | 22.92 | 10.52 | 11.03 | 90.94 | 4.74 | 20.67 |
| Grains per panicle | 71.73 to 192.20 | 127.27 | 25.22 | 27.75 | 82.57 | 60.09 | 47.21 |
| Spikelet fertility (%) | 72.27 to 96.43 | 82.61 | 9.30 | 9.39 | 98.03 | 15.67 | 18.97 |
| 1000 grain weight (g) | 19.93 to 29.33 | 21.41 | 21.86 | 22.21 | 96.93 | 9.49 | 44.35 |
| Grain yield per plant (g) | 13.82 to 25.93 | 19.42 | 11.30 | 14.39 | 61.72 | 3.55 | 18.30 |
| Straw yield per plant (g) | 15.99 to 32.41 | 23.75 | 15.89 | 18.38 | 74.78 | 6.72 | 28.31 |

Conclusion

It is concluded that the significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters studied indicating the presence of variability in the material. The high GCV, high heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g), productive tillers per plant, grain yield per plant (g), straw yield per plant. It indicates that the heritability is due to additive gene effects and selection may be effective.

References

- 1. Bhadru D, Tirumalarao V, Chandramohan Y, Bharathi D. Genetic variability and diversity studies in yield and its component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics. 2012;44(1):129-137.
- 2. Burton GW, De Vane EH. Estimating heritability in tall fesche (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal. 1953;45:478-481.
- 3. Johnson HW, Robinson HE, Comstock RE. Estimates of

genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agronomy Journal. 1955;47(7):314-318.

- 4. Karim D, Sarkar U, Siddique MNA, Khalequimia MA, Hasnat MZ. Variablitiy and genetic parameter analysis in aromatic rice. International Journal of Sustainable Crop production. 2007;2(5):15-18.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 4th Edn. 1985, ICAR, New Delhi, 1954.
- Shukla V, Singh S, Singh SK, Singh H. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in 'New plant type' tropical japonica rice under upland environment. Agriculture Science Digest. 2005;25(3):207-209.
- 7. Singh CM, Babu SG, Kumar B, Mehandi S. Analysis of quantitative variation and selection criteria for yield improvement in exotic germplasm of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Bioscan 2013;8(2):485-492.
- 8. Tuwar AK, Singh SK, Sharma A, Bhati PK. Appraisal of

The Pharma Innovation Journal

genetic variability for yield and its component characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Biolife. 2013;1(3):84-89.

9. Yadav SK, Pandey P, Kumar B, Suresh BG. Genetic architecture, inter- relationship and selection criteria for yield improvement in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Pakistan Journal of Biological Science. 2011;14(9):540-545